

In-EVM Mina State Verification Proof System Description

Cherniaeva Alisa

a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation

=nil; Crypto3 (<https://crypto3.nil.foundation>)

Shirobokov Ilia

i.shirobokov@nil.foundation

=nil; Crypto3 (<https://crypto3.nil.foundation>)

October 28, 2021

1 Introduction

WIP

To prove Mina blockchain's state on the Ethereum Virtual Machine, we use Redshift SNARK[1]. RedShift is a transparent SNARK that uses PLONK[2] proof system but replaces the commitment scheme. The authors utilize FRI[3] protocol to obtain transparency for the PLONK system.

However, FRI cannot be straightforwardly used with the PLONK system. To achieve the required security level without huge overheads, the authors introduce *list polynomial commitment* scheme as a part of the protocol. For more details, we refer the reader to [1].

The original RedShift protocol utilizes the classic PLONK[2] system. To provide better performance, we generalize the original protocol for use with PLONK with custom gates [4], [5] and lookup arguments [6], [7].

2 RedShift Protocol

WIP

Notations:

- N_{wires} is the number of wires ('advice columns').
- N_{perm} is the number of wires that are included in the permutation argument
- N_{sel} is the number of selectors used in the circuit
- N_{const} is the number of constant columns
- Permutation over the table: $\sigma(\text{column} : i, \text{row} : j) = (\text{column} : i', \text{row} : j')$
- \mathbf{f}_i is witness polynomial for $0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$
- \mathbf{f}_{c_i} is constant-related polynomial for $0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$
- \mathbf{gate}_i is gate polynomial for $0 \leq i < N_{\text{const}}$

adv be the number of advice columns, τ be the number the fixed columns. Let .

For details on polynomial commitment scheme and polynomial evaluation scheme, we refer the reader to [1].

Preprocessing:

1. $\mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{q}_0, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{N_{\text{sel}}})$
2. Let ω be a 2^k root of unity
3. Let δ be a T root of unity, where $T \cdot 2^S + 1 = p$ with T odd and $k \leq S$
4. Compute N_{perm} permutation polynomials $S_{\sigma_i}(X)$ such that $S_{\sigma_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^{i'} \cdot \omega^{j'}$
5. Compute N_{perm} identity permutation polynomials: $S_{id_i}(X)$ such that $S_{id_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^i \cdot \omega^j$

Protocol:

1. **P**:

1.1 Choose masking polynomials:

$$h_i(x) \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_{<k}[x] \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

1.2 Define new witness polynomials:

$$f_i(x) = \mathbf{f}_i(x) + h_i(x)Z(x) \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

2. **V**:

2.1 Send to **P**: $\beta, \gamma \leftarrow \mathbb{F}$

3. **P**:

3.1 Compute for $0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}$

$$\begin{aligned} p_j &= f_j + \beta \cdot S_{id_j} + \gamma \\ q_j &= f_j + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_j} + \gamma \end{aligned}$$

3.2 Define:

$$\begin{aligned} p'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}} p_j(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \\ q'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq j < N_{\text{perm}}} q_j(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \end{aligned}$$

3.3 Compute $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<n+1}[X]$, such that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(g) &= Q(g) = 1 \\ P(g^i) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < i} p'(g^j) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1 \\ Q(g^i) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < i} q'(g^j) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1 \end{aligned}$$

3.4 Compute and send commitments to P and Q to **V**

4. **V**:

4.1 Send to **P**: $a_1, \dots, a_6 \leftarrow \mathbb{F}$

5. **P**:

5.1 Define polynomials (F_1, \dots, F_5 - copy-satisfiability):

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(x) &= L_1(x)(P(x) - 1) \\ F_2(x) &= L_1(x)(Q(x) - 1) \\ F_3(x) &= P(x)p'(x) - P(xg) \\ F_4(x) &= Q(x)q'(x) - Q(xg) \\ F_5(x) &= L_n(x)(P(xg) - Q(xg)) \\ F_6(x) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}} (\mathbf{q}_i(x) \cdot \mathbf{gate}_i(x)) + \left(\sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{const}}} (\mathbf{f}_{c_i}(x)) + PI(x) \right) \end{aligned}$$

5.2 Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} F(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^6 a_i F_i(x) \\ T(x) &= \frac{F(x)}{Z(x)} \end{aligned}$$

5.3 Split $T(x)$ into separate polynomials $T_0(x), \dots, T_{N_{\text{perm}}+1}$

5.4 Send commitment to $T_0(x), \dots, T_{N_{\text{perm}}+1}$ to **V**

6. **V**:

6.1 Send to **P**: $y \leftarrow \mathbb{F}/H$

7. **P**:

8. Run evaluation scheme over committed polynomials and y

9. V

9.1 Checks the identity:

$$\sum_{i=1}^6 a_i F_i(y) = Z(y)T(y)$$

3 RedShift Verification

WIP

4 Optimizations

WIP

References

1. Kattis A., Panarin K., Vlasov A. RedShift: Transparent SNARKs from List Polynomial Commitment IOPs. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1400. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/1400>.
2. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J., Ciobotaru O. PLONK: Permutations over Lagrange-bases for Oecumenical Noninteractive arguments of Knowledge. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/953. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/953>.
3. Fast Reed-Solomon interactive oracle proofs of proximity / E. Ben-Sasson, I. Bentov, Y. Horesh et al. // 45th international colloquium on automata, languages, and programming (icalp 2018) / Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. 2018.
4. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. Proposal: The Turbo-PLONK program syntax for specifying SNARK programs. https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo_plonk.pdf.
5. PLONKish Arithmetization - The halo2 book. <https://zcash.github.io/halo2/concepts/arithmetization.html>.
6. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. plookup: A simplified polynomial protocol for lookup tables. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2020/315. 2020. <https://ia.cr/2020/315>.
7. Lookup argument - The halo2 book. <https://zcash.github.io/halo2/design/proving-system/lookup.html>.