Test_Beneficiarydata-1542969243754.cs	v				@dropdown		
sture Name	Feature Description, what does the feature mean? Unique ID of the beneficiary	Possible Values as Examples now BENE11001	dtypes	Values after Cleaning BENE11001	NA in Test	NA in Train	
B B	Date of Birth of the beneficiary	1943-01-0	object 1 object	Used for create new column "Age"	No NA's No NA's		
D nder	Date of Death of the beneficiary	99% is NA, 1% 2009-12-01, 1 = male, 2 = female	object int64	Used for create new column "Age"	99,1% NA No NA's		
der ė	Gender of the beneficiary Race	1 = male, 2 = temale 1,2,3,4,5	int64	1=male, 0=temale 1,2,3,4,5	No NA's No NA's		
te	State of the beneficiary	0-51	object	0-51	No NA's		
intry DfMonths_PartACov	Country Code for the country where the beneficiary belongs to It represents number of months of part-A coverage.		int64 2 int64	230,360,400 etc Drop, no Value for us	No NA's No NA's		
DfMonths_PartBCov	It represents number of months of part-B coverage.		2 int64	Drop, no Value for us	No NA's		
nal Disease Indicator onicCond Alzheimer	It represents a code which indicates wether a beneficiary had a long history of kidney disease at the time of buying a plan It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	Y,0 (Y=Yes=16,51%, 0=No RDI=83,49% 1,2) int64 int64	Y=1, 0=0 Y=1, 0=0	No NA's No NA's	No NA's	
onicCond_Heartfailure	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64	Y=1, 0=0	No NA's	No NA's	
onicCond_KidneyDisease onicCond_Cancer	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan. It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64 int64	Y=1, 0=0 Y=1, 0=0	No NA's No NA's	No NA's No NA's	
onicCond_ObstrPulmonary	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64	Y=1, 0=0	No NA's	No NA's	
onicCond_Depression onicCond_Diabetes	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan. It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64 int64	Y=1, 0=0 Y=1, 0=0	No NA's No NA's	No NA's No NA's	
ronicCond_IschemicHeart	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64	Y=1, 0=0	No NA's	No NA's	
ronicCond_Osteoporasis ronicCond_rheumatoidarthritis	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan. It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64 int64	Y=1, 0=0 Y=1, 0=0	No NA's No NA's	No NA's No NA's	
onicCond_stroke	It represents a code which indicates whether a beneficiary had a chronic condition of a specific disease at the time of buying a plan.	1,2	int64	Y=1, 0=0	No NA's	No NA's	
nnualReimbursementAmt nnualDeductibleAmt	It consists of the maximum reimbursement amount allocated to a beneficiary for annual hospitalization on the basis of a insurance plan.	-1000 to 156.000 0 to 38.3000	int64 int64		No NA's No NA's		
AnnualReimbursementAmt	It consists of the maximum co-payment to be bourne by a beneficiary for annualy if in case gets hospitalized It consists of the maximum reimbursement amount allocated to a beneficiary for annual non-hospitalization on the basis of a insurance plan.	-60 to 97.5	int64		No NA's		
AnnualDeductibleAmt	It consists of the maximum co-payment to be bourne by a beneficiary annualy if in case only visited a hospital without admission.	0 to 13.8k	int64		No NA's		
ew Features:							
ie_Age	Age of the beneficiary, DOD-DOB/365, check if there is a correlation between fraud and age of the beneficiary						
ne_Alive	Beneficiary is alive or not?:						
st_Inpatientdata-1542969243754.csv	Dataset of Patients who stayed in a clinic or a hospital						
eID mID	Unique ID of the beneficiary Unique ID of the Claim submitted by the provider	BENE11014 CLM67387	object object		No NA's No NA's		
mStartDt	start date of the claim	2009-09-0	9 object		No NA's		
imEndDt vider	end date of the claim Unique ID of the Provider	2009-09-11 PRV57070	8 object object		No NA's No NA's		
ClaimAmtReimbursed	Represents the amout re-imbursed for that particular claim	900	int64		No NA's		
endingPhysician eratingPhysician	ID of the Physican who attended the patient ID of the Physican who operated the patient	PHY317786 41% NA, PHY427017	object		No NA's 41,48 NaN!		
