

# In-EVM Mina State Verification

## Technical Reference

Alisa Cherniaeva

[a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation](mailto:a.cherniaeva@nil.foundation)

=nil; Crypto3 (<https://crypto3.nil.foundation>)

Ilia Shirobokov

[i.shirobokov@nil.foundation](mailto:i.shirobokov@nil.foundation)

=nil; Crypto3 (<https://crypto3.nil.foundation>)

Mikhail Komarov

[nemo@nil.foundation](mailto:nemo@nil.foundation)

=nil; Foundation (<https://nil.foundation>)

March 31, 2022

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
1.1	Overview	2
<b>2</b>	<b>State Proof Generator</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Introduction	3
2.2	Optimizations	3
2.2.1	Batched FRI	3
2.2.2	Hash By Column	3
2.2.3	Hash By Subset	4
2.3	RedShift Protocol	4
2.3.1	Prover View	4
2.3.2	Verifier View	6
2.4	Mina Verification Algorithm	6
2.4.1	Pasta Curves	6
2.4.2	Verification Algorithm	7
2.5	Elliptic Curve Arithmetic	9
2.5.1	Unified Incomplete Addition and Doubling	9
2.5.2	Variable Base Scalar Multiplication	11
2.5.3	Variable Base Endo-Scalar Multiplication	12
2.5.4	Fixed-base scalar multiplication circuit	13
2.6	Multi-Scalar Multiplication Circuit	14
2.6.1	Naive Algorithm	14
2.6.2	Simultaneous Doubling	15
2.7	Poseidon Circuit	15
2.8	Other Circuits	16
2.8.1	Combined Inner Product	16
2.8.2	Endo-Scalar Computation	16
2.9	Proof Verification Component	17
<b>3</b>	<b>In-EVM State Proof Verifier</b>	<b>22</b>
3.1	Verification Logic Architecture	22
3.2	Verification Logic API Reference	22
3.3	Input Data Structures	22
<b>4</b>	<b>Appendix A. In-EVM Mina State</b>	<b>23</b>
4.1	Overview	23
4.1.1	Purpose	24
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>25</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

This document is a technical reference to the in-EVM Mina state verification project.

### 1.1 Overview

The project's purpose is to provide Ethereum users with reliable Mina Protocol's state proof. The project UX consists of several steps:

1. Retrieve Mina Protocol's state proof.
2. Preprocess it by generating an auxiliary proof.
3. Submit the preprocessed proof to EVM-enabled cluster.
4. Verify the proof with EVM.

Such a UX defines projects parts:

1. Mina Protocol's state retriever (O(1) Labs' or Chainsafe's protocol implementation).
2. State proof generator.
3. Ethereum RPC proof submitter.
4. EVM-based proof verifier.

The overall architecture diagram is as follows:

Each of these parts will be considered independently.

# Chapter 2

## State Proof Generator

This introduces a description for Mina Protocol’s state auxiliary proof generator. Crucial components which define this part design and performance are:

1. Input data format (Pickles proof data structure: [2.4.2](#))
2. Proof system used for the proof generation.
3. Circuit definition used for the proof system.

### 2.1 Introduction

#### WIP

To prove Mina blockchain’s state on the Ethereum Virtual Machine, we use Redshift SNARK[[1](#)]. RedShift is a transparent SNARK that uses PLONK[[2](#)] proof system but replaces the commitment scheme. The authors utilize FRI[[3](#)] protocol to obtain transparency for the PLONK system.

However, FRI cannot be straightforwardly used with the PLONK system. To achieve the required security level without huge overheads, the authors introduce *list polynomial commitment* scheme as a part of the protocol. For more details, we refer the reader to [[1](#)].

The original RedShift protocol utilizes the classic PLONK[[2](#)] system. To provide better performance, we generalize the original protocol for use with PLONK with custom gates [[4](#)], [[5](#)] and lookup arguments [[6](#)], [[7](#)].

### 2.2 Optimizations

#### WIP

#### 2.2.1 Batched FRI

Instead of checking each commitment individually, it is possible to aggregate them for FRI. For polynomials  $f_0, \dots, f_k$ :

1. Get  $\theta$  from transcript
2.  $f = f_0 \cdot \theta^{k-1} + \dots + f_k$
3. Run FRI over  $f$ , using oracles to  $f_0, \dots, f_k$

Thus, we can run only one FRI instance for all committed polynomials. See [[1](#)] for details.

#### 2.2.2 Hash By Column

Instead of committing each of the polynomials, it is possible to use the same Merkle tree for several polynomials. This leads to the decrease of the number of Merkle tree paths which are required to be provided by the prover.

See [[8](#)], [[1](#)] for details.

### 2.2.3 Hash By Subset

Each  $i + 1$  FRI round supposes the prover to send all elements from a coset  $H \in D^{(i)}$ . Each Merkle leaf is able to contain the whole coset instead of separate values.

See [8] for details. Similar approach is described in [1]. However, the authors of [1] use more values per leaf, that leads to better performance.

## 2.3 RedShift Protocol

### WIP

Notations:

$N_{\text{wires}}$	Number of wires ('advice columns')
$N_{\text{perm}}$	Number of wires that are included in the permutation argument
$N_{\text{sel}}$	Number of selectors used in the circuit
$N_{\text{const}}$	Number of constant columns
$\mathbf{f}_i$	Witness polynomials, $0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$
$\mathbf{f}_{c_i}$	Constant-related polynomials, $0 \leq i < N_{\text{const}}$
$\mathbf{gate}_i$	Gate polynomials, $0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}$
$\sigma(\text{col} : i, \text{row} : j) = (\text{col} : i', \text{row} : j')$	Permutation over the table

For details on polynomial commitment scheme and polynomial evaluation scheme, we refer the reader to [1].

- 
1.  $\mathcal{L}' = (\mathbf{q}_0, \dots, \mathbf{q}_{N_{\text{sel}}})$
  2. Let  $\omega$  be a  $2^k$  root of unity
  3. Let  $\delta$  be a  $T$  root of unity, where  $T \cdot 2^S + 1 = p$  with  $T$  odd and  $k \leq S$
  4. Compute  $N_{\text{perm}}$  permutation polynomials  $S_{\sigma_i}(X)$  such that  $S_{\sigma_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^{i'} \cdot \omega^{j'}$
  5. Compute  $N_{\text{perm}}$  identity permutation polynomials:  $S_{id_i}(X)$  such that  $S_{id_i}(\omega^j) = \delta^i \cdot \omega^j$
  6. Let  $H = \{\omega^0, \dots, \omega^n\}$  be a cyclic subgroup of  $\mathbb{F}^*$
  7. Let  $Z(X) = \prod a \in H^*(X - a)$
- 

### Preprocessing:

#### 2.3.1 Prover View

1. Choose masking polynomials:

$$h_i(X) \leftarrow \mathbb{F}_{<k}[X] \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

**Remark:** For details on choice of  $k$ , we refer the reader to [1].

2. Define new witness polynomials:

$$f_i(X) = \mathbf{f}_i(X) + h_i(X)Z(X) \text{ for } 0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$$

3. Add commitments to  $f_i$  to transcript
4. Get  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}$  from  $\text{hash}(\text{transcript})$
5. For  $0 \leq i < N_{\text{perm}}$

$$\begin{aligned} p_i &= f_i + \beta \cdot S_{id_i} + \gamma \\ q_i &= f_i + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_i} + \gamma \end{aligned}$$

6. Define:

$$\begin{aligned} p'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{perm}}} p_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \\ q'(X) &= \prod_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{perm}}} q_i(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<N_{\text{perm}} \cdot n}[X] \end{aligned}$$

7. Compute  $P(X), Q(X) \in \mathbb{F}_{<n+1}[X]$ , such that:

$$\begin{aligned} P(\omega) &= Q(\omega) = 1 \\ P(\omega^i) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < i} p'(\omega^j) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1 \\ Q(\omega^i) &= \prod_{1 \leq j < i} q'(\omega^j) \text{ for } i \in 2, \dots, n+1 \end{aligned}$$

8. Compute commitments to  $P, Q$  and add them to transcript.

9. Get  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_5 \in \mathbb{F}$  from  $\text{hash}(\text{transcript})$

10. Get  $\tau$  from  $\text{hash}(\text{transcript})$

11. Define polynomials ( $F_0, \dots, F_4$  - copy-satisfiability,  $\mathbf{gate}_0$  is  $PI$ -constraining gate)):

$$\begin{aligned} F_0(X) &= L_1(X)(P(X) - 1) \\ F_1(X) &= L_1(X)(Q(X) - 1) \\ F_2(X) &= P(X)p'(X) - P(X\omega) \\ F_3(X) &= Q(X)q'(X) - Q(X\omega) \\ F_4(X) &= L_n(X)(P(X\omega) - Q(X\omega)) \\ F_5(X) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}} (\tau^i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i(X) \cdot \mathbf{gate}_i(X)) + PI(X) \end{aligned}$$

12. Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} F(X) &= \sum_{i=0}^5 \alpha_i F_i(X) \\ T(X) &= \frac{F(X)}{Z(X)} \end{aligned}$$

13.  $N_T := \max(N_{\text{perm}}, \mathbf{deg}_{\text{gates}} - 1)$ , where  $\mathbf{deg}_{\text{gates}}$  is the highest degree of the degrees of gate polynomials.

