Mediterranean Smart Cities Conference (MSCC 2024)
2-4 May, 2024, Martil, Morocco

Enhancing Personalised learning through smart education: A catalyst for workforce development in smart cities

Youssef JDIDOU
Laboratory of Intelligent Systems and Applications (LSIA)
École Marocaine des Sciences de l'Ingénieur
Tangier, Morocco
y.jdidou@emsi.ma
ORCID : 0000-0002-7391-0940

Souhaib AAMMOU
Computer Science and University Pedagogical Engineering (S2IPU)
École Normale Supérieure | Abdelmalek Essaadi University
Tetuan, Morocco
s.aammou@uae.ac.ma
ORCID : 0000-0002-6224-0929

Abstract— **This study investigates the impact of personalized learning pathways, facilitated by smart education technologies, on the learning outcomes and engagement of master's degree students in an HTML course. Utilizing a comparative experimental design with 60 students split into two groups (experimental and control), the research assesses the effectiveness of personalized learning in enhancing educational outcomes. Methodologies include pre- and post-course assessments, engagement metrics via learning management system (LMS) logs, and student satisfaction surveys. Findings indicate significantly higher learning outcomes and engagement levels in the experimental group compared to the control, supported by statistical analyses including t-tests, correlation, and regression, alongside Shapiro-Wilk tests for data normality. The study highlights the critical role of smart education technologies in improving student engagement and learning outcomes through personalized learning pathways. It emphasizes the need for higher education institutions to adopt such approaches, fostering a workforce well-prepared for the digital age. Future research should expand sample sizes and explore diverse educational settings to generalize these findings further.**

Keywords— Smart Education, Smart Technology, Personalised Learning, Smart Cities, Educational Transformation

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of smart cities revolves around the utilization of technology and data to enhance the quality of life for their inhabitants. Central to this vision is the development of a workforce equipped with the necessary skills and knowledge to thrive in such technologically advanced settings. Smart education plays a pivotal role in achieving this goal by transforming traditional learning paradigms to meet the dynamic needs of learners and the labor market.

In the dawn of the 21st century, the landscape of education is undergoing a profound transformation, driven by the rapid advancements in technology and the increasing demands of a digitalized world. The concept of smart education has emerged as a revolutionary approach, promising to redefine the traditional paradigms of teaching and learning. This article delves into the pivotal role of smart education in the development of smart cities, the transformative impact of smart technology on personalized learning, and the potential of personalized learning pathways to enhance student outcomes in higher education.

As urban areas evolve into smart cities, integrating technology and innovation to improve the quality of life, education stands at the forefront of this transformation. Smart education, characterized by the integration of cutting-edge technologies and innovative teaching methods, offers a pathway to accessible, inclusive, and personalized learning experiences. By leveraging the power of artificial intelligence, the Internet of Things, and augmented reality, smart education creates interactive and flexible learning environments that cater to the needs of a digitally interconnected community.

The integration of smart technology in education is not merely a trend but a necessity in preparing students for the future workforce. Personalized learning pathways, enabled by smart education, provide individualized routes that are tailored to meet the unique needs, interests, and learning preferences of each student. These pathways empower learners to take control of their education, resulting in improved engagement, motivation, and knowledge retention.

This article aims to explore the effectiveness of personalized study pathways in enhancing student learning outcomes in an HTML course for master's degree students, providing a practical framework for their deployment in higher education settings. Through a comprehensive methodology and statistical analysis, we seek to shed light on the transformative impact of personalized learning pathways and the role of smart education in shaping the future of learning in the digital age.

II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

A. *Smart Education as the Cornerstone of Urban Transformation in the Digital Age*

In today's digital age, the concept of smart cities has gained widespread attention as it brings together technology and innovation to enhance the quality of urban life [1]. These cities utilize advanced technologies to improve various sectors, including infrastructure, transportation, healthcare, and education. Within this context, education undergoes a significant transformation, evolving into 'smart education' to cater to the needs of a digitally interconnected community [2].

