## **Grading Report**

## Overall Score (out of 4): 2

\*\*Rubric Coverage\*\*: All components reviewed.

## **Component Analysis**

## P1: Learning target(s) connected to standards

- \*Explanation\*: The learning target involves understanding the classification and importance of classifying plants scientifically.
- \*Evidence\*: The student addresses why it's necessary to classify plants, aligning with standards in scientific understanding.
- \*Suggestions\*: Ensure the learning target emphasizes grade-level appropriate outcomes and connections to any specific state standards.

## P4: Communication of learning target(s)

- \*Explanation\*: The communication of the learning target is somewhat present but could be clearer for a 1st-grade level.
- \*Evidence\*: The response uses terms like "classification" and "biological control", which might be above a 1st-grade comprehension.
- \*Suggestions\*: Simplify language to enhance understanding aligned with the student's grade level.

#### P5: Success criteria

- \*Explanation\*: There is a lack of clear success criteria for the task.
- \*Evidence\*: The assignment does not specify what constitutes a successful response beyond answering the question.
- \*Suggestions\*: Provide clear criteria such as identifying key points in classification and understanding of botanical terms.

## **CEC2: Learning routines**

- \*Explanation\*: The routine appears structured but slightly advanced for a 1st-grade level.
- \*Evidence\*: The student's response shows elements of a consistent routine in addressing similar tasks.
- \*Suggestions\*: Incorporate more age-appropriate routines that reinforce basic comprehension and writing skills.

## SE1: Quality of questioning

- \*Explanation\*: The question posed is relevant but complex for a 1st-grade level.
- \*Evidence\*: The question involves understanding the concept of classification.
- \*Suggestions\*: Adjust the complexity of questions to match the developmental stage of learners.

# SE4: Opportunity and support for participation and meaning making

- \*Explanation\*: Students are encouraged to engage with the content.
- \*Evidence\*: The assignment allows the student to explain their understanding.
- \*Suggestions\*: Offer opportunities for interactive activities to support meaning-making.

### SE5: Student talk

- \*Explanation\*: There's minimal evidence of student talk implementation.
- \*Evidence\*: The task seems individual-focused, limiting student discussions.
- \*Suggestions\*: Encourage pair discussions or group work to facilitate peer conversations.

#### CP5: Use of scaffolds

- \*Explanation\*: No explicit use of scaffolds is evident in the task.

- \*Evidence\*: The response format doesn't display scaffolding techniques.
- \*Suggestions\*: Use scaffolding strategies like sentence starters or graphic organizers to assist comprehension.

## **SE2: Ownership of learning**

- \*Explanation\*: The student demonstrates some ownership of learning.
- \*Evidence\*: The student responds independently to the task.
- \*Suggestions\*: Encourage students to ask questions or voice any misunderstandings they have.

## SE3: Capitalizing on students' strengths

- \*Explanation\*: Limited evidence of leveraging student strengths.
- \*Evidence\*: The focus is on completing the task rather than enhancing strengths.
- \*Suggestions\*: Identify individual strengths to incorporate them into learning activities.

### Feedback to Student

Great effort in addressing the question! Remember to try and express ideas in simpler terms and don't hesitate to ask for help when needed. Keep practicing your writing and understanding of new words.

### **Feedback to Teacher**

The task promotes independent thinking, though it may exceed the expected skill level for a 1st grader. Simplifying language and using age-appropriate scaffolds could improve comprehension. Consider integrating more interactive learning methods to enhance participation and understanding.