Deeply virtual Compton scattering off He nuclei with positron beams

S. Fucini??, M. Hattawy??, M. Rinaldi??, S. Scopetta???

The relevance of using positron beams in (deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS) off ^{4}He and ^{3}He is addressed. The way the so-called $d-$term could be extracted from the real part of the relevant Compton form factor, using as an example coherent DVCS on ^{4}He, is summarized, and the importance and novelty of this measurement is described. Analogous measurements are addressed for ^{3}He tagets, which could be very useful even in a standard unpolarized setup, measuring beam spin asymmetries and charge beam asymmetries only. The role of incoherent DVCS processes, in particular tagging the internal target by measuring slow recoiling nuclei, and the unique possibility offered by positron beams for the investigation of Compton form factors of higher twist, are also briefly addressed.

Introduction

The possibility to shed light on the EMC effect, i.e., the nuclear modifications of the nucleon parton structure (1, 2), as well as the possibility to distinguish coherent and incoherent channels, experimentally recently demonstrated by the CLAS collaboration at JLab using a ^{4}He gaseous target (3, 4), have produced, in recent years, a growing interest on nuclear deeply virtual Compton scattering (DVCS). Let us analyze the imact that measurements of positron initiated DVCS on ^{4}He and ^{3}He may have, separately for the coherent an incoherent channels.

Coherent DVCS

To fix the ideas on how positron beams could help in this field, let us think first to coherent DVCS off ^{4}He. We recall that ^{4}He has only one chiral even Compton Form Factor (CFF), corresponding to one generalized parton distribution (GPD) at leading twist. In the EG6 experiment of the CLAS collaboration (3) the crucial measured observable was the single-spin asymmetry A_{LU}, which can be extracted from the reaction yields with the two electron helicities ($N^{\pm}$):

$$A_{LU} = \frac{1}{P_B}\frac{N^+ - N^-}{N^+ + N^-}, \quad (1)$$

where P_B is the degree of longitudinal polarization of the incident electron beam. In EG6 kinematics, the cross section of real photon electroproduction is dominated by the BH contribution, while the DVCS contribution is very small. However, the DVCS contribution is enhanced in the observables sensitive to the interference term, *e.g.* A_{LU}, which depends on the azimuthal angle ϕ between the (e,e') and $(\gamma^*, {}^4\text{He}')$ planes. The asymmetry A_{LU} for a spin-zero target can be approximated at leading-twist as

$$A_{LU}(\phi) = \frac{\alpha_0(\phi)\,\Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)}{den(\phi)}, \quad (2)$$



Fig. 1. The imaginary part of the CFF measured in coherent DVCS off ^{4}He. Data from Ref. (3); calculations (red crosses) from Ref. (7)



Fig. 2. The real part of the CFF measured in coherent DVCS off ^{4}He. Data from Ref. (3); calculations (red crosses) from Ref. (7)

$$\begin{aligned} den(\phi) &= \alpha_1(\phi) + \alpha_2(\phi)\,\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A) \\ &+ \alpha_3(\phi)\left(\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)^2 + \Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The kinematic factors α_i are known (see, e.g., Ref. (5, 6)). In the experimental analysis, using the different contributions proportional to $\sin(\phi)$ and $\cos(\phi)$ in Eq. (3), both the real and imaginary parts of the so-called Compton Form Factor $\mathcal{H}_A$, $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)$, respectively, have been extracted by fitting the $A_{LU}(\phi)$ distribution. Results of the impulse approximation calculation of Ref. (7) are shown together with the data of Ref. (3) in Figs. 1 and 2. Big statistical errors are seen everywhere in the data but the extracted $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is less precise than $\Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)$, due to the small coefficient α_2 in Eq. (3).