eratingPhysician erPhysician	ID of the Physican who operated the patient ID(?) of the physican who assisted the patient and other physicans	41% NA, PHY427017 89% NaN, Other 10%	object object		41,48 NaN! 89,39 NaN!		
nissionDt	The Date on which the patient was admitted in the hospital	2009-09-09	9 object		No NA's		
AdmitDiagnosisCode suctibleAmtPaid	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim represents the amount bourne by the patient for that claim. It can be thought of as the co-payment which is some percentage of the total amount to be	V6109, 78650 paid by the patient	object float64		No NA's NaN 2.05%		
chargeDT	Represents the date on which the patient was discharged from the hospital		object		No NA's		
gnosisGroupCode 1DisanosisCode 1	Represents a group code for the diagnosis done on the patient. This code, I believe, might be a superset of many other diseases which are being diag Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim	nosed in the patient (?)	object object		No NA's No NA's		
DiagnosisCode_2	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		No NA's		
DiagnosisCode_3	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		No NA's		
DiagnosisCode_4 DiagnosisCode_5	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object object		NaN 4,23% Nan 7,53%		
nDiagnosisCode_6	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		Nan 12.53%		
nDiagnosisCode_7 nDiagnosisCode_8	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object object		NaN 18.18% NaN 24.71%		
nDiagnosisCode_9	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		NaN 33.90%		
nDiagnosisCode_10	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		object float64		NaN 90.71% NaN 43.12%		
mProcedureCode_2	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64		NaN 86,87%		
ProcedureCode_3 ProcedureCode_4	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64 float64		NaN 97,67% NaN 99,70%		
mProcedureCode_5	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64		NaN 99,98%		
mProcedureCode_6	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64	Most of them NA's	NaN 100%		
est Outpatient-1542969243754.csv	Dataset of Patients wo went to the doctor						
neID	Unique ID of the beneficiary		object		No NA's		
imID imStartDt	Unique ID of the Claim submitted by the provider start date of the claim		object object		No NA's No NA's		
imEndDt	end date of the claim		object		No NA's		
ovider ccClaimAmtReimbursed	Unique ID of the Provider Represents the amout re-imbursed for that particular claim		object int64		No NA's No NA's		
endingPhysician	ID of the Physican who attended the patient		object		No NA's		
eratingPhysician erPhysician	ID of the Physican who operated the patient ID(?) of the physican who assisted the patient and other physicans		object object		NaN 82,83% NaN 62.16%		
nDiagnosisCode_1	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		NaN 2,05%		
nDiagnosisCode_2 nDiagnosisCode_3	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		NaN 37,93%		
iDiagnosisCode_3 iDiagnosisCode_4	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object object		NaN 60,85% NaN 75,79%		
nDiagnosisCode_5	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		NaN 85,72% NaN 90,62%		
DiagnosisCode_6 DiagnosisCode 7	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object object		NaN 90,62% NaN 93,67%		
DiagnosisCode_8	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object		NaN 95.60%		
DiagnosisCode_9 DiagnosisCode_10	Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the diagnosis performed by the provider or physicians on the patient for a specific claim		object object		NaN 97.17% NaN 99,79%		
ProcedureCode_1	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64		NaN 99,97%		
ProcedureCode_2 ProcedureCode 3	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64 float64		NaN 99,99% NaN 100%		
ProcedureCode_4	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64		NaN 100%		
ProcedureCode_5 ProcedureCode_6	Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim Represents the Code of the medical treatments or proedures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for a specific claim		float64 float64		NaN 100% NaN 100%		
ductibleAmtPaid	represents the Code of the medical treatments or procures performed by the provider or physicians for medication of a patient for that claim. It can be thought of as the co-payment which is some percentage of the total amount to be	paid by the patient	int64		No NA's		
AdmitDiagnosisCode			object		NaN 79.49%		
est_Label-1542969243754 csv							
			object		No NaN		
			object		No NaN		
			object		No NaN		
vider	merge to one frame:		object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, Idea: if Bene admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher	1=Admitted, 0=No	object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and e Admited? # Duration	merge to one frame: Patent was admitted to the tooptal, yes or no, 1 or 0, lides if Bene admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Camericani Camericani (Camericani) Description of the common	1=Admitted, 0=No	object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and e Admited? # Duration	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, Idea: if Bene admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClaimEndD+ClaimStanDt	1=Admitted, 0=No	object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and le Admitted? lim Douaton httled Duration	Patient was admitted to the tooptal, yes or no, 1 or 0, idea; if Bene admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Camin California Charles (Camin California) (Camin Cal	1=Admited, 0=No	object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and a Jamies? B Design Bell Design ew Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year on 0, 1 or 0, Idea if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fauld should be higher Cambridge T-Admission IT In this paper (T-Admission IT) If Why useful?		object		No NaN	•	
