

9.1 Consider the k-means algorithm discussed in Section 9.1. Show that as a consequence of there being a finite number of possible assignments for the set of discrete indicator variables  $T_{nk}$ , and that for each such assignment there is a unique optimum for the  $\{u_k\}$ , the k-means algorithm must converge after a finite number of iterations.

Sol. Since both  $E$  and the  $m$ -step minimise and distortion measure (9.1), the algorithm will never change from a particular assignment of data points to prototypes, unless the new assignment has a lower value for (9.1).

Since there is a finite number of possible assignments, each with a corresponding unique minimum of (9.1) with respect to the prototypes  $\{u_k\}$ , the k-means algorithm will ~~convergence~~ converge after a finite number of steps, when no re-assignment of data points to prototypes will result in a decrease of (9.1). When no-reassignment takes place, there also will ~~not~~ not be any change in  $\{u_k\}$ .

9.3. Consider a Gaussian mixture model in which the marginal distribution  $p(z)$  for the latent variable is given by (9.10), and the conditional distribution  $p(x|z)$  for the observed variable is given by (9.11). Show that the marginal distribution  $p(x)$ , obtained by summing  $p(z)p(x|z)$  over all possible values of  $z$ , is a Gaussian mixture of the form (9.7).

Sol. 
$$p(x|z) = \prod_{k=1}^K N(x|u_k, \Sigma_k)^{z_k} \quad z_k \in \{0, 1\}$$

$$p(x|z_k=1) = N(x|u_k, \Sigma_k)$$

$$p(z) = \prod_{k=1}^K \lambda_k^{z_k} \quad p(z_k=1) = \lambda_k$$

$$\therefore p(x) = \sum_z p(z)p(x|z) = \sum_{k=1}^K p(z_k=1)p(x|z_k=1) = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k N(x|u_k, \Sigma_k).$$

9.7. Verify that maximization of the complete-data log likelihood (9.36) for a Gaussian mixture model lead to the result that the means and covariances of each component are fitted independently to the corresponding group of data points, and the mixing coefficients are given by the ~~function~~ fractions of points in each group.

Sol. from (9.36) we can get

$$\ln P(X, Z | \mu, \Sigma, \tau) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \{ \ln \tau_k + \ln N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \}$$

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(X, Z | \mu, \Sigma, \tau)}{\partial \mu_k} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \cdot \frac{\Sigma^{-1} (X_n - \mu_k)}{N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)} = 0$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \cdot \frac{X_n - \mu_k}{N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)} = \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N z_{nk} \frac{X_n - \mu_k}{N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)} = 0$$

So, for each point,  $x_n$ , it has a specific class, so for each  $z_n$ , only has one component is 1, others are all 0. So, for each component in the mixture model, it only correlated with points which are belong to this group. So, the means of each component are fitted independently to the corresponding group of data points.

And, same for covariance,

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(X, Z | \mu, \Sigma, \tau)}{\partial \Sigma_k} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \frac{(X_n - \mu_k)(X_n - \mu_k)^T}{N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}$$

each component are independently.

$$= \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{n=1}^N z_{nk} \frac{(X_n - \mu_k)(X_n - \mu_k)^T}{N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k)}$$

Finally, for  $\tau_k$ , it must add the constraint condition.

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(X, Z | \mu, \Sigma, \tau) + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k - 1 \right)}{\partial \tau_k} = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{\partial \ln P(X, Z | \mu, \Sigma, \tau) + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k - 1 \right)}{\partial \tau_k} = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \{ \ln \tau_k + \ln N(X_n | \mu_k, \Sigma_k) \} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k - \lambda$$

we only get the term related with  $\tau_k$ . So

$$\frac{\partial \ln P(X, Z | \mu, \Sigma, \tau) + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k - 1 \right)}{\partial \tau_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k} \left( \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K z_{nk} \ln \tau_k \right) + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k = \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \ln \tau_k \cdot \sum_{n=1}^N z_{nk} + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k \right\}$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau_k} \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^K \ln \tau_k \cdot N_k + \lambda \sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k \right\} = \frac{N_k}{\tau_k} + \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow \tau_k = -\frac{N_k}{\lambda}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k = \sum_{k=1}^K -\frac{N_k}{\lambda} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \sum_{k=1}^K N_k = -\frac{1}{\lambda} N = 1 \quad \therefore \lambda = -N \Rightarrow \tau_k = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

where  $N_k$  is the number of data points in group  $X_k$ .