14. Split  $T(X)$  into separate polynomials  $T_0(X), \dots, T_{N_T-1}(X)$ <sup>1</sup>

15. Add commitments to  $T_0(X), \dots, T_{N_T-1}(X)$  to transcript.

16. Get  $y \in \mathbb{F}/H$  from  $\text{hash}(\text{transcript})$

17. Run evaluation scheme with the committed polynomials and  $y$ .

**Remark:** Depending on the circuit, evaluation can be done also on  $y\omega, y\omega^{-1}$ .

18. The proof is  $\pi_{\text{comm}}$  and  $\pi_{\text{eval}}$ , where:

- $\pi_{\text{comm}} = \{f_{0,\text{comm}}, \dots, f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1,\text{comm}}, P_{\text{comm}}, Q_{\text{comm}}, T_{0,\text{comm}}, \dots, T_{N_T-1,\text{comm}}\}$
- $\pi_{\text{eval}}$  is evaluation proofs for  $f_0(y), \dots, f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1}(y), P(y), P(y\omega), Q(y), Q(y\omega), T_0(y), \dots, T_{N_T-1}(y)$

---

<sup>1</sup>Commit scheme supposes that polynomials should be degree  $\leq n$

### 2.3.2 Verifier View

1. Let  $f_{0,\text{comm}}, \dots, f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1,\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $f_0(X), \dots, f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1}(X)$
2.  $\text{transcript} = \text{setup\_values} || f_{0,\text{comm}} || \dots || f_{N_{\text{wires}}-1,\text{comm}}$
3.  $\beta, \gamma = \text{hash}(\text{transcript})$
4. Let  $P_{\text{comm}}, Q_{\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $P(X), Q(X)$
5.  $\text{transcript} = \text{transcript} || P_{\text{comm}} || Q_{\text{comm}}$
6.  $\alpha_0, \dots, \alpha_5 = \text{hash}(\text{transcript})$
7.  $\tau = \text{hash}(\text{transcript})$
8.  $N_T := \max(N_{\text{perm}}, \deg_{\text{gates}} - 1)$ , where  $\deg_{\text{gates}}$  is the highest degree of the degrees of gate polynomials.
9. Let  $T_{0,\text{comm}}, \dots, T_{N_T-1,\text{comm}}$  be commitments to  $T_0(X), \dots, T_{N_T-1}(X)$
10.  $\text{transcript} = \text{transcript} || T_{0,\text{comm}} || \dots || T_{N_T-1,\text{comm}}$
11.  $y = \text{hash}_{\mathbb{F}/H}(\text{transcript})$
12. Run evaluation scheme verification with the committed polynomials and  $y$  to check values  $f_i(y), P(y), P(y\omega), Q(y), Q(y\omega), T_j(y)$ .  
**Remark:** Depending on the circuit, evaluation can be done also on  $f_i(y\omega), f_i(y\omega^{-1})$  for some  $i$ .
13. Calculate:

$$\begin{aligned}
F_0(y) &= L_1(y)(P(y) - 1) \\
F_1(y) &= L_1(y)(Q(y) - 1) \\
p'(y) &= \prod p_i(y) = \prod f_i(y) + \beta \cdot S_{id_i}(y) + \gamma \\
F_2(y) &= P(y)p'(y) - P(y\omega) \\
q'(y) &= \prod q_i(y) = \prod f_i(y) + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_i}(y) + \gamma \\
F_3(y) &= Q(y)q'(y) - Q(y\omega) \\
F_4(y) &= L_n(y)(P(y\omega) - Q(y\omega)) \\
F_5(y) &= \sum_{0 \leq i < N_{\text{sel}}} (\tau^i \cdot \mathbf{q}_i(y) \cdot \text{gate}_i(y)) + PI(y) \\
T(y) &= \sum_{0 \leq j < N_T} y^{n \cdot j} T_j(y)
\end{aligned}$$

14. Check the identity:

$$\sum_{i=0}^5 \alpha_i F_i(y) = Z(y)T(y)$$

## 2.4 Mina Verification Algorithm

WIP

### 2.4.1 Pasta Curves

Let  $n_1 = 17, n_2 = 16$ . Pasta curves parameters:

- $p = 2^{254} + 45560315531419706090280762371685220353$
- $q = 2^{254} + 45560315531506369815346746415080538113$
- Pallas:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{G}_1 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_p | y^2 = x^3 + 5\} \\
|\mathbb{G}_1| &= q
\end{aligned}$$

- Vesta:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{G}_2 &= \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_q | y^2 = x^3 + 5\} \\
|\mathbb{G}_2| &= p
\end{aligned}$$

## 2.4.2 Verification Algorithm

### Notations

$N_{\text{wires}}$	Number of wires ('advice columns')
$N_{\text{perm}}$	Number of wires that are included in the permutation argument
$N_{\text{prev}}$	Number of previous challenges
$S_{\sigma_i}(X)$	Permutation polynomials for $0 \leq i < N_{\text{perm}}$
$pub(X)$	Public input polynomial
$w_i(X)$	Witness polynomials for $0 \leq i < N_{\text{wires}}$
$\eta_i(X)$	Previous challenges polynomials for $0 \leq i < N_{\text{prev}}$
$\omega$	$n$ -th root of unity

Denote multi-scalar multiplication  $\sum_{s_i \in \mathbf{s}, G_i \in \mathbf{G}} [s_i]G_i$  by  $\text{MSM}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{G})$  for  $l_{\mathbf{s}} = l_{\mathbf{G}}$  where  $l_{\mathbf{s}} = |\mathbf{s}|$ ,  $l_{\mathbf{G}} = |\mathbf{G}|$ . If  $l_{\mathbf{s}} < l_{\mathbf{G}}$ , then we use only first  $l_{\mathbf{s}}$  elements of  $\mathbf{G}$

**Proof**  $\pi$  contains (here  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is a scalar field of  $\mathbb{G}$ ):

- Commitments:
  - Witness polynomials:  $w_{0,\text{comm}}, \dots, w_{N_{\text{wires}},\text{comm}} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - Permutation polynomial:  $z_{\text{comm}} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - Quotient polynomial:  $t_{\text{comm}} = (t_{1,\text{comm}}, t_{2,\text{comm}}, \dots, t_{N_{\text{perm}},\text{comm}}) \in (\mathbb{G}^{N_{\text{perm}}} \times \mathbb{G})$
- Evaluations:
  - $w_0(\zeta), \dots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $w_0(\zeta\omega), \dots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $z(\zeta), z(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta), \dots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}(\zeta) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta\omega), \dots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$
  - $\bar{L}(\zeta\omega) \in \mathbb{F}_r$ <sup>2</sup>
- Opening proof  $o_{\pi}$  for inner product argument:
  - $(L_i, R_i) \in \mathbb{G} \times \mathbb{G}$  for  $0 \leq i < \text{lr\_rounds}$
  - $\delta, \hat{G} \in \mathbb{G}$
  - $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{F}_r$
- previous challenges:
  - $\{\eta_i(\xi_j)\}_j, \eta_{i,\text{comm}}$ , for  $0 \leq i < \text{prev}$

**Remark:** For simplicity, we do not use distinct proofs index  $i$  for each element in the algorithm below. For instance, we write  $pub_{\text{comm}}$  instead of  $pub_{i,\text{comm}}$ .

<sup>2</sup>See [https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/crypto/plonk/maller\\_15.html](https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/crypto/plonk/maller_15.html)



---

**Algorithm 1** Verification

---

**Input:**  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\text{batch\_size}}$  (see 2.4.2)**Output:** acc or rej

1. for each  $\pi_i$ :
    - 1.1  $\text{pub}_{\text{comm}} = \text{MSM}(\mathbf{L}, \text{pub}) \in \mathbb{G}$ , where  $\mathbf{L}$  is Lagrange bases vector
    - 1.2 **random\_oracle**( $p_{\text{comm}}, \pi_i$ ):
      - 1.2.1  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(\text{pub}_{\text{comm}} || w_{0,\text{comm}} || \dots || w_{N_{\text{wires}},\text{comm}})$
      - 1.2.2  $\beta, \gamma = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}()$
      - 1.2.3  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(z_{\text{comm}})$
      - 1.2.4  $\alpha = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}())$
      - 1.2.5  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(t_{1,\text{comm}} || \dots || t_{N_{\text{perm}},\text{comm}} || \dots || \infty ||)$
      - 1.2.6  $\zeta = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}())$
      - 1.2.7 Transform  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}$  to  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}$
      - 1.2.8  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\text{pub}(\zeta) || w_0(\zeta) || \dots || w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta) || S_0(\zeta) || \dots || S_{N_{\text{perm}}}(\zeta))$
      - 1.2.9  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\text{pub}(\zeta\omega) || w_0(\zeta\omega) || \dots || w_{N_{\text{wires}}}(\zeta\omega) || S_0(\zeta\omega) || \dots || S_{N_{\text{perm}}}(\zeta\omega))$
      - 1.2.10  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\bar{L}(\zeta\omega))$
      - 1.2.11  $v = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{squeeze}())$
      - 1.2.12  $u = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{squeeze}())$
      - 1.2.13 Compute evaluation of  $\eta_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta\omega)$  for  $0 \leq i < N_{\text{prev}}$
      - 1.2.14 Compute evaluation of  $\bar{L}(\zeta)$
    - 1.3  $\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}} := \{S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}-1},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{mult},\text{comm}}, w_{0,\text{comm}}, w_{1,\text{comm}}, w_{2,\text{comm}}, q_{\text{const},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{psdn},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{rc},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_add},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_dbl},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_endo},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_vbase},\text{comm}}\}$
    - 1.4  $s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}(\zeta))$
    - 1.5  $\mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}} := \{-z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot zkp(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1, s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}\}$
    - 1.6  $f_{\text{comm}} = \text{MSM}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}}, \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}})$
    - 1.7  $\bar{L}_{\text{comm}} = f_{\text{comm}} - t_{\text{comm}} \cdot (\zeta^n - 1)$
    - 1.8 **PE** is a set of elements of the form  $(f_{\text{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega))$  for the following polynomials:  
 $\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{N_{\text{prev}}}, \text{pub}, w_0, \dots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}, \bar{L}$
    - 1.9  $\mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}$
  2. **final\_check**( $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\text{batch\_size}}$ )
-