ow Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and • Admits? • Consider • Co	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year on 0, 1 or 0, Idea if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for flaud should be higher Carefulfild Contact (Carefulfild Contact (Carefu	diagnosicts.	object		No NaN		
www.Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and a Admitted? In Duration withed Duration withed Duration withed Duration of the NANN in Physicians to Zero vo Model is able to recognize pattern place, page, sem John James	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year or no, 1 or 0, idea: if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClassificRDC included in the control of	diagnosicts.	object		No NaN		
www.Features.v.1.0 clean dataframe and a Admitted? In Datason sithed Duration Www.Features.v.2.0 Creating Columns for one in a Note in Physicians to Zero ** Model is able to recognize pattern phere_pag_sum	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year or o, 1 or 0, idea: if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification Classification (Classification Classification Classific	diagnosicts.	object		No NaN		
www.Features.v.1.0 clean dataframe and o Admitted? In Duration titled Duration WW.Features.v.2.0 Creating Columns for age the NAN In Physicians to Zero w Model is able to recognize pattern Broke. 2gp.; will be a proper to the Columns of Columns for age to the NAN In Physicians to Zero w Model is able to recognize pattern Broke. 2gp.; will be a proper age. 2gp.;	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, ideas if Berne admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification. Classification. Classification of the second of the	diagnosicts.	object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and re_dmitted? re_dmitted? re_Dwarfer lew Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for large from the first in representation of the first in representation for	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year or o, 1 or 0, idea: if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification Classification (Classification Classification Classific	diagnosicts.	diject		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and v. Admitted? in Duration item Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for stew Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for stew Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for step to MMI in Physicians to Zero v. Model is able to recognize patient bene, ago, such for the physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physician John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (currently working with the Attending Physicians) John Column for physicians (curren	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year or o, 1 or 0, ideas if Been admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification Classification Discharge OT-Admission OT **Why useful?* Because there could be the case, Physicians are not Togged in "to the system and it seems like they are not there but in reality they performing some. The Sum of the Age of the Been ferrowers are not Togged in "to the system and it seems like they are not there but in reality they performing some. The Sum of the Age of the Been ferrowers. The Sum of the Age of the Been ferrowers. The Number of the Classification for the Adendrica Physicians per Provider. The Number of the Classification for the Adendrica Physicians per Provider. The Number of Interprepayment working host proposed references between Admining, Opening and Other Classification for the Classification for purposed references between Admining, Opening and Other Classification for the State of	diagnosicts.	diject		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and a Admins? In Duration Ew Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for sign the NAN in Physicians to Zero w Model is able to recognize pattern been ago, some class distribution of the Columns of the Co	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no. 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClassificAlPC-BashagedT-AdmissanDT IVMP, Useful? Because the recommendation of the second of t	diagnosicts.	object		No NaN		
ew Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and be Johnster? In Donaton In Donaton Ew Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for any the Alterdage Physicians to Zero w Model is able to recognize pattern Divine Jan. John Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians to Zero w Model is able to recognize pattern Divine Jan. John Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians (Columns for Alterdage Physicians Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians (Columns for Alterdage Physicians Land Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians Land Land Land Columns for Alterdage Physicians (Columns for Columns for Alterdage Physicians Land Land Land Land Land Land Land Land	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no. 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClassificREC-ClassificREC Discharged T-AdmissionET I Why useful? Receive the could be the control of the control o	diagnosicts.	diject		No NaN		
Libers, pag., sun Libit Jalans for physicians (currently working with the Altending Physicians), Libit Jalans for physicians (currently working with the Altending Physicians), "Sphysicians, count ————————————————————————————————————	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year or o, 1 or 0, idea if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification Classification Discharge OT-Admission OT **Why useful?* Because there could be the case, Physicians are not Togged in "to the system and it seems like they are not three but in reality they performing some. The Sum of the Age of the Bereficianse per Provider. Some Provider will be working with other patients. The say, Age could be important for frauduler. The Summer of users providers are not the provider of the Classification of the Age of the Providers are Provider. The Number of training paysomers working to each provider differentiated between Administry, Opening and Other Classification of the State o	diagnosicts.	diject		No NaN		
www. Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and a Admitted? In Duration intell Duration were Features v.2.0 Creating Columns for the NNN in Physicians to Zero we Model is able to recognize pattern been page, sen fold claims fold	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no. 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClassificREC-ClassificREC Discharged T-AdmissionET I Why useful? Receive the could be the control of the control o	diagnosicts.	object		No NaM		
www. Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and n. Admitted? iiii Dualen held-Duaston held	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClassificREC.GlassificREC. Discharged T-AdmissistrOT **Why useful? Read to the season of the s	diagnosicts.	diject		No NaM		
www.Features.v.1.0 clean dataframe and a Admitted? In Constant The Co	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no. 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher ClassificREC-ClassificREC Discharged T-AdmissionET I Why useful? Receive the could be the control of the control o	diagnosicts.	dijet		No hani		
www.Features.v.1.0 clean dataframe and e. Admited? in Daresto stated Durafon www.Features.v.2.0 Creating Columns for one file NANIA Physicians to Zero ** Model is also to recognize pattern beet, aga, sum blad claims to althysicians (currently working with the Altending Physicians to delight physicians (currently working with the Altending Physicians, scott processing, aga, sum blad claims to althysicians (currently working with the Altending Physicians, scott processing, sc	Patient was admitted to the hospital, year ono, 1 or 0, lotes if Berne admitted to the hospital, prob. for fload should be higher Carefulficht Contention (Carefulficht) Carefulficht) Contention (Carefulficht) Contention (Carefulficht) Carefulficht) Carefulficht (Carefulficht) Carefulficht) Carefulficht) Carefulficht (Carefulficht) Carefulficht)	diagnosicts.	dijed		No NaM		
www. Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and to Admitted? In Duration stated S	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification Classification Discharged T-Admission CT **Why useful?* Read and the search problem of the search problem and the search problem and it seems his they are not then but in reality they performing tames. The butter of the search problem are not "copped to" to the system and it seems his they are not then but in reality they performing tames. The butter of the search classification per Provider. The butter of the search class for the Administry Displaceman per Provider. The butter of the search class for the Administry Displaceman per Provider. The butter of the search class for the Administry Displaceman per Provider. Classification for the search classification of the search provider. Classification for the search classification of the provider. Classification for the search classification of the performance of the search provider. Classification for the search provider performance of the search provider. Classification for the search provider provider. Classification for the search provider performance of the search provider provider. Classification for the search provider provider. The most deposed propagating Physiciations per provider. The Average of Claims per Provider. The Average of Claims per Provider.	diagnosicts.	diject		No NaM		
www. Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and to Advanted? In Dealers	Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, lotes if Bere admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher Classification Classification Discharged T-Admission CT **Why useful?* Read and the search problem of the search problem and the search problem and it seems his they are not then but in reality they performing tames. The butter of the search problem are not "copped to" to the system and it seems his they are not then but in reality they performing tames. The butter of the search classification per Provider. The butter of the search class for the Administry Displaceman per Provider. The butter of the search class for the Administry Displaceman per Provider. The butter of the search class for the Administry Displaceman per Provider. Classification for the search classification of the search provider. Classification for the search classification of the provider. Classification for the search classification of the performance of the search provider. Classification for the search provider performance of the search provider. Classification for the search provider provider. Classification for the search provider performance of the search provider provider. Classification for the search provider provider. The most deposed propagating Physiciations per provider. The Average of Claims per Provider. The Average of Claims per Provider.	diagnosicts.	djet		No hani		

Module Name	Tasks	Description	
module_1_data_cleaning.ipynb clean_inpatient_function (Test/Train)	Data Loading from: Define data types	s3://medicare-fraud-data-25-05-2025/raw Defines expected column data types for the inpatient dataset to optimize memory usage and ensure correct parsing.	
olean_mpatient_function (Test/Train)	Specify date columns	Identifies which column data types for the inpatient dataset to optimize memory usage and ensure correct parsing. Identifies which columns should be parsed as dates during CSV reading.	
