# In-EVM Mina State Verification

# Technical Reference

Alisa Cherniaeva

a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation

=nil; Crypto3 (https://crypto3.nil.foundation)

Ilia Shirobokov

i.shirobokov@nil.foundation

=nil; Crypto3 (https://crypto3.nil.foundation)

Mikhail Komarov

nemo@nil.foundation

=nil; Foundation (https://nil.foundation)

January 21, 2022

# Contents

| 1  | Intr                                    | roduction                                      | 2  |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|----|--|--|--|
|    | 1.1                                     | Overview                                       | 2  |  |  |  |
| 2  | State Proof Generator 3                 |  |    |  |  |  |
|    | 2.1                                     | Introduction                                   | 3  |  |  |  |
|    | 2.2                                     | Optimizations                                  | 3  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.2.1 Batched FRI                              | 3  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.2.2 Hash By Column                           | 3  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.2.3 Hash By Subset                           | 4  |  |  |  |
|    | 2.3                                     | RedShift Protocol                              | 4  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.3.1 Prover View                              | 4  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.3.2 Verifier View                            | 6  |  |  |  |
|    | 2.4                                     | Mina Verification Algorithm                    | 6  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.4.1 Pasta Curves                             | 6  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.4.2 Verification Algorithm                   | 7  |  |  |  |
|    | 2.5                                     | Elliptic Curve Arithmetic                      | 9  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.5.1 Unified Incomplete Addition and Doubling | 9  |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.5.2 Variable Base Scalar Multiplication      | 11 |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.5.3 Variable Base Endo-Scalar Multiplication | 12 |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.5.4 Fixed-base scalar multiplication circuit | 13 |  |  |  |
|    | 2.6 Multi-Scalar Multiplication Circuit |  |    |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.6.1 Naive Algorithm                          | 14 |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.6.2 Simultaneous Doubling                    | 15 |  |  |  |
|    | 2.7                                     | Poseidon Circuit                               | 16 |  |  |  |
|    | 2.8                                     | Other Circuits                                 | 16 |  |  |  |
|    |   | 2.8.1 Combined Inner Product                   | 16 |  |  |  |
| 3  | In-EVM State Proof Verifier 17          |  |    |  |  |  |
|    | 3.1                                     | Verification Logic Architecture                | 17 |  |  |  |
|    | 3.2                                     | Verification Logic API Reference               | 17 |  |  |  |
|    | 3.3                                     | Input Data Structures                          | 17 |  |  |  |
| Bi | bliog                                   | graphy   | 17 |  |  |  |

# Chapter 1

# Introduction

This document is a technical reference to the in-EVM Mina state verification project.

# 1.1 Overview

The project's purpose is to provide Ethereum users with reliable Mina Protocol's state proof. The project UX consists of several steps:

- 1. Retrieve Mina Protocol's state proof.
- 2. Preprocess it by generating an auxiliary proof.
- 3. Submit the preprocessed proof to EVM-enabled cluster.
- 4. Verify the proof with EVM.

Such a UX defines projects parts:

- 1. Mina Protocol's state retriever (O1Labs' or Chainsafe's protocol implementation).
- 2. State proof generator.
- 3. Ethereum RPC proof submitter.
- 4. EVM-based proof verificator.

The overall architecture diagram is as follows:

Each of these parts will be considered independently.

# Chapter 2

# State Proof Generator

This introduces a description for Mina Protocol's state auxiliary proof generator. Crucial components which define this part design and performance are:

- 1. Input data format (Pickles proof data structure: 2.4.2)
- 2. Proof system used for the proof generation.
- 3. Circuit definition used for the proof system.

# 2.1 Introduction

### WIP

To prove Mina blockchain's state on the Ethereum Virtual Machine, we use Redshift SNARK[1]. RedShift is a transparent SNARK that uses PLONK[2] proof system but replaces the commitment scheme. The authors utilize FRI[3] protocol to obtain transparency for the PLONK system.

However, FRI cannot be straightforwardly used with the PLONK system. To achieve the required security level without huge overheads, the authors introduce *list polynomial commitment* scheme as a part of the protocol. For more details, we refer the reader to [1].

The original RedShift protocol utilizes the classic PLONK[2] system. To provide better performance, we generilize the original protocol for use with PLONK with custom gates [4], [5] and lookup arguments [6], [7].

# 2.2 Optimizations

WIP

### 2.2.1 Batched FRI

Instead of checking each commitment individualy, it is possible to aggregate them for FRI. For polynomials  $f_0, \ldots, f_k$ :

- 1. Get  $\theta$  from transcript
- 2.  $f = f_0 \cdot \theta^{k-1} + \dots + f_k$
- 3. Run FRI over f, using oracles to  $f_0, \ldots, f_k$

Thus, we can run only one FRI instance for all committed polynomials. See [1] for details.

# 2.2.2 Hash By Column

Instead of committing each of the polynomials, it is possible to use the same Merkle tree for several polynomials. This leads to the decrease of the number of Merkle tree paths which are required to be provided by the prover.

See [8], [1] for details.

# 2.2.3 Hash By Subset

Each i+1 FRI round supposes the prover to send all elements from a coset  $H \in D^{(i)}$ . Each Merkle leaf is able to contain the whole coset instead of separate values.

See [8] for details. Similar approach is described in [1]. However, the authors of [1] use more values per leaf, that leads to better performance.

# 2.3 RedShift Protocol

### WIP

Notations:

| $N_{\mathtt{wires}}$  | Number of wires ('advice columns')                            |
|---|---|
| $N_{\mathtt{perm}}$   | Number of wires that are included in the permutation argument |
| $N_{\mathtt{sel}}$  | Number of selectors used in the circuit                       |
| $N_{\mathtt{const}}$  | Number of constant columns                                    |
| $\mathbf{f}_i$  | Witness polynomials, $0 \le i < N_{\text{wires}}$             |
| $\mathbf{f}_{c_i}$  | Constant-related polynomials, $0 \le i < N_{\text{const}}$    |
| $\mathbf{gate}_i$   | Gate polynomials, $0 \le i < N_{\tt sel}$                     |
| $\sigma(\operatorname{col}:i,\operatorname{row}:j) = (\operatorname{col}:i',\operatorname{row}:j')$ | Permutation over the table                                    |

For details on polynomial commitment scheme and polynomial evaluation scheme, we refer the reader to [1].