---

**Algorithm 2** Final Check

---

**Input:**  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\text{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i \omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$ **Output:** acc or rej

1.  $\rho_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r$
  2.  $\rho_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r$
  3.  $r_0 = r'_0 = 1$
  4. for  $0 \leq i < \text{batch\_size}$ :
    - 4.1  $\text{cip}_i = \text{combined\_inner\_product}(\zeta_i, \zeta_i \omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i)$
    - 4.2  $H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(\text{cip}_i - 2^{255})$
    - 4.3  $U_i = (H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}()).\text{to\_group}()$
    - 4.4 Calculate opening challenges  $\xi_{i,j}$  from  $o_{\pi_i}$
    - 4.5  $h_i(X) := \prod_{k=0}^{\log(d+1)-1} (1 + \xi_{\log(d+1)-k} X^{2^k})$ , where  $d = \text{lr\_rounds}$
    - 4.6  $b_i = h_i(\zeta) + u_i \cdot h_i(\zeta \omega)$
    - 4.7  $C_i = \sum_j v_i^j (\sum_k r_i^k f_{j, \text{comm}})$ , where  $f_{j, \text{comm}}$  from  $\mathbf{PE}_i$ .
    - 4.8  $Q_i = \sum (\xi_{i,j} \cdot L_{i,j} + \xi_{i,j}^{-1} \cdot R_j) + \text{cip}_i \cdot U_i + C_i$
    - 4.9  $c_i = \phi(H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}())$
    - 4.10  $r_i = r_{i-1} \cdot \rho_1$
    - 4.11  $r'_i = r'_{i-1} \cdot \rho_2$
    - 4.12 Check  $\hat{G}_i = \langle s, G \rangle$ , where  $s$  is set of  $h(X)$  coefficients.  
**Remark:** This check can be done inside the MSM below using  $r'_i$ .
  5.  $\text{res} = \sum_i r_i^i (c_i Q_i + \text{delta}_i - (z_{i,1}(\hat{G}_i + b_i U_i) + z_{i,2} H))$
  6. return  $\text{res} == 0$
- 

---

**Algorithm 3** Combined Inner Product

---

**Input:**  $\xi, r, f_0(\zeta_1), \dots, f_k(\zeta_1), f_0(\zeta_2), \dots, f_k(\zeta_2)$ **Output:**  $s$ 

1.  $s = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi^i \cdot (f_i(\zeta_1) + r \cdot f_i(\zeta_2))$
- 

We use the same 15-wires PLONK circuits that are designed for Mina.<sup>3</sup>

## 2.5 Elliptic Curve Arithmetic

### 2.5.1 Unified Incomplete Addition and Doubling

Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i$	$x_1$	$y_1$	$x_2$	$y_2$	$x_3$	$y_3$	inf	same_x	$s$	inv $_y$	inv $_x$	...	...	...	...

Evaluations:

- Addition case:

---

<sup>3</sup>[https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/specs/15\\_wires/15\\_wires.html](https://o1-labs.github.io/mina-book/specs/15_wires/15_wires.html)

- $(x_3, y_3) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_2, y_2)$
- $\mathbf{inf} = 1$  if  $(x_3, y_3)$  is a point-at-infinity,  $\mathbf{inf} = 0$  otherwise
- $\mathbf{same\_x} = 1$  if  $x_1 = x_2$ ,  $\mathbf{same\_x} = 0$  otherwise
- $s = \frac{y_1 - y_2}{x_1 - x_2}$  if  $x_1 \neq x_2$ ,  $s = 0$  otherwise
- $\mathbf{inv}_y = \frac{1}{y_2 - y_1}$  if  $y_2 \neq y_1$ ,  $\mathbf{inv}_y = 0$  otherwise
- $\mathbf{inv}_x = \frac{1}{x_2 - x_1}$  if  $x_2 \neq x_1$ ,  $\mathbf{inv}_x = 0$  otherwise
- Doubling case:
  - $(x_3, y_3) = 2(x_1, y_1)$
  - $x_2 = x_1, y_2 = y_1$
  - $\mathbf{inf} = 1$  if  $(x_3, y_3)$  is a point-at-infinity,  $\mathbf{inf} = 0$  otherwise
  - $\mathbf{same\_x} = 1$
  - $s = \frac{3x_1^2}{2y_1}$  if  $y_1 \neq 0$ ,  $s = 0$  otherwise
  - $\mathbf{inv}_y = 0$
  - $\mathbf{inv}_x = 0$

Constraints (**max degree** = 3):

1.  $w_7 \cdot (w_2 - w_0) = 0$
2.  $(w_2 - w_0) \cdot w_{10} - (1 - w_7) = 0$
3.  $w_7 \cdot (2w_8 \cdot w_1 - 3w_0^2) + (1 - w_7) \cdot ((w_2 - w_0) \cdot w_8 - (w_3 - w_1))$
4.  $w_8^2 = w_0 + w_2 + w_4$
5.  $w_5 = w_8 \cdot (w_0 - w_4) - w_1$
6.  $(w_3 - w_1) \cdot (w_7 - w_6) = 0$
7.  $(w_3 - w_1) \cdot w_9 - w_6 = 0$

Copy constraints:

1.  $w_6 = 0$

**Details.** The gate uses basic group law formulae. Let  $P = (x_1, y_1), Q = (x_2, y_2), R = (x_3, y_3)$  and  $R = P + Q$ . Then:

- $(x_2 - x_1) \cdot s = y_2 - y_1$
- $s^2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$
- $y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 - x_3) - y_1$

For point doubling  $R = P + P = 2P$ :

- $2s \cdot y_1 = 3x_1^2$
- $s^2 = 2x_1 + x_3$
- $y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 - x_3) - y_1$

The gate does not handle cases  $\mathcal{O} + P$  or  $\mathcal{O} + \mathcal{O}$ . To ensure that operations with point-at-infinity are not included in the circuit's trace, copy constraint  $w_6 = 0$  ( $\mathbf{inf} = 0$ ) was introduced.

Constraints details:

- $x_2 - x_1$  zero check:
  1.  $w_7 \cdot (w_2 - w_0) = 0 \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{same\_x} \cdot (x_2 - x_1)$   
If  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $\mathbf{same\_x} = 0$
  2.  $(w_2 - w_0) \cdot w_{10} - (1 - w_7) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (x_2 - x_1) \cdot \mathbf{inv}_x - (1 - \mathbf{same\_x})$   
If  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , then  $\mathbf{inv}_x = (x_2 - x_1)^{-1}$
- Group law constraints:
  1.  $w_7 \cdot (2w_8 \cdot w_1 - 3w_0^2) + (1 - w_7) \cdot ((w_2 - w_0) \cdot w_8 - (w_3 - w_1)) \longleftrightarrow \mathbf{same\_x} \cdot (2s \cdot y_1 - 3x_1^2) + (1 - \mathbf{same\_x}) \cdot (x_2 - x_1 \cdot s - (y_2 - y_1))$   
If  $x_1 = x_2$  then use doubling  $2s \cdot y_1 = 3x_1^2$ . Otherwise use addition  $(x_2 - x_1) \cdot s = y_2 - y_1$ .