	Read CSV with Dask	Loads the dataset in parallel using Dask, with correct types and missing value handling.	
	Drop missing values	Removes rows where Provider or InscClaimAmtReimbursed is null.	
	Copy DataFrame	Creates a copy of the DataFrame for safe editing.	
	Convert to datetime	Converts date columns to datetime format, coercing invalid values to NaT.	
	Calculate ClaimDuration Calculate HospitalDuration	Creates a column for the duration of the insurance claim in days. Creates a column for the hospital stay duration in days.	
	Reorder ClaimDuration	Places ClaimDuration immediately after ClaimEndDt in the column order.	
	Group hospital date columns	Moves AdmissionDt, DischargeDt, and HospitalDuration after ClaimDuration.	
	Apply new column order	Rearranges the DataFrame columns according to the new logical structure.	
	Return cleaned DataFrame	Outputs the cleaned and transformed Dask DataFrame.	
clean_outpatient_function (Test/Train)	Define data types	Specifies column data types expected in the outpatient dataset for consistency and memory efficiency.	
clean_outpatient_function (resurrain)	Specify date columns	Identifies ClaimStartDt and ClaimEndDt to be parsed as datetime fields.	
	Read CSV with Dask	Loads the outpatient dataset in parallel using Dask with predefined types and date parsing.	
	Drop missing values	Removes rows where Provider or InscClaimAmtReimbursed is null.	
	Copy DataFrame	Creates a copy of the DataFrame for safe editing	
	Return copied DataFrame	Outputs the copied DataFrame without additional transformations.	
clean_bene_function (Test/Train)	Read CSV with Dask	Loads the beneficiary dataset using Dask for scalable processing.	
	Copy DataFrame	Creates a working copy of the original DataFrame.	
	Normalize gender values	Replaces 2 with 0, making gender binary (0 = female, 1 = male).	
	Drop unused coverage columns Transform RenalDiseaseIndicator	Removes NoOfMonths_PartACov and NoOfMonths_PartBCov as they're not needed. Converts "Y" to 1, all other/missing to 0, and casts to integer.	
	Normalize chronic condition flags	Replaces value 2 (unknown/missing) with 0 across all chronic condition columns.	
	Convert date columns	Parses DOB (Date of Birth) and DOD (Date of Death) as datetime objects.	
	Fill missing DOD with survey end	Sets missing DOD values to a default date (2009-12-01) indicating still alive.	
	Calculate age	Computes Bene_Age by subtracting DOB from DOD and converting to years.	
	Create alive flag Reorder age and alive columns	Adds Bene_Alive column: 1 if still alive (DOD = default), otherwise 0.	
	Reorder age and alive columns Return cleaned DataFrame	Inserts Bene_Age and Bene_Alive directly after DOD for better readability. Outputs the transformed Dask DataFrame with all applied changes.	
clean_label_function	Read CSV with Dask	Loads the label dataset from the specified path using Dask.	
	Copy DataFrame	Creates a working copy of the loaded DataFrame for consistency/safety.	
	Return copied DataFrame	Returns the unmodified DataFrame copy. s3://medicare-fraud-data-25-05-2025/clean/	
	Data Saving to:	55.//medicare-iraud-data-25-05-2025/cledii/	
module_2_feature_engineering.ipynb	Data Loading from:	s3://medicare-fraud-data-25-05-2025/clean/	
	Provider-Level Aggregations	Numerical columns (e.g., claim duration, deductible amount) with sum, mean, std, max, min.	
		Annual reimbursement and deductible amounts with mean and max.	
		Binary chronic condition flags with sum and mean.	
		Counts of unique values (nunique) for diagnosis codes, physician IDs, gender.	
	Physician-Related Features	Mode (most frequent value) for categorical features like race, state, county. Missing values in physician columns are filled with zero.	
	,		
		Calculates total claims per provider and per physician type (currently attending physician).	
		Counts unique physicians per provider across different physician roles.	
	Beneficiary-Level and Claim-Level Aggregates	Sum of beneficiary ages per provider.	
		Total number of claims per provider.	
		Total unique beneficiaries and chronic condition patients per provider.	
