I Computation of the kernel

I.1 Definitions

Let $W(x) := \left(-\frac{x}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{27} + \frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}\right)^{1/3} - \left(\frac{x}{2} + \left(\frac{1}{27} + \frac{x^2}{4}\right)^{1/2}\right)^{1/3}$, and define $Lz := -az - b\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}$, where $a(x) := 1 + \frac{W(x)}{x} + \frac{\partial W}{\partial x}(x)$ and $b(x) := \frac{3x}{2} + W(x)$.

I.2 Computation in Sobolev spaces

Let $\langle f,g\rangle:=\langle f,g\rangle_{L^2}=\int_{\mathbb{R}}f(x)g(x)\,dx$ be the usual inner product of $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $w,z\in H^k(\mathbb{R})$ for k large enough. For simplicity, we will denote $\frac{\partial z}{\partial x}:=z'$.

I.2.1 Symetric part in L^2 space

Let z and w be smooth, we can compute the symetric part of L with respect to the L^2 inner product as follows:

$$\langle Lz, w \rangle_{L^2} = \langle -az - bz', w \rangle = \langle z, -aw \rangle + \langle z, b'w + bw' \rangle$$
$$= \langle z, (-a + b')w + bw' \rangle = \langle z, L^*w \rangle$$

Thus, even if L is only defined on H^1 , its symetric part can be extended to L^2 :

$$L_{\sigma}z := \frac{1}{2}(L + L^*)z = \frac{1}{2}(-az - bz' - az + b'z + bz') = -az + \frac{b'}{2}z.$$

I.2.2 Quadratic form in H^1 space

In H^1 , the quadratic form associated to L_{σ} is computed using integration by parts:

$$\langle L_{\sigma}z, z \rangle_{H^{1}} = \langle -az + \frac{b'}{2}z, z \rangle + \langle -a'z - az' + \frac{b''}{2}z + \frac{b'}{2}z', z' \rangle$$

$$= \langle (-a + \frac{b'}{2})z, z \rangle + \langle -a'z + \frac{b''}{2}z, z' \rangle + \langle (-a + \frac{b'}{2})z', z' \rangle$$

$$= \langle (-a + \frac{b'}{2})z, z \rangle + \langle \frac{2a'' - b^{(3)}}{4}z, z \rangle + \langle (-a + \frac{b'}{2})z', z' \rangle$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \langle (-4a + 2b' + 2a'' - b^{(3)})z, z \rangle + \langle (-a + \frac{b'}{2})z', z' \rangle$$

I.2.3 Quadratic form in H^2 space

In H^2 , the quadratic form associated to L_{σ} is computed as follows:

$$\langle (Lz)'', z'' \rangle =$$

Thus, we have in H^2 :

$$\langle Lz,z\rangle_{H^2} =$$

I.2.4 Quadratic form in H^3 space

I.3 Compact part of the quadratic form

We proved in the previous section that the quadratic form associated with L in H^3 is of the form :

$$\langle Lz, z \rangle_{H^3} = \langle \varphi_0 z, z \rangle + \langle \varphi_1 z', z' \rangle + \langle \varphi_2 z'', z'' \rangle + \langle \varphi_3 z^{(3)}, z^{(3)} \rangle$$

In the next section, we will show that φ_3 has a sign and is bounded. This leaves to study the lower order terms, and we will prove that there exists a compact operator M such that

$$\langle Mz, z \rangle_{H^3} = \langle \varphi_0 z, z \rangle + \langle \varphi_1 z', z' \rangle + \langle \varphi_2 z'', z'' \rangle$$

Combining those results yield the following energy estimate:

$$\langle Lz, z \rangle_{H^3} \le -\delta \|z\|_{H^3}^2 + \langle Mz, z \rangle_{H^3} \tag{1.1}$$

We will use the Fourier transform, with the following convention:

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) e^{-2\pi i x \xi} dx$$

and we will denote

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}(f)(x) := \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\xi) e^{2\pi i x \xi} d\xi$$

the inverse Fourier transform.

I.3.1 Base case

We want to find M_0 such that

$$\langle M_0 z, z \rangle_{H^3} = \langle \varphi_0 z, z \rangle \tag{1.2}$$

The Parseval identity gives:

$$\int \hat{z}(\xi)\widehat{M_0z}(\xi)(1+\xi^2)^3d\xi = \int \hat{z}(\xi)\widehat{\varphi_0z}(\xi)d\xi$$

Thus, choosing M_0 such that $\widehat{M_0}z(\xi) = \frac{1}{(1+\xi^2)^3}\widehat{\varphi_0}\widehat{z}(\xi)$ would give the equality.

Defining $\lambda_0(\xi) := \frac{1}{(1+\xi^2)^3}$, this condition is equivalent to :

$$\widehat{M_0 z} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_0)} \widehat{\varphi_0 z} = \widehat{\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_0)} * \widehat{\varphi_0 z}$$

i.e. $M_0 z = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_0) * \varphi_0 z$ satisfies eq. (1.2).