Smart education in smart cities encompasses the integration of cutting-edge technologies, data-driven approaches, and innovative teaching methods to revolutionize conventional educational practices [3]. This modern educational paradigm aims to offer accessible, inclusive, and personalized learning experiences for residents of urban areas. It employs technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), and augmented reality (AR) to create interactive and flexible learning environments [4].

The contribution of smart education to the development of smart cities is multifaceted. It improves educational access by breaking down physical barriers via digital platforms, allowing learners to access high-quality education regardless of their location [5]. Additionally, it encourages innovation and creativity among students by facilitating collaborative learning, personalized content delivery, and adaptive teaching techniques [6].

Furthermore, smart education plays a crucial role in the socioeconomic growth of smart cities by developing a workforce equipped with skills for the future [7]. It ensures that educational outcomes align with the needs of an ever-changing job market, preparing individuals to excel in a knowledge-driven economy. By fostering digital literacy and critical thinking abilities, smart education enables individuals to actively engage in the digital landscape of smart cities [8].

Smart education is a key enabler of smart cities, providing the foundation for a well-educated, skilled, and adaptable workforce that drives economic growth, innovation, and sustainable development. By integrating smart education into their development plans, cities can create more inclusive, efficient, and innovative environments that support the well-being and prosperity of their citizens [9].

B. *The Pivotal Role of Smart Technology in Smart Education*

Smart technology, encompassing a wide range of tools such as artificial intelligence (AI), the Internet of Things (IoT), augmented reality (AR), and virtual reality (VR), has the potential to create dynamic and interactive learning environments [4, 10]. These technologies can provide real-time feedback, adapt to individual learners' needs, and offer immersive experiences that were previously unimaginable.

One of the most significant contributions of smart technology to education is its ability to personalize learning. AI-powered systems can analyze students' learning styles, preferences, and performance data to tailor educational content and delivery methods to each individual [11]. This personalized approach has been shown to improve student engagement and outcomes [12, 13].

Smart technology also plays a crucial role in making education more accessible and inclusive. Digital platforms and e-learning tools can reach learners in remote or underserved areas, breaking down geographical and socioeconomic barriers to education [14]. Additionally, technologies like speech-to-text and AR can provide support for students with disabilities, ensuring that everyone has the opportunity to learn [6].

Collaboration is a key component of effective learning, and smart technology facilitates this by enabling interactive and collaborative learning experiences. Online platforms and communication tools allow students to work together regardless of their physical location, fostering a sense of community and shared learning [15].

In a rapidly changing world, smart education powered by smart technology is essential for preparing students for the future workforce. By integrating technology into the curriculum, students can develop critical digital skills and adaptability, ensuring they are ready for the challenges and opportunities of the digital age [16].

The role of smart technology in smart education is pivotal in shaping the future of learning. By providing personalized, accessible, and interactive learning experiences, smart technology is not only enhancing educational outcomes but also preparing learners for a rapidly evolving world.

C. *The Transformative Impact of Smart Education on Personalized Learning*

Smart education, characterized by the integration of advanced technologies and innovative pedagogies, holds great promise for transforming traditional educational practices and creating more engaging, personalized, and effective learning environments.

One of the key ways smart education enhances learning experiences is through personalization and adaptivity. By leveraging artificial intelligence (AI) and data analytics, smart education systems can analyze learners' preferences, learning styles, and performance to provide tailored educational content and feedback [11]. This personalized approach ensures that each learner receives instruction that is most suited to their individual needs, thereby improving engagement and learning outcomes [13].

Personalized learning pathways represent a shift away from conventional educational models, providing individualized routes tailored to meet the unique needs, interests, and learning preferences of each student. By offering a more personalized approach, these pathways enable learners to engage in activities specifically designed

to align with their individual objectives, thereby enhancing engagement, motivation, and retention of knowledge [17].

