## Quiz:

- (a) In which scenarios are inherently interpretable models usually much harder to interpret?
- (b) Why does usually interpretability become worse or more difficult if the generalization performance of the model improves?
- (c) Should we always prefer interpretable models? Explain and describe for which use cases interpretable models would be inconvenient?
- (d) In the linear model, the effect and importance of a feature can be inferred from the estimated  $\beta$ -coefficients. Is this statement true or false. Explain!
- (e) What is so special about LASSO compared to a LM with regards to interpretability? Would you always prefer LASSO over a LM?
- (f) Do the beta-coefficients of GLM always provide simple explanations with respect to the target outcome to be predicted?
- (g) Explain the feature importance provided by model-based boosting. What is the difference to the Gini) feature importance from decision trees?
- (h) How can we use inherently interpretable models to provide insights whether two features are dependent?
- (i) What are the disadvantages of CART? What methods address them and how?

## Exercise 1:

Consider the following dataset with 11 observations and two features: where the last column corresponds to the

|                | 1     | 2     | 3     | 4     | 5     | 6     | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | $\sum_{i=1}^{n}$ |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|------|------------------|
| $\overline{y}$ | -7.90 | -6.08 | -3.74 | -1.18 | -1.23 | -0.55 | 0.05 | 0.88 | 4.74 | 2.93 | 2.55 | -9.53            |
| $x_1$          | -1.00 | -0.80 | -0.60 | -0.40 | -0.20 | 0.00  | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.80 | 1.00 | 0.00             |
| $x_2$          | 0.95  | 0.65  | 0.40  | 0.07  | 0.06  | 0.02  | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.60 | 0.98 | 4.23             |

sum of values of each row.

The following shows the output of an LM  $(x_2 \sim x_1)$  and a GAM  $(x_2 \sim s(x_1))$ :

|  | LM         |              |           | GAM       |             |           |  |
|--|------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Predictors                               | Estimates  | CI           | p         | Estimates | CI          | p         |  |
| (Intercept)                              | 0.38       | 0.12 - 0.65  | 8.851e-03 | 0.38      | 0.35 - 0.42 | 3.196e-07 |  |
| x1                                       | -0.01      | -0.42 - 0.41 | 9.749e-01 |           |             |           |  |
| s(x1)                                    |            |              |           |           |             | 2.542e-05 |  |
| Observations                             | 11         |              |           | 11        |             |           |  |
| R <sup>2</sup> / R <sup>2</sup> adjusted | 0.000 / -0 | 0.111        |           | 0.988     |             |           |  |

The  $R^2$ -value for the GAM model is the adjusted one.

- a) What conclusions could you draw from the LM model output for the relationship between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ ?
- b) Considering the information provided by the GAM model: How can the previous statement about the relationship between  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  be extended?

## Exercise 2:

You are given the bike rental data with the features season, temp, hum, windspeed, and days\_since\_2011. A binary target variable y is created:

- Class y=1: "high number of bike rentals" > 70% quantile (i.e., cnt > 5531)
- Class y=0: "low to medium number of bike rentals" (i.e., cnt  $\leq 5531$ )

The following table shows the absolute joint and marginal probabilities of y and season.

| -      | WINTER | SPRING | SUMMER | FALL   | Σ      |
|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| y=0    | 174.00 | 111.00 | 98.00  | 128.00 | 511.00 |
| y=1    | 7.00   | 73.00  | 90.00  | 50.00  | 220.00 |
| $\sum$ | 181.00 | 184.00 | 188.00 | 178.00 | 731.00 |

- a) Calculate and interpret the odds of "high number of bike rentals" vs. "low to medium number of bike rentals" in winter (odds<sub>winter</sub>).
- b) Calculate and interpret the odds ratio of high vs. low number of bike rentals when season changes from winter to spring.
- c) Consider the output of a GLM on  $y \sim$  season:

|                      | Estimate | Std. Error | $\Pr(> z )$ |
|----------------------|----------|------------|-------------|
| (Intercept)          | -3.2131  | 0.3854     | 0.0000      |
| seasonSPRING         | 2.7941   | 0.4138     | 0.0000      |
| ${\rm seasonSUMMER}$ | 3.1280   | 0.4121     | 0.0000      |
| seasonFALL           | 2.2731   | 0.4199     | 0.0000      |

Interpret the  $\beta$ -estimate for the intercept and season SPRING.

d) Now compare the two coefficients with the ones in the full model:

|                      | Estimate | Std. Error | Pr(> z ) |
|----------------------|----------|------------|----------|
| (Intercept)          | -8.5176  | 1.2066     | 0.0000   |
| seasonSPRING         | 1.7427   | 0.5977     | 0.0035   |
| ${\rm seasonSUMMER}$ | -0.8566  | 0.7660     | 0.2635   |
| seasonFALL           | -0.6417  | 0.5543     | 0.2470   |
| temp                 | 0.2902   | 0.0391     | 0.0000   |
| hum                  | -0.0627  | 0.0124     | 0.0000   |
| windspeed            | -0.0925  | 0.0305     | 0.0024   |
| days_since_2011      | 0.0166   | 0.0014     | 0.0000   |

## Exercise 3:

You are again given the bike sharing data. The target variable cnt is renamed in y and the only considered features are  $days\_since\_2011$  and temp. A linear model with single feature (including intercept) as baselearner (BL) is estimated. The changes in risk (MSE) in each iteration are given in the following tables:

| iteration | baselearner           | old_risk         | new_risk         |
|-----------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------|
| 1         | days_since_2011       | $1\ 873\ 827.22$ | 1 733 044.28     |
| 2         | $\operatorname{temp}$ | 1733044.28       | $1\ 597\ 057.93$ |
| 3         | $days\_since\_2011$   | $1\ 597\ 057.93$ | $1\ 486\ 743.19$ |
| 4         | $\operatorname{temp}$ | $1\ 486\ 743.19$ | $1\ 379\ 888.98$ |
| 5         | temp                  | $1\ 379\ 888.98$ | $1\ 293\ 337.07$ |

Calculate the feature importance of the two features.