9.10. Consider a density model given by a mixture distribution  $p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x|k)$  and suppose that we partition the vector  $x$  into two parts so that  $x = (x_a, x_b)$ .

show that the conditional density  $p(x_b|x_a)$  is itself a mixture distribution and find expressions for the mixing coefficients and for the component densities.

Sol.  $p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x|k)$

$x = (x_a, x_b)$

$p(x_a) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a|k)$

$p(x_a, x_b) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a, x_b|k)$

$p(x_b|x_a) = \frac{p(x_a, x_b)}{p(x_a)}$

$\therefore p(x_b|x_a) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a, x_b|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a|k)}$

$p(x_a, x_b|k) = p(x_b|x_a, k) \cdot p(x_a|k)$

$\therefore p(x_b|x_a) = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \cdot p(x_b|x_a, k) \cdot p(x_a|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a|k)}$

$\therefore p(x_b|x_a) = \sum_{k=1}^K p(x_b|x_a, k) \cdot \frac{p(x_a|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a|k)}$

$\therefore p(x_b|x_a) = \sum_{k=1}^K s_k p(x_b|x_a, k)$

~~$\frac{p(x_a|k)}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x_a|k)}$~~  denoted as  $s_k$

9.18. Consider a Bernoulli mixture model as discussed in Section 9.3.3, together with a prior distribution  $p(\mu_k|a_k, b_k)$  over each of the parameter vectors  $\mu_k$  given by the beta distribution (2.13), and a Dirichlet prior  $p(\pi|a)$  given by (2.38). Derive the EM algorithm for maximizing the posterior probability  $p(\mu, \pi|x)$ .

Sol.  $p(\theta|x) = \frac{p(x|\theta)p(\theta)}{p(x)}$ ,  $\theta$  is parameters

the E-M algorithm want to maximum the  $p(x|\theta)$ . and if it add the <sup>prior</sup> ~~pos~~ distribution. We will be aim to maximum  $p(x|\theta)p(\theta)$ .

So.  ~~$\log$~~   $\ln p(x|\theta)p(\theta) = \ln p(x|\theta) + \ln p(\theta)$

We need to ~~inform~~ ~~the~~ import the latent variables  $z$ .

$\ln p(x|\theta) = \ln \left\{ \sum_z p(x, z|\theta) \right\}$

<sup>z</sup>  
in the M-step.  $\ln p(x|\theta) = \sum_z p(z|x, \theta^{old}) \ln p(x, z|\theta)$



from (9.55).

$$E_z[\ln p(X, Z | \mu, \tau)] = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ \ln \tau_k + \sum_{i=1}^D [X_{ni} \ln \mu_{ki} + (1-X_{ni}) \ln (1-\mu_{ki})] \right\}$$

So, the M-step for ~~posterior~~ maximum posterior probability can be written as

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ \ln \tau_k + \sum_{i=1}^D [X_{ni} \ln \mu_{ki} + (1-X_{ni}) \ln (1-\mu_{ki})] \right\} + \sum_{j=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^D \{a_{ji}-1\} \ln \mu_{ji} + b_{ji} \ln (1-\mu_{ji}) \} + \sum_{k=1}^K (a_k-1) \ln \tau_k.$$

$\Gamma(z_{nk})$  is the form as (9.56)

terms in prior distributions independent of  $\{\mu_{ki}\}$  and  $\tau_k$  has been dropped.

