
Analysis of Algorithms

Asymptotic Analysis



(Chapter 3, Appendix A)

https://hatimalsuwat.github.io/algorithms-Fall2021.html



Hatim Alsuwat, Ph.D.

TEACHING

 Section 1: Monday 12:00 p.m. - 2:50 p.m. Section 2: Mondou 3:00 p.m. - 5:50 p.m.

HOMEPAGE AND SYLLABUS

. Due to COVID 19 pandemic, these classes will be conducted remately and online via blackboard until further notice.

Instructor: Dr. Hatim Alsuwat

Meeting time and place

Course Homepage: https://hatimalsuwat.github.io/algorithms-Spring2021.html

Disclaimer

Office hours: Due to the COVID-19 pandemic restrictions, there will be no in-person office hours. Please email me if you have any question. If necessary, I will arrange a phone call or

This is the best information available as of today, Monday Jan 25, 2021 at 7:30 p.m. KSA time. Changes will appear in this web page as the course progresses.

Phone: NA

Course Overview

Algorithm is the central concept of Computer Science. This course provides introduction to algorithm design and analysis. Students study techniques for designing algorithms and for analyzing the time and space efficiency of algorithms. The algorithm design techniques include divide-and-conquer, greedy technique, dynamic programming, backtrocking and branch and bound. The algorithm analysis includes computational models, computational complexity, and computation of best, average and worst case complexity. The course also includes study of limits of algorithmic methods (e.g. NP-hard, NP-complete problems).

Learning Outcomes

By the end of the course, students should be able to:

- Understand different algorithm design techniques
- . Design an efficient algorithm for a given task using the most suitable design technique
- · Understand major classical algorithms available for different tasks

Communication:

- Announcements on webpage/ emails/blackboard
- Questions? Email me.
- Staff email: hssuwat@uqu.edu.sa

Course technology:

- Website
- UQU Blackboard
- Regular homework
- Help us make it awesome!

G () 🛅

- Course Website https://hatimalsuwat.github.io/algorithms-Spring2022.html
- Discussion:
 - Please ask any question during the lecture (don't be shy)
 - There is no such thing as a stupid question.
 - Answer others' questions if you know the answer ;-)
 - Learn from others' questions and answers

Assignments:

- Quizzes: there will be several quizzes randomly given
- Homework assignments: there will be several homework assignments during the semester.
- <u>Exams</u>: One Midterm Exam and One Final Exam. Closed book tests will cover the course material.
- Assignments are always due on the announced day and time. There will be a late submission penalty of 20%/day after the due date for homework and project assignments unless it is approved by the instructor. Cutoff date for assignments is 5 days after due date! Exams must be taken as scheduled except in cases of extenuating circumstances such as a documented emergency.
- Participation can help on margins

Grading:

Midterm Exam: 20%

Homework Assignments: 10%


Participation and Quizzes: 5%

Final Exam: 40%

Total score that can be achieved: 75

- Meeting time and place:
 - Office: Department of Computer Science (office #1148)
 - Office hours: Please email me if you have any question. If necessary, I will arrange a phone call or in-person meeting
 - Email: Hssuwat@uqu.edu.sa

Textbook



Course Information: Feedback

 Please give feedback positive or negative as early as you can via email.

Analysis of Algorithms

- An algorithm is a finite set of precise instructions for performing a computation or for solving a problem.
- What is the goal of analysis of algorithms?
 - To compare algorithms mainly in terms of running time but also in terms of other factors (e.g., memory requirements, programmer's effort etc.)
- What do we mean by running time analysis?
 - Determine how running time increases as the size of the problem increases.

Input Size

- Input size (number of elements in the input)
 - size of an array
 - polynomial degree
 - # of elements in a matrix
 - # of bits in the binary representation of the input
 - vertices and edges in a graph

Types of Analysis

Worst case

- Provides an upper bound on running time
- An absolute guarantee that the algorithm would not run longer, no matter what the inputs are

Best case

- Provides a lower bound on running time
- Input is the one for which the algorithm runs the fastest

$Lower\ Bound \le Running\ Time \le Upper\ Bound$

Average case

- Provides a prediction about the running time
- Assumes that the input is random

How do we compare algorithms?