I.3.2 First order case

We want to find M_1 such that

$$\langle M_1 z, z \rangle_{H^3} = \langle \varphi_1 z', z' \rangle \tag{1.3}$$

Integrating by parts and applying the Parseval identity, we have the equivalence

$$\langle M_1 z, z \rangle_{H^3} = -\langle \varphi_1' z' + \varphi_1 z'', z \rangle = -\langle \varphi_1' z, z' \rangle - \langle \varphi_1 z, z'' \rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int \widehat{z}(\xi) \widehat{M_1 z}(\xi) (1 + \xi^2)^3 d\xi = -\int (2\pi i \xi) \widehat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_1' z}(\xi) d\xi + \int (4\pi^2 \xi^2) \widehat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_1 z}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int \widehat{z}(\xi) \widehat{M_1 z}(\xi) (1 + \xi^2)^3 d\xi = \int \widehat{z} \left[-(2\pi i \xi) \widehat{\varphi_1' z}(\xi) + (4\pi^2 \xi^2) \widehat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_1 z}(\xi) \right] d\xi$$

Defining $\lambda_1(\xi) := -\frac{2\pi i \xi}{(1+\xi^2)^3}$ and $\lambda_2(\xi) := \frac{4\pi^2 \xi^2}{(1+\xi^2)^3}$, we have that

$$M_1z := \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_1) * \varphi_1'z\right) + \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_2) * \varphi_1z\right)$$

satisfies eq. (1.3).

I.3.3 Second order case

We want to find M_2 such that

$$\langle M_2 z, z \rangle_{H^3} = \langle \varphi_2 z'', z'' \rangle \tag{1.4}$$

Integrating by parts twice and applying the Parseval identity, we have the equivalence

$$\langle M_2 z, z \rangle_{H^3} = \langle \varphi_2'' z'' + 2\varphi_2' z^{(3)} + \varphi_2 z^{(4)}, z \rangle = \langle \varphi_2'' z, z'' \rangle + \langle 2\varphi_2' z, z^{(3)} \rangle + \langle \varphi_2 z, z^{(4)} \rangle$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int \hat{z}(\xi) \widehat{M_2 z}(\xi) (1 + \xi^2)^3 d\xi = -\int (4\pi^2 \xi^2) \hat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_2''} z(\xi) d\xi - \int (i16\pi^3 \xi^3) \hat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_2'} z(\xi) d\xi + \int (16\pi^4 \xi^4) \hat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_2 z}(\xi) d\xi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \int \hat{z}(\xi) \widehat{M_2 z}(\xi) (1 + \xi^2)^3 d\xi = \int \hat{z} \left[-(4\pi^2 \xi^2) \widehat{\varphi_2''} z(\xi) - (i16\pi^3 \xi^3) \hat{z}(\xi) \widehat{\varphi_2'} z(\xi) + (16\pi^4 \xi^4) \widehat{\varphi_2 z}(\xi) \right] d\xi$$

Defining $\lambda_3(\xi) := -\frac{i16\pi^3\xi^3}{(1+\xi^2)^3}$ and $\lambda_4(\xi) := \frac{16\pi^4\xi^4}{(1+\xi^2)^3}$, we have that

$$M_2 z := \left(-\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_2) * \varphi_2'' z \right) + \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_3) * \varphi_2' z \right) + \left(\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\lambda_4) * \varphi_2 z \right)$$

satisfies eq. (1.4).

Sacha Ben-Arous 3 E.N.S Paris-Saclay

I.4 Quality of the approximation

The compact operators that we are studying are of the form:

$$K(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(y) dy$$

Assuming that we can bound the growth of the kernel at infinity, a natural choice of a finite rank approximation on a bounded domain [-A, A] would be to use a Riemann sum :

$$K_n(f)(x) := \sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta K(x, y_i) f(y_i)$$

where $\delta := \frac{2A}{n}$ is the integration step and $\begin{cases} y_0 = -A \\ y_{i+1} = y_i + \delta \end{cases}$ are the sample points.

Now, we want to get a precise bound on the quality of this approximation in order to compute a relevant upper bound in the energy estimate 1.1.

We want to use the following result to get a precise bound on the convergence in the operator norm.

LEMMA I-1 (Schur test). — Let $K : \mathbb{R}^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ be a square integrable kernel, and T be the operator defined by

$$T: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}), \qquad (Tf)(x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Then

$$||T||_{L^2 \mapsto L^2} \le \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(x,y)| dy \times \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |K(x,y)| dx.$$

Let's now rewrite the operators to apply this lemma. Recall that the Fourier multiplier $(1 - \Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}}$ defines an isometric isomorphism from $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ to $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and also that the Dirac function that evaluates a function at a given point x has a representation in $H^3(\mathbb{R})$, that we denote η_x . Now, for a function f in $H^3(\mathbb{R})$, defining $g := (1 - \Delta)^{\frac{3}{2}} f$, we have:

$$K(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} K(x, y) f(y) dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 - \Delta_y)^{\frac{-3}{2}} K(x, y) g(y) dy$$

and

$$K_{n}(f)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta K(x, y_{i}) f(y_{i}) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta K(x, y_{i}) \langle f, \eta_{y_{i}} \rangle_{H^{3}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta K(x, y_{i}) \langle g, (1 - \Delta_{y})^{\frac{3}{2}} \eta_{y_{i}} \rangle_{L^{2}}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \delta K(x, y_{i}) (1 - \Delta_{y})^{\frac{3}{2}} \eta_{y_{i}}(y) g(y) dy$$

II Numerical approximation by Gaussians

Sacha Ben-Arous 4 E.N.S Paris-Saclay