CASE REPORT

SUMMARY

We report a 1-year follow-up of a young woman

with anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis.

biomarkers are sought, which allow for the monitoring

Management of autoimmune encephalitis remains

of treatment response. While further investigation

challenging as objective and clinically relevant

is required, we believe that this case highlights

neuropsychological profile as a clinically relevant

biomarker to guide therapeutic decision-making.

By relying on the neuropsychological assessment of

the patient, treatment with more toxic medications

was avoided and her antiepileptic drug regimen was

the importance of following a comprehensive

Neuropsychological assessment as an objective tool to monitor treatment response in anti-N-methyl-Daspartate receptor encephalitis

Erica Sieg, ¹ Michael Brook, ^{1,2} Jenny Linnoila, ³ Stephen VanHaerents ^{4,5}

¹Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences. Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, Illinois, USA ²Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA ³Department of Neurology, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts, USA ⁴Department of Neurology, Northwestern University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, Illinois, USA ⁵Department of Neurology, Northwestern Memorial

Correspondence toDr Stephen VanHaerents, svanhaer@gmail.com

Hospital, Chicago, Illinois, USA

Accepted 29 May 2018

BACKGROUND

simplified.

Anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor (NMDAR) encephalitis is an increasingly recognised aetiology of previously unexplained encephalitis. 1 2 The syndrome usually develops with a progression of symptoms through psychiatric manifestations, catatonia, amnesia, reduced verbal output, insomnia, seizures, movement disorders, autonomic instability, hypoventilation and eventually coma. 1 3 Primary language dysfunction without frank psychosis is noted in children with anti NMDAR encephalitis, but is more of an atypical presentation in adults. 13-5 While initial treatment approaches are prevalent in the literature, long-term treatment and clinically relevant biomarkers used to assess treatment response remain controversial.3 Modified Rankin Scale (mRS) has been used as a potential marker for outcome. However, more recently it was shown that in patients with autoimmune encephalitis with a 'good' neurological disability outcome (mRS 0-2), 40% still scored below average in adaptive behaviour and 60% reported ongoing neuropsychiatric or neurocognitive symptoms (emotional lability, short-term memory deficits, etc). 4 6 Therefore, the authors suggested comprehensive neuropsychological assessment is superior to a gross six-point '0=no symptoms, 6=dead' scale, to determine optimal treatment and follow-up care of autoimmune patients.

To cite: Sieg E, Brook M,

Linnoila J, et al. BMJ Case Rep Published Online First: [please include Day Month Year]. doi:10.1136/bcr-2018-224169

Check for updates

CASE PRESENTATION

We report a 28-year-old functionally normal woman with no neurodevelopmental, psychiatric, medical

or substance abuse history who presented with 3-week progressive course of confusion, difficulty recognising family, decreased speech output, right arm and face numbness, and subsequent epilepsia partialis continua of her right hand.

INVESTIGATIONS

Brain MRI showed no significant findings.

EEG showed continuous slowing over the left hemisphere, but no epileptiform discharges. While serum was negative, the cerebrospinal fluid analysis identified NMDAR antibodies. Malignancy screening was negative (body PET and CT, MRI of pelvis and transvaginal ultrasound).

TREATMENT

The patient was started on antiepileptic drugs (AEDs; clobazam, levetiracetam and phenytoin) and immunosuppression with intravenous steroids, plasma exchange and rituximab.

OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP

In order to formally assess her cognitive status and to help guide her immunosuppression treatment, a brief targeted neuropsychological assessment was completed 2 weeks following immunosuppression initiation, 1 month post-symptom onset (table 1). Assessment demonstrated incomplete global aphasia and receptive vocabulary less than the 2-year-old age equivalency. The patient was discharged and received outpatient speech and language therapy two times weekly.

At first follow-up (3 months after disease onset), the patient evidenced limited improvement in speech and function on qualitative exam, with fluctuations throughout the day in behaviour and cognition per her family. Fertility preservation and cyclophosphamide were considered, given a seeming lack of clinical or functional improvement.

Neuropsychological assessment was repeated demonstrating clinical improvement in receptive language function, scores improving to the 6-year-old age equivalency (table 1). In addition, continuous EEG monitoring did not demonstrate any epileptiform activity and therefore multiple AEDs were thought to potentially be contributing to fluctuations in performance throughout the day, as opposed to continued disease progression. Cyclophosphamide treatment was not initiated, and an AED taper of clobazam was initiated.



Table 1 Summary of neuropsychological testing results			
	Time 1:	Time 2:	Time 3:
	1 month post- symptom onset	3 months post- symptom onset	
Neuropsychological assessments	2 weeks post- immunosuppression initiation	10.5 weeks post- immunosuppression initiation	1-year follow- up
Western Aphasia Battery			
Spontaneous speech content	0%	20%	90%
Spontaneous speech fluency	20%	20%	90%
Auditory verbal comp: Y/N Q's	70%	80%	100%
Sequential commands	10%	60%	94%
Repetition	20%	20%	68%
Object naming	0%	30%	85%
Total language score	15/100	30/100	84/100
Peabody Picture Vocabulary Test—Third Edition (PPVT-3)			
Raw score	16/204	80/204	171/204
Age equivalent	<1 year 9 months	6 years 1 month	19 years 1 month

Scores are presented as percentage correct to account for expanded form used at 1-year follow-up.