2.  $w_8^2 = w_0 + w_2 + w_4 \longleftrightarrow s^2 = x_1 + x_2 + x_3$   
Constrains  $x_3$ . It does not depend on  $x_1, x_2$  equality.
3.  $w_5 = w_8 \cdot (w_0 - w_4) - w_1 \longleftrightarrow y_3 = s \cdot (x_1 - x_3) - y_1$   
Constrains  $y_3$ . It does not depend on  $x_1, x_2$  equality.
- $P + (-P)$  constraints:
  1.  $(w_3 - w_1) \cdot (w_7 - w_6) = 0 \longleftrightarrow (y_2 - y_1) \cdot (\text{same\_x} - \text{inf}) = 0$   
We can get infinity point iff  $x_1 = x_2$  and  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .  
If  $y_1 \neq y_2$  then  $\text{inf} = \text{same\_x}$ .
  2.  $(w_3 - w_1) \cdot w_9 - w_6 = 0 \longleftrightarrow (y_2 - y_1) \cdot \text{inv}_y - \text{inf}$   
The prover sets  $\text{inv}_y = 0$  for  $y_1 = y_2$ .  
If  $y_1 \neq y_2$  then  $\text{inv}_y = (y_2 - y_1)^{-1}$

### 2.5.2 Variable Base Scalar Multiplication

For  $R = [r]T$ , where  $r = 2^n + k$  and  $k = [k_n \dots k_0]$ ,  $k_i \in \{0, 1\}$ :<sup>4</sup>

1.  $P = [2]T$
2. for  $i$  from  $n - 1$  to 0:
  - 2.1  $Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$
  - 2.2  $R = P + Q + P$
3.  $R = k_0 ? R - T : R$

The first and last steps of the algorithm are verified by the unified addition and doubling circuit.

Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i$	$x_T$	$y_T$	$x_0$	$y_0$	$n = 0$	$n'$	—	$x_1$	$y_1$	$x_2$	$y_2$	$x_3$	$y_3$	$x_4$	$y_4$
$i + 1$	$x_5$	$y_5$	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$s_0$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	—	—	—
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$i + 100$	$x_T$	$y_T$	$x_0$	$y_0$	$n$	$n'$	—	$x_1$	$y_1$	$x_2$	$y_2$	$x_3$	$y_3$	$x_4$	$y_4$
$i + 101$	$x_5$	$y_5$	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$s_0$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$s_3$	$s_4$	—	—	—

Two gates are used in the circuit. Call them  $\text{VBSM}_1$  and  $\text{VBSM}_2$ .  $\text{VBSM}_1$  is applied to even rows and  $\text{VBSM}_2$  is used with odd rows. Each two rows perform calculations with five bits of the scalar.

Evaluations:

- $b_i$  are bits of the  $k$ , first  $b_1$  is the most significant bit of  $k$ ,  $n$  is an accumulator of  $b_i$ .
- $(x_1, y_1) - (x_0, y_0) = (x_0, y_0) + (x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $(x_2, y_2) - (x_1, y_1) = (x_1, y_1) + (x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $(x_3, y_3) - (x_2, y_2) = (x_2, y_2) + (x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $(x_4, y_4) - (x_3, y_3) = (x_3, y_3) + (x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $(x_5, y_5) - (x_4, y_4) = (x_4, y_4) + (x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $s_0 = \frac{y_0 - (2b_0 - 1) \cdot y_T}{x_0 - x_T}$
- $s_1 = \frac{y_1 - (2b_1 - 1) \cdot y_T}{x_1 - x_T}$
- $s_2 = \frac{y_2 - (2b_2 - 1) \cdot y_T}{x_2 - x_T}$
- $s_3 = \frac{y_3 - (2b_3 - 1) \cdot y_T}{x_3 - x_T}$
- $s_4 = \frac{y_4 - (2b_4 - 1) \cdot y_T}{x_4 - x_T}$

Constraints:

- $\text{next}(w_2) \cdot (w_2 - 1) = 0$
- $\text{next}(w_3) \cdot (w_3 - 1) = 0$

<sup>4</sup>Using the results from <https://arxiv.org/pdf/math/0208038.pdf>

- $\text{next}(w_4) \cdot (w_4 - 1) = 0$
- $\text{next}(w_5) \cdot (w_5 - 1) = 0$
- $\text{next}(w_6) \cdot (w_6 - 1) = 0$

- $(w_2 - w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_7) = w_3 - (2\text{next}(w_2) - 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_7 - w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_8) = w_8 - (2\text{next}(w_3) - 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_{10} - w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_9) = w_{11} - (2\text{next}(w_4) - 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(w_{12} - w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_{10}) = w_{13} - (2\text{next}(w_5) - 1) \cdot w_1$
- $(\text{next}(w_0) - w_0) \cdot \text{next}(w_{11}) = \text{next}(w_1) - (2\text{next}(w_6) - 1) \cdot w_1$

- $(2 \cdot w_3 - \text{next}(w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 - \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_2 - \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_7 - w_0 + \text{next}(w_7)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_8 - \text{next}(w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 - \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_7 - \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_9 - w_0 + \text{next}(w_8)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_{10} - \text{next}(w_9) \cdot (2 \cdot w_9 - \text{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_9 - \text{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_{11} - w_0 + \text{next}(w_9)^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_{12} - \text{next}(w_{10}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{11} - \text{next}(w_{10})^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_{11} - \text{next}(w_{10})^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (w_{13} - w_0 + \text{next}(w_{10})^2)$
- $(2 \cdot w_{14} - \text{next}(w_{11}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} - \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0))^2 = (2 \cdot w_{13} - \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0)^2 \cdot (\text{next}(w_0) - w_0 + \text{next}(w_{11})^2)$

- $(w_8 + w_3) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 - \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0) = (w_2 - w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_3 - \text{next}(w_7) \cdot (2 \cdot w_2 - \text{next}(w_7)^2 + w_0))$
- $(w_{10} + w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 - \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0) = (w_7 - w_9) \cdot (2 \cdot w_8 - \text{next}(w_8) \cdot (2 \cdot w_7 - \text{next}(w_8)^2 + w_0))$
- $(w_{12} + w_{10}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_9 - \text{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0) = (w_9 - w_{11}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{10} - \text{next}(w_9) \cdot (2 \cdot w_9 - \text{next}(w_9)^2 + w_0))$
- $(w_{14} + w_{10}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{11} - \text{next}(w_{10})^2 + w_0) = (w_{11} - w_{13}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{12} - \text{next}(w_{10}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{11} - \text{next}(w_{10})^2 + w_0))$
- $(\text{next}(w_1) + w_{14}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} - \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0) = (w_{13} - \text{next}(w_0) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{14} - \text{next}(w_{11}) \cdot (2 \cdot w_{13} - \text{next}(w_{11})^2 + w_0)))$

- $w_5 = 32 \cdot (w_4) + 16 \cdot \text{next}(w_2) + 8 \cdot \text{next}(w_3) + 4 \cdot \text{next}(w_4) + 2 \cdot \text{next}(w_5) + \text{next}(w_6)$

Copy constraints:

- $(x_T, y_T)$  in row  $j$  are copy constrained with  $(x_T, y_T)$  in row  $j + 2$
- $(x_0, y_0)$  in row  $i$  are copy constrained with values from the first doubling circuit
- $(x_0, y_0)$  in row  $j, j \neq i$  are copy constrained with  $(x_5, y_5)$  in row  $j - 1$
- $n = 0$  in row  $i$  and  $n$  in the row  $j, j \neq i$  is copy constrained with  $n'$  in the row  $j - 2$

### 2.5.3 Variable Base Endo-Scalar Multiplication

For  $R = [b]T$ , where  $b = [b_n \dots b_0]$  and  $b_i \in \{0, 1\}$ :<sup>5</sup>

1.  $P = [2](\phi(T) + T)$

2. for  $i$  from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$  to 0:

2.1  $Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$

2.2  $R - P = P + Q$

The first step of the algorithm are verified by the doubling and unified addition circuit.

Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i$	$x_T$	$y_T$	---	---	$x_P$	$y_P$	$n = 0$	$x_R$	$y_R$	$s_1$	$s_3$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$i + 63$	$x_T$	$y_T$	---	---	$x_P$	$y_P$	$n$	$x_R$	$y_R$	$s_1$	$s_3$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$
$i + 64$	---	---	---	---	$x_P$	$y_P$	$n$	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

Evaluations:

- The first  $x_P, y_P$  are equal to  $2 \cdot ((x_T, y_T) + ((\text{endo}) \cdot x_T, y_T))$
- $b_i$  are bits of the  $k$ , first  $b_1$  is the most significant bit of  $k$ ,  $n$  is an accumulator of  $b_i$ .

