Based on the provided image, I will analyze the student's work using the given rubric components and provide a grading report. The analysis will focus on the quality of instruction, student engagement, use of assessments, and collaboration as indicated by the rubric components.

## **Grading Report**

- \*\*Overall Score (out of 4):\*\* 3
- \*\*Rubric Coverage\*\*: All components reviewed.

## **Component Analysis**

- \*\*P1: Learning target(s) connected to standards\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: The student's work touches on understanding managerial processes in organizations.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: The description relates to "managerial planning and execution," indicative of high-level comprehension.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Strengthen connectivity by aligning with educational standards more explicitly (e.g., relating to business standards).
- \*\*P4: Communication of learning target(s)\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: The main targets are not stated directly but implied through context.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Understanding the impact of "managerial planning" suggests an implicit goal.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Explicitly state learning objectives at the beginning.
- \*\*P5: Success criteria\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Success is implied in understanding how "Turnaround Management" transforms organizations.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Criteria like real-world applications are discussed briefly.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Clearly define what constitutes successful comprehension.
- \*\*CEC2: Learning routines\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: There is potential for routine establishment via problem-solving in management.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Written structure follows a logical analytical method.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Use consistent routines to reinforce deeper learning.
- \*\*SE1: Quality of questioning\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Employs critical questioning about management processes.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Uses questions like "how it can help transforming" to provoke thought.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Integrate more open-ended questions to drive deeper analysis.
- \*\*SE4: Opportunity and support for participation and meaning making\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Opportunities for participation through applied examples are limited.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Focus pervades more on theory than interactive elements.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Engage in more practical exercises and discussions.
- \*\*SE5: Student talk\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Evidence of student expression through descriptive writing.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Well-articulated thoughts on management imply effective expression.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Foster conversations to share these insights verbally.
- \*\*CP5: Use of scaffolds\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Limited explicit scaffolding guidance provided in text.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: The structure hints at implicit scaffold via progression from theory to application.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Incorporate visible scaffolding tools to guide learning steps.
- \*\*SE2: Ownership of learning\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Demonstrates ownership of learning by applying learned concepts.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Expounds on management strategies practically.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Encourage self-reflection to deepen ownership.
- \*\*SE3: Capitalizing on students' strengths\*\*

- \*\*Explanation\*\*: The assignment allows strengths in writing and understanding.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Content reflects strong analytical skills.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Diversify assignments to explore other strengths, like presentations.
- \*\*CP4: Differentiated instruction for students\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Instruction shows minimal differentiation.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Consistent level of expectation across the text.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Adjust tasks to accommodate varied skill levels.
- \*\*A4: Teacher use of formative assessments\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: The document reflects usage but lacks explicit formative assessment specifics.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Analysis indicates understanding but no feedback mechanism is clear.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Embed formative assessments like quizzes for ongoing evaluation.
- \*\*P2: Lessons connected to previous and future lessons, broader purpose and transferable skill\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Lesson objectives are implicitly connected to broader organizational skills.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Discusses changes in organizations affirming broader applications.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Clearly relate content to past lessons and future applications.
- \*\*CP1: Alignment of instructional materials and tasks\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Materials are aligned with instructional targets of management.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Text consistency with management strategies.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Ensure all resources directly support lesson goals.
- \*\*CP2: Teacher knowledge of content\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Content demonstrates knowledge but lacks depth.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Discusses management transformations adequately.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Provide deeper explanations and real-world exemplars.
- \*\*CP3: Discipline-specific teaching approaches\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Approach addresses management instructional needs.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Specific to turnaround management.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Include discipline-specific teaching methods.
- \*\*P3: Design of performance task\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Task requires analysis and application but lacks creativity.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Structure encourages logical analysis.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Integrate tasks encouraging creativity.
- \*\*CEC1: Classroom arrangement and resources\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Unclear from the text.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Ensure that physical or virtual settings are conducive to the described learning.
- \*\*CEC3: Use of learning time\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Utilization could be inferred from comprehensive description.
- \*\*Evidence\*\*: Prose demonstrates constructive use of writing time.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Use structured timings for varied learning activities.
- \*\*CEC4: Student status\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Status inferred as capable through text complexity.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Provide specific tasks to different competency levels.
- \*\*CEC5: Norms for learning\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Implies norms implicitly by following structured learning theories.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Explicitly set and communicate these norms.
- \*\*A1: Student self-assessment\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Minimal evidence for self-assessment opportunities.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Include self-assessment prompts for reflective exercises.
- \*\*A2: Student use of formative assessments over time\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Evidence within text is limited.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Systematize formative assessments showing progression.

- \*\*A3: Quality of formative assessment methods\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Unclear from student output.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Use varied and rich formative methods.
- \*\*A5: Collection systems for formative assessment data\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Description does not address this component explicitly.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Employ data collection systems like portfolios.
- \*\*PCC2: Communication and collaboration with parents and guardians\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Not addressed in student work.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Encourage communication logs or reports for guardian insight.
- \*\*PCC3: Communication within the school community about student progress\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Not visible in student output.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Implement communication strategies for peer and teacher interaction.
- \*\*PCC1: Collaboration with peers and administrators to improve student learning\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Not observed in the document.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Foster teacher collaboration via workshops.
- \*\*PCC4: Support of school, district, and state curricula, policies, and initiatives\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Minimal indication in text.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Align tasks with broader educational policies.
- \*\*PCC5: Ethics and advocacy\*\*
- \*\*Explanation\*\*: Not directly evidenced.
- \*\*Suggestions\*\*: Incorporate discussions about ethical implications in teaching content.

## Feedback to Student

Great work on exploring the intricacies of organizational management! Your analytical approach demonstrates understanding, but always aim to reflect on how these concepts apply to real-life scenarios. Keep enhancing your critical thinking by asking more questions. Don't forget to review learning objectives regularly for effective alignment with tasks.

## Feedback to Teacher

The student's writing gives insight into their grasp of management topics. Encourage explicit learning objectives and include more practical applications to enhance conceptual understanding. Incorporate varied formative assessments and ensure alignment of instructional materials with standards. Communication with guardians and systematic data collection can further support student growth.