- 1.  $\mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{q}_0, ..., \mathbf{q}_{N_{\text{sel}}})$
- 2. Let  $\omega$  be a  $2^k$  root of unity
- 3. Let  $\delta$  be a T root of unity, where  $T \cdot 2^S + 1 = p$  with T odd and  $k \leq S$
- 4. Compute  $N_{\text{perm}}$  permutation polynomials  $S_{\sigma_i}(X)$  such that  $S_{\sigma_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^{i'} \cdot \omega^{j'}$
- 5. Compute  $N_{perm}$  identity permutation polynomials:  $S_{id_i}(X)$  such that  $S_{id_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^i \cdot \omega^j$
- 6. Let  $H = \{\omega^0, ..., \omega^n\}$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}^*$
- 7. Let  $Z(X) = \prod a \in H^*(X a)$

# ${\bf Preprocessing:}$

## 2.3.1 Prover View

1. Choose masking polynomials:

$$h_i(X) \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_{\leq k}[X] \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

**Remark**: For details on choice of k, we refer the reader to [1].

2. Define new witness polynomials:

$$f_i(X) = \mathbf{f}_i(X) + h_i(X)Z(X)$$
 for  $0 < i < N_{\text{wires}}$ 

- 3. Add commitments to  $f_i$  to transcript
- 4. Get  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  from hash(transcript)
- 5. For  $0 \le i < N_{\text{perm}}$

$$p_i = f_i + \beta \cdot S_{id_i} + \gamma$$
$$q_i = f_i + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_i} + \gamma$$

6. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} p'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{perm}}} p_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{< N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \\ q'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{perm}}} q_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{< N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \end{aligned}$$

7. Compute  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{< n+1}[X]$ , such that:

$$P(\omega) = Q(\omega) = 1$$

$$P(\omega^{i}) = \prod_{1 \le j < i} p'(\omega^{i}) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1$$

$$Q(\omega^{i}) = \prod_{1 \le j < i} q'(\omega^{i}) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1$$

- 8. Compute commitments to P, Q and add them to transcript.
- 9. Get  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{F}$  from hash(transcript)
- 10. Get  $\tau$  from hash(transcript)
- 11. Define polynomials  $(F_0, \ldots, F_4$  copy-satisfability,  $\mathtt{gate}_0$  is PI-constraining gate)):

$$\begin{split} F_0(X) &= L_1(X)(P(X)-1) \\ F_1(X) &= L_1(X)(Q(X)-1) \\ F_2(X) &= P(X)p'(X) - P(X\omega) \\ F_3(X) &= Q(X)q'(X) - Q(X\omega) \\ F_4(X) &= L_n(X)(P(X\omega) - Q(X\omega)) \\ F_5(X) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\mathrm{sel}}} (\tau^i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i(X) \cdot \mathrm{gate}_i(X)) + PI(X) \end{split}$$

12. Compute:

$$F(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{5} \alpha_i F_i(X)$$
$$T(X) = \frac{F(X)}{Z(X)}$$

- 13.  $N_T := \max(N_{\text{perm}}, \deg_{\text{gates}} 1)$ , where  $\deg_{\text{gates}}$  is the highest degree of the degrees of gate polynomials.
- 14. Split T(X) into separate polynomials  $T_0(X), ..., T_{N_T-1}(X)^1$
- 15. Add commitments to  $T_0(X), ..., T_{N_T-1}(X)$  to transcript.
- 16. Get  $y \in \mathbb{F}/H$  from hash(transcript)
- 17. Run evaluation scheme with the committed polynomials and y. Remark: Depending on the circuit, evaluation can be done also on  $y\omega, y\omega^{-1}$ .
- 18. The proof is  $\pi_{\texttt{comm}}$  and  $\pi_{\texttt{eval}}$ , where:
  - $\bullet \quad \pi_{\texttt{comm}} = \{f_{0,\texttt{comm}}, \dots, f_{N_{\texttt{wires}}-1,\texttt{comm}}, P_{\texttt{comm}}, Q_{\texttt{comm}}, T_{0,\texttt{comm}}, \dots, T_{N_T-1,\texttt{comm}}\}$
  - $\pi_{\texttt{eval}}$  is evaluation proofs for  $f_0(y), \ldots, f_{N_{\texttt{wires}}-1}(y), P(y), P(y\omega), Q(y), Q(y\omega), T_0(y), \ldots, T_{N_T-1}(y)$

 $<sup>^1\</sup>mathrm{Commit}$  scheme supposes that polynomials should be degree  $\leq n$ 

### 2.3.2 Verifier View

- 1. Let  $f_{0,\text{comm}}, \ldots, f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1,\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $f_0(X), \ldots, f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1}(X)$
- 2. transcript = setup\_values $||f_{0,\text{comm}}|| \dots ||f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1,\text{comm}}|$
- 3.  $\beta, \gamma = hash(transcript)$
- 4. Let  $P_{\text{comm}}, Q_{\text{comm}}$  be commitments to P(X), Q(X)
- 5. transcript = transcript  $||P_{comm}||Q_{comm}|$
- 6.  $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_5 = hash(transcript)$
- 7.  $\tau = hash(transcript)$
- 8.  $N_T := \max(N_{perm}, \deg_{gates} 1)$ , where  $\deg_{gates}$  is the highest degree of the degrees of gate polynomials.
- 9. Let  $T_{0,\text{comm}},...,T_{N_T-1,\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $T_0(X),...,T_{N_T-1}(X)$
- 10. transcript = transcript  $||T_{0,\text{comm}}||...||T_{N_T-1,\text{comm}}||$
- 11.  $y = hash|_{\mathbb{F}/H}(\text{transcript})$
- 12. Run evaluation scheme verification with the committed polynomials and y to check values  $f_i(y), P(y), P(y\omega), Q(y), Q(y\omega), T_i(y)$ .

**Remark**: Depending on the circuit, evaluation can be done also on  $f_i(y\omega), f_i(y\omega^{-1})$  for some i.

13. Calculate:

$$\begin{split} F_0(y) &= L_1(y)(P(y) - 1) \\ F_1(y) &= L_1(y)(Q(y) - 1) \\ p'(y) &= \prod p_i(y) = \prod f_i(y) + \beta \cdot S_{id_i}(y) + \gamma \\ F_2(y) &= P(y)p'(y) - P(y\omega) \\ q'(y) &= \prod q_i(y) = \prod f_i(y) + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_i}(y) + \gamma \\ F_3(y) &= Q(y)q'(y) - Q(y\omega) \\ F_4(y) &= L_n(y)(P(y\omega) - Q(y\omega)) \\ F_5(y) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}} (\tau^i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i(y) \cdot \text{gate}_i(y)) + PI(y) \\ T(y) &= \sum_{0 \leq j < N_T} y^{n \cdot j} T_j(y) \end{split}$$

14. Check the identity:

$$\sum_{i=0}^{5} \alpha_i F_i(y) = Z(y) T(y)$$

# 2.4 Mina Verification Algorithm

WIP

### 2.4.1 Pasta Curves

Let  $n_1 = 17$ ,  $n_2 = 16$ . Pasta curves parameters:

- $p = 2^{254} + 45560315531419706090280762371685220353$
- $q = 2^{254} + 45560315531506369815346746415080538113$
- Pallas:

$$\mathbb{G}_1 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p | y^2 = x^3 + 5\}$$
$$|\mathbb{G}_1| = q$$

• Vesta:

$$\mathbb{G}_2 = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q | y^2 = x^3 + 5\}$$
  
 $|\mathbb{G}_2| = p$ 

#### Verification Algorithm 2.4.2

## Notations

| $N_{\mathtt{wires}}$       | Number of wires ('advice columns')                            |
|----------------------------|---|
| $N_{\mathtt{perm}}$        | Number of wires that are included in the permutation argument |
| $N_{\mathtt{prev}}$        | Number of previous challenges                                 |
| $S_{\sigma_i}(\mathbf{X})$ | Permutation polynomials for $0 \le i < N_{\text{perm}}$       |
| pub(X)                     | Public input polynomial                                       |
| $w_i(X)$                   | Witness polynomials for $0 \le i < N_{\tt wires}$             |
| $\eta_i(X)$                | Previous challenges polynomials for $0 \le i < N_{\tt prev}$  |
| $\omega$                   | <i>n</i> -th root of unity                                    |

Denote multi-scalar multiplication  $\sum_{s_i \in \mathbf{s}, G_i \in \mathbf{G}} [s_i] G_i$  by  $\mathtt{MSM}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{G})$  for  $l_{\mathbf{s}} = l_{\mathbf{G}}$  where  $l_{\mathbf{s}} = |\mathbf{s}|, l_{\mathbf{G}} = |\mathbf{G}|$ . If  $l_{\mathbf{s}} < l_{\mathbf{G}}$ , then we use only first  $l_{\mathbf{s}}$  elements of  $\mathbf{G}$ 

**Proof**  $\pi$  constains (here  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is a scalar field of  $\mathbb{G}$ ):

- Commitments:
  - Witness polynomials:  $w_{0,\text{comm}},...,w_{N_{\text{wires}},\text{comm}} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - Permutation polynomial:  $z_{\texttt{comm}} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - $\bullet \ \ \text{Quotinent polynomial:} \ t_{\texttt{comm}} = (t_{1,\texttt{comm}}, t_{2,\texttt{comm}}, ..., t_{N_{\texttt{perm}},\texttt{comm}}) \in (\mathbb{G}^{N_{\texttt{perm}}} \times \mathbb{G})$
- Evaluations:
  - $w_0(\zeta), ..., w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $w_0(\zeta\omega), ..., w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $z(\zeta), z(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$

  - $$\begin{split} \bullet \ S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta),...,S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{F}_r \\ \bullet \ S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta\omega),...,S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r \end{split}$$
  - $\bar{L}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r^2$
- Opening proof  $o_{\pi}$  for inner product argument:
  - $(L_i, R_i) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$  for  $0 \leq i < lr_rounds$
  - $\delta, \hat{G} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_r$
- previous challenges:
  - $\{\eta_i(\xi_j)\}_j, \eta_{i,\text{comm}}, \text{ for } 0 \leq i < \text{prev}$

**Remark**: For simplicity, we do not use distinct proofs index i for each element in the algorithm below. For instance, we write  $pub_{comm}$  instead of  $pub_{i,comm}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>See https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/crypto/plonk/maller\_15.html

## Algorithm 1 Verification

```
Input: \pi_0, \ldots, \pi_{\mathtt{batch\_size}} (see 2.4.2)
 Output: acc or rej
             1. for each \pi_i:
                              1.1 pub_{comm} = MSM(\mathbf{L}, pub) \in \mathbb{G}, where L is Lagrange bases vector
                              1.2 random_oracle(p_{\text{comm}}, \pi_i):
                                          1.2.1 H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.absorb(pub_{\mathtt{comm}}||w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}||...||w_{N_{\mathtt{wires}},\mathtt{comm}})
                                          1.2.2 \ \beta, \gamma = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}()
                                         1.2.3 H_{\mathbb{F}_a}.absorb(z_{\text{comm}})
                                          1.2.4 \alpha = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                          1.2.5~H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{absorb}(t_{1,\mathtt{comm}}||...||t_{N_{\mathtt{perm}},\mathtt{comm}}||...||\infty||)
                                         1.2.6 \zeta = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                         1.2.7 Transfrorm H_{\mathbb{F}_q} to H_{\mathbb{F}_r}
                                         1.2.8~H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{absorb}(pub(\zeta)||w_0(\zeta)||...||w_{N_{\mathrm{wires}}}(\zeta)||S_0(\zeta)||...||S_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}(\zeta))
                                         1.2.9 \ H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{absorb}(pub(\zeta\omega)||w_0(\zeta\omega)||...||w_{N_{\mathrm{wires}}}(\zeta\omega)||S_0(\zeta\omega)||...||S_{N_{\mathrm{perm}}}(\zeta\omega))
                                    1.2.10~H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.absorb(\bar{L}(\zeta\omega))
                                    1.2.11 v = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                    1.2.12 u = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_n}.\mathtt{squeeze}())
                                    1.2.13 Compute evaluation of \eta_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta\omega) for 0 \le i < N_{\text{prev}}
                                    1.2.14 Compute evaluation of L(\zeta)
                              1.3 \ \mathbf{f}_{\mathrm{base}} \coloneqq \{S_{\sigma_{N_{\mathtt{perm}}-1},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{mult},\mathtt{comm}}, w_{0,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{1,\mathtt{comm}}, w_{2,\mathtt{comm}}, q_{\mathtt{const},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{psdn},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{psdn},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt{comm}}, \mathtt{gate}_{\mathrm{rc},\mathtt
                                                \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_add},\texttt{comm}}, \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_dbl},\texttt{comm}}, \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_endo},\texttt{comm}}, \texttt{gate}_{\texttt{ec\_vbase},\texttt{comm}} \}
                              1.4 s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{near}}}}(\zeta))
                              1.5 \ \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}} \coloneqq \{ -z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot zkp(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1 \}
                                                s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}
                              1.6 f_{\text{comm}} = \text{MSM}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}}, \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}})
                              1.7 \bar{L}_{\text{comm}} = f_{\text{comm}} - t_{\text{comm}} \cdot (\zeta^n - 1)
                              1.8 PE is a set of elements of the form (f_{\texttt{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega)) for the following polynomials:
                                                \eta_0, \ldots, \eta_{N_{\text{prev}}}, pub, w_0, \ldots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \ldots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}, L
                              1.9 \mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}
             2. final_check(\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\mathtt{batch\_size}})
```