<sup>5</sup>Using the results from <https://eprint.iacr.org/2019/1021.pdf>

- $(x_R, y_R) - (x_P, y_P) = (x_P, y_P) + (1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot b_2)x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $(\text{next}(x_P), \text{next}(y_P)) - (x_R, y_R) = (x_R, y_R) + ((\text{endo} - 1) \cdot b_2)x_T, (2b_1 - 1)y_T)$
- $s_1 = \frac{(2b_1 - 1) \cdot y_T - y_P}{(1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot b_2)x_T - x_P}$
- $s_3 = \frac{(2b_1 - 1) \cdot y_T - y_R}{(1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot b_2)x_T - x_R}$

Constraints:

- $w_{11} \cdot (w_{11} - 1) = 0$
- $w_{12} \cdot (w_{12} - 1) = 0$
- $w_{13} \cdot (w_{13} - 1) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} - 1) = 0$
- $((1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0 - w_4) \cdot w_9 = (2 \cdot w_{11} - 1) \cdot w_1 - w_5$
- $(2 \cdot w_4 - w_9^2 + (1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0) \cdot ((w_4 - w_7) \cdot w_9 + w_8 + w_5) = (w_4 - w_7) \cdot 2 \cdot w_5$
- $(w_8 + w_5)^2 = (w_4 - w_7)^2 \cdot (w_9^2 - (1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0 + w_7)$
- $((1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot w_{12}) \cdot w_0 - w_7) \cdot w_{10} = (2 \cdot w_{13} - 1) \cdot w_1 - w_8$
- $(2 \cdot w_7 - w_{10}^2 + (1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot w_{14}) \cdot w_0) \cdot ((w_7 - \text{next}(w_4)) \cdot w_{10} + \text{next}(w_5) + w_8) = (w_7 - \text{next}(w_4)) \cdot 2 \cdot w_8$
- $(\text{next}(w_4) + w_8)^2 = (w_7 - \text{next}(w_4))^2 \cdot (w_{10}^2 - (1 + (\text{endo} - 1) \cdot w_{14}) \cdot w_0 + \text{next}(w_4))$
- $\text{next}(w_6) = 16 \cdot w_6 + 8 \cdot w_{11} + 4 \cdot w_{12} + 2 \cdot w_{13} + w_{14}$

Copy constraints:

- $(x_T, y_T)$  in row  $j$  are copy constrained with  $(x_T, y_T)$  in row  $j + 1$
- $(x_P, y_P)$  in row  $i$  are copy constrained with values from the first doubling circuit

## 2.5.4 Fixed-base scalar multiplication circuit

We precompute all values  $w(B, s, k) = (k_i + 2) \cdot 8^s B$ , where  $k_i \in \{0, \dots, 7\}$ ,  $s \in \{0, \dots, 83\}$  and  $w(B, s, k) = (k_i \cdot 8^s - \sum_{j=0}^{84} 8^{j+1}) \cdot B$ , where  $k_i \in \{0, \dots, 7\}$ ,  $s = 84$ .

Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i$	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$b_3$	$b_4$	$b_5$	$u_0$	$u_1$	$v_0$	$v_1$	$x_1$	$y_1$	$x_2$	$y_2$	$acc$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$i + 42$	$b_0$	$b_1$	$b_2$	$u_0$	$v_0$	$x_w$	$y_w$	$\alpha$	$\beta$	$\gamma$	$\delta$	$\lambda$	$-$	$-$	$b$

Define the following functions:

1.  $\phi_1 : (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto$   
 $x_3 \cdot (-u'_0 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + u'_0 \cdot x_1 + u'_0 \cdot x_2 - u'_0 + u'_2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - u'_2 \cdot x_2 + u'_4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - u'_4 \cdot x_2 - u'_6 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 +$   
 $u'_1 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 - u'_1 \cdot x_1 - u'_1 \cdot x_2 + u'_1 - u'_3 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + u'_3 \cdot x_2 - u'_5 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + u'_5 \cdot x_2 + u'_7 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2) - (x_4 -$   
 $u'_0 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + u'_0 \cdot x_1 + u'_0 \cdot x_2 - u'_0 + u'_2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - u'_2 \cdot x_2 + u'_4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - u'_4 \cdot x_2 - u'_6 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2)$
2.  $\phi_2 : (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \mapsto$   
 $x_3 \cdot (-v'_0 \cdot x_2 \cdot x_1 + v'_0 \cdot x_1 + v'_0 \cdot x_2 - v'_0 + v'_2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - v'_2 \cdot x_2 + v'_4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - v'_4 \cdot x_2 - v'_6 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + v'_1 \cdot$   
 $x_2 \cdot x_1 - v'_1 \cdot x_1 - v'_1 \cdot x_2 + v'_1 - v'_3 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + v'_3 \cdot x_2 - v'_5 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 + v'_5 \cdot x_2 + v'_7 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2) - (x_4 - v'_0 \cdot$   
 $x_2 \cdot x_1 + v'_0 \cdot x_1 + v'_0 \cdot x_2 - v'_0 + v'_2 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - v'_2 \cdot x_2 + v'_4 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2 - v'_4 \cdot x_2 - v'_6 \cdot x_1 \cdot x_2)$

Constraints:

- For  $i + 0$ :
  - $b_i \cdot (b_i - 1) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$
  - $\phi_1(b_0, b_1, b_2, u_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 0, i)$
  - $\phi_1(b_3, b_4, b_5, u_1) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 1, i)$
  - $\phi_2(b_0, b_1, b_2, v_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 0, i)$
  - $\phi_2(b_3, b_4, b_5, v_1) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 1, i)$
  - $acc = b_0 + b_1 \cdot 2 + b_2 \cdot 2^2 + b_3 \cdot 2^3 + b_4 \cdot 2^4 + b_5 \cdot 2^5$
  - $(x_1, y_1) = (u_0, v_0)$
  - $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1) + (u_1, v_1)$  incomplete addition, where  $x_1 \neq u_1$
- For  $i + z$ ,  $z \in 1, \dots, 41$ :

- $b_i \cdot (b_i - 1) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$
- $\phi_1(b_0, b_1, b_2, u_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2, i)$
- $\phi_1(b_3, b_4, b_5, u_1) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2 + 1, i)$
- $\phi_2(b_0, b_1, b_2, v_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2, i)$
- $\phi_2(b_3, b_4, b_5, v_1) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, z \cdot 2 + 1, i)$
- $acc = b_0 + b_1 \cdot 2 + b_2 \cdot 2^2 + b_3 \cdot 2^3 + b_4 \cdot 2^4 + b_5 \cdot 2^5 + acc_{prev} \cdot 2^6$
- $(x_1, y_1) = (u_0, v_0) + (x_2, y_2)_{prev}$  incomplete addition, where  $u_0 \neq x_2$
- $(x_2, y_2) = (x_1, y_1) + (u_1, v_1)$  incomplete addition, where  $x_1 \neq u_1$
- For  $i + 42$ :
  - $b_i \cdot (b_i - 1) = 0$ , where  $i \in \{0, \dots, 2\}$
  - $\phi_1(b_0, b_1, b_2, u_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 84, i)$
  - $\phi_2(b_0, b_1, b_2, v_0) = 0$ , where  $(u'_i, v'_i) = w(B, 84, i)$
  - $b = b_0 + b_1 \cdot 2 + b_2 \cdot 2^2 + acc_{prev} \cdot 2^3$
  - $(x_w, y_w) = (u_0, v_0) + (x_2, y_2)_{prev}$  complete addition from [Orchard](#)

## 2.6 Multi-Scalar Multiplication Circuit

### WIP

Input:  $G_0, \dots, G_{k-1} \in \mathbb{G}, s_0, \dots, s_{k-1} \in \mathbb{F}_r$ , where  $\mathbb{F}_r$  is scalar field of  $\mathbb{G}$ .

Output:  $S = \sum_{i=0}^k s_i \cdot G_i$

### 2.6.1 Naive Algorithm

Using endomorphism:

1.  $A = \infty$
2. for  $j$  from 0 to  $k - 1$ :
  - 2.1  $r := s_j, T := G_j$
  - 2.2  $S = [2](\phi(T) + T)$
  - 2.3 for  $i$  from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$  to 0:
    - 2.3.1  $Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$
    - 2.3.2  $R = S + Q$
    - 2.3.3  $S = R + S$
  - 2.4  $A = A + S$

$$\text{rows} \approx k \cdot (\text{sm\_rows} + 1 + 2) \approx 67k,$$

where **sm\_rows** is the number of rows in the scalar multiplication circuit.

Without endomorphism:

1.  $A = \infty$
2. for  $j$  from 0 to  $k - 1$ :
  - 2.1  $r := s_j, T := G_j$
  - 2.2  $S = [2]T$
  - 2.3 for  $i$  from  $n - 1$  to 0:
    - 2.3.1  $Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$
    - 2.3.2  $R = S + Q$
    - 2.3.3  $S = R + S$
  - 2.4  $S = k_0 ? S - T : S$
  - 2.5  $A = A + S$

$$\text{rows} \approx k \cdot (\text{sm\_rows} + 1 + 1) \approx 105k,$$

where **sm\_rows** is the number of rows in the scalar multiplication circuit.

### 2.6.2 Simultaneous Doubling

**Remark:** Simultaneous doubling incurs a negligible completeness error for independently chosen random terms of the sum.

**Using endomorphism:**

1.  $A = \sum_{j=0}^k [2](\phi(G_j) + G_j)$
2. for  $i$  from  $\frac{\lambda}{2} - 1$  to 0:
  - 2.1 for  $j$  from 0 to  $k - 1$ :
    - 2.1.1  $r := s_j, T := G_j$
    - 2.1.2  $Q = r_{2i+1} ? \phi([2r_{2i} - 1]T) : [2r_{2i} - 1]T$
    - 2.1.3  $A = A + Q$
  - 2.2 if  $i \neq 0$ :
    - 2.2.1  $A = 2 \cdot A$

$$\text{rows} \approx \frac{\lambda}{2} \cdot (k \cdot \text{add\_rows} + \text{dbl\_rows}) + 2k \approx 64 \cdot (k + 1) \approx 66k + 64,$$

where

- **add\_rows** is the number of rows in the addition circuit.
- **dbl\_rows** is the number of rows in the doubling circuit.