Forth-coming data form JLab 12 with electron beams, using also the detector system developed by the ALERT run-group (8), will obtain smaller errors. Realistic theoretical calculations are possible for light nuclei and could help to unveil an exotic behavior of the real and imaginary part of $\mathcal{H}_A$. Nonetheless, the extracted $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ will be always less precise than $\Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)$, intrinsically, due to the small coefficient α_2 in Eq. (3). A precise knowledge of $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ for light nuclei would be instead crucial. Positrons beams would guarantee this achievement: as a matter of fact, combining data for asymmetries measured using electrons and positrons the role of $\Re e \mathcal{H}_A$ would be directly accessed. Let us recall how it is possible.

One should notice that, between the quantities appearing in the above equations and the cross sections defining the generic photo-$e^{\pm}$production cross section in the following schematic general expression, previously given in this White Paper,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^e_{\lambda 0} &= \sigma_{BH} + \sigma_{DVCS} + \lambda \tilde{\sigma}_{DVCS} \\ &+ e\sigma_{INT} + e\lambda\tilde{\sigma}_{INT}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{BH} &\propto \alpha_1(\phi)\,, \\ \sigma_{DVCS} &\propto \alpha_3(\phi)\big(\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)^2 + \Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)^2\big)\,, \\ \sigma_{INT} &\propto \alpha_2(\phi)\,\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)\,, \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{INT} &\propto \alpha_0(\phi)\,\Im m(\mathcal{H}_A)\,, \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

while $\tilde{\sigma}_{DVCS}$ is proportional to a term kinematically suppressed at JLab kinematics, dependent on higher twist CFFs. From a combined analysis of data taken with polarized electrons and/or positrons, one could access all the five cross sections in Eq. (4). **We stress in particular that, using just unpolarized electrons and positrons, $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ would be directly accessed, building charge beam asymmetries**. Let us briefly analyze why the knowledge of $\Re e \mathcal{H}_A$ would be very important for nuclei. Formally one can write, for the quantities $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ and $\Im m \mathcal{H}_A$ shown in Figs. 2 and 1 respectively (9):

$$\Re e \mathcal{H}_A(\xi,t) \equiv \mathcal{P}\int_0^1 dx H_+(x,\xi,t) C_+(x,\xi)\,, \tag{6}$$

and

$$\Im m \mathcal{H}_A = H_+(\xi,\xi,t)\,, \tag{7}$$

with:

$$H_+ = H(x,\xi,t) - H(x,-\xi,t)\,, \tag{8}$$

amd

$$C_+(x,\xi) = \frac{1}{x+\xi} + \frac{1}{x-\xi}\,, \tag{9}$$

with $H(x,\xi,t)$ the chiral even, leading twist generalized parton distribution (GPD).

Besides, it is also known that $\Re e(\mathcal{H}_A)$ satisfies a once subtracted dispersion relation at fixed t and can be therefore related to $\Im m \mathcal{H}_A$, leading to (10–13)

$$\Re e \mathcal{H}_A(\xi,t) \equiv \mathcal{P}\int_0^1 dx H_+(x,x,t) C_+(x,\xi) - \Delta(t)\,. \tag{10}$$

One notices that, in contrast to the convolution integral defining the real part of the CFF in Eq. (6), where the GPD enters for unequal values of its first and second argument, the integrand in the dispersion relation corresponds to the GPD where its first and second arguments are equal. The subtraction term $\Delta(t)$ can be related to the so-called $d-$term and accurate measurements, supplemented by precise calculations, would allow therefore to study this quantity in nuclei, for the first time. This $d-$term, introduced initially to recover polinomiality in DDs approaches to GPDs modelling (14), has been related to the form factor of the QCD energy momentum tensor (see e.g. Ref. (15)). It encodes information on the distribution of forces and pressure between elementary QCD degrees of freedom in the target. For nuclei, it has been predicted to behave as $A^{7/3}$ in a mean field scheme, either in the liquid drop model of nuclear structure (16) or in the Walecka model (17). None of these approaches makes much sense for light nuclei, for which accurate realistic calculations are possible. Using light nuclei one would therefore explore, at the parton level, the onset and evolution of the mean field behavior across the periodic table, from deuteron to ^{4}He, whose density and binding are not far from those of finite nuclei.

