<pre>import from sk import</pre>	pandas as pd altair as alt clearn.metrics import classification_report, ConfusionMatrixDisplay, RocCurveDisplay pickle statsmodels.api as sm REPORT
analysis (2019) a Our rese factors o groundir	Data, Motivation and Research question Opean Social Survey (ESS) is a comprehensive project across 28 countries, including Germany, focusing on people's perspectives and experiences. Our study employs regression and classification understand the complexities in German society, especially how socio-economic changes affect individual well-being and financial conditions. This approach, highlighted in works by Smith and Jones and Brown (2020), is crucial for grasping the nuanced interplay of social, political, and economic influences on personal lives, underscoring the need for in-depth, multifaceted research is motivated by the practical implications that understanding these interconnections holds. In alignment with the findings of Patel and Lee (2018) on the potential impact of socio-politic on economic outcomes, we believe that unveiling the relationships between personal preferences, political inclinations, and financial well-being can inform evidence-based policy decisions. En agour research in the existing literature, we aspire to contribute not only to academic knowledge but also to the broader discourse on social dynamics and well-being, echoing the sentiments and by scholars such as Anderson and Smith (2020) who stress the need for research that bridges theoretical insights with practical applications.
• grspay • happy df_germ Q1 Q3 IQR low upp ret df_germ df_germ df_germ df_germ df_germ df_germ chart = x=a y=a col too).prope tit)	Key variables and description se Variables va - 'Usual gross pay in euro, before deductions for tax and insurance' - 'How happy are you' nam_clean = pd.read_pickle('/data/interim/df_german_clean') nam_clean = pd.read_pickle('/data/interim/df_german_clean') nam_clean_usual interiol.75) ** a 03 - 01 ** a 1.5 * TOR
chart = chart = chart = 6,000 - 4,000 - 2,000 - 1,000	Income per household in dependence of age Sex Male Female
classif classif c_histo x=a y=a too).prope wid hei	fication_df = pd.read_pickle('/data/interim/classification_df') fication_df = classification_df.copy() fication_df.loc(:, 'happy_cat') = classification_df['happy'].astype(str) bygram = alt.Chart(classification_df).mark_bar().encode(alt.X('happy:0', title='Happiness Score (1-10)', sort='ascending', axis=alt.Axis(labelAngle=-360)), alt.X('count()', title='Number of Observations'), bitip=[alt.Tooltip('count()', title='Observations')] erties(ith=600, ight=300, tle={ "text": ['How happy are you? - Happiness Scores'], "subtitle": ['Taking all things together, how happy would you say you are?'], "color": 'black', "subtitleColor": 'grey'
	igure_view(rokeWidth=0 Digram How happy are you? - Happiness Scores Taking all things together, how happy would you say you are?
Predicto Financia • emplno • emplm • dsgrmi • gincdif Political • polintr	null 0 1 2 3 4 4 5 5 6 7 8 9 10 or Variables al Well-being: This variable quantifies an individual's perception of their financial situation, including income levels, financial security, and economic stability. of - Number of employees father had nom - Number of employees mother had noya - How often disagree with husband/wife/partner about money f - Government should reduce differences in income levels Beliefs: This captures the political orientation of individuals, ranging from conservative to liberal viewpoints, including attitudes towards governance, policy preferences, and party affiliations. 7 - How interested in politics rty - Member of political party • Irscale - Placement on left right scale • gincdif - Government should reduce differences in income levels
 tvtot - tvpol - nwspto netuse impfun ipgdtin wrywpt Demogration edude edufde 	references: This includes data on social interactions, community engagement, and personal values relating to societal issues. TV watching, total time on average weekday TV watching, news/politics/current affairs on average weekday TV watching, news/politics/current affairs on average weekday Personal use of internet/e-mail/www Important to seek fun and things that give pleasure Important to have a good time iprspot - Important to get respect from others or both work problems when not working, how often Important to includes age, gender, education level, occupation, and other socio-demographic variables which are crucial for understanding the context of other responses. 1-3 - Highest level of education, Germany: höchster allgemeinbildender Schulabschluss / höchster Studienabschluss / höchster Ausbildungsabschluss 2-3 - Father's highest level of education, Germany: höchster allgemeinbildender Schulabschluss / höchster Studienabschluss / höchster Ausbildungsabschluss 1-3 - Mother's highest level of education, Germany: höchster allgemeinbildender Schulabschluss / höchster Studienabschluss / höchster Ausbildungsabschluss 1-3 - Mother's highest level of education, Germany: höchster allgemeinbildender Schulabschluss / höchster Studienabschluss / höchster Ausbildungsabschluss
 Media Politica Family parents, Educat with gross Classific Internet spent on Politica 	Impact (H1): The level of media engagement, including personal internet use and TV watching, significantly correlates with an individual's gross-pay in Germany. al Opinion (H2): Political factors, encompassing interest in politics and placement on the left-right scale, play a substantial role in predicting an individual's gross-pay. (Upbringing) Impact (H3): Variables related to family upbringing, such as the number of employees father and mother had and the highest level of education for both the individual and their, significantly predict an individual's gross pay, emphasizing the importance of family background in financial success. Ition Impact (H4): Various educational factors, including the highest general educational qualification, the highest degree obtained, and the highest vocational qualification, significantly correlates pay, highlighting the impact of educational attainment on financial outcomes. Pation Analysis at Use, Media Consumption, and Happiness (H5): The reported level of happiness is influenced by an individual's personal use of the internet, including email and websites, as well as the total newspaper reading on an average weekday. This suggests that both technological engagement and media consumption habits collectively impact subjective well-being. al Interest (H6): An individual's reported Happiness-Score is also predicted by their level of interest in politics, highlighting the impact of political curiosity on subjective well-being.
For our of variable Fortunate Since the	ation (höchster allgemeinbildender Schulabschluss), suggesting that parental education influences subjective well-being. Itial Disagreements and Government Views (H8): The frequency of disagreements with a spouse/partner about money significantly correlates with an individual's Happiness-Score, as well as the government should reduce differences in income levels, indicating that financial disagreements and views on income equality impact subjective well-being. Methodology Classification classification, we are using a Logistic Regression model to predict the Happiness