- We need to define a number of <u>objective</u> measures.
 - (1) Compare execution times?

 Not good: times are specific to a particular computer!!
 - (2) Count the number of statements executed? **Not good**: number of statements vary with the programming language as well as the style of the individual programmer.

Ideal Solution

- Express running time as a function of the input size n (i.e., f(n)).
- Compare different functions corresponding to running times.
- Such an analysis is independent of machine time, programming style, etc.

Example

- Associate a "cost" with each statement.
- Find the "total cost" by finding the total number of times each statement is executed.

Algorithm 1 Algorithm 2 Cost arr[0] = 0; c_1 for (i=0; i< N; i++) c_2 c_1 arr[1] = 0; c_1 arr[i] = 0; c_1 arr[i] = 0; c_1 arr[N-1] = 0; c_1 $c_1+c_1+...+c_1=c_1 \times N$ $(N+1) \times c_2+N \times c_1=(c_2+c_1) \times N+c_2$

Another Example

```
    Algorithm 3

                                     Cost
  sum = 0;
                                          C_1
  for(i=0; i<N; i++)
     for(j=0; j<N; j++)
                                          C_2
          sum += arr[i][i];
                                          C_3
c_1 + c_2 \times (N+1) + c_2 \times N \times (N+1) + c_3 \times N^2
```

Asymptotic Analysis

- To compare two algorithms with running times f(n) and g(n), we need a rough measure that characterizes how fast each function grows.
- Hint: use rate of growth
- Compare functions in the limit, that is, asymptotically!

(i.e., for large values of *n*)

Rate of Growth

 Consider the example of buying elephants and goldfish:

Cost: cost_of_elephants + cost_of_goldfish
Cost ~ cost_of_elephants (approximation)

 The low order terms in a function are relatively insignificant for large n

$$n^4 + 100n^2 + 10n + 50 \sim n^4$$

i.e., we say that $n^4 + 100n^2 + 10n + 50$ and n^4 have the same rate of growth

Asymptotic Notation


- O notation: asymptotic "less than":
 - f(n)=O(g(n)) implies: f(n) "≤" g(n)
- Ω notation: asymptotic "greater than":
 - f(n)= Ω (g(n)) implies: f(n) "≥" g(n)
- • notation: asymptotic "equality":
 - $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ implies: f(n) "=" g(n)

Big-O Notation

- We say $f_A(n)=30n+8$ is order n, or O (n) It is, at most, roughly proportional to n.
- $f_B(n)=n^2+1$ is order n^2 , or $O(n^2)$. It is, at most, roughly proportional to n^2 .
- In general, any $O(n^2)$ function is faster-growing than any O(n) function.

Visualizing Orders of Growth

 On a graph, as you go to the right, a faster growing function eventually becomes larger...



More Examples ...

- $n^4 + 100n^2 + 10n + 50$ is $O(n^4)$
- $10n^3 + 2n^2$ is $O(n^3)$
- n^3 n^2 is $O(n^3)$
- constants
 - -10 is O(1)
 - -1273 is O(1)

Back to Our Example

Algorithm 1

arr[0] = 0; c_1 arr[1] = 0; c_1 arr[2] = 0; c_1 ... arr[N-1] = 0; c_1

 $C_1 + C_1 + ... + C_1 = C_1 \times N$

Cost

Algorithm 2

for(i=0; ic_2
arr[i] = 0;
$$c_1$$

$$(N+1) \times c_2 + N \times c_1 = (c_2 + c_1) \times N + c_2$$

Both algorithms are of the same order: O(N)

Example (cont'd)

```
Algorithm 3 Cost

sum = 0; c_1

for(i=0; i<N; i++) c_2

for(j=0; j<N; j++) c_2


sum += arr[i][j]; c_3

c_1 + c_2 \times (N+1) + c_2 \times N \times (N+1) + c_3 \times N^2 = O(N^2)
```

Asymptotic notations


• *O-notation*


 $O(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{ there exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \le f(n) \le cg(n) \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \}$.




g(n) is an *asymptotic upper bound* for f(n).