**Without endomorphism:**

1.  $A = \sum_{j=0}^k [2]G_j$
2. for  $i$  from  $n - 1$  to 0:
  - 2.1 for  $j$  from 0 to  $k - 1$ :
    - 2.1.1  $r := s_j, T := G_j$
    - 2.1.2  $Q = k_{i+1} ? T : -T$
    - 2.1.3  $A = A + Q$
  - 2.2 if  $i \neq 0$ :
    - 2.2.1  $A = 2 \cdot A$
3.  $A = A + \sum_{j=0}^k [1 - s_{j,0}]G_j$

$$\text{rows} \approx \frac{2}{5}n \cdot (k \cdot \text{add\_rows} + \text{dbl\_rows}) + k \approx 103 \cdot (k + 1) + 2k \approx 104k + 103,$$

where

- **add\_rows** is the number of rows in the addition circuit.
- **dbl\_rows** is the number of rows in the doubling circuit.

## 2.7 Poseidon Circuit

Mina uses Poseidon hash with width = 3. Therefore, each permutation state is represented by 3 elements and each row contains 5 states.

Denote  $i$ -th permutation state by  $T_i = (T_{i,0}, T_{i,1}, T_{i,2})$ .



Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i$	$T_{0,0}$	$T_{0,1}$	$T_{0,2}$	$T_{4,0}$	$T_{4,1}$	$T_{4,2}$	$T_{1,0}$	$T_{1,1}$	$T_{1,2}$	$T_{2,0}$	$T_{2,1}$	$T_{2,2}$	$T_{3,0}$	$T_{3,1}$	$T_{3,2}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$i + 10$	$T_{50,0}$	$T_{50,1}$	$T_{50,2}$	$T_{54,0}$	$T_{54,1}$	$T_{54,2}$	$T_{51,0}$	$T_{51,1}$	$T_{51,2}$	$T_{52,0}$	$T_{52,1}$	$T_{52,2}$	$T_{53,0}$	$T_{53,1}$	$T_{53,2}$
$i + 11$	$T_{55,0}$	$T_{55,1}$	$T_{55,2}$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$

State change constraints:

$$\text{STATE}(i + 1) = \text{STATE}(i)^\alpha \cdot \text{MDS} + \text{RC}$$

Denote the index of the first state in the row by **start** (e.g. **start** = 50 for 10-th row). We can expand the previous formula to:

- For  $i$  from **start** to **start** + 5:

- $T_{i+1,0} = T_{i,0}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[0][0] + T_{i,1}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[0][1] + T_{i,2}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[0][2] + \text{RC}_{i+1,0}$
- $T_{i+1,1} = T_{i,0}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[1][0] + T_{i,1}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[1][1] + T_{i,2}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[1][2] + \text{RC}_{i+1,1}$
- $T_{i+1,2} = T_{i,0}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[2][0] + T_{i,1}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[2][1] + T_{i,2}^5 \cdot \text{MDS}[2][2] + \text{RC}_{i+1,2}$

Notice that the constraints above include the state from the next row (**start** + 5).

## 2.8 Other Circuits

WIP

### 2.8.1 Combined Inner Product

$$\sum_{i=0}^k \xi^i \cdot (f_i(\zeta_1) + r \cdot f_i(\zeta_2))$$

Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i + 0$	$f_1$	$f'_1$	$f_2$	$f'_2$	<b>acc</b>	$\xi$	$\xi_{\text{acc}}$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$\xi'_{\text{acc}}$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$
$i + 1$	$f_3$	$f'_3$	$f_4$	$f'_4$	<b>acc</b>	$r$	$\xi_{\text{acc}}$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$\xi'_{\text{acc}}$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$i + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil - 1$	$f_{k-3}$	$f'_{k-3}$	$f_{k-2}$	$f'_{k-2}$	<b>acc</b>	$\xi$	$\xi_{\text{acc}}$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$\xi'_{\text{acc}}$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$
$i + \lceil \frac{k}{2} \rceil$	$f_{k-1}$	$f'_{k-1}$	$f_k$	$f'_k$	<b>acc</b>	$r$	$\xi_{\text{acc}}$	$s_1$	$s_2$	$\xi'_{\text{acc}}$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$	$\dots$

Constraints for  $i + z$ , where  $z \bmod 2 = 0$ :

- $(w_0 + w_1 \cdot \text{next}(w_5)) \cdot w_6 = w_7$
- $(w_2 + w_3 \cdot \text{next}(w_5)) \cdot w_9 = w_8$
- $w_5 \cdot w_6 = w_9$
- $w_5 \cdot w_9 = \text{next}(w_9)$
- $w_5 \cdot \text{next}(w_9) = \text{next}(w_5)$
- $w_4 + w_7 + w_8 + \text{next}(w_7) + \text{next}(w_8) = \text{next}(w_4)$

Constraints for  $i + z$ , where  $z \bmod 2 = 1$ :

- $(w_0 + w_1 \cdot w_5) \cdot w_9 = w_7$
- $(w_2 + w_3 \cdot w_5) \cdot w_6 = w_8$

### 2.8.2 Endo-Scalar Computation

Let  $\alpha$  be equals to  $\phi(b)$ , where  $b \in 0, 1^\lambda$ .

Row	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$i$	$n_0$	$n_8$	$a_0$	$b_0$	$a_8$	$b_8$	---	$x_0$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$i + 7$	$n_0$	$n_8$	$a_0$	$b_0$	$a_8$	$b_8$	$res$	$x_0$	$x_1$	$x_2$	$x_3$	$x_4$	$x_5$	$x_6$	$x_7$

Evaluations:

- In the first row  $n_0 = 0$ ,  $a_0 = 2$ ,  $b_0 = 2$ .
- $x_i$  are 2-bits chunks of the  $b$ , first  $x_0$  is the most significant bit of  $b$ ,  $n$  is an accumulator of  $x_i$ .
- The values  $(a_8, b_8)$  are 8 iterations of the following computations:

$$(a_i, b_i) = (2 \cdot a_{i-1} + c_f(x_{i-1}), 2 \cdot b_{i-1} + d_f(x_{i-1})), \text{ where } c_f(x) = 2/3 \cdot x^3 - 5/2 \cdot x^2 + 11/6 \cdot x \text{ and } d_f(x) = 2/3 \cdot x^3 - 7/2 \cdot x^2 + 29/6 \cdot x - 1.$$

Constraints:

- $w_7 \cdot (w_7 - 1) \cdot (w_7 - 2) \cdot (w_7 - 3) = 0$
- $w_8 \cdot (w_8 - 1) \cdot (w_8 - 2) \cdot (w_8 - 3) = 0$
- $w_9 \cdot (w_9 - 1) \cdot (w_9 - 2) \cdot (w_9 - 3) = 0$
- $w_{10} \cdot (w_{10} - 1) \cdot (w_{10} - 2) \cdot (w_{10} - 3) = 0$
- $w_{11} \cdot (w_{11} - 1) \cdot (w_{11} - 2) \cdot (w_{11} - 3) = 0$
- $w_{12} \cdot (w_{12} - 1) \cdot (w_{12} - 2) \cdot (w_{12} - 3) = 0$
- $w_{13} \cdot (w_{13} - 1) \cdot (w_{13} - 2) \cdot (w_{13} - 3) = 0$
- $w_{14} \cdot (w_{14} - 1) \cdot (w_{14} - 2) \cdot (w_{14} - 3) = 0$
- $w_4 = 256 \cdot w_2 + 128 \cdot c_f(w_6) + 64 \cdot c_f(w_7) + 32 \cdot c_f(w_8) + 16 \cdot c_f(w_9) + 8 \cdot c_f(w_{10}) + 4 \cdot c_f(w_{11}) + 2 \cdot c_f(w_{12}) + c_f(w_{13})$
- $w_5 = 256 \cdot w_3 + 128 \cdot d_f(w_6) + 64 \cdot d_f(w_7) + 32 \cdot d_f(w_8) + 16 \cdot d_f(w_9) + 8 \cdot d_f(w_{10}) + 4 \cdot d_f(w_{11}) + 2 \cdot d_f(w_{12}) + d_f(w_{13})$
- $w_1 = 2^{16} \cdot w_0 + 2^{14} \cdot w_6 + 2^{12} \cdot w_7 + 2^{10} \cdot w_8 + 2^8 \cdot w_9 + 2^6 \cdot w_{10} + 2^4 \cdot w_{11} + 2^2 \cdot w_{12} + w_{13}$
- for  $i + 7$ :
  1.  $w_6 = \text{endo} \cdot w_4 + w_5$

Copy constraints:

- $n_0, a_0, b_0$  in row  $j + 1$  are copy constrained with  $(n_8, a_8, b_8)$  in row  $j$

## 2.9 Proof Verification Component

Let  $\mathbf{G}$  be a group of points on the elliptic curve  $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $|\mathbf{G}| = r$ .

Kimchi verification procedure includes operations over two groups:  $\mathbf{G}$  and scalars of  $\mathbf{G}$ . Thus, the verification circuit has to handle operations over two fields:  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and  $\mathbb{F}_r$ . This could be achieved either with non-native arithmetic circuits<sup>6</sup> or via splitting the verification into two proofs over different fields. Here we use the second option.