In this sense, coherent DVCS off ^{3}He targets acquire an important role: an intermediate behavior is expected between that of the almost unbound deuteron system and that of the deeply bound alpha particle. The formal description of coherent DVCS off ^{3}He follows that already presented for the proton, a spin one-half target, in terms of CFFs and related GPDs. Properly defining spin dependent asymmetries. Realistic theoretical calculations are available for GPDs (18–21) and are in progress for the relevanto CFFs, cross sections and asymmetries, representing an importanmt support to the planning of measurements. **One should notice that, while the use of ^{3}He, either longitudinally or transversely polarized, would represent at the moment a challenge, either with electron or positron beams, beam charge asymmetries, built using electron and positron data, would represent, even with unpolarized ^{3}He targets and unpolarized beams, a possible access to $\Re e \mathcal{H}_A(\xi,t)$, as previously described for ^{4}He, with the same potential to explore the "d-"term.**

Incoherent DVCS

A subject aside is represented by incoherent DVCS off He nuclei, i.e., the process where, in the final state, the stuck proton is detected, its CFFs accessed, its GPDs, in principle, extracted and, ultimately, its tomography obtained. This would provide a pictorial representation of the realization of the EMC effect and a great progress towards the understanding of its dynamical origin. As already stressed, this channel has been successfully isolated by the EG6 experiment of the CLAS collaboration at JLab (4) and a first glimpse at the parton structure of the bound proton in the transverse coordinate space is therefore at hand (see the recent impulse approximation calculation in Ref. (22) for a theoretical description of the

recent data with conventional realistic ingredients). The program at JLab 12 includes an improvement of the accuracy of these measurements, in particular, for the first time in DVCS, tagging the struck nucleon using the detector developed by the ALERT run group (23). This would allow to keep possible final state interactions, relevant in principle in this channel, under control. Measurements performed with electron and positron beams would allow for example the measurement of the $d-$term for the bound nucleon, either proton in ^{3}He (tagging 2H from DVCS on ^{3}He) or in ^{4}He (tagging ^{3}H from DVCS on ^{4}He) or neutron in ^{4}He (tagging ^{3}He from DVCS on ^{4}He). Modifications of the $d-$term of the nucleon in the nuclear medium, studied e.g. in Ref. (17), would be at hand, as well as a glimpse at the structure of the neutron in the transverse plane, complementary to that obtained with deuteron targets.

Higher twist structure

As a last argument, we note that, in principle, from the measurement of beam spin asymmetries using polarized electrons and positrons in coherent DVCS off ^{4}He, the cross sections $\tilde{\sigma}_{DVCS}$ and $\tilde{\sigma}_{INT}$ appearing in Eq. (4)**, could be independently accessed. For the first time, higher twist CFFs would be studied for a spin-less target, in particular for a nuclear target. To be further developed...**