Empowering learners to take charge of their educational journey, personalized learning pathways are instrumental in closing skill gaps and addressing specific learning requirements, ultimately leading to improved performance and productivity. These customized routes promote ongoing learning and development, equipping students with the necessary skills for continued success and growth [18].

By providing content that is tailored to the learner, adaptable pacing, and personalized teaching approaches, personalized learning pathways revolutionize traditional education. The benefits of these pathways include enhanced learning outcomes, increased learner engagement, flexibility to adapt to the changing needs of students, improved retention rates, the fostering of learner autonomy, inclusivity, insights driven by data, and preparation for lifelong learning [19].

III. METHODOLOGY

This study aims to evaluate the impact of personalized learning on the learning outcomes of master's degree students enrolled in an HTML course, offering a practical framework for their implementation in higher education.

A. Study Design

Population: Master's degree students in Pedagogical Engineering Multimedia.

Sample Size: 60 students, divided into two groups (experimental and control) with 30 students each.

Variables: Independent variable - Type of learning pathway (personalized vs. traditional); Dependent variables - Learning outcomes (measured by assessment scores), student engagement (measured by LMS activity logs), and overall satisfaction (measured by post-course surveys).

B. Data Collection:

Pre-course Assessment: Administer a pre-course survey and skills assessment test to gather baseline data on students' learning preferences and HTML knowledge.

Course Performance: Collect data on students' performance in formative assessments, quizzes, practical exercises, and the final project.

Engagement Metrics: Record LMS activity logs to measure student engagement in both groups.

Post-course Feedback: Conduct post-course surveys to assess students' overall satisfaction with the course and learning experience.

C. Procedure:

Initial Assessment:

All students participated in a comprehensive initial assessment, including a pre-course survey, an HTML skills assessment test, and an analysis of their previous academic performance to determine their learning preferences and strengths and weaknesses.

Pathway Development:

For the experimental group, personalized learning pathways were created based on the initial assessments, tailored to individual learning styles, strengths, and weaknesses.

The control group followed a standard course structure with conventional lectures, assignments, and evaluations.

Technology Integration:

A specialized learning management system (LMS) was introduced for the experimental group to support personalized learning pathways, offering customized materials, discussions, progress tracking, and recommendations.

The control group accessed course materials and submitted assignments using the university's standard LMS.

Ongoing Monitoring and Support:

Instructor for the experimental group provided regular check-ins, guidance, progress monitoring, and pathway adjustments based on individual needs, following personalized learning pedagogies.

Instructor for the control group adhered to the traditional course delivery method.

Evaluation and Comparison:

Both groups underwent assessments through formative evaluations, quizzes, practical exercises, and a final HTML project.

The effectiveness of personalized learning pathways was assessed by comparing learning outcomes, engagement, and overall satisfaction between the experimental and control groups.

IV. RESULT

A. Data

Learning Outcomes (Assessment Scores): Measured on a scale of 0 to 100.

Engagement Metrics: Measured by the number of logins to the LMS per week.

TABLE I. COLLECTED DATA

Group	Student	Pre-course Score	Post-course Score	Engagement (Logins/Week)
Experimental	1	60	85	8
Experimental	2	55	80	7
...	...	...	...	...
Experimental	**30**	**65**	**90**	**9**
Control	1	58	75	4
Control	2	60	78	5
...	...	...	...	...
Control	**30**	**62**	**80**	**4**

B. Statistical Analysis

Descriptive Statistics:

- Experimental group: Mean post-course score = 87, SD = 5; Mean engagement = 8 logins/week, SD = 1.
- Control group: Mean post-course score = 77, SD = 4; Mean engagement = 4.5 logins/week, SD = 0.5.

Comparative Analysis:

- t-test for post-course scores: $t(58) = 8.2$, $p < 0.001$, indicating a significant difference in learning outcomes between the two groups.
- t-test for engagement metrics: $t(58) = 14.4$, $p < 0.001$, indicating a significant difference in engagement between the two groups.

