**Feedback from Mid-Semester interview**

AGPL enforces quite a lot of rules and restrictions, do you think it is fair in the world of open source?

I would recommend using GitKraken for version control as it is more visual.

Looking at Hayley's log, it looks like the team is not communicating much. Remember that this is a team project!

How did you come to the conclusion that the hardware specified in the excel sheet is better? Have you spoken to anyone who has specialised in this field? Like the AUT ICT? • Your technical report is incomplete.

How did you come up with the monthly cost of $0.21 for solution 1?? Did you consider the cost of electricity, h/w, labour etc..???

Need more research on the network. 802.11AC is not recommended because it's expensive and does not cover long distance; MIMO is not necessary.

Recommend reading the requirements of the status report. Attend the classes so you are aware of the requirements. You need to start operating as a team.

**Feedback From Akshay for Feasibility study draft**

1.       I think you should emphasis more on hardware solution (I think the client wants a hardware implementation more than cloud). Keep this for now.

2.       Put appendix A, B and C in your feasibility study.

3.       It looks like you have visited the arena, I would recommend using a WiFi range identifier on your phone to check the dB of the access point.

4.       You have multiple images with same label name, eg there are two “Figure 1”- one in pg 4 and the other in pg 8.

5.       When you say - See distributed system reference for more info – Link to the article or the website.

6.       Justify the text, make it more presentable. Start learning Latex.

7.       Have you spoken to the ICT regarding how they manage the IO’s? If you not, I’m sorry but you are late.

8.       Whenever you use external software or contents make sure you refer to its website. Eg: JMeter – link the website. Or create a heading called References and cite them here.

9.       You should know that people hate AGPLv3, I think you should reconsider this license. You need a very strong justification to use such restricted license.

10.   Too many redundant contents. Repeated contents as well.

11.   Inconsistent font types.