## Algorithm 2 Final Check

Input:  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\mathtt{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$ 

Output: acc or rej

- 1.  $\rho_1 \to \mathbb{F}_r$
- 2.  $\rho_2 \to \mathbb{F}_r$
- 3.  $r_0 = r'_0 = 1$
- 4. for  $0 \le i < \mathtt{batch\_size}$ :
  - 4.1  $cip_i = \texttt{combined\_inner\_product}(\zeta_i, \zeta_i\omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i)$
  - $4.2~H_{i,\mathbb{F}_a}$ .absorb $(cip_i-2^{255})$
  - 4.3  $U_i = (H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}()).\mathtt{to\_group}()$
  - 4.4 Calculate opening challenges  $\xi_{i,j}$  from  $o_{\pi_i}$
  - 4.5  $h_i(X) := \prod_{k=0}^{\log(d+1)-1} (1 + \xi_{\log(d+1)-k} X^{2^k})$ , where  $d = \text{lr\_rounds}$
  - $4.6 \ b_i = h_i(\zeta) + u_i \cdot h_i(\zeta\omega)$
  - 4.7  $C_i = \sum_j v_i^j (\sum_k r_i^k f_{j,\text{comm}})$ , where  $f_{j,\text{comm}}$  from  $\mathbf{PE}_i$ .
  - 4.8  $Q_i = \sum (\xi_{i,j} \cdot L_{i,j} + \xi_{i,j}^{-1} \cdot R_j) + cip_i \cdot U_i + C_i$
  - $4.9 \ c_i = \phi(H_{i,\mathbb{F}_q}.\mathtt{squeeze}())$
  - 4.10  $r_i = r_{i-1} \cdot \rho_1$
  - 4.11  $r'_i = r'_{i-1} \cdot \rho_2$
  - 4.12 Check  $\hat{G}_i = \langle s, G \rangle$ , where s is set of h(X) coefficients.

**Remark**: This check can be done inside the MSM below using  $r'_i$ .

5. 
$$res = \sum_{i} r^{i} (c_{i}Q_{i} + delta_{i} - (z_{i,1}(\hat{G}_{i} + b_{i}U_{i}) + z_{i,2}H))$$

6. return res == 0

### Algorithm 3 Combined Inner Product

**Input**:  $\xi, r, f_0(\zeta_1), \dots, f_k(\zeta_1), f_0(\zeta_2), \dots, f_k(\zeta_2)$ 

Output: s

1. 
$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi^{i} \cdot (f_{i}(\zeta_{1}) + r \cdot f_{i}(\zeta_{2}))$$

We use the same 15-wires PLONK circuits that are designed for Mina.<sup>3</sup>

# 2.5 Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

WIP

# 2.5.1 Unified Incomplete Addition and Doubling

Row 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 
$$i$$
  $x_1$   $y_1$   $x_2$   $y_2$   $x_3$   $y_3$  inf same\_x  $s$  inv<sub>y</sub> inv<sub>x</sub> ... ... ...

**Evaluations:** 

<sup>3</sup>https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/specs/15\_wires/15\_wires.html

- Addition case:
  - $(x_3, y_3) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)$
  - $\inf = 1$  if  $(x_3, y_3)$  is a point-at-infinity,  $\inf = 0$  otherwise
  - same\_x = 1 if  $x_1 = x_2$ , same\_x = 0 otherwise

  - $s=\frac{y_1-y_2}{x_1-x_2}$  if  $x_1\neq x_2, s=0$  otherwise  $\operatorname{inv}_y=\frac{1}{y_2-y_1}$  if  $y_2\neq y_1, \operatorname{inv}_y=0$  otherwise
  - $\operatorname{inv}_x = \frac{1}{x_2 x_1}$  if  $x_2 \neq x_1$ ,  $\operatorname{inv}_x = 0$  otherwise
- Doubling case:
  - $(x_3, y_3) = 2(x_1, y_1)$
  - $x_2 = x_1, y_2 = y_1$
  - inf = 1 if  $(x_3, y_3)$  is a point-at-infinity, inf = 0 otherwise

  - $s=\frac{3x_1^2}{2y_1}$  if  $y_1\neq 0,\, s=0$  otherwise  $\mathrm{inv}_y=0$

  - $inv_x = 0$

## Constraints ( $\max degree = 3$ ):

- 1.  $w_7 \cdot (w_2 w_0) = 0$
- 2.  $(w_2 w_0) \cdot w_{10} (1 w_7) = 0$
- 3.  $w_7 \cdot (2w_8 \cdot w_1 3w_0^2) + (1 w_7) \cdot (w_2 w_0 \cdot w_8 (w_3 w_1))$
- 4.  $w_8^2 = w_0 + w_2 + w_4$
- 5.  $w_5 = w_8 \cdot (w_0 w_4) w_1$
- 6.  $(w_3 w_1) \cdot (w_7 w_6) = 0$
- 7.  $(w_3 w_1) \cdot w_9 w_6 = 0$

Copy constraints:

1.  $w_6 = 0$ 

**Details.** The gate uses basic group law formulae. Let  $P = (x_1, y_1), Q = (x_2, y_2), R = (x_3, y_3)$  and R = P + Q. Then:

- $(x_2 x_1) \cdot s = y_2 y_1$
- $s^2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
- $y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 x_3) y_1$

For point doubling R = P + P = 2P:

- $2s \cdot y_1 = 3x_1^2$
- $s^2 = 2x_1 + x_3$
- $y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 x_3) y_1$

The gate does not handle cases  $\mathcal{O} + P$  or  $\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{O}$ . To ensure that operations with point-at-infinity are not included in the circuit's trace, copy constraint  $w_6 = 0$  (inf = 0) was introduced.

Constraints details:

- $x_2 x_1$  zero check:
  - 1.  $w_7 \cdot (w_2 w_0) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \mathtt{same}_{\mathtt{x}} \cdot (x_2 x_1)$ If  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then same x = 0
  - $2. \ (w_2-w_0)\cdot w_{10}-(1-w_7)=0 \longleftrightarrow (x_2-x_1)\cdot \mathtt{inv}_x-(1-\mathtt{same\_x})$ If  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $inv_x = (x_2 - x_1)^{-1}$
- Group law constraints:
  - 1.  $w_7 \cdot (2w_8 \cdot w_1 3w_0^2) + (1 w_7) \cdot ((w_2 w_0 \cdot w_8 (w_3 w_1)) \longleftrightarrow$  $same_x \cdot (2s \cdot y_1 - 3x_1^2) + (1 - same_x) \cdot (x_2 - x_1 \cdot s - (y_2 - y_1))$ If  $x_1 = x_2$  then use doubling  $2s \cdot y_1 = 3x_1^2$ . Otherwise use addition  $(x_2 - x_1) \cdot s = y_2 - y_1$ .

- 2.  $w_8^2 = w_0 + w_2 + w_4 \longleftrightarrow s^2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$ Constrains  $x_3$ . It does not depend on  $x_1, x_2$  equality.
- 3.  $w_5 = w_8 \cdot (w_0 w_4) w_1 \longleftrightarrow y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 x_3) y_1$ Constrains  $y_3$ . It does not depend on  $x_1, x_2$  equality.
- P + (-P) constraints:
  - 1.  $(w_3 w_1) \cdot (w_7 w_6) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (y_2 y_1) \cdot (\mathtt{same}_x \mathtt{inf}) = 0$ We can get inifinity point iff  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2$ . If  $y_1 \neq y_2$  then  $\inf = same_x$ .
  - 2.  $(w_3 w_1) \cdot w_9 w_6 = 0 \longleftrightarrow (y_2 y_1) \cdot \operatorname{inv}_y \inf$ The prover sets  $inv_y = 0$  for  $y_1 = y_2$ . If  $y_1 \neq y_2$  then  $inv_y = (y_2 - y_1)^{-1}$

#### Variable Base Scalar Multiplication 2.5.2

For S = [r]T, where  $r = 2^n + k$  and  $k = [k_n...k_0], k_i \in \{0, 1\}$ : 4

- 1. S = [2]T
- 2. for i from n-1 to 0:

$$2.1 \ Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$$

$$2.2 R = S + Q$$

$$2.3 \ S = R + S$$

3. 
$$S = k_0 ? S - T : S$$

The first and last steps of the alforithm are verified by the unified addition and doubling circuit.

Two gates are used in the circuit. Call them VBSM1 and VBSM2. VBSM1 is applied to even rows and VBSM<sub>2</sub> is used with odd rows. Each two rows perform calculations with five bits of the scalar.

**Evaluations:** 

- $b_i$  are bits of the k, first  $b_1$  is the most significant bit of k.
- $(X_R, Y_R) = (x_P, y_P) + (X_T, (2b_1 1)y_T)$   $s_1 = \frac{y_P (2b_1 1) \cdot y_T}{x_P x_T}$

- $\bullet \quad s_2 = \frac{y_R + y_P}{x_P x_R}$
- $s_3 = \frac{x_P x_R}{y_R (2b_2 1) \cdot y_T}$
- $s_4 = \frac{y_R + y_S}{x_R x_S}$
- 2:

• 
$$s_5 = \frac{(2b_3 - 1)y_T + y_V}{x_T - x_V}$$

Constraints for VBSM<sub>1</sub>:

- $w_{11} \cdot (w_{11} 1) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} 1) = 0$
- $(w_4 w_0) \cdot w_9 = w_5 (2w_{11} 1) \cdot w_1$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Using the results from https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0208038.pdf

- $w_9^2 w_{10}^2 = w_0 w_7$
- $(2 \cdot w_4 + w_0 w_9^2) \cdot (w_9 + w_{10}) = 2w_5$
- $(w_4 w_7) \cdot w_{10} = w_8 + w_5$
- $(w_7 w_0) \cdot w_{12} = w_8 (2w_{14} 1) \cdot w_1$
- $\bullet \ \ w_{12}^2 w_{13}^2 = w_0 w_2$
- $(2 \cdot w_7 + w_0 w_{12}^2) \cdot (w_{12} + w_{13}) = 2 \cdot w_8$
- $(w_7 w_2) \cdot w_{13} = w_3 + w_8$
- $w_6 = 32 \cdot \text{next}(w_6) + 16 \cdot w_{11} + 8 \cdot w_{14} + 4 \cdot \text{next}(w_{12}) + 2 \cdot \text{next}(w_{14}) + \text{next}(w_1)$

### Constraints for $VBSM_2$ :

- $w_{12} \cdot (w_{12} 1) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} 1) = 0$
- $w_1 \cdot (w_1 1) = 0$
- $(w_4 \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_{11} = w_5 (2w_{12} 1) \cdot \text{prev}(w_1)$
- $(2 \cdot w_4 + \mathtt{prev}(w_0) w_{11}^2) \cdot ((w_4 w_7) \cdot w_{11} + w_8 + w_5) = (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5$
- $(w_8 + w_5)^2 = (w_4 w_7)^2 \cdot (w_{11}^2 \text{prev}(w_0) + w_7)$
- $(\operatorname{prev}(w_0) w_7) \cdot w_{13} = (2w_{14} 1) \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_1) w_8$
- $(2w_7 w_{13}^2 + \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot ((w_7 w_9) \cdot w_{13} + w_{10} + w_8) = (w_7 w_9) \cdot 2w_8$
- $(w_{10} + w_8)^2 = (w_7 w_9)^2 \cdot (w_{13}^2 \text{prev}(w_0) + w_9)$
- $(\operatorname{prev}(w_0) w_9) \cdot w_0 = (2w_1 1) \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_1) w_{10}$
- $(2w_9 w_0^2 + \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot ((w_9 w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10}$
- $(w_3 + w_{10})^2 = (w_9 w_2)^2 \cdot (w_0^2 \operatorname{prev}(w_0) + w_2)$

## Constraints details for VBSM<sub>1</sub>:

- $w_{11} \cdot (w_{11} 1) = 0 \longleftrightarrow b_1 \cdot (b_1 1) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} 1) = 0 \longleftrightarrow b_2 \cdot (b_2 1) = 0$
- $(w_4 w_0) \cdot w_9 = w_5 (2w_{11} 1) \cdot w_1 \longleftrightarrow (x_P x_T) \cdot s_1 = y_P (2b_1 1) \cdot y_T$
- $w_9^2 w_{10}^2 = w_0 w_7 \longleftrightarrow s_1^2 s_2^2 = x_T x_R$
- $(2 \cdot w_4 + w_0 w_9^2) \cdot (w_9 + w_{10}) = 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (s_1 + s_2) = 2y_P$
- $(w_4 w_7) \cdot w_{10} = w_8 + w_5 \longleftrightarrow (x_P x_R) \cdot s_2 = y_R + y_P$
- $(w_7 w_0) \cdot w_{12} = w_8 (2w_{14} 1) \cdot w_1 \longleftrightarrow (x_R x_T) \cdot s_3 = y_R (2b_2 1) \cdot y_T$
- $w_{12}^2 w_{13}^2 = w_0 w_2 \longleftrightarrow s_3^2 s_4^2 = x_T x_S$   $(2 \cdot w_7 + w_0 w_{12}^2) \cdot (w_{12} + w_{13}) = 2 \cdot w_8 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_R + x_T s_3^2) \cdot (s_3 + s_4) = 2 \cdot y_R$
- $(w_7 w_2) \cdot w_{13} = w_3 + w_8 \longleftrightarrow (x_R x_S) \cdot s_4 = y_S + y_R$
- $w_6 = 32 \cdot \text{next}(w_6) + 16 \cdot w_{11} + 8 \cdot w_{14} + 4 \cdot \text{next}(w_{12}) + 2 \cdot \text{next}(w_{14}) + \text{next}(w_1) \longleftrightarrow n = 0$  $32 \cdot \text{next}(n) + 16 \cdot b_1 + 8 \cdot b_2 + 4 \cdot \text{next}(b_1) + 2 \cdot \text{next}(b_2) + \text{next}(b_3)$

### Constraints details for VBSM<sub>2</sub>:

- $w_{12} \cdot (w_{12} 1) = 0 \longleftrightarrow b_1 \cdot (b_1 1) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} 1) = 0 \longleftrightarrow b_2 \cdot (b_2 1) = 0$
- $w_1 \cdot (w_1 1) = 0 \longleftrightarrow b_3 \cdot (b_3 1) = 0$
- $\bullet \ (w_4 \mathtt{prev}(w_0)) \cdot w_{11} = w_5 (2w_{12} 1) \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_1) \longleftrightarrow (x_P x_T) \cdot s_1 = y_P (2b_1 1) \cdot y_T$
- $(2 \cdot w_4 + \text{prev}(w_0) w_{11}^2) \cdot ((w_4 w_7) \cdot w_{11} + w_8 + w_5) = (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot 2w_5 \longleftrightarrow (2 \cdot x_P + x_T s_1^2) \cdot (w_4 w_7) \cdot (w_4 ((x_P - x_R) \cdot s_1 + y_R + y_P) = (x_P - x_R) \cdot 2y_P$
- $\bullet \ \ (w_8+w_5)^2=(w_4-w_7)^2\cdot (w_{11}^2-{\tt prev}(w_0)+w_7)\longleftrightarrow (y_R+y_P)^2=(x_P-x_R)^2\cdot (s_1^2-x_T+x_R)$
- $\bullet \quad (\mathtt{prev}(w_0) w_7) \cdot w_{13} = (2w_{14} 1) \cdot \mathtt{prev}(w_1) w_8 \longleftrightarrow (x_T x_R) \cdot s_3 = (2b_2 1) \cdot y_T y_R$
- $\bullet \ \ (2w_7-w_{13}^2+\operatorname{prev}(w_0))\cdot ((w_7-w_9)\cdot w_{13}+w_{10}+w_8)=(w_7-w_9)\cdot 2w_8\longleftrightarrow (2x_R-s_3^2+x_T)\cdot (2w_7-w_{13}^2+\operatorname{prev}(w_0))\cdot ((w_7-w_9)\cdot w_{13}+w_{10}+w_8)=(w_7-w_9)\cdot 2w_8\longleftrightarrow (2x_R-s_3^2+x_T)\cdot (2w_7-w_9)\cdot 2w_8\longleftrightarrow (2x_R-s_7^2+x_T)\cdot (2w_7-s_7^2+x_T)\cdot (2w_7-w_7)\cdot (2w_7-w_7)$  $((x_R - x_V) \cdot s_3 + y_V + y_R) = (x_R - x_V) \cdot 2y_R$
- $\bullet \ \ (w_{10}+w_8)^2 = (w_7-w_9)^2 \cdot (w_{13}^2 \operatorname{prev}(w_0) + w_9) \longleftrightarrow (y_V + y_R)^2 = (x_R x_V)^2 \cdot (s_3^2 x_T + x_V)$
- $\bullet \quad (\operatorname{prev}(w_0) w_9) \cdot w_0 = (2w_1 1) \cdot \operatorname{prev}(w_1) w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (x_T x_V) \cdot s_5 = (2b_3 1) \cdot y_T y_V$
- $(2w_9 w_0^2 + \text{prev}(w_0)) \cdot ((w_9 w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_{10}) = (w_9 w_2) \cdot 2w_{10} \longleftrightarrow (2x_V s_5^2 + x_T) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3 + w_3) = (w_0 w_0) \cdot ((x_V w_2) \cdot w_0 + w_3) = (w_0 w_0) \cdot ((x_V w_0) \cdot w_0 + w_0) = (w_0 w_0) \cdot ((x_V w_0) \cdot w_0) = (w_0 w_0) \cdot ((x_V$  $(x_S) \cdot s_5 + y_S + y_V) = (x_V - x_S) \cdot 2y_V$
- $\bullet \ \ (w_3+w_{10})^2=(w_9-w_2)^2\cdot(w_0^2-{\tt prev}(w_0)+w_2)\longleftrightarrow (y_S+y_V)^2=(x_V-x_S)^2\cdot(s_5^2-x_T+x_S)^2\cdot(s_5^2-x_S)^2\cdot(s_5$

#### 2.5.3Variable Base Endo-Scalar Multiplication

For S = [r]T, where  $r = [r_n...r_0]$  and  $r_i \in \{0, 1\}$ : <sup>5</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Using the results from https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1021.pdf

- 1.  $S = [2](\phi(T) + T)$
- 2. for i from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} 1$  to 0:

2.1 
$$Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$$

$$2.2 R = S + Q$$

$$2.3 \ S = R + S$$

```
Row
                   2
                         3
                                   5
                                         6 7
                                                   8
                                                             10
                                                                 11
                                                                       12
                                                                                  14
                              4
                                                        9
              y_T
                   x_S
                        y_S
                              x_P
                                   y_P
                                        n
                                             x_R
                                                   y_R
                                                        s_1
                                                             s_3
                                                                  b_1
                                                                        b_2
                                                                             b_3
                                                                                  b_4
i + 63
                                                             s_3
                                                                  b_1
                                                                        b_2
                                                                                  b_4
        x_T
                                        n - x_R
                   x_S
                        y_S
                             x_P
                                   y_P
                                                   y_R
                                                        s_1
              y_T
```

### Constraints:

- $b_1 \cdot (b_1 1) = 0$
- $b_2 \cdot (b_2 1) = 0$
- $b_3 \cdot (b_3 1) = 0$
- $b_4 \cdot (b_4 1) = 0$
- $((1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_2) \cdot x_T x_P) \cdot s_1 = (2 \cdot b_1 1) \cdot y_T y_P$
- $(2 \cdot x_P s_1^2 + (1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_2) \cdot x_T) \cdot ((x_P x_R) \cdot s_1 + y_R + y_P) = (x_P x_R) \cdot 2 \cdot y_P$
- $(y_R + y_P)^2 = (x_P x_R)^2 \cdot (s_1^2 (1 + (endo 1) \cdot b_2) \cdot x_T + x_R)$
- $((1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_2) \cdot x_T x_R) \cdot s_3 = (2 \cdot b_3 1) \cdot y_T y_R$
- $(2 \cdot x_R s_3^2 + (1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_4) \cdot x_T) \cdot ((x_R x_S) \cdot s_3 + y_S + y_R) = (x_R x_S) \cdot 2 \cdot y_R$
- $(y_S + y_R)^2 = (x_R x_S)^2 \cdot (s_3^2 (1 + (\text{endo} 1) \cdot b_4) \cdot x_T + x_S)$
- $n = 16 \cdot \text{next}(n) + 8 \cdot b_1 + 4 \cdot b_2 + 2 \cdot b_3 + b_4$

### 2.5.4 Fixed-base scalar multiplication circuit

We precompute all values  $w(B, s, k) = (k_i + 2) \cdot 8^s B$ , where  $k_i \in \{0, ...7\}$ ,  $s \in \{0, ..., 83\}$  and  $w(B, s, k) = (k_i \cdot 8^s - \sum_{j=0}^{84} 8^{j+1}) \cdot B$ , where  $k_i \in \{0, ...7\}$ , s = 84.

```
Row
        0
                                5
                                      6
                                                8
                                                          10
                                                             11 12 13
                                                                              14
                 b_2 b_3
        b_0
            b_1
                           b_4 b_5
                                     u_0
                                           u_1
                                                v_0
                                                   v_1
                                                          x_1
                                                                    x_2
                                                                              acc
i + 42 \quad b_0
           b_1
                 b_2 u_0
                               x_w
                                    y_w
                                          \alpha
                                              \beta
                          v_0
```

## Define the following functions:

- $\begin{array}{c} 1. \;\; \phi_1: (x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4) \mapsto \\ \;\; x_3 \cdot (-u_0' \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + u_0' \cdot x_1 + u_0' \cdot x_2 u_0' + u_2' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 u_2' \cdot x_2 + u_4' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 u_4' \cdot x_2 u_6' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + u_1' \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 u_1' \cdot x_1 u_1' \cdot x_2 + u_1' u_3' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + u_3' \cdot x_2 u_5' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + u_5' \cdot x_2 + u_7' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2) (x_4 u_0' \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + u_0' \cdot x_1 + u_0' \cdot x_2 u_0' + u_2' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 u_2' \cdot x_2 + u_4' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 u_4' \cdot x_2 u_6' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2) \end{array}$
- $2. \ \phi_2: (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto \\ x_3 \cdot (-v_0' \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + v_0' \cdot x_1 + v_0' \cdot x_2 v_0' + v_2' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 v_2' \cdot x_2 + v_4' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 v_4' \cdot x_2 v_6' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + v_1' \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 v_1' \cdot x_1 v_1' \cdot x_2 + v_1' v_3' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + v_3' \cdot x_2 v_5' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + v_5' \cdot x_2 + v_7' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2) (x_4 v_0' \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + v_0' \cdot x_1 + v_0' \cdot x_2 v_0' + v_2' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 v_2' \cdot x_2 + v_4' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 v_4' \cdot x_2 v_6' \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2)$

## Constraints:

- For i + 0:
  - $b_i \cdot (b_i 1) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{0, ..., 5\}$
  - $\phi_1(b_0, b_1, b_2, u_0) = 0$ , where  $(u_i', v_i') = w(B, 0, i)$

```
• \phi_1(b_3, b_4, b_5, u_1) = 0, where (u_i', v_i') = w(B, 1, i)
```

• 
$$\phi_2(b_0, b_1, b_2, v_0) = 0$$
, where  $(u_i', v_i') = w(B, 0, i)$ 

• 
$$\phi_2(b_3, b_4, b_5, v_1) = 0$$
, where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 1, i)$ 

• 
$$acc = b_0 + b_1 \cdot 2 + b_2 \cdot 2^2 + b_3 \cdot 2^3 + b_4 \cdot 2^4 + b_5 \cdot 2^5$$

• 
$$(x_1, y_1) = (u_0, v_0)$$

• 
$$(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1) + (u_1, v_1)$$
 incomplete addition, where  $x_1 \neq u_1$ 

- For  $i + z, z \in 1, ..., 41$ :
  - $b_i \cdot (b_i 1) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{0, ..., 5\}$
  - $\phi_1(b_0, b_1, b_2, u_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2, i)$
  - $\phi_1(b_3, b_4, b_5, u_1) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2 + 1, i)$
  - $\phi_2(b_0, b_1, b_2, v_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2, i)$
  - $\phi_2(b_3, b_4, b_5, v_1) = 0$ , where  $(u_i', v_i') = w(B, z \cdot 2 + 1, i)$
  - $acc = b_0 + b_1 \cdot 2 + b_2 \cdot 2^2 + b_3 \cdot 2^3 + b_4 \cdot 2^4 + b_5 \cdot 2^5 + acc_{prev} \cdot 2^6$
  - $(x_1, y_1) = (u_0, v_0) + (x_2, y_2)_{prev}$  incomplete addition, where  $u_0 \neq x_2$
  - $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1) + (u_1, v_1)$  incomplete addition, where  $x_1 \neq u_1$
- For i + 42:
  - $b_i \cdot (b_i 1) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{0, ..., 2\}$
  - $\phi_1(b_0, b_1, b_2, u_0) = 0$ , where  $(u_i', v_i') = w(B, 84, i)$
  - $\phi_2(b_0, b_1, b_2, v_0) = 0$ , where  $(u_i', v_i') = w(B, 84, i)$
  - $b = b_0 + b_1 \cdot 2 + b_2 \cdot 2^2 + acc_{prev} \cdot 2^3$
  - $(x_w, y_w) = (u_0, v_0) + (x_2, y_2)_{prev}$  complete addition from Orchard

# 2.6 Multi-Scalar Multiplication Circuit

# WIP

Input: 
$$G_0, ..., G_{k-1} \in \mathbb{G}, s_0, ..., s_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_r$$
, where  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is scalar field of  $\mathbb{G}$ . Output:  $S = \sum_{i=0}^k s_i \cdot G_i$ 

# 2.6.1 Naive Algorithm

# Using endomorphism:

- 1.  $A = \infty$
- 2. for j from 0 to k-1:

$$2.1 \ r \coloneqq s_i, T \coloneqq G_i$$

$$2.2 S = [2](\phi(T) + T)$$

2.3 for i from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$  to 0:

2.3.1 
$$Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$$

$$2.3.2 R = S + Q$$

$$2.3.3 S = R + S$$

$$2.4 A = A + S$$

rows 
$$\approx k \cdot (sm_rows + 1 + 2) \approx 67k$$
,

where  $sm_rows$  is the number of rows in the scalar multiplication circuit.

### Without endomorphism:

- 1.  $A = \infty$
- 2. for j from 0 to k-1:

$$2.1 \ r \coloneqq s_i, T \coloneqq G_i$$

$$2.2 S = [2]T$$

2.3 for 
$$i$$
 from  $n-1$  to 0:

2.3.1 
$$Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$$

$$2.3.2 R = S + Q$$

$$2.3.3 \ S = R + S$$

$$2.4 \ S = k_0 ? S - T : S$$

$$2.5 \ A = A + S$$

rows 
$$\approx k \cdot (\text{sm rows} + 1 + 1) \approx 105k$$
,

where sm\_rows is the number of rows in the scalar multiplication circuit.

# 2.6.2 Simultaneous Doubling

**Remark**: Simultaneous doubling incurs a negligible completeness error for independently chosen random terms of the sum.

## Using endomorphism:

1. 
$$A = \sum_{j=0}^{k} [2](\phi(G_j) + G_j)$$

2. for i from 
$$\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$$
 to 0:

2.1 for j from 0 to 
$$k-1$$
:

$$2.1.1 \ r := s_i, T := G_i$$

2.1.2 
$$Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$$

$$2.1.3 \ A = A + Q$$

2.2 if 
$$i \neq 0$$
:

$$2.2.1 \ A = 2 \cdot A$$

$$rows \approx \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot (k \cdot add\_rows + dbl\_rows) + 2k \approx 64 \cdot (k+1) \approx 66k + 64$$

where

- add\_rows is the number of rows in the addition circuit.
- dbl\_rows is the number of rows in the doubling circuit.

## Without endomorphism:

1. 
$$A = \sum_{j=0}^{k} [2]G_j$$

2. for i from n-1 to 0:

2.1 for 
$$j$$
 from 0 to  $k-1$ :

$$2.1.1 \ r \coloneqq s_j, T \coloneqq G_j$$

$$2.1.2 \ Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$$

$$2.1.3 \ A = A + Q$$

2.2 if  $i \neq 0$ :

$$2.2.1 \ A = 2 \cdot A$$

3. 
$$A = A + \sum_{j=0}^{k} [1 - s_{j,0}]G_j$$

$$rows \approx \frac{2}{5}n \cdot (k \cdot add\_rows + dbl\_rows) + k \approx 103 \cdot (k+1) + 2k \approx 104k + 103,$$

where

- add\_rows is the number of rows in the addition circuit.
- dbl\_rows is the number of rows in the doubling circuit.

#### Poseidon Circuit 2.7

### WIP

Mina uses Poseidon hash with width = 3. Therefore, each permutation state is represented by 3 elements and each row contains 5 states.

Denote *i*-th permutation state by  $T_i = (T_{i,0}, T_{i,1}, T_{i,2})$ .

State change constraints:

$$\mathtt{STATE}(i+1) = \mathtt{STATE}(i)^{\alpha} \cdot \mathtt{MDS} + \mathtt{RC}$$

Denote the index of the first state in the row by start (e.g. start = 50 for 10-th row). We can expand the previous formula to:

- For i from start to start + 5:

  - $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \ T_{i+1,0} = T_{i,0}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[0][0] + T_{i,1}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[0][1] + T_{i,2}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[0][2] + \mathrm{RC}_{i+1,0} \\ \bullet \ T_{i+1,1} = T_{i,0}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[1][0] + T_{i,1}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[1][1] + T_{i,2}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[1][2] + \mathrm{RC}_{i+1,1} \\ \bullet \ T_{i+1,2} = T_{i,0}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[2][0] + T_{i,1}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[2][1] + T_{i,2}^5 \cdot \mathrm{MDS}[2][2] + \mathrm{RC}_{i+1,2} \end{array}$

Notice that the constraints above include the state from the next row (start + 5).

#### Other Circuits 2.8

WIP

#### 2.8.1 Combined Inner Product

$$\sum_{i=0}^{k} \xi^{i} \cdot (f_{i}(\zeta_{1}) + r \cdot f_{i}(\zeta_{2}))$$

Constraints for i + z, where  $z \mod 2 = 0$ :

- $(w_0 + w_1 \cdot \text{next}(w_5)) \cdot w_6 = w_7$
- $(w_2+w_3\cdot \mathtt{next}(w_5))\cdot w_9=w_8$
- $w_5 \cdot w_6 = w_9$
- $w_5 \cdot w_9 = \mathtt{next}(w_9)$
- $w_5 \cdot \operatorname{next}(w_9) = \operatorname{next}(w_5)$
- $w_4 + w_7 + w_8 + \text{next}(w_7) + \text{next}(w_8) = \text{next}(w_4)$

Constraints for i + z, where  $z \mod 2 = 1$ :

- $(w_0 + w_1 \cdot w_5) \cdot w_9 = w_7$
- $(w_2 + w_3 \cdot w_5) \cdot w_6 = w_8$

# Chapter 3

# In-EVM State Proof Verifier

This introduces a description for in-EVM Mina Protocol state proof verification mechanism. Crucial components which define this part design are:

- $1. \ \ Verification \ architecture \ description.$
- 2. Verification logic API reference.
- 3. Input data structures description.
- 3.1 Verification Logic Architecture
- 3.2 Verification Logic API Reference
- 3.3 Input Data Structures

# Bibliography

- 1. Kattis A., Panarin K., Vlasov A. RedShift: Transparent SNARKs from List Polynomial Commitment IOPs. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1400. 2019. https://ia.cr/2019/1400.
- Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J., Ciobotaru O. PLONK: Permutations over Lagrange-bases for Oecumenical Noninteractive arguments of Knowledge. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/953. 2019. https://ia.cr/2019/953.
- 3. Fast Reed-Solomon interactive oracle proofs of proximity / E. Ben-Sasson, I. Bentov, Y. Horesh et al. // 45th international colloquium on automata, languages, and programming (icalp 2018) / Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. 2018.
- 4. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. Proposal: The Turbo-PLONK program syntax for specifying SNARK programs. https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo\_plonk.pdf.
- 5. PLONKish Arithmetization The halo2 book. https://zcash.github.io/halo2/concepts/arithmetization.html.
- 6. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. plookup: A simplified polynomial protocol for lookup tables. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2020/315. 2020. https://ia.cr/2020/315.
- 7. Lookup argument The halo2 book. https://zcash.github.io/halo2/design/proving-system/lookup.html.
- 8. Chiesa A., Ojha D., Spooner N. Fractal: Post-Quantum and Transparent Recursive Proofs from Holography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1076. 2019. https://ia.cr/2019/1076.