<sup>6</sup>For instance, see <https://www.plonk.cafe/t/non-native-field-arithmetic-with-turboplonk-plookup-etc/90>

1. for each  $\pi_i$ :
    - 1.1 **random\_oracle**( $p_{\text{comm}}, \pi_i$ ):
      - 1.1.1 Copy limbs of **joint\_combiner** from PI
      - 1.1.2 **joint\_combiner** = **from\_limbs**(**joint\_combiner\_limbs**)
      - 1.1.3 Copy limbs of  $\beta, \gamma$  from PI
      - 1.1.4  $\beta$  = **from\_limbs**( $\beta\_limbs$ )
      - 1.1.5  $\gamma$  = **from\_limbs**( $\gamma\_limbs$ )
      - 1.1.6 Copy limbs of  $\alpha$  from PI
      - 1.1.7  $\alpha_c$  = **from\_limbs**( $\alpha\_limbs$ )
      - 1.1.8  $\alpha = \phi(\alpha_c)$
      - 1.1.9 Copy limbs of  $\zeta$  from PI
      - 1.1.10  $\zeta_c$  = **from\_limbs**( $\zeta\_limbs$ )
      - 1.1.11  $\zeta = \phi(\zeta_c)$
      - 1.1.12 Initialize  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}$
      - 1.1.13 Copy  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{digest}$  from PI
      - 1.1.14  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{digest})$
      - 1.1.15  $\zeta_1 = \zeta^n$
      - 1.1.16  $\zeta_w = \zeta \cdot \omega$
      - 1.1.17 **all\_alphas** =  $[1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{\text{next\_power}}]$
      - 1.1.18 **lagrange** =  $[\zeta - \text{domain}.w, \dots, \zeta_w - \text{domain}.w]$  L195
      - 1.1.19 **lagrange** =  $[1/\text{lagrange}[0], \dots]$
      - 1.1.20 **p\_eval**[0] =  $(\sum(\text{pub}[i] \cdot \text{domain}[i] \cdot (-\text{lagrange}[i])) \cdot (\zeta_1 - 1) \cdot \text{frac1}|\text{domain}|$
      - 1.1.21 **p\_eval**[1] =  $(\sum(\text{pub}[i] \cdot \text{domain}[i] \cdot (-\text{lagrange}[\text{pub}.len + i])) \cdot (\zeta_w^n - 1) \cdot \text{frac1}|\text{domain}|$
      - 1.1.22  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\text{p\_eval}[0])$
      - 1.1.23  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\text{evals}[0])$  <- PI src -> plonk\_sponge.rs L41
      - 1.1.24  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\text{p\_eval}[1])$
      - 1.1.25  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\text{evals}[1])$  <- PI
      - 1.1.26 Copy  $\bar{L}(\zeta\omega)$  from PI
      - 1.1.27  $H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{absorb}(\bar{L}(\zeta\omega))$
      - 1.1.28  $v = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{squeeze}())$
      - 1.1.29  $u = \phi(H_{\mathbb{F}_r}.\text{squeeze}())$
      - 1.1.30 Compute evaluation of  $\eta_i(\zeta), \eta_i(\zeta\omega)$  for  $0 \leq i < N_{\text{prev}}$ :
        - 1.1.30.1 **powers\_of\_evals** =  $[\zeta^{\text{max\_poly\_size}}, \zeta_w^{\text{max\_poly\_size}}]$
        - 1.1.30.2 ...
      - 1.1.31 Compute evaluation of  $\bar{L}(\zeta)$ :
        - 1.1.31.1 ...
    - 1.2 Combine evals (ploynomial evals) L412
    - 1.3 **f\_base** :=  $\{S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}-1, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{mult}, \text{comm}}, w_{0, \text{comm}}, w_{1, \text{comm}}, w_{2, \text{comm}}, q_{\text{const}, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{psdn}, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{rc}, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_add}, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_dbl}, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_endo}, \text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_vbase}, \text{comm}}\}$
    - 1.4  $s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}(\zeta))$
    - 1.5 **f\_scalars** :=  $\{-z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot \text{zkp}(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1, s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}\}$
    - 1.6 **PE** is a set of elements of the form  $(f_{\text{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega))$  for the following polynomials:
 
$$\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{N_{\text{prev}}}, \text{pub}, w_0, \dots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}, \bar{L}$$
    - 1.7  $\mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}$
  2. **final\_check\_scalar\_field**( $\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\text{batch\_size}}$ )
-

1. for each  $\pi_i$ :
    - 1.1  $pub_{\text{comm}} = -\text{MSM}(\mathbf{L}, \text{pub}) \in \mathbb{G}$ , where  $\mathbf{L}$  is Lagrange bases vector
    - 1.2  $\text{random\_oracle}(p_{\text{comm}}, \pi_i)$ :
      - 1.2.1  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(pub_{\text{comm}} || w_{0,\text{comm}} || \dots || w_{N_{\text{wires}},\text{comm}})$
      - 1.2.2  $\text{joint\_combiner} = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}() \leftarrow$  PI check
      - 1.2.3  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(\text{LOOKUP})$  L146, commitments sorted
      - 1.2.4  $\beta, \gamma = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}() \leftarrow$  PI check
      - 1.2.5  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(\text{LOOKUP2})$  L156m commitments aggregated
      - 1.2.6  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(z_{\text{comm}})$
      - 1.2.7  $\alpha = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}() \leftarrow$  PI check
      - 1.2.8  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(t_{1,\text{comm}} || \dots || t_{N_{\text{perm}},\text{comm}} || \dots || \infty ||)$
      - 1.2.9  $\zeta = H_{\mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}() \leftarrow$  PI check
      - 1.2.10 Get digest from  $H_{\mathbb{F}_q} \leftarrow$  PI check
    - 1.3  $\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}} := \{S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}-1},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{mult},\text{comm}}, w_{0,\text{comm}}, w_{1,\text{comm}}, w_{2,\text{comm}}, q_{\text{const},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{psdn},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{rc},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_add},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_dbl},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_endo},\text{comm}}, \text{gate}_{\text{ec\_vbase},\text{comm}}\}$
    - 1.4  $s_{\text{perm}} := (w_0(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_0}(\zeta)) \cdot \dots \cdot (w_5(\zeta) + \gamma + \beta \cdot S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}(\zeta))$
    - 1.5  $\mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}} := \{-z(\zeta\omega) \cdot \beta \cdot \alpha_0 \cdot \text{zkp}(\zeta) \cdot s_{\text{perm}}, w_0(\zeta) \cdot w_1(\zeta), w_0(\zeta), w_1(\zeta), 1, s_{\text{psdn}}, s_{\text{rc}}, s_{\text{ec\_add}}, s_{\text{ec\_dbl}}, s_{\text{ec\_endo}}, s_{\text{ec\_vbase}}\}$
    - 1.6  $f_{\text{comm}} = \text{MSM}(\mathbf{f}_{\text{base}}, \mathbf{f}_{\text{scalars}})$
    - 1.7 Copy from PI  $(\zeta^n - 1)$
    - 1.8  $\bar{L}_{\text{comm}} = f_{\text{comm}} - t_{\text{comm}} \cdot (\zeta^n - 1)$
    - 1.9  $\mathbf{PE}$  is a set of elements of the form  $(f_{\text{comm}}, f(\zeta), f(\zeta\omega))$  for the following polynomials:  
 $\eta_0, \dots, \eta_{N_{\text{prev}}}, pub, w_0, \dots, w_{N_{\text{wires}}}, z, S_{\sigma_0}, \dots, S_{\sigma_{N_{\text{perm}}}}, \bar{L}$
    - 1.10  $\mathcal{P}_i = \{H_{\mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta, v, u, \mathbf{PE}, o_{\pi_i}\}$
  2.  $\text{final\_check\_base\_field}(\mathcal{P}_0, \dots, \mathcal{P}_{\text{batch\_size}})$
-

---

**Algorithm 6** Final Check - Scalar Field

---

**Input:**  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\text{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i \omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$

**Output:** acc or rej

1.  $\rho_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r \leftarrow$  should be calculated as poseidon from  $H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}$  state
  2.  $\rho_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r$
  3.  $r_0 = r'_0 = 1$
  4. for  $0 \leq i < \text{batch\_size}$ :
    - 4.1  $cip_i = \text{combined\_inner\_product}(\zeta_i, \zeta_i \omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i) \leftarrow$  PI check
    - 4.2 Calculate opening challenges  $\xi_{i,j}$  from limbs in  $o_{\pi_i} \leftarrow$  PI?
    - 4.3 Calculate inversion from  $\xi_{i,j}$
    - 4.4 Copy limbs  $c_i\_limbs$  from PI
    - 4.5  $c_i = \phi(c_i\_limbs)$
    - 4.6  $h_i(X) := \prod_{k=0}^{\log(d+1)-1} (1 + \xi_{\log(d+1)-k} X^{2^k})$ , where  $d = \text{lr\_rounds}$
    - 4.7  $b_i = h_i(\zeta) + u_i \cdot h_i(\zeta \omega)$
    - 4.8  $sg = -r_i \cdot \text{opening.z1} - r'_i$
    - 4.9  $r_i = r_{i-1} \cdot \rho_1$
    - 4.10  $r'_i = r'_{i-1} \cdot \rho_2$
- 