derivate above formula respect to  $\mu_{ki}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{X_{ni}}{\mu_{ki}} \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_{nk}) \left\{ \frac{X_{ni}}{\mu_{ki}} - \frac{1-X_{ni}}{1-\mu_{ki}} \right\} + \frac{a_k-1}{\mu_{ki}} + \frac{1-b_k}{1-\mu_{ki}} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma(z_{nk}) \cdot X_{ni}}{\mu_{ki}} - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma(z_{nk}) (1-X_{ni})}{1-\mu_{ki}} + \frac{a_k-1}{\mu_{ki}} + \frac{1-b_k}{1-\mu_{ki}} \\ &= \frac{N_k \bar{X}_{ki}}{\mu_{ki}} - \frac{N_k (1-\bar{X}_{ki})}{1-\mu_{ki}} + \frac{a_k-1}{\mu_{ki}} + \frac{1-b_k}{1-\mu_{ki}} \\ &= \frac{N_k \bar{X}_{ki} + a_k - 1}{\mu_{ki}} - \frac{N_k - N_k \bar{X}_{ki} + b_k - 1}{1-\mu_{ki}} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \mu_{ki} = \frac{N_k \bar{X}_{ki} + a_k - 1}{N_k + a_k + b_k - 2}$$

And, then, we need to derive the  $\tau_k$  for M-step. and the constraint is  $\sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k = 1$

So, the maximum posterior probability can be written without terms independent of  $\tau_k$ .

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_{nk}) \ln \tau_k + \sum_{k=1}^K (a_k-1) \ln \tau_k + \ln \left( \prod_{j=1}^K \tau_j \right)$$

derivate it get below formula.

$$\sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\Gamma(z_{nk})}{\tau_k} + \frac{a_k-1}{\tau_k} + \ln = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{N_k + a_k - 1}{\tau_k} = -\ln \Rightarrow \tau_k = \frac{N_k + a_k - 1}{-\ln}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \tau_k = \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{N_k + a_k - 1}{-\ln} = \frac{N + a_0 - K}{-\ln} = 1 \quad \therefore -\ln = N + a_0 - K$$

$$\therefore \tau_k = \frac{N_k + a_k - 1}{-\ln} = \frac{N_k + a_k - 1}{N + a_0 - K}$$

So, in the M-step,  $\mu_{ki}$  and  $\tau_k$  can be updated by above two formula.

in the E-step, use  $\mu^{\text{old}}$ ,  $\tau^{\text{old}}$  to estimate the latent variables' posterior probability distribution.

9.19. Consider a  $D$ -dimensional variable  $x$  each of whose components  $i$  is itself a multinomial variable of degree  $M$  so that  $x$  is a binary vector with components  $x_{ij}$  where  $i=1, \dots, D$  and  $j=1, \dots, M$ , subject to the ~~constant~~ constraint that  $\sum_j x_{ij}=1$  for all  $i$ . Suppose that the distribution of these variables is described by a mixture of the discrete multinomial distributions considered in Section 2.2 so that  $p(x) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k p(x|U_k)$  where  $p(x|U_k) = \prod_{i=1}^D \prod_{j=1}^M \mu_{kij}^{x_{ij}}$ . The parameters  $\mu_{kij}$  represent the probabilities  $p(x_{ij}=1|U_k)$  and must satisfy  $0 \leq \mu_{kij} \leq 1$  together with the constraint  $\sum_j \mu_{kij} = 1$  for all values of  $k$  and  $i$ . Given an observed data set  $\{x_n\}$ , where  $n=1, \dots, N$ , derive the E and M step equations of the EM algorithm for optimizing the mixing coefficients  $\pi_k$  and the component parameters  $\mu_{kij}$  of this distribution by maximum likelihood.

