
Interpretable Machine Learning

Generalized Linear Models




Learning goals

- Definition of GLMs
- Logistic regression as example
- Interpretation in logistic regression


Problem: Target variable given the features not always normally distributed → LM not suitable

- Target is binary (e.g., disease classification) → Bernoulli / Binomial distribution
- Target is count variable (e.g., number of sold products) → Poisson distribution
- Time until an event occurs (e.g., time until death) → Gamma distribution



Problem: Target variable given the features not always normally distributed → LM not suitable

- Target is binary (e.g., disease classification) → Bernoulli / Binomial distribution
- Target is count variable (e.g., number of sold products) → Poisson distribution
- Time until an event occurs (e.g., time until death) → Gamma distribution




Solution: GLMs - extend LMs by allowing other distributions from exponential family

$$g(\mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta \iff \mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta)$$

• Link function a links linear predictor $\mathbf{x}^{\top}\theta$ to expectation \mathbb{E} of specified distribution of $\mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{x}$ \rightarrow LM is special case: Gaussian distribution for $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ with g as identity function

Problem: Target variable given the features not always normally distributed → LM not suitable

- Target is binary (e.g., disease classification) → Bernoulli / Binomial distribution
- Target is count variable (e.g., number of sold products) → Poisson distribution
- Time until an event occurs (e.g., time until death) → Gamma distribution




Solution: GLMs - extend LMs by allowing other distributions from exponential family

$$g(\mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta \iff \mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta)$$

- Link function a links linear predictor $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta$ to expectation \mathbb{E} of specified distribution of $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ \rightarrow LM is special case: Gaussian distribution for $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ with g as identity function
- Link function a and distribution need to be specified

Problem: Target variable given the features not always normally distributed → LM not suitable

- Target is binary (e.g., disease classification) → Bernoulli / Binomial distribution
- Target is count variable (e.g., number of sold products) → Poisson distribution
- Time until an event occurs (e.g., time until death) → Gamma distribution




Solution: GLMs - extend LMs by allowing other distributions from exponential family

$$g(\mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta \iff \mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta)$$

- Link function a links linear predictor $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta$ to expectation \mathbb{E} of specified distribution of $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ \rightarrow LM is special case: Gaussian distribution for $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ with g as identity function
- Link function g and distribution need to be specified
- High-order and interaction effects can be manually added as in LMs

Problem: Target variable given the features not always normally distributed → LM not suitable

- Target is binary (e.g., disease classification) → Bernoulli / Binomial distribution
- Target is count variable (e.g., number of sold products) → Poisson distribution
- Time until an event occurs (e.g., time until death)



Solution: GLMs - extend LMs by allowing other distributions from exponential family

$$g(\mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x})) = \mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta \iff \mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta)$$

- Link function a links linear predictor $\mathbf{x}^{\top} \theta$ to expectation \mathbb{E} of specified distribution of $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ \rightarrow LM is special case: Gaussian distribution for $y \mid \mathbf{x}$ with g as identity function
- Link function a and distribution need to be specified
- High-order and interaction effects can be manually added as in LMs
- Note: Interpretation of weights depend on link function and distribution


GLM - LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression

GLM with Bernoulli distribution and logit link function:

$$g(x) = \log\left(\frac{x}{1-x}\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{-1}(x) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-x)}$$




Models probabilities for binary classification by

$$\pi(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbb{E}(y \mid \mathbf{x}) = P(y = 1) = g^{-1}(\mathbf{x}^{\top}\theta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-\mathbf{x}^{\top}\theta)}$$

GLM - LOGISTIC REGRESSION

- Typically, we set the threshold to 0.5 to predict classes, e.g.,
 - Class 1 if $\pi(\mathbf{x}) > 0.5$
 - Class 0 if $\pi(\mathbf{x}) \leq 0.5$



GLM - LOGISTIC REGRESSION - INTERPRETATION

- Recall: Odds is the quotient of two probabilities, odds ratio compares the ratio of two odds
- Weights θ_i are interpreted linear as in LM (but w.r.t. log-odds) \rightsquigarrow difficult to comprehend

$$log\text{-odds} = \log\left(\frac{\pi(\mathbf{x})}{1 - \pi(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \log\left(\frac{P(y=1)}{P(y=0)}\right) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \theta_p x_p$$

Interpretation: Changing x_j by one unit, changes log-odds of class 1 compared to class 0 by θ_j

GLM - LOGISTIC REGRESSION - INTERPRETATION

- Recall: Odds is the quotient of two probabilities, odds ratio compares the ratio of two odds
- Weights θ_i are interpreted linear as in LM (but w.r.t. log-odds) \leadsto difficult to comprehend

$$log-odds = \log\left(\frac{\pi(\mathbf{x})}{1 - \pi(\mathbf{x})}\right) = \log\left(\frac{P(y=1)}{P(y=0)}\right) = \theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \theta_p x_p$$

Interpretation: Changing x_j by one unit, changes log-odds of class 1 compared to class 0 by θ_j

- Odds for class 1 vs. class 0: $odds = \frac{\pi(\mathbf{x})}{1 \pi(\mathbf{x})} = \exp(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \theta_p x_p)$
- Instead of interpreting changes w.r.t. log-odds, it is more common to use odds ratios:

odds ratio =
$$\frac{odds_{x_j+1}}{odds} = \frac{\exp(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \theta_j (x_j + 1) + \ldots + \theta_p x_p)}{\exp(\theta_0 + \theta_1 x_1 + \ldots + \theta_j x_j + \ldots + \theta_p x_p)} = \exp(\theta_j)$$

Interpretation: Changing x_j by one unit, changes the **odds ratio** for class 1 (compared to class 0) by the **factor** $\exp(\theta_i)$

GLM - LOGISTIC REGRESSION - EXAMPLE


- Create a binary target variable for bike rental data:
 - Class 1: "high number of bike rentals" more than the 70% quantile (i.e., cnt > 5531)
 - Class 0: "low to medium number of bike rentals" (i.e., cnt \leq 5531)
- Fit a logistic regression model (GLM with Bernoulli distribution and logit link)

	Weights	SE	p-value
(Intercept)	-8.52	1.21	0.00
seasonSPRING	1.74	0.60	0.00
seasonSUMMER	-0.86	0.77	0.26
seasonFALL	-0.64	0.55	0.25
temp	0.29	0.04	0.00
hum	-0.06	0.01	0.00
windspeed	-0.09	0.03	0.00
days_since_2011	0.02	0.00	0.00

GLM - LOGISTIC REGRESSION - EXAMPLE

- Create a binary target variable for bike rental data:
 - Class 1: "high number of bike rentals" more than the 70% quantile (i.e., cnt > 5531)
 - ullet Class 0: "low to medium number of bike rentals" (i.e., cnt \leq 5531)
- Fit a logistic regression model (GLM with Bernoulli distribution and logit link)

	Weights	SE	p-value
(Intercept)	-8.52	1.21	0.00
seasonSPRING	1.74	0.60	0.00
seasonSUMMER	-0.86	0.77	0.26
seasonFALL	-0.64	0.55	0.25
temp	0.29	0.04	0.00
hum	-0.06	0.01	0.00
windspeed	-0.09	0.03	0.00
days_since_2011	0.02	0.00	0.00



Interpretation

• If temp increases by $1^{\circ}C$, odds ratio for class 1 increases by factor $\exp(0.29) = 1.34$ compared to class 0, c.p. ($\hat{=}$ "high number of bike rentals" become 1.34 times more likely)