Big-O Visualization





O(g(n)) is the set of functions with smaller or same order of growth as g(n)





Examples

- $2n^2 = O(n^3)$: $2n^2 \le cn^3 \Rightarrow 2 \le cn \Rightarrow c = 1$ and $n_0 = 2$
- $n^2 = O(n^2)$: $n^2 \le cn^2 \Rightarrow c \ge 1 \Rightarrow c = 1$ and $n_0 = 1$
- $1000n^2+1000n = O(n^2)$:

 $1000n^2 + 1000n \le 1000n^2 + n^2 = 1001n^2 \Rightarrow c = 1001$ and $n_0 = 1000$


- $n = O(n^2)$: $n \le cn^2 \Rightarrow cn \ge 1 \Rightarrow c = 1$ and $n_0 = 1$

More Examples

- Show that 30*n*+8 is O(*n*).
 - Show $\exists c, n_0$: 30*n*+8 ≤ *cn*, $\forall n$ >n₀.
 - Let c=31, $n_0=8$. Assume $n>n_0=8$. Then cn=31n=30n+n>30n+8, so 30n+8 < cn.

Big-O example, graphically

- Note 30n+8 isn't less than n anywhere (n>0).
- It isn't even less than 31n everywhere.
- But it is less than
 31n everywhere to the right of n=8.



No Uniqueness

- There is no unique set of values for n₀ and c in proving the asymptotic bounds
- Prove that $100n + 5 = O(n^2)$
 - $-100n + 5 \le 100n + n = 101n \le 101n^2$

for all n ≥ 5

 $n_0 = 5$ and c = 101 is a solution

- $100n + 5 \le 100n + 5n = 105n \le 105n^2$ for all $n \ge 1$


 $n_0 = 1$ and c = 105 is also a solution

Must find **SOME** constants c and n₀ that satisfy the asymptotic notation relation

Asymptotic notations (cont.)

• Ω - notation

 $\Omega(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{ there exist positive constants } c \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \le cg(n) \le f(n) \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \}$.



 $\Omega(g(n))$ is the set of functions with larger or same order of growth as g(n)

g(n) is an *asymptotic lower bound* for f(n).


Examples

```
-5n^2 = \Omega(n)
      \exists c, n_0 \text{ such that: } 0 \le cn \le 5n^2 \Rightarrow cn \le 5n^2 \Rightarrow c = 1 \text{ and } n_0 = 1
- 100n + 5 ≠ \Omega(n<sup>2</sup>)
     \exists c, n_0 such that: 0 \le cn^2 \le 100n + 5
     100n + 5 \le 100n + 5n \ (\forall n \ge 1) = 105n
     cn^2 \le 105n \Rightarrow n(cn - 105) \le 0
      Since n is positive \Rightarrow cn - 105 \le 0 \Rightarrow n \le 105/c
      \Rightarrow contradiction: n cannot be smaller than a constant
- n = \Omega(2n), n^3 = \Omega(n^2), n = \Omega(logn)
```

Asymptotic notations (cont.)

• ⊕-notation

 $\Theta(g(n)) = \{f(n) : \text{ there exist positive constants } c_1, c_2, \text{ and } n_0 \text{ such that } 0 \le c_1 g(n) \le f(n) \le c_2 g(n) \text{ for all } n \ge n_0 \}$.



 $\Theta(g(n))$ is the set of functions with the same order of growth as g(n)

g(n) is an asymptotically tight bound for f(n).

Examples

- $n^2/2 n/2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - $\frac{1}{2} n^2 \frac{1}{2} n \le \frac{1}{2} n^2 \ \forall n \ge 0 \implies c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$
 - $\frac{1}{2}$ $n^2 \frac{1}{2}$ $n \ge \frac{1}{2}$ $n^2 \frac{1}{2}$ $n * \frac{1}{2}$ $n (\forall n \ge 2) = \frac{1}{4}$ n^2 $\Rightarrow c_1 = \frac{1}{4}$

- n ≠ $\Theta(n^2)$: $c_1 n^2 \le n \le c_2 n^2$
 - \Rightarrow only holds for: n \leq 1/C₁


Examples

- $6n^3$ ≠ $\Theta(n^2)$: $c_1 n^2 \le 6n^3 \le c_2 n^2$
 - \Rightarrow only holds for: $n \le c_2 / 6$


- n ≠ $\Theta(\log n)$: $c_1 \log n \le n \le c_2 \log n$
 - \Rightarrow c₂ \ge n/logn, \forall n \ge n₀ impossible

Relations Between Different Sets

Subset relations between order-of-growth sets.