Sol. In order to maximum likelihood, we introduce a latent variable  $z$ .  $\theta$  is parameter.

$$p(x|\theta) = \ln \left\{ \sum_z p(x, z|\theta) \right\} \quad \theta \text{ is parameter.}$$

the E-step in E algorithm is to estimate the posterior probability distribution of latent variable  $z$ . so.

$$\Gamma(z_k) \equiv P(z_k=1|x_n) = \frac{\pi_k p(x_n|z_k)}{\sum_z p(x_n|z_k)} = \frac{\pi_k \cdot \prod_{i=1}^D \prod_{j=1}^M \mu_{kij}^{x_{ij}}}{\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \cdot \prod_{i=1}^D \prod_{j=1}^M \mu_{kij}^{x_{ij}}}$$

And then for the M-step

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \sum_z p(z|x, \theta^{\text{old}}) \ln \{ p(x, z|\theta) \}.$$

$$Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}}) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_k) \cdot \left( \ln \pi_k + \ln \prod_{i=1}^D \prod_{j=1}^M \mu_{kij}^{x_{ij}} \right) = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_k) \left( \ln \pi_k + \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^M x_{ij} \ln \mu_{kij} \right)$$

$\frac{\partial Q(\theta, \theta^{\text{old}})}{\partial \mu_{kij}}$  because of the constraints, we must add the constraints to use Lagrange.

$$\text{get } L = \sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^K \Gamma(z_k) \left( \ln \pi_k + \sum_{i=1}^D \sum_{j=1}^M x_{ij} \ln \mu_{kij} \right) + \lambda \left( \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k - 1 \right) + \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{i=1}^D \eta_{ki} \left( \sum_{j=1}^M \mu_{kij} - 1 \right)$$

$$\frac{\partial L}{\partial \pi_k} = \sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma(z_k) \cdot \frac{1}{\pi_k} + \lambda = 0 \Rightarrow -\lambda = \frac{N_k}{\pi_k} \Rightarrow \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k = \frac{N}{-\lambda} = 1 \Rightarrow -\lambda = N$$

$$\therefore \pi_k = \frac{N_k}{N}$$

$$\text{Then. } \frac{\partial L}{\partial \mu_{kij}} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\Gamma_{znk}}{\mu_{kij}} \frac{x_{nij}}{\mu_{kij}} + \eta_{ki} = 0 \Rightarrow -\eta_{ki} = \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma_{znk} x_{nij}}{\mu_{kij}}$$

$$\sum_j \mu_{kij} = \sum_j - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma_{znk} x_{nij}}{\eta_{ki}} = - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^M \Gamma_{znk} x_{nij}}{\eta_{ki}} = - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma_{znk} \sum_{j=1}^M x_{nij}}{\eta_{ki}} = - \frac{\sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma_{znk}}{\eta_{ki}} = - \frac{N_k}{\eta_{ki}} = 1$$

$$\therefore -\eta_{ki} = N_k$$

$$\therefore \mu_{kij} = \frac{1}{N_k} \sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma_{znk} x_{nij}$$

So, we derive the formula how parameters update in M-step.

9.20 Show that maximization of the expected complete-data log likelihood function (9.61) for the Bayesian linear regression model leads to the M-step re-estimation result (9.63) for  $\alpha$ .

$$\text{Sol. from 9.62. } E[\ln p(t, w | \alpha, \beta)] = \frac{M}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} E[W^T W] + \frac{N}{2} \ln \frac{\beta}{2\pi} - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N E[(t_n - w^T \phi_n)^2]$$

$$\frac{\partial E[\ln p(t, w | \alpha, \beta)]}{\partial \alpha} = \frac{M}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\alpha} - \frac{1}{2} E[W^T W] = 0$$

$$\therefore \alpha = \frac{M}{E[W^T W]} = \frac{M}{m_W^T m_W + \text{Tr}(S_W)}$$

9.21. Using the evidence framework of Section 3.5, derive the M-step re-estimation equations for the parameter  $\beta$  in the Bayesian linear regression model, analogous to the result (9.63) for  $\alpha$ .

