

# Individual Analysis Report: ShellSort Implementation

**Course:** Algorithmic Analysis

**Author:** Onalbayev Nursalim SE-2425

**Date:** 05.10.2025

---

## 1. Algorithm Overview

Shell Sort is an advanced comparison-based sorting algorithm that extends the idea of insertion sort.

Instead of comparing adjacent elements, Shell Sort compares elements that are a fixed gap apart. The gap sequence decreases over time until it becomes 1, at which point the algorithm performs a standard insertion sort.

- **Main idea:** perform multiple passes of gapped insertion sorts.
  - **Gap sequences:** the choice of gap sequence is critical. The simplest is halving ( $n/2, n/4, \dots, 1$ ), but more efficient ones exist (Knuth, Sedgewick, Tokuda).
  - **Characteristics:**
    - In-place algorithm ( $O(1)$  extra memory).
    - Not stable (relative order of equal elements may change).
    - Highly dependent on input distribution and gap sequence.
- 

## 2. Complexity Analysis

### 2.1 Time Complexity

- **Best Case:**
  - For nearly sorted data, Shell Sort can approach  $O(n \log n)$ .
  - This occurs when the initial gaps quickly reduce the disorder.
- **Average Case:**
  - Depends strongly on the gap sequence.
  - For basic halving gap sequence: typically  $O(n^{3/2}) \approx O(n^{1.5})$ .
  - For optimized gap sequences:  $O(n \log^2 n)$  or better.
- **Worst Case:**
  - With halving gap sequence:  $O(n^2)$ .
  - No tight general bound is known for all sequences, but practical sequences avoid quadratic growth.

### 2.2 Space Complexity

- In-place algorithm:  $O(1)$  auxiliary space.

## 2.3 Comparison with HeapSort

- HeapSort guarantees  $\Theta(n \log n)$  in all cases.
  - ShellSort's performance fluctuates between  $O(n \log n)$  and  $O(n^2)$ , depending on gaps.
  - HeapSort is more predictable for large-scale datasets.
- 

## 3. Code Review & Optimization

### Observations

- The repository provides a basic implementation of Shell Sort in Java.
- Commit history is minimal (only two commits), suggesting limited development iteration.
- No **unit tests** included.
- No **performance metrics** (comparisons, swaps, array accesses, execution time).
- Gap sequence implemented is the simplest ( $n/2, \dots, 1$ ).

### Identified Issues

- **Maintainability:** Code is short but lacks modularity and comments.
- **Readability:** No clear package structure (algorithms/, metrics/, cli/).
- **Testing:** Edge cases not covered (empty array, single element, duplicates, sorted/reverse arrays).
- **Performance Tracking:** Missing instrumentation for empirical analysis.

### Optimization Suggestions

- Introduce **PerformanceTracker** class to count comparisons, swaps, and execution time.
  - Add **unit tests** with JUnit 5 for correctness and edge cases.
  - Experiment with alternative **gap sequences** (Knuth: 1, 4, 13, ...; Sedgewick; Tokuda).
  - Improve code modularity: place algorithm in algorithms/ package, tests in src/test/java/.
  - Expand README with usage instructions and theoretical background.
- 

## 4. Empirical Results

The current implementation does not provide benchmarks or CSV data.

A proper evaluation should include:

- Input sizes:  $n = 100, 1,000, 10,000, 100,000$ .

- Input distributions: random, sorted, reverse-sorted, nearly sorted.
- Metrics: execution time, comparisons, swaps.
- Graphs: **time vs n**, compared to theoretical  $O(n \log^2 n)$  and  $O(n^2)$ .

### **Expected Behavior (based on theory)**

- For small  $n$ , ShellSort is competitive and sometimes faster than HeapSort due to fewer overheads.
  - For large  $n$ , HeapSort outperforms ShellSort because of guaranteed  $O(n \log n)$ .
  - Gap sequence optimization significantly impacts scaling.
- 

## **5. Conclusion**

ShellSort is an elegant improvement over insertion sort that benefits from gap-based comparisons.

However, the current implementation in the repository has several limitations:

- No benchmarks or metrics to validate theoretical performance.
- Uses only a basic gap sequence, leading to quadratic worst-case time.
- Lacks modular structure, testing, and documentation.

### **Recommendations**

- Extend implementation with metrics and empirical analysis.
  - Add multiple gap sequences and compare performance.
  - Improve repository structure and commit history for better maintainability.
  - Compare empirical results against HeapSort to confirm theoretical expectations.
- 

## **Appendix (Optional for Report)**

- **Table:** complexity summary of ShellSort vs HeapSort.
- **Charts:** (to be added after running benchmarks).