In subsequent follow-up visits (6 months and 1 year post-disease onset), she appeared markedly improved and the patient and her family reported return to 70% of baseline functioning, and her language improved to a 19-year-old age equivalency. She remains seizure free on a single AED, and immunosuppressed on rituximab. She is presently emotionally and behaviourally stable, and has continued to follow-up with neuropsychological assessment, to inform cognitive progress and guide transition back to independent execution of activities of daily living.

DISCUSSION

Current literature indicates that neuropsychological assessment can aid in the detection and treatment of autoimmune encephalitis.^{3 6 7} While further investigation is required, we believe that this case highlights the importance of following a comprehensive neuropsychological profile as a clinically relevant biomarker to guide therapeutic decision-making in autoimmune encephalitis. We reported specifically on neuropsychological language assessment (most relevant to this case), but standardised and reliable neuropsychological assessments are available for assessing the various cognitive domains affected by any autoimmune encephalitis including memory, attention, executive, visual spatial and psychiatric functioning. We believe that by applying these assessments across the various autoimmune encephalitides one can potentially (1) offer insights into disease mechanism of action, (2) inform specific disease course, (3) identify protective and

prognostic factors, (4) inform treatment response and medication selection, (5) guide selection of rehabilitative interventions and (6) monitor for indication of disease stability, progression, recovery and/or relapse.

Learning points

- Neuropsychological assessment in cases of autoimmune encephalitis allows for the identification of particular diseaseassociated cognitive and psychiatric deficits, which may themselves become clinical biomarkers.
- ► By relying on the objective neuropsychological assessment, treatment with more toxic medications may be avoided and antiepileptic drug regimen may be simplified.
- Neuropsychological assessment may offer insight into disease mechanism, inform disease-specific course and objectively monitor for disease stability, progression, recovery and/or relapse.

Acknowledgements The authors thank Stephan U. Schuele and Robert Hanlon for their clinical care and supervision, and Elizabeth Bachman and Elizabeth Papendick for coordination efforts.

Contributors ES prepared the manuscript and performed the neuropsychological assessments. MB supervised ES neuropsychological assessments and critically reviewed the manuscript. JL provided clinical guidance to this case and critically reviewed the manuscript. SVH supervised the project, clinically manages the patient and critically reviewed the manuscript.

Funding The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Competing interests SV reports other from SAGE Therapeutics, outside the submitted work; Clinical Trial for super refractory status epileptics.

Patient consent Obtained.

Provenance and peer review Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

© BMJ Publishing Group Ltd (unless otherwise stated in the text of the article) 2018. All rights reserved. No commercial use is permitted unless otherwise expressly granted.

REFERENCES

- 1 Dalmau J, Tüzün E, Wu HY, et al. Paraneoplastic anti-N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor encephalitis associated with ovarian teratoma. Ann Neurol 2007;61:25–36.
- 2 Prüss H, Dalmau J, Harms L, et al. Retrospective analysis of NMDA receptor antibodies in encephalitis of unknown origin. Neurology 2010;75:1735–9.
- 3 Linnoila J, Pittock SJ. Autoantibody-Associated Central Nervous System Neurologic Disorders. Semin Neurol 2016;36:382–96.
- 4 Gordon-Lipkin E, Yeshokumar AK, Saylor D, et al. Comparative Outcomes in Children and Adults With Anti- N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (anti-NMDA) Receptor Encephalitis. J Child Neurol 2017;32:930–5.
- 5 Sühs KW, Wegner F, Skripuletz T, et al. Heterogeneity of clinical features and corresponding antibodies in seven patients with anti-NMDA receptor encephalitis. Exp Ther Med 2015;10:1283–92.
- 6 Yeshokumar AK, Gordon-Lipkin E, Arenivas A, et al. Neurobehavioral outcomes in autoimmune encephalitis. J Neuroimmunol 2017;312:8–14.
- 7 Luna-Lario P, Hernaez-Goni P, Tirapu-Ustarroz J. [Contributions of neuropsychology to anti-NMDA receptor antibody encephalitis: a literature review]. Rev Neurol 2016;62:415–22.

Copyright 2018 BMJ Publishing Group. All rights reserved. For permission to reuse any of this content visit http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions.

BMJ Case Report Fellows may re-use this article for personal use and teaching without any further permission.

Become a Fellow of BMJ Case Reports today and you can:

- ► Submit as many cases as you like
- ► Enjoy fast sympathetic peer review and rapid publication of accepted articles
- ► Access all the published articles
- ▶ Re-use any of the published material for personal use and teaching without further permission

For information on Institutional Fellowships contact consortiasales@bmjgroup.com

Visit casereports.bmj.com for more articles like this and to become a Fellow