

Seat /ID

Discrete Structure (CS1005)

Section: BCS3H

Date: 4-09-2024

Quiz-1

Time: 30 mint

**Problem-1**

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be the propositions “The election is decided” and “The votes have been counted,” respectively. Express each of these compound propositions as an English sentence.

a)  $\neg p \rightarrow \neg q$

b)  $p \vee q$

c)  $\neg q \vee (\neg p \wedge q)$

d)  $q \rightarrow p$

**Problem-2**

Use truth table for given statement is a tautology, contradiction or contingency

$$(p \wedge \neg q) \wedge (\neg p \vee q)$$

**Problem-3**

Write converse, contrapositive and inverse of given conditional statement.

“if it snows tonight then I will stay at home “

**Problem-4**

Show that using law of logical equivalence and justify each steps

$$(p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \equiv T$$

**Problem-5**

Use truth table

Determine the validity of the following argument:

If 7 is less than 4, then 7 is not a prime number.

7 is not less than 4.

---

7 is a prime number.

**Solution-1**

- a) If the election is not decided, then the votes have not been counted.
- b) The election is decided, or the votes have been counted.
- c) Either the votes have not been counted, or else the election is not decided and the votes have been counted.
- d) If the votes have been counted, then the election is decided.

**Solution-2**

Contradiction

**Solution-3**

Converse: If I stay home, then it will snow tonight.

Contrapositive: If I do not stay at home, then it will not snow tonight.

Inverse: If it does not snow tonight, then I will not stay home.

**Solution-4**

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (p \wedge q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow q) \\
 \equiv & \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (p \rightarrow q) && \text{Law of Implication} \\
 \equiv & \neg(p \wedge q) \vee (\neg p \vee q) && \text{Law of Implication} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p \vee \neg q) \vee (\neg p \vee q) && \text{De Morgan's Law} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p) \vee (\neg q \vee (\neg p \vee q)) && \text{Associative Law} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p) \vee ((\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg q) && \text{Commutative Law} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p) \vee (\neg p \vee (q \vee \neg q)) && \text{Associative Law} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p) \vee (\neg p \vee \mathbf{T}) && \text{Negation Law} \\
 \equiv & (\neg p) \vee (\mathbf{T}) && \text{Domination Law} \\
 \equiv & \mathbf{T} && \text{Domination Law}
 \end{aligned}$$

**Solution-5**

First translate the argument into symbolic form.

Let  $p$  be "7 is less than 4" and  $q$  be "7 is a prime number."

			Premises		conclusion	
$p$	$q$	$\neg q$	$p \rightarrow \neg q$	$\neg p$	$q$	
T	T	F	F	F	T	
T	F	T	T	F	F	
F	T	F	T	T	T	
F	F	T	T	T	F	critical row

IT IS NOT VALID