Conclusions

a few lines to be added

Bibliography

1. R. Dupré and S. Scopetta. 3D Structure and Nuclear Targets. *Eur. Phys. J.*, A52(6):159, 2016. doi: 10.1140/epja/i2016-16159-1.
2. I. C. Cloët et al. Exposing Novel Quark and Gluon Effects in Nuclei. *J. Phys.*, G46(9): 093001, 2019. doi: 10.1088/1361-6471/ab2731.
3. M. Hattawy et al. First Exclusive Measurement of Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering off ^{4}He: Toward the 3D Tomography of Nuclei. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 119(20):202004, 2017. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.202004.
4. M. Hattawy et al. Exploring the Structure of the Bound Proton with Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 123(3):032502, 2019. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.123.032502.
5. Andrei V. Belitsky, Dieter Mueller, and A. Kirchner. Theory of deeply virtual Compton scattering on the nucleon. *Nucl. Phys.*, B629:323–392, 2002. doi: 10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00144-X.
6. Andrei V. Belitsky and Dieter Mueller. Refined analysis of photon leptoproduction off spinless target. *Phys. Rev.*, D79:014017, 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.79.014017.
7. Sara Fucini, Sergio Scopetta, and Michele Viviani. Coherent deeply virtual Compton scattering off ^{4}He. *Phys. Rev.*, C98(1):015203, 2018. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.98.015203.
8. Whitney Armstrong et al. Partonic Structure of Light Nuclei, arxiv:1708.00888/nucl-ex. 2017.
9. Michel Guidal, Hervé Moutarde, and Marc Vanderhaeghen. Generalized Parton Distributions in the valence region from Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering. *Rept. Prog. Phys.*, 76: 066202, 2013. doi: 10.1088/0034-4885/76/6/066202.
10. I. V. Anikin and O. V. Teryaev. Dispersion relations and subtractions in hard exclusive processes. *Phys. Rev.*, D76:056007, 2007. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.76.056007.
11. M. Diehl and D. Yu. Ivanov. Dispersion representations for hard exclusive processes: beyond the Born approximation. *Eur. Phys. J.*, C52:919–932, 2007. doi: 10.1140/epjc/s10052-007-0401-9.
12. A. V. Radyushkin. Generalized Parton Distributions and Their Singularities. *Phys. Rev.*, D83:076006, 2011. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.83.076006.
13. B. Pasquini, M. V. Polyakov, and M. Vanderhaeghen. Dispersive evaluation of the D-term form factor in deeply virtual Compton scattering. *Phys. Lett.*, B739:133–138, 2014. doi: 10.1016/j.physletb.2014.10.047.
14. Maxim V. Polyakov and C. Weiss. Skewed and double distributions in pion and nucleon. *Phys. Rev.*, D60:114017, 1999. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.60.114017.
15. Maxim V. Polyakov and Peter Schweitzer. Forces inside hadrons: pressure, surface tension, mechanical radius, and all that. *Int. J. Mod. Phys.*, A33(26):1830025, 2018. doi: 10.1142/S0217751X18300259.
16. M. V. Polyakov. Generalized parton distributions and strong forces inside nucleons and nuclei. *Phys. Lett.*, B555:57–62, 2003. doi: 10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00036-4.
17. Ju-Hyun Jung, Ulugbek Yakhshiev, Hyun-Chul Kim, and Peter Schweitzer. In-medium modified energy-momentum tensor form factors of the nucleon within the framework of a π-ρ-ω soliton model. *Phys. Rev.*, D89(11):114021, 2014. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.89.114021.
18. Sergio Scopetta. Generalized parton distributions of He-3. *Phys. Rev.*, C70:015205, 2004. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.70.015205.
19. S. Scopetta. Conventional nuclear effects on generalized parton distributions of trinucleons. *Phys. Rev.*, C79:025207, 2009. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.79.025207.
20. M. Rinaldi and S. Scopetta. Extracting generalized neutron parton distributions from ^3He data. *Phys. Rev.*, C87(3):035208, 2013. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.87.035208.
21. M. Rinaldi and S. Scopetta. Neutron orbital structure from generalized parton distributions of 3He. *Phys. Rev.*, C85:062201, 2012. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevC.85.062201.
22. Sara Fucini, Sergio Scopetta, and Michele Viviani. Catching a glimpse of the parton structure of the bound proton. *Phys. Rev.*, D101(7):071501, 2020. doi: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.071501.
23. Whitney R. Armstrong et al. Spectator-Tagged Deeply Virtual Compton Scattering on Light Nuclei, arxiv:1708.00835/nucl-ex. 2017.

Supplementary Note 1: Full list of authors and affiliations

S. Fucini[a], M. Hattawy[b], M. Rinaldi[a], S. Scopetta[a]

[a] *Dipartimento di Fisica e Geologia, Università degli studi di Perugia, and INFN, sezione di Perugia, via A. Pascoli snc, 06123, Perugia, Italy*

[b] *Old Dominion VA USA ????*