---

**Algorithm 7** Final Check - Base Field

---

**Input:**  $\pi_0, \dots, \pi_{\text{batch\_size}}$ , where  $\pi_i = \{H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}, \zeta_i, \zeta_i \omega, v_i, u_i, \mathbf{PE}_i, o_{\pi_i}\}$

**Output:** acc or rej

1. for  $0 \leq i < \text{batch\_size}$ :
    - 1.1 Get limbs  $cip_i$  from PI
    - 1.2  $H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(cip_i - 2^{255})$
    - 1.3  $U_i = (H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}()).\text{to\_group}()$
    - 1.4 Calculate opening challenges  $\xi_{i,j}$  from  $o_{\pi_i} \leftarrow$  PI output as limbs:
      - 1.4.1 ?????
    - 1.5  $H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{absorb}(\text{openings}.\delta)$  L791
    - 1.6  $h_i(X) := \prod_{k=0}^{\log(d+1)-1} (1 + \xi_{\log(d+1)-k} X^{2^k})$ , where  $d = \text{lr\_rounds}$
    - 1.7  $C_i = \sum_j v_i^j (\sum_k r_i^k f_{j, \text{comm}})$ , where  $f_{j, \text{comm}}$  from  $\mathbf{PE}_i$ .
    - 1.8  $Q_i = \sum (\xi_{i,j} \cdot L_{i,j} + \xi_{i,j}^{-1} \cdot R_j) + cip_i \cdot U_i + C_i$
    - 1.9  $c_i = H_{i, \mathbb{F}_q}.\text{squeeze}() \leftarrow$  PI
    - 1.10 Check  $\hat{G}_i = \langle s, G \rangle$ , where  $s$  is set of  $h(X)$  coefficients.  
**Remark:** This check can be done inside the MSM below using  $r'_i$ .
  2. Fq:  $\text{res} = \sum_i r^i (c_i Q_i + \text{delta}_i - (z_{i,1}(\hat{G}_i + b_i U_i) + z_{i,2} H))$
  3. Fq: return  $\text{res} == 0$
-

---

**Algorithm 8** Combined Inner Product

---

**Input:**  $\xi, r, f_0(\zeta_1), \dots, f_k(\zeta_1), f_0(\zeta_2), \dots, f_k(\zeta_2)$

**Output:**  $s$

1. Fr:  $s = \sum_{i=0}^k \xi^i \cdot (f_i(\zeta_1) + r \cdot f_i(\zeta_2))$
-

## Chapter 3

# In-EVM State Proof Verifier

This introduces a description for in-EVM Mina Protocol state proof verification mechanism. Crucial components which define this part design are:

1. Verification architecture description.
2. Verification logic API reference.
3. Input data structures description.

### 3.1 Verification Logic Architecture

The verification logic is split to several parts:

1. Verification Key Definition
2. LPC/FRI auxiliary proof deserialization

### 3.2 Verification Logic API Reference

### 3.3 Input Data Structures

## Chapter 4

# Appendix A. In-EVM Mina State

This introduces a description for in-EVM Mina Protocol state handling mechanism which is supposed to provide a bridge user with the way to verify plaintext transactions coming from Mina database commit log on EVM.

### 4.1 Overview

The protocol described literally replicates Mina's commit log construction protocol on EVM. The overall process description is as follows:

---

**Algorithm 9** Commit Log Construction Overview

---

1. A user retrieves a replication packet  $B_n$  containing some transaction  $T$  from Mina's commit log.
  2. A user submits the replication packet  $B_n$  to the in-EVM piece of logic.
  3. The in-EVM piece of logic emplaces the replication packet  $B_n$  into the backwards-linked list  $C$ .
  4. The in-EVM piece of logic computes a Poseidon hash  $H_{B_n}$  of a replication packet  $B_n$  and inserts such one in a Merkle Tree  $T$ .
  5. The in-EVM piece of logic uses a Merkle Tree's hash  $H_{B_n}$  of a particular replication packet  $B_n$  as an input to the state proof verification mechanism, taking the state proof from the original Mina's cluster in the same time, corresponding to the replication packet  $B_n$  sequo.
  6. In case the verification of a state proof corresponding to the replication packet  $B_n$  was completed successfully, such a replication packet  $B_n$  can be considered valid and appended to the backwards-linked list, representing in-EVM Mina's commit log.
  7. In case the verification of a state proof corresponding to the replication packet  $B_n$  wasn't completed successfully, then a replication packet  $B_n$  gets rejected by the in-EVM piece of logic.
  8. In case there are more than a single replication packet  $B_n$  (e.g.  $B_{n_1}$  and  $B_{n_2}$ ) and each of them is being considered valid, the backward-linked list used to store such replication packets turns into the tree containing several branches of backward-linked lists  $C_1, \dots, C_M$ .
  9. In case several branches  $C_1, \dots, C_M$  are introduced, the Mina's Ouroboros modification chain selection rule applies to pick the same branch the original Mina's cluster chain selection rule picked.
- 

$T_{n_1, n_2}$  allows to provide a successful transaction from  $\{B_{n_1}, \dots, B_{n_2}\}$  to the Ethereum-based proof verifier later.

Ouroboros' consensus protocol chain selection rule which is supposed to handle potentially incorrect replication packet data submitted by the user (and to keep the in-EVM commit log consistent with the actual Mina's one) is defined as follows:

Here,  $C_{loc}$  is the local commit log sequence,  $N = C_1, \dots, C_M$  is the list of potential commit log sequences to choose from. The function  $getMinDen(C)$  outputs the minimum of all the window densities observed thus far in  $C$ .



---

**Algorithm 10** getMinDen( $C$ )

---

Let  $B_{last}$  be the last block in  $C$ .

1. if  $B_{last} = G$  then // i.e., if  $B_{last}$  is the genesis block
  2. return 0
  3. else
  4. Parse  $B_{last}$  to obtain the parameter  $minDen$ .
  5. return  $minDen$
- 

The function  $isShortRange(C, C')$  outputs whether or not the chains fork in the “short range” or not.

---

**Algorithm 11** isShortRange( $C1, C2$ )

---

1. Let  $prevLockcp$  and  $prevLockcp$  be the  $prevLockcp$  components in the 12 last blocks of  $C1, C2$ , respectively.
  2. if  $prevLockcp = prevLockcp$  then
  3. return  $\top$
  4. else
  5. return  $\perp$
- 

---

**Algorithm 12** maxvalid-sc( $C_{loc}, N = C_1, \dots, C_M, k$ )

---

1. Set  $C_{max} \Leftarrow C_{loc}$  // Compare  $C_{loc}$  with each candidate chain in  $N$
  2. for  $i = 1, \dots, M$  do if  $isShortRange(C_i, C_{max})$  then // Short-range fork  
if  $|C_i| > |C_{max}|$  then  
Set  $C_{max} \Leftarrow C_i$   
end if  
else //Long-range fork  
if  $getMinDen(C_i) > getMinDen(C_{max})$  then  
Set  $C_{max} \Leftarrow C_i$   
end if  
end if  
end for
  3. return  $C_{max}$
- 

#### 4.1.1 Purpose

The protocol is supposed to make it possible for the users to prove a particular transaction to the in-EVM Mina’s commit log replica to be able to prove it actually belongs to Mina’s commit log.

The overview of such a mechanism is as follows:

---

**Algorithm 13** Transaction Plaintext Data Proving Approach

---

1. A user retrieves the transaction  $T$  from Mina's database commit log
  2. A user compares the transaction  $T$  with the contents of the in-EVM Mina's commit log representation.
  3. If a trivial comparison results in a match, Mina's data from the transaction  $T$  can be considered valid for the in-EVM usage.
  4. Otherwise, the transaction is supposed to be rejected.
-

# Bibliography

1. Kattis A., Panarin K., Vlasov A. RedShift: Transparent SNARKs from List Polynomial Commitment IOPs. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1400. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/1400>.
2. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J., Ciobotaru O. PLONK: Permutations over Lagrange-bases for Oecumenical Noninteractive arguments of Knowledge. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/953. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/953>.
3. Fast Reed-Solomon interactive oracle proofs of proximity / E. Ben-Sasson, I. Bentov, Y. Horesh et al. // 45th international colloquium on automata, languages, and programming (icalp 2018) / Schloss Dagstuhl-Leibniz-Zentrum fuer Informatik. 2018.
4. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. Proposal: The Turbo-PLONK program syntax for specifying SNARK programs. [https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo\\_plonk.pdf](https://docs.zkproof.org/pages/standards/accepted-workshop3/proposal-turbo_plonk.pdf).
5. PLONKish Arithmetization - The halo2 book. <https://zcash.github.io/halo2/concepts/arithmetization.html>.
6. Gabizon A., Williamson Z. J. plookup: A simplified polynomial protocol for lookup tables. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2020/315. 2020. <https://ia.cr/2020/315>.
7. Lookup argument - The halo2 book. <https://zcash.github.io/halo2/design/proving-system/lookup.html>.
8. Chiesa A., Ojha D., Spooner N. Fractal: Post-Quantum and Transparent Recursive Proofs from Holography. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Report 2019/1076. 2019. <https://ia.cr/2019/1076>.