		Counts of non-null diagnosis codes per provider.	
		Most frequent diagnosis and claim codes per provider.	
	Financial Features	Computes total insurance reimbursement per provider.	
		For each beneficiary, sums deductible and reimbursed amounts and computes averages per provider.	
		Calculates percentage of allocated amount used (insured claim reimbursed minus deductible relative to allocated amount).	
	Temporal Feature	Adds a quarter column derived from claim start date, differentiating quarters for years 2008 and 2009.	
	Feature Merging	All engineered features are merged into a consolidated Dask DataFrame keyed by Provider for both train and test datasets.	
	Data Saving to:	s3://medicare-fraud-data-25-05-2025/merged_ready/	
	Parts I and I'm from	Office Control of the	
module_3_merge_tables.ipynb	Data Loading from:	s3://medicare-fraud-data-25-05-2025/merged_ready/	
	Data Saving to:		
module_4_preprocessing.ipynb	Data Loading from:		
	Data overview	Display of data types (dtypes) and basic information (shape, head, missing values)	
	Preprocessor initialisation	Instantiating the MedicarePreprocessor class with df_train Remove unused diagnosis/doctor columns	
	drop_unused_columns fill_missing	Remove unused diagnosis/doctor columns Fill in missing values: numerical → mean value, categorical → 'MISSING'	
	encode_categoricals	Categorise & convert to integer codes	
	feature_engineering	New features: avg_cost_per_claim & perc_chronic_alz	
	scale_numeric_features	Standardisation of all numerical characteristics except provider & target variable	
	get_processed_df	Persistence and return of the processed DataFrame	
	Train/Test-Split	Split by target class (0/1), then 80/20 split per class, shuffle, persist	
	DaskDMatrix Creation Modeltraining	Conversion to Dask-compatible DMatrix objects for XGBoost Training of an XGBoost model with `scale_pos_weight` for class balancing	
	Prediction	Calculation of probabilities and binary predictions (Threshold = 0.5)	
	Grid Search	Tuning via hyperparameter combinations (max_depth, learning_rate etc.)	
	Final Model	Training with best parameters, prediction with threshold = 0.95, final evaluation	
	Data Saving to:		
	Data Saving to:		
module_5_model_training.ipynb	Data Loading from:	s3://medicare-fraud-data-25-05-2025/processed_new/train/	
gp,		Load CSV files from S3 into a Dask DataFrame with predefined dtypes.	

	Check shape Preview data	Print the shape of the training dataset. Show the first 3 rows of the dataset.
	Check missing values	Compute and print all columns with missing values.
	-	
	Initialize Preprocessor	Instantiate the MedicarePreprocessor with the raw Dask DataFrame.
	Drop unused columns	Remove specific categorical columns not used in training.
	Fill missing values	Impute missing numeric values with mean and categorical with 'MISSING'.
	Encode categoricals	Convert categorical columns to category dtype and encode to integers.
	Feature engineering	Create new features such as ratios like cost per claim or percent with Alzheimer's.
	Scale numeric features	Standard scale numeric features excluding target and ID columns.
	Persist processed data	Trigger computation and persist the processed DataFrame in memory.
	Split by label	Split the dataset into fraud and non-fraud subsets based on target.
	Random split each class	Perform an 80/20 train/test split for each label subset.
	Combine splits	Concatenate the 0/1 class splits into full train and test sets.
	Shuffle datasets	Shuffle both train and test sets based on Provider ID.
	Separate features/labels	Separate features (X) and labels (y) for both train and test sets.
	Persist final sets	Persist X_train, y_train, X_test, and y_test in memory.
	Initialize DaskDMatrix	Create DaskDMatrix objects from X and y for training/testing.
	Compute scale_pos_weight	Calculate ratio of negative to positive samples for class balancing.
	Train XGBoost model	Train the model using Dask and XGBoost with given parameters.
	Get predictions (probabilities)	Predict probability scores on the test set.
	Threshold probabilities	Convert probabilities to binary class labels using threshold 0.5 or 0.95.
	Classification report	Print precision, recall, F1-score, and accuracy using sklearn.
	Confusion matrix	Compute and print confusion matrix to analyze prediction errors.
	Compute metrics	Calculate test AUC and accuracy using scikit-learn.