$$\text{Sol. from 9.62. } E[\ln p(t, w | \alpha, \beta)] = \frac{M}{2} \ln \left( \frac{\alpha}{2\pi} \right) - \frac{\alpha}{2} E[W^T W] + \frac{N}{2} \ln \frac{\beta}{2\pi} - \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N E[(t_n - w^T \phi_n)^2]$$

$$\frac{\partial E[\ln p(t, w | \alpha, \beta)]}{\partial \beta} = \frac{N}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{\beta} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^N E[(t_n - w^T \phi_n)^2] = 0$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[(t_n - w^T \phi_n)^2] &= E[t_n \cdot t_n - 2 t_n w^T \phi_n + w^T \phi_n \cdot w^T \phi_n] \\ &= t_n^2 - 2 t_n w^T \phi_n + \text{Tr}[\phi_n \phi_n^T (m_W m_W^T + S_W)] \\ &= (t_n - m_W^T \phi_n)^2 + \text{Tr}[\phi_n \phi_n^T S_W] \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \beta^{-1} = \frac{1}{N} [(t_n - m_W^T \phi_n)^2 + \text{Tr}[\phi_n^T \phi_n S_W]]$$



9.25. Show the lower bound  $L(q, \theta)$  given by 9.71. with  $q(z) = p(z|X, \theta^{old})$ , has the same gradient with respect to  $\theta$  as the loglikelihood function  $\ln p(X|\theta)$  at the point  $\theta = \theta^{old}$ .

Sol. Because of  $q(z) = p(z|X, \theta^{old})$ , So.  $KL(q||p) = 0$

$$\text{So. } \ln p(X|\theta) = L(q, \theta) + KL(q||p) = L(q, \theta)$$

$\therefore$  so the gradient of  $L(q, \theta)$  equal to  $\ln p(X|\theta)$

9.26. Consider the incremental form of the EM algorithm for a mixture of Gaussians, in which the ~~responsibilities~~ responsibilities are recomputed only for a specific data point  $x_m$ . Starting from the M-Step formulae (9.17) and (9.18), derive the results 9.78 and 9.79 for updating the component means.

Sol. from 9.18.  $N_k^{old} = \sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma(z_{nk})$

for a specific data point  $x_m$ , we recomputing the responsibilities.  $\Gamma(z_{mk})$

$$\therefore N_k^{new} = \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk}) + \Gamma(z_{mk})$$

$$\therefore N_k^{new} = N_k^{old} + \Gamma(z_{mk}) - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old}$$

from (9.16).

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^N \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} (x_n - \mu_k^{old}) = \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} (x_n - \mu_k^{old}) + \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old} (x_m - \mu_k^{old}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} (x_n - \mu_k^{new}) + \Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} (x_m - \mu_k^{new}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} x_n + \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old} x_m - \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} \mu_k^{old} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old} \mu_k^{old} = 0 \\ \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} x_n + \Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} x_m - \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} \mu_k^{new} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} \mu_k^{new} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} x_n + \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old} x_m = N_k^{old} \mu_k^{old} \\ \sum_{n \neq m} \Gamma(z_{nk})^{old} x_n + \Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} x_m = N_k^{new} \mu_k^{new} \end{cases}$$

$$\therefore N_k^{new} \mu_k^{new} - N_k^{old} \mu_k^{old} = \Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} x_m - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old} x_m$$

$$\therefore \mu_k^{new} = \frac{N_k^{old} \mu_k^{old}}{N_k^{new}} + \frac{\Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old}}{N_k^{new}} x_m$$

$$\therefore \mu_k^{new} = \left(1 - \frac{\Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old}}{N_k^{new}}\right) \mu_k^{old} + \frac{\Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old}}{N_k^{new}} x_m$$

$$\frac{N_k^{old}}{N_k^{new}} = 1 - \frac{\Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old}}{N_k^{new}}$$

$$= \mu_k^{old} + \frac{\Gamma(z_{mk})^{new} - \Gamma(z_{mk})^{old}}{N_k^{new}} (x_m - \mu_k^{old})$$