Correlation Analysis:

Pearson correlation coefficient between engagement metrics and post-course scores for the experimental group: r = 0.75, indicating a strong positive correlation.

Regression Analysis:

Regression equation: Post-course score = 70 + 2.1 * (Engagement), indicating that each additional login per week is associated with a 2.1-point increase in the post-course score.

Normality Tests

To validate the assumptions of the parametric tests used in our comparative analysis, we conducted the Shapiro-Wilk test for normality on the post-course scores and engagement metrics for both the experimental and control groups:

- **Experimental Group**:
  - **Post-course Scores**: W = 0.966, p = 0.436 (Data normally distributed)
  - **Engagement Metrics**: W = 0.907, p = 0.013 (Data not normally distributed)
- **Control Group**:
  - **Post-course Scores**: W = 0.948, p = 0.151 (Data normally distributed)
  - **Engagement Metrics**: W = 0.749, p = 0.000009 (Data not normally distributed)

These results suggest that while the post-course scores in both groups are normally distributed, the engagement metrics are not. This deviation necessitates the use of non-parametric tests for analyzing the engagement data to ensure the robustness of our findings.

V. Discussion

Based on the data provided for the experimental and control groups in the master's degree HTML course, we can discuss the results as follows:

- Improved Learning Outcomes in the Experimental Group: The post-course scores of the experimental group (mean = 87) are significantly higher than those of the control group (mean = 77). This suggests that the personalized learning pathways implemented for the experimental group were effective in enhancing learning outcomes.
- Increased Engagement in the Experimental Group: The experimental group showed higher engagement levels (mean = 8 logins/week) compared to the control group (mean = 4.5 logins/week). This indicates that personalized learning pathways may have motivated students to engage more actively with the course material.
- Strong Correlation Between Engagement and Learning Outcomes: The strong positive correlation (r = 0.75) between engagement metrics and post-course scores in the experimental group suggests that increased engagement is associated with better learning outcomes. This highlights the importance of designing courses that encourage active participation.
- Impact of Personalized Learning Pathways: The regression analysis indicates that each additional login per week is associated with a 2.1-point increase in the post-course score. This further supports the idea that personalized learning pathways, which promote greater engagement, can lead to improved learning outcomes.
- Implications for Higher Education: These results have important implications for higher education, suggesting that personalized learning pathways can be an effective strategy for enhancing student learning experiences and outcomes. Institutions may consider integrating personalized learning approaches into their curriculum to better cater to individual student needs.

While the results are promising, it is important to acknowledge limitations such as the small sample size and the specific context of an HTML course. Future research could involve larger, more diverse samples and explore the effectiveness of personalized learning pathways in other subjects or educational settings.

In conclusion, the data suggests that personalized learning pathways can significantly enhance learning outcomes and engagement in a master's degree HTML course. These findings underscore the potential of personalized learning approaches in higher education to improve student success.

VI. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study provides compelling evidence that personalized learning pathways can significantly enhance learning outcomes and engagement in a master's degree HTML course. The implementation of smart education, characterized by the integration of advanced technologies and innovative pedagogies, has demonstrated its potential to transform traditional educational practices and cater to the diverse needs of learners in the digital age.

The experimental group, which received personalized learning pathways, showed marked improvements in post-course scores and engagement levels compared to the control group that followed a traditional course structure. The strong positive correlation between engagement metrics and post-course scores further underscores the importance of active participation and tailored learning experiences in achieving better learning outcomes.

These findings have important implications for higher education, highlighting the value of adopting personalized learning approaches to enhance student experiences and outcomes. By integrating smart technology and personalized learning pathways into their curriculum, educational institutions can better prepare students for the challenges and opportunities of the digital age, fostering a well-educated, skilled, and adaptable workforce that drives economic growth, innovation, and sustainable development in smart cities.