Common orders of magnitude



Common orders of magnitude

n	$f(n) = \lg n$	f(n) = n	$f(n) = n \lg n$	$f(n)=n^2$	$f(n)=n^3$	$f(n)=2^n$
10	0.003 μs*	0.01 µs	0.033 μs	0.1 µs	1 μs	μs
20	0.004 μs	0.02 µs	0.086 µs	0.4 µs	8 μs	l ms [†]
30	0.005 μs	0.03 µs	0.147 μs	0.9 µs	27 μs	1.8
40	0.005 μs	$0.04 \ \mu s$	0.213 μs	1.6 µs	64 μs	18.3 mir
50	0.005 μs	0.05 µs	0.282 μs	2.5 µs	.25 μs	13 days
10^{2}	0.007 μs	$0.10 \ \mu s$	0.664 µs	10 μs	1 ms	4×10^{15} years
10 ³	0.010 μs	1.00 µs	9.966 µs	1 ms	1 s	
104	0.013 µs	.0 μs	130 µs	100 ms	16.7 min	
10 ⁵	0.017 μs	0.10 ms	1.67 ms	10 s	11.6 days	
106	0.020 μs	1 ms	19.93 ms	16.7 min	31.7 years	
10^{7}	0.023 μs	0.01 s	0.23 s	1.16 days	31,709 years	
10 ⁸	0.027 μs	0.10 s	2.66 s	115.7 days	3.17 × 10' years	
109	0.030 µs	1 s	29.90 s	31.7 years		

^{*}I $\mu s = 10^{-6}$ second.

 $^{^{\}dagger}1 \text{ ms} = 10^{-3} \text{ second.}$

Logarithms and properties

In algorithm analysis we often use the notation "log n" without specifying the base

Binary logarithm
$$\lg n = \log_2 n$$
 $\log x^y = y \log x$

Natural logarithm $\ln n = \log_e n$ $\log xy = \log x + \log y$
 $\lg^k n = (\lg n)^k$ $\log \frac{x}{y} = \log x - \log y$
 $\lg\lg n = \lg(\lg n)$ $a^{\log_b x} = x^{\log_b a}$
 $\log_b x = \frac{\log_a x}{\log_a b}$

More Examples

 For each of the following pairs of functions, either f(n) is O(g(n)), f(n) is Ω(g(n)), or f(n) = Θ(g(n)). Determine which relationship is correct.

-
$$f(n) = \log n^2$$
; $g(n) = \log n + 5$ $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$
- $f(n) = n$; $g(n) = \log n^2$ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) = \log \log n$; $g(n) = \log n$ $f(n) = O(g(n))$
- $f(n) = n$; $g(n) = \log^2 n$ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) = n \log n + n$; $g(n) = \log n$ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) = 10$; $g(n) = \log 10$ $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$
- $f(n) = 2^n$; $g(n) = 10n^2$ $f(n) = \Omega(g(n))$
- $f(n) = 2^n$; $g(n) = 3^n$ $f(n) = O(g(n))$

Properties

• Theorem:

$$f(n) = \Theta(g(n)) \Leftrightarrow f = O(g(n))$$
 and $f = \Omega(g(n))$

- Transitivity:
 - $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ and $g(n) = \Theta(h(n)) \Rightarrow f(n) = \Theta(h(n))$
 - Same for O and Ω
- Reflexivity:
 - $f(n) = \Theta(f(n))$
 - Same for O and Ω
- Symmetry:
 - $f(n) = \Theta(g(n))$ if and only if $g(n) = \Theta(f(n))$
- Transpose symmetry:
 - f(n) = O(g(n)) if and only if $g(n) = \Omega(f(n))$

Asymptotic Notations in Equations

- On the right-hand side
 - $\Theta(n^2)$ stands for some anonymous function in $\Theta(n^2)$ $2n^2 + 3n + 1 = 2n^2 + \Theta(n)$ means: There exists a function $f(n) \in \Theta(n)$ such that
- On the left-hand side

 $2n^2 + 3n + 1 = 2n^2 + f(n)$

$$2n^2 + \Theta(n) = \Theta(n^2)$$

No matter how the anonymous function is chosen on the left-hand side, there is a way to choose the anonymous function on the right-hand side to make the equation valid.

