

DSA

Assignment02

(Outputs)

In-Memory DBMS

Using Trees

23K2001

M.Muzammil Siddiqui

BCS-3J

Some operations might be rounded off to 0 ms due to the value being so small in microseconds that the library fails to account it as a millisecond time value!

Tree Type: Binary Search Tree (BST)

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	0.996	0	0
10,000	8.388	0	72.966
50,000	31.244	0	3707.02

Tree Type: AVL Tree

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	3.012	0	0
10,000	20.237	0	0
50,000	142.339	0	31.434

Tree Type: B-Tree

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	1.878	0	1.052
10,000	11.551	0	15.683
50,000	94.543	0	31.303

PS F:\Semester Material - Muzammil\FAST-KHI-Semester-3\Data Structures

Searching times are being rounded to 0 ms due to very small number of search queries (20). The time taken to search is too small to be calculated by the implementation in this scenario

However, with progression in the search queries, the time being taken starts to increase, in this the search queries were set to 10000. Still tree data structures prove to be efficient for such large datasets.

Tree Type: Binary Search Tree (BST)

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	0.91	2.047	0
10,000	8.736	1.996	78.95
50,000	29.231	0	3721.54

Tree Type: AVL Tree

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	3.703	1.017	0
10,000	28.536	1.003	5.997
50,000	156.656	0	31.657

Tree Type: B-Tree

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	2.351	0.999	0
10,000	17.738	0	7.633
50,000	82.649	0	27.649

Tree Type: Binary Search Tree (BST)

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	1	4.998	1
10,000	8.042	8.983	76.189
50,000	46.137	9.777	4046.19

Tree Type: AVL Tree

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	2	4	1
10,000	15.05	15.678	0
50,000	163.161	8.954	35.276

Tree Type: B-Tree

Dataset	Insert (ms)	Search (ms)	Delete (ms)
1000	1.7	4.259	1.002
10,000	17.402	15.529	6.046
50,000	93.578	7.922	31.814

PS F:\Semester Material - Muzammil\FAST-KHI-Semester-3\Data Structures

Visible increase in search times can be seen for this time, search queries were set to a very large number (50000). Hence, the varying times can be observed.

Different dummy data generation functions for ID and age due to the fact that every record is uniquely identified in a database by its id. However, multiple records can have the same age. Hence, the implementation for unique ID had to be improvised to guarantee that there are no duplicates.

```
514 void generateIDs(int *arr,int size,int low,int high){
515     vector<int> numbers;
516     for (int i = low; i <= high; ++i)
517         numbers.push_back(i);
518
519     std::shuffle(numbers.begin(), numbers.end(), std::default_random_engine(rand()));
520
521     for (int i = 0; i < size; ++i)
522         arr[i] = numbers[i];
523 }
524 void generateAges(int *arr, int size, int low, int high) {
525     for (int i = 0; i < size; i++)
526         arr[i] = low + rand() % (high - low + 1);
527 }
```

Also, duplicate values as nodes in the trees is also a big issue!

Analysis:

Implementing a data structure can be carefully put into practice by a close observation of the size of data and the required operations, for example in one scenario searching might be the prioritized operation. As in our assignment evident differences could be seen between the three types of trees for different datasets.

Noticeably searching in tree data structures seems very efficient by my observation as the computer can process it in a matter of few microseconds which is also why it was being rounded to 0 milliseconds for small number of search queries.