

## Quiz 2



**10/10** points  
earned (100%)

Quiz passed!

[Continue Course \(/learn/regression-models/supplement/9oNtf/multivariable-regression\)](/learn/regression-models/supplement/9oNtf/multivariable-regression)

[Back to Week weekNumber \(/learn/regression-models/home/week/undefined\)](/learn/regression-models/home/week/undefined)



1 / 1  
points

1.

Consider the following data with  $x$  as the predictor and  $y$  as the outcome.

```
x <- c(0.61, 0.93, 0.83, 0.35, 0.54, 0.16, 0.91, 0.62, 0.62)
y <- c(0.67, 0.84, 0.6, 0.18, 0.85, 0.47, 1.1, 0.65, 0.36)
```

Give a P-value for the two sided hypothesis test of whether  $\beta_1$  from a linear regression model is 0 or not.

- ☐ 0.391
- ☒ 0.05296

**Correct Response**

```
summary(lm(y ~ x))$coef
```

```
##           Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  0.1885     0.2061   0.9143  0.39098
## x           0.7224     0.3107   2.3255  0.05296
```

- ☐ 0.025
- ☐ 2.325



1 / 1  
points

2.

Consider the previous problem, give the estimate of the residual standard deviation.

- ☐ 0.05296
- ☐ 0.4358
- ☒ 0.223

**Correct Response**

```
summary(lm(y ~ x))$sigma
```

```
## [1] 0.223
```

☐ 0.3552

---



1 / 1  
points

3.

In the `mtcars` data set, fit a linear regression model of weight (predictor) on mpg (outcome). Get a 95% confidence interval for the expected mpg at the average weight. What is the lower endpoint?

☐ -4.00

☐ -6.486

☒ 18.991

**Correct Response**

```
data(mtcars)
fit <- lm(mpg ~ I(wt - mean(wt)), data = mtcars)
confint(fit)
```

```
##                2.5 % 97.5 %  
## (Intercept)    18.991 21.190  
## I(wt - mean(wt)) -6.486 -4.203
```

☐ 21.190

---



1 / 1  
points

4.

Refer to the previous question. Read the help file for `mtcars`. What is the weight coefficient interpreted as?

- ☐ The estimated expected change in mpg per 1 lb increase in weight.
- ☐ The estimated 1,000 lb change in weight per 1 mpg increase.
- ☒ The estimated expected change in mpg per 1,000 lb increase in weight.

**Correct Response**

This is the standard interpretation of a regression coefficient. The expected change in the response per unit change in the predictor.

- ☐ It can't be interpreted without further information
-



points

5.

Consider again the `mtcars` data set and a linear regression model with `mpg` as predicted by weight (1,000 lbs). A new car is coming weighing 3000 pounds. Construct a 95% prediction interval for its `mpg`. What is the upper endpoint?



21.25



27.57

**Correct Response**

```
fit <- lm(mpg ~ wt, data = mtcars)
predict(fit, newdata = data.frame(wt = 3), interval = "prediction")
```

```
##      fit   lwr   upr
## 1 21.25 14.93 27.57
```



14.93



-5.77



1 / 1  
points

6.

Consider again the `mtcars` data set and a linear regression model with `mpg` as predicted by weight (in 1,000 lbs). A “short” ton is defined as 2,000 lbs. Construct a 95% confidence interval for the expected change in `mpg` per 1 short ton increase in weight. Give the lower endpoint.

☒ -12.973

**Correct Response**

```
fit <- lm(mpg ~ wt, data = mtcars)
confint(fit)[2, ] * 2
```

```
##    2.5 %  97.5 %
## -12.973  -8.405
```

```
## Or equivalently change the units
fit <- lm(mpg ~ I(wt * 0.5), data = mtcars)
confint(fit)[2, ]
```

```
##    2.5 %  97.5 %
## -12.973  -8.405
```

☐ -6.486

☐ 4.2026

☐ -9.000



points

7.

If my  $X$  from a linear regression is measured in centimeters and I convert it to meters what would happen to the slope coefficient?



It would get multiplied by 100.



**Correct Response**

It would get multiplied by 100.



It would get divided by 10



It would get divided by 100



It would get multiplied by 10



1 / 1  
points

8.

I have an outcome,  $Y$ , and a predictor,  $X$  and fit a linear regression model with  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$  to obtain  $\hat{\beta}_0$  and  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . What would be the consequence to the subsequent slope and intercept if I were to refit the model with a new regressor,  $X + c$  for some constant,  $c$ ?



The new slope would be  $\hat{\beta}_1 + c$



The new intercept would be  $\hat{\beta}_0 - c\hat{\beta}_1$

▲

**Correct Response**

This is exactly covered in the notes. But note that if  $Y = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X + \epsilon$  then  $Y = \beta_0 - c\beta_1 + \beta_1(X + c) + \epsilon$  so that the answer is that the intercept gets subtracted by  $c\beta_1$

- ☐ The new slope would be  $c\hat{\beta}_1$
- ☐ The new intercept would be  $\hat{\beta}_0 + c\hat{\beta}_1$
- 



1 / 1  
points

9.

Refer back to the mtcars data set with mpg as an outcome and weight (wt) as the predictor. About what is the ratio of the the sum of the squared errors,  $\sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \hat{Y}_i)^2$  when comparing a model with just an intercept (denominator) to the model with the intercept and slope (numerator)?

☒ 0.25

▲

**Correct Response**

This is simply one minus the R<sup>2</sup> values

```
fit1 <- lm(mpg ~ wt, data = mtcars)
fit2 <- lm(mpg ~ 1, data = mtcars)
1 - summary(fit1)$r.squared
```

```
## [1] 0.2472
```



```
sse1 <- sum((predict(fit1) - mtcars$mpg)^2)
sse2 <- sum((predict(fit2) - mtcars$mpg)^2)
sse1/sse2
```

```
## [1] 0.2472
```

- ☐ 4.00
  - ☐ 0.75
  - ☐ 0.50
- 



1 / 1  
points

10.

Do the residuals always have to sum to 0 in linear regression?

- ☒ If an intercept is included, then they will sum to 0.

**Correct Response**

They do provided an intercept is included. If not they most likely won't.

- ☐ The residuals never sum to zero.
- ☐ If an intercept is included, the residuals most likely won't sum to zero.

☐ The residuals must always sum to zero.

---

