

Actors

Paul Preißner


Fakultät für Informatik
Technische Universität München

May 18th 2017

Actors - “A Model of Concurrent Computation in Distributed Systems”

- ▶ paradigm: “everything” is an actor (thread, process, core, socket, node, system, ...) → one actor encapsulates one computation unit
- ▶ an actor may send messages to actors it knows by name
- ▶ an (idling) actor receiving a message will accept it and execute the computation defined within, resulting in the possible actions:
 - ▶ sending new messages
 - ▶ creating new actors
 - ▶ updating its local state
- ▶ an actor can only influence its own local state

Actors - example



Actors

- ▶ Actor semantics have three main properties
 - ▶ Encapsulation & atomicity (actors don't share state, process one message at a time)
 - ▶ Fairness (every actor makes progress, every message delivered eventually)
 - ▶ Location transparency (physical location not bound to identifier, hidden migration)
- ▶ in reality, some aspects aren't implemented faithfully (for efficiency, complexity)
- ▶ concerns about scalability & performance

Actors

- ▶ Controversy: unbounded nondeterminism (unbounded delay yet guarantee of service)
- ▶ Many (actively) supported languages
- ▶ History: C. Hewitt et al. '73 → W. Clinger '81 → G. Agha '85, MIT Message Passing Semantics Group, Caltech, etc.
- ▶ Little use around millennium, recent resurgence due to strong relevance to distributed/cloud computing (e.g. Twitter systems scalability)

Focus - Expansion

- ▶ Focus: current usage in large scale computing
- ▶ Expansion: comparison to other models/paradigms of concurrent computation

Q&A