CS 6110, Spring 2022, Assignment 3 Given 2/3/22 – Due 2/10/22 by 11:59 pm via your Github

NAME: UNID:

CHANGES: Please look for lines beginning with underlined words when they are made. none yet.

Answering, Submission: Have these on your private Github: a folder Asg3/ containing your submission, which in detail comprises:

- A clear README.md describing your files.
- Files that you ran + documentation (can be integrated in one place).
- A high level summary of your cool findings + insights + learning briefly reported in a nicely bulletted fashion in your PDF submission.

Start Early, Ask Often! Orientation videos and further help will be available (drop a note anytime on Piazza for help). *I encourage students constructing answers jointly!*

1. (8 points) This is on practicing the use of Murphi. At the end of this assignment, I include the N-Process Peterson protocol for mutual exclusion, described in https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peterson%27s_algorithm. (This comes from the Murphi distribution; pasting it here for your convenience.) Your task is to set-up Murphi or Rumur, run this protocol for 3 processes, and see if you can get an estimate of the state-space of the protocol. I recommend that Murphi be obtained from http://mclab.di.uniromal.it/site/index.php/software/18-cmurphi (latest version) or obtain Rumur from https://github.com/Smattr/rumur. Your luck in building these may vary—let's have a dialog next week on this. We will offer help if you get stuck, so please raise it on Piazza. Once you understand the coding of Murphi, kindly develop a bulletted summary of its constructs. I'll be adding more Murphi documentation in the interim.

Your		
Answer		
Here		

- 2. (2 points) Read about the Photoshop bug (a light read) from the paper photoshop-bug.pdf in the repo. Summarize your thought in a few lines. This is to tell you how real-world bugs often fester, and interface-differences may trip up people.
- 3. (90 points) The main part of this assignment is to debug a locking protocol given in Promela. The protocol written by an expert (my former PhD student) is included with comments in locking-prot.tex and locking-prot.pdf. Set-up:
 - (a) This protocol, as implemented, has a bug
 - (b) Like almost all bugs, this occurs exactly on one line
 - (c) Like almost all bugs, the fix is also a small change on the line

Your task:

- (a) Discover this bug by a "spin -a" run
- (b) You can discover the bug in a depth of 90 or less by running "pan -a -m90"

- (c) You can simply look at the last state printed by "spin -s -r -t locking-buggy.pml" and spot the bug
- (d) Fix the bug and rerun
- (e) Can a node decide to toss requests to a random node rather than along the PO chain? (It might do this to avoid network congestion.) Justify this, and implement + verify in the fixed version of your protocol (make some nodes nondeterministically toss the request to a random PO than its actual PO).
- (f) Explain your insights!

```
Your
Answer
Here
```

```
-- Copyright (C) 1992 by the Board of Trustees of
-- Leland Stanford Junior University.
-- This description is provided to serve as an example of the use
-- of the Murphi description language and verifier, and as a benchmark
-- example for other verification efforts.
-- License to use, copy, modify, sell and/or distribute this description -- and its documentation any purpose is hereby granted without royalty,
-- subject to the following terms and conditions, provided
-- 1. The above copyright notice and this permission notice must -- appear in all copies of this description.
-- 2. The Murphi group at Stanford University must be acknowledged
-- in any publication describing work that makes use of this example.
-- Nobody vouches for the accuracy or usefulness of this description
-- for any purpose.
-- File:
                 muxn.m
                 Peterson's algorithm (mutual exclusion for n-processes)
-- Summary of result:
            1) No bug is discovered
             2) Details of result can be found at the end of this file.
-- Peterson, G.L.. Myths about the mutual exclusion problem.
-- Information processing letters, Vol 12, No 3, 1981.
-- Date created:
                          28 Oct 92
-- Last Modified:
                          17 Feb 93
Const
  N: 7:
   -- The scalarset is used for symmetry, which is implemented in Murphi 1.5
  -- and not upgraded to Murphi 2.0 yet
  pid: scalarset (N);
   -- pid: 1..N;
  priority: 0..N;
  label_t: Enum\{L0, --: non critical section; j := 1; while j < n do
L1, -- : Beginwhile Q[i] := j
L2, -- : turn[j] := i
L3, -- : wait until (forall k != i, Q[k] < j) or turn[j] != i ; j++; Endwhile
L4 --: critical section; Q[i] := 0
  };
Var
  P: Array [ pid ] Of label_t;
  Q: Array [ pid ] Of priority;
  turn: Array [ priority ] Of pid;
  localj: Array [ pid ] Of priority;
```

```
Ruleset i: pid Do
  Rule "execute inc j and while"
   P[i] = L0 ==>
  Begin
localj[i] := 1;
    P[i] := L1;
  End;
  Rule "execute assign Qi j"
P[i] = L1 ==>
  Begin
Q[i] := localj[i];
    P[i] := L2;
  End;
  Rule "execute assign TURNj i"
    P[i] = L2 ==>
  Begin
    turn[localj[i]] := i;
    P[i] := L3;
  End;
  Rule "execute wait until"
    P[i] = L3 ==>
 Then
      localj[i] := localj[i] + 1;
      If ( localj[i]<N )</pre>
        P[i] := L1;
      Else
P[i] := L4;
    End; --If
  End:
  Rule "execute critical and assign Qi 0"
    P[i] = L4 ==>
  Begin
0[i] := 1:
    P[i] := L0;
End; --Ruleset
Startstate
Begin
For i:pid Do
   P[i] := L0;
Q[i] := 0;
  End; --For
  For i: priority Do
   Undefine turn[i];
  End; --For
  Clear localj;
End;
Invariant
  ! Exists i1: pid Do
   Exists 11: pid Do
( i1 != i2
& P[i1] = L4 -- critical
& P[i2] = L4 -- critical
    End --Exists
    End; --Exists
/*******
Summary of Result (using release 2.3):
1) 3 processes
   breath-first search
   29 bits (4 bytes) per state
   771 states with a max of about 54 states in queue 2313 rules fired \,
   0.73s in sun sparc 2 station
```

```
2) 5 processes
   breath-first search
    63 bits (8 bytes) per state
   576,551 states with a max of about 22,000 states in queue
   2,882,755 rules fired
1201.66s in sun sparc 2 station
2.735
* 3 processes (sparc 2 station)
* The size of each state is 35 bits (rounded up to 5 bytes).
882 states, 2646 rules fired in 0.73s.
172 states, 516 rules fired in 0.36s.
* 5 processes (sparc 2 station)
* The size of each state is 63 bits (rounded up to 8 bytes).
BFS -sym1
6770 states, 33850 rules fired in 22.55s.
249 states max in the queue.
BFS -nosym
628868 states, 3144340 rules fired in 758.92s.
25458 states max in the queue.
{\tt gamma2.9S} \  \, {\tt on} \  \, {\tt theforce.stanford.edu}
   5 proc
-04 compile 119.7s 2.7Mbytes
    (24 bytes per states)
-sym2,3,4 6770 states, 33850 rules 14.35s
-04 compile 120.2s 2.7Mbytes
    (28 bytes per states)
-sym2,3,4 35,159 states, 210954 rules 117.45s
Release 2.9S (Sparc 20, cabbage.stanford.edu)
   7 processes
       * The size of each state is 232 bits (rounded up to 32 bytes).
-b * The size of each state is 101 bits (rounded up to 16 bytes).
          163298 states, 1143086 rules fired in 341.93s.
       -b 163298 states, 1143086 rules fired in 378.04s.
-c 163298 states, 1143086 rules fired in 292.42s.
```

*************/

4