	Create param grid	Define grid of XGBoost parameters for tuning.
	Train with grid search	Evaluate AUC score across all parameter combinations.
	Select best model	Identify parameter set with highest validation AUC.
	Predict best model	Predict using best-performing model and print metrics.
	Data Saving to:	
module_6_frontend.ipynb	Data Loading from:	
	UI Setup and Styling	Uses Streamlit to build an interactive web dashboard
	or octup and oryning	odes circumit to baild an interactive web dashboard.
		Custom CSS styles for input fields, buttons, and page background for a modern look.
		Page title, layout, and icon are configured.
	Login System	
	Login System	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs.
		Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops.
	Login System Data Loading	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs.
		Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features.
	Data Loading	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info).
		Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features.
	Data Loading	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%).
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar silder filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar silder filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar silder filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar silder filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar silder filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk), Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar silder filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk), Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF.
	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
module_7_id9cm	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
module_7_id9cm	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
nodule_7_id9cm	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
nodule_7_id9cm	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
nodule_7_id9cm	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.
module_7_id9cm	Data Loading Filtering and Provider Selection Feature Comparison Visualization Fraud Probability Gauge Animation OpenAl GPT-4 Explanation Integration Report Generation and Download	Page title, layout, and icon are configured. Simple sidebar login with hardcoded username/password pairs. Only logged-in users can access the dashboard; others see a warning and the app stops. Loads a CSV file with provider-level fraud prediction results and features. Loads a JSON with explanations for each feature (to show human-friendly info). Sidebar slider filters providers by fraud probability (0–100%). Dropdown lets users select one provider from filtered data. Shows a bar chart comparing dollar-based financial features (e.g., allocated budget, reimbursed amount) for the selected provider. Animated gauge showing fraud probability percent for the selected provider. Gauge color switches from green (low risk) to red (high risk). Uses OpenAl API to generate professional, contextual explanations of the impact of top features on fraud prediction. Cached calls for efficiency. Button to generate a detailed explanation report for the selected provider using the OpenAl explanations. Report displayed in an expandable section in the app. PDF report generated dynamically using ReportLab with formatting and branding. Download button lets users save the explanation report as a PDF. Shows total providers analyzed and count of high-risk providers.

BeneID	Drop
ClaimID	Drop
ClaimStartDt	Drop
ClaimEndDt	Drop
Provider	Кеер
InscClaimAmtRe	
AttendingPhysicia	·
OperatingPhysici	
OtherPhysician	
	Drop
AdmissionDt	Drop
ClmAdmitDiagno	
DeductibleAmtPa	•
DischargeDt	Drop
DiagnosisGroup(
ClmDiagnosisCo	· ·
ClmDiagnosisCo	
ClmDiagnosisCo	
ClmDiagnosisCo	· ·
ClmDiagnosisCo	
ClmDiagnosisCo	
ClmDiagnosisCo	
ClmDiagnosisCo	
ClmDiagnosisCo	Drop
ClmDiagnosisCo	Drop
ClmProcedureCo	
ClmProcedureCo	Drop
ClaimDuration	Keep
HospitalDuration	Keep
DOB	Drop
DOD	Drop
Gender	Drop
Race	Drop
RenalDiseaseInd	Drop
State	Keep
County	Keep
ChronicCond_Alz	Drop
ChronicCond_He	Drop
ChronicCond_Kid	Drop
ChronicCond_Ca	Drop
ChronicCond_Ot	Drop
ChronicCond_De	·
ChronicCond_Dia	·
	•

ChronicCond_Isc	Drop
ChronicCond_Os	Drop
ChronicCond_rhe	Drop
ChronicCond_str	Drop
IPAnnualReimbu	Drop
IPAnnualDeducti	Drop
OPAnnualReimb	Drop
OPAnnualDeduc	Drop
Bene_Age	Drop
Bene_Alive	Drop
PotentialFraud	Keep
AllocatedAmount	Drop
Year	Drop
Quarter	Keep

7/2/2025 5

New Features v.1.0 clean dataframe and merge to one frame:

Bene_Admitted? Patient was admitted to the hospital, yes or no, 1 or 0, Idea: if Bene admitted to the hospital, prob. for fraud should be higher

Admitted_Duratic DischargeDT-AdmissionDT