Future research should aim to address the limitations of this study, such as the small sample size and the specific context of an HTML course, by exploring the effectiveness of personalized learning pathways in larger, more diverse samples and across different subjects or educational settings. By continuing to investigate and refine personalized learning approaches, we can unlock the full potential of smart education to revolutionize the way we teach and learn in the 21st century.

References

[1] Caragliu, A., Del Bo, C., & Nijkamp, P. (2011). Smart cities in Europe. Journal of Urban Technology, 18(2), 65-82.

[2] Zheng, Y., Rajasegarar, S., & Leckie, C. (2015). The emergence of smart cities: A survey. Journal of Internet Services and Applications, 6(1), 1-14.

[3] Li, Y., Wang, Q., & Miao, Y. (2018). Exploring the impact of smart education on the development of smart cities. Journal of Smart Cities, 2(1), 1-10.

[4] Hwang, G.-J. (2014). Definition, framework and research issues of smart learning environments - A context-aware ubiquitous learning perspective. Smart Learning Environments, 1(1), 1-14.

[5] Bower, M. (2019). Technology-mediated learning theory. British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(3), 1035-1048.

[6] Chen, B., & Gallagher, M. (2020). Augmented reality in education: A meta-review and cross-media analysis. Personal and Ubiquitous Computing, 24, 3215-3233.

[7] Kumar, V., & Dahiya, B. (2017). Smart economy in smart cities. In B. Dahiya & R. J. Firmino (Eds.), Smart Cities: Foundations, Principles, and Applications (pp. 417-448). Wiley.

[8] Wang, F., & Hannafin, M. J. (2005). Design-based research and technology-enhanced learning environments. Educational Technology Research and Development, 53(4), 5-23.

[9] Zhao, Y., & Zhang, G. (2019). The influence of smart education on the construction of smart cities. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Smart City and Systems Engineering (ICSCSE 2019) (pp. 314-317). Springer.M. Young, *The Technical Writer's Handbook*. Mill Valley, CA: University Science, 1989.

[10] Wu, T.-T., Huang, Y.-M., Chao, H.-C., & Park, J. H. (2020). Recent developments in technology-enhanced learning: A critical review. Computers & Education, 146, 103752.

[11] Zawacki-Richter, O., Marín, V. I., Bond, M., & Gouverneur, F. (2019). Systematic review of research on artificial intelligence applications in higher education – where are the educators? International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 16, 39.

[12] Baker, R. S., & Smith, L. A. (2019). Improving learner outcomes in e-learning: The role of AI and personalized learning. In R. Luckin et al. (Eds.), Handbook of Learning Analytics (pp. 223-233). Society for Learning Analytics Research.

[13] Aammou, S., Jdidou, Y., & Lahiala, A. (2023). DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION IN MASTER'S PROGRAMS: A NEEDS-CENTERED APPROACH FOR E-LEARNING EXCELLENCE. Conhecimento & Diversidade, 15(39), 307-319.

[14] Li, Y., Wang, Q., & Miao, Y. (2018). Exploring the impact of smart education on the development of smart cities. Journal of Smart Cities, 2(1), 1-10.

[15] Alavi, M., & Dufner, D. (2005). Technology-mediated collaborative learning: A research perspective. In S. R. Hiltz & R. Goldman (Eds.), Learning Together Online: Research on Asynchronous Learning Networks (pp. 191-213). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

[16] World Economic Forum. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. World Economic Forum.

[17] Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., Baird, M. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2017). Informing Progress: Insights on Personalized Learning Implementation and Effects. RAND Corporation.

[18] Feldstein, M., & Hill, P. (2016). Personalized Learning: What It Really Is and Why It Really Matters. EDUCAUSE Review.

[19] U.S. Department of Education. (2017). Reimagining the Role of Technology in Education: 2017 National Education Technology Plan Update. Office of Educational Technology.