Common Summations

• Arithmetic series:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k = 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

• Geometric series:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} = 1 + x + x^{2} + \dots + x^{n} = \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x - 1} (x \neq 1)$$

- Special case: $|\chi| < 1$:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$$

· Harmonic series:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n} \approx \ln n$$

Other important formulas:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lg k \approx n \lg n$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{p} = 1^{p} + 2^{p} + \dots + n^{p} \approx \frac{1}{p+1} n^{p+1}$$

Mathematical Induction

 A powerful, rigorous technique for proving that a statement S(n) is true for every natural number n, no matter how large.

Proof:

- Basis step: prove that the statement is true for n = 1
- Inductive step: assume that S(n) is true and prove that S(n+1) is true for all $n \ge 1$
- Find case n "within" case n+1

Example

- Prove that: 2n + 1 ≤ 2ⁿ for all n ≥ 3
- Basis step:
 - n = 3: $2 * 3 + 1 \le 2^3 \Leftrightarrow 7 \le 8$ TRUE
- Inductive step:
 - Assume inequality is true for n, and prove it for (n+1):

$$2n + 1 \le 2^n$$
 must prove: $2(n + 1) + 1 \le 2^{n+1}$
 $2(n + 1) + 1 = (2n + 1) + 2 \le 2^n + 2 \le$
 $\le 2^n + 2^n = 2^{n+1}$, since $2 \le 2^n$ for $n \ge 1$

Summations – Review

Why do we need summation formulas?

For computing the running times of iterative constructs (loops). (CLRS – Appendix A)

Constant Series: For integers a and b, a ≤ b,

$$\sum_{i=a}^{b} 1 = b - a + 1$$

• Linear Series (Arithmetic Series): For $n \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i = 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$$

Quadratic Series: For n ≥ 0,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^2 = 1^2 + 2^2 + \dots + n^2 = \frac{n(n+1)(2n+1)}{6}$$

• Cubic Series: For $n \ge 0$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} i^{3} = 1^{3} + 2^{3} + \dots + n^{3} = \frac{n^{2}(n+1)^{2}}{4}$$

• Geometric Series: For real $x \neq 1$,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} x^{k} = 1 + x + x^{2} + \dots + x^{n} = \frac{x^{n+1} - 1}{x - 1}$$

For
$$|x| < 1$$
, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x^k = \frac{1}{1-x}$

• Linear-Geometric Series: For $n \ge 0$, real $c \ne 1$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} ic^{i} = c + 2c^{2} + \dots + nc^{n} = \frac{-(n+1)c^{n+1} + nc^{n+2} + c}{(c-1)^{2}}$$

Harmonic Series: nth harmonic number, n∈I+,

$$H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} = \ln(n) + O(1)$$

Telescoping Series:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k - a_{k-1} = a_n - a_0$$

• Differentiating Series: For |x| < 1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} kx^k = \frac{x}{(1-x)^2}$$

Approximation by integrals:

For monotonically increasing f(n)

$$\int_{0}^{n} f(x)dx \le \sum_{k=0}^{n} f(k) \le \int_{0}^{n+1} f(x)dx$$

• For mather d notonically decreasing f(n)

$$\int_{m}^{n+1} f(x)dx \le \sum_{k=m}^{n} f(k) \le \int_{m-1}^{n} f(x)dx$$
• How? $\int_{m}^{n+1} f(x)dx \le \sum_{k=m}^{n} f(k) \le \int_{m-1}^{n} f(x)dx$

• nth harmonic number

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \ge \int_{1}^{n+1} \frac{dx}{x} = \ln(n+1)$$

$$\sum_{k=2}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \le \int_{1}^{n} \frac{dx}{x} = \ln n$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k} \le \ln n + 1$$