

# In-EVM Mina State Verification Proof System Description

Cherniaeva Alisa

[a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation](mailto:a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation)

=nil; Crypto3 (<https://crypto3.nil.foundation>)

Shirobokov Ilia

[i.shirobokov@nil.foundation](mailto:i.shirobokov@nil.foundation)

=nil; Crypto3 (<https://crypto3.nil.foundation>)

October 29, 2021

## 1 Introduction

### WIP

To prove Mina blockchain's state on the Ethereum Virtual Machine, we use Redshift SNARK[1]. RedShift is a transparent SNARK that uses PLONK[2] proof system but replaces the commitment scheme. The authors utilize FRI[3] protocol to obtain transparency for the PLONK system.

However, FRI cannot be straightforwardly used with the PLONK system. To achieve the required security level without huge overheads, the authors introduce *list polynomial commitment* scheme as a part of the protocol. For more details, we refer the reader to [1].

The original RedShift protocol utilizes the classic PLONK[2] system. To provide better performance, we generalize the original protocol for use with PLONK with custom gates [4], [5] and lookup arguments [6], [7].

## 2 RedShift Protocol

### WIP

Notations:

|   |   |
|---|---|
| $N_{\text{wires}}$  | Number of wires ('advice columns')                            |
| $N_{\text{perm}}$   | Number of wires that are included in the permutation argument |
| $N_{\text{sel}}$  | Number of selectors used in the circuit                       |
| $N_{\text{const}}$  | Number of constant columns                                    |
| $\mathbf{f}_i$  | Witness polynomials, $0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$            |
| $\mathbf{f}_{c_i}$  | Constant-related polynomials, $0 \leq i < N_{\text{const}}$   |
| $\mathbf{gate}_i$   | Gate polynomials, $0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}$                 |
| $\sigma(\text{col} : i, \text{row} : j) = (\text{col} : i', \text{row} : j')$ | Permutation over the table                                    |

For details on polynomial commitment scheme and polynomial evaluation scheme, we refer the reader to [1].

**Preprocessing:**

- 
1.  $\mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{q}_0, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{N_{\text{sel}}})$
  2. Let  $\omega$  be a  $2^k$  root of unity
  3. Let  $\delta$  be a  $T$  root of unity, where  $T \cdot 2^S + 1 = p$  with  $T$  odd and  $k \leq S$
  4. Compute  $N_{\text{perm}}$  permutation polynomials  $S_{\sigma_i}(X)$  such that  $S_{\sigma_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^{i'} \cdot \omega^{j'}$
  5. Compute  $N_{\text{perm}}$  identity permutation polynomials:  $S_{id_i}(X)$  such that  $S_{id_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^i \cdot \omega^j$
- 

**Protocol (Prover):**

1. Choose masking polynomials:

$$h_i(x) \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_{<k}[x] \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

2. Define new witness polynomials:

$$f_i(x) = \mathbf{f}_i(x) + h_i(x)Z(x) \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

3. Send commitments to  $f_i$  to  $\mathbf{V}$

4. Get  $\beta, \gamma \leftarrow \mathbb{F}$  from  $\mathbf{V}$

5. For  $0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}$

$$\begin{aligned} p_j &= f_j + \beta \cdot S_{id_j} + \gamma \\ q_j &= f_j + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_j} + \gamma \end{aligned}$$

6. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} p'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}} p_j(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \\ q'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}} q_j(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \end{aligned}$$

7. Compute  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<n+1}[X]$ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(g) &= Q(g) = 1 \\ P(g^i) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < i} p'(g^j) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1 \\ Q(g^i) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < i} q'(g^j) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1 \end{aligned}$$

8. Compute and send commitments to  $P$  and  $Q$  to  $\mathbf{V}$

9. Get  $a_1, \dots, a_6 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}$  from  $\mathbf{V}$

10. Define polynomials ( $F_1, \dots, F_5$  - copy-satisfability):

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) &= L_1(x)(P(x) - 1) \\ F_2(x) &= L_1(x)(Q(x) - 1) \\ F_3(x) &= P(x)p'(x) - P(xg) \\ F_4(x) &= Q(x)q'(x) - Q(xg) \\ F_5(x) &= L_n(x)(P(xg) - Q(xg)) \\ F_6(x) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}} (\mathbf{q}_i(x) \cdot \text{gate}_i(x)) + \left( \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{const}}} (\mathbf{f}_{c_i}(x)) + PI(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

11. Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i F_i(x) \\ T(x) &= \frac{F(x)}{Z(x)} \end{aligned}$$

12. Split  $T(x)$  into separate polynomials  $T_0(x), \dots, T_{N_{\text{perm}}+1}$
13. Send commitments to  $T_0(x), \dots, T_{N_{\text{perm}}+1}$  to  $\mathbf{V}$
14. Get  $\mathbf{P} \ y \leftarrow \mathbb{F}/H$  from  $\mathbf{V}$
15. Run evaluation scheme with the committed polynomials and  $y$
16.  $\mathbf{V}$  checks the identity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i F_i(y) = Z(y)T(y)$$

## 2.1 Non-Interactive Verification

1. Let  $f_{0,\text{comm}}, \dots, f_{N_{\text{vires}},\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $f_0, \dots, f_{N_{\text{vires}}}$
2.  $\text{transcript} = \text{setup\_values} || f_{0,\text{comm}} || \dots || f_{N_{\text{vires}},\text{comm}}$
3.  $\beta, \gamma = H(\text{transcript})$
4. Let  $P_{\text{comm}}, Q_{\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $P(X), Q(X)$
5.  $\text{transcript} = \text{transcript} || P_{\text{comm}} || Q_{\text{comm}}$
6.  $a_1, \dots, a_6 = H(\text{transcript})$
7. Let  $T_{0,\text{comm}}(x), \dots, T_{N_{\text{perm,comm}}+1}$  be commitments to  $T_0(x), \dots, T_{N_{\text{perm}}+1}$
8.  $\text{transcript} = \text{transcript} || T_{0,\text{comm}}(x) || \dots || T_{N_{\text{perm,comm}}+1}$
9.  $y = H_{\mathbb{F}/H}(\text{transcript})$
10. Run evaluation scheme verification with the committed polynomials and  $y$  to get values  $f_i(y), P(y), P(y\omega), Q(y), Q(y\omega), T_j(y)$ .  
**Remark:** Depending on the circuit, evaluation can be done also on  $f_i(y\omega), f_i(y\omega^{-1})$  for some  $i$ .
11. Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(y) &= L_1(y)(P(y) - 1) \\
F_2(y) &= L_1(y)(Q(y) - 1) \\
p'(y) &= \prod p_i(y) = \prod f_i(y) + \beta \cdot S_{id_i}(y) + \gamma \\
F_3(y) &= P(y)p'(y) - P(y\omega) \\
q'(y) &= \prod q_i(y) = \prod f_i(y) + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_i}(y) + \gamma \\
F_4(y) &= Q(y)q'(y) - Q(y\omega) \\
F_5(y) &= L_n(y)(P(y\omega) - Q(y\omega)) \\
F_6(y) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}} (\mathbf{q}_i(y) \cdot \text{gate}_i(y)) + \left( \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{const}}} (\mathbf{f}_{c_i}(y)) + PI(y) \right) \\
T(y) &= \sum_{0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}} y^{n \cdot j} T_j(y)
\end{aligned}$$

12. Check the identity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i F_i(y) = Z(y)T(y)$$

## 3 Optimizations

WIP

## References

1. Kattis A., Panarin K., Vlasov A. RedShift: Transparent SNARKs from List Polynomial Commitment IOPs. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1400. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/1400>.
2. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J., Ciobotaru O. PLONK: Permutations over Lagrange-bases for Oecumenical Noninteractive arguments of Knowledge. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/953. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/953>.
3. Fast Reed-Solomon interactive oracle proofs of proximity / E. Ben-Sasson, I. Bentov, Y. Horesh et al. // 45th international colloquium on automata, languages, and programming (icalp 2018) / Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. 2018.
4. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. Proposal: The Turbo-PLONK program syntax for specifying SNARK programs. [https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo\\_plonk.pdf](https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo_plonk.pdf).
5. PLONKish Arithmetization - The halo2 book. <https://zcash.github.io/halo2/concepts/arithmetization.html>.
6. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. plookup: A simplified polynomial protocol for lookup tables. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2020/315. 2020. <https://ia.cr/2020/315>.
7. Lookup argument - The halo2 book. <https://zcash.github.io/halo2/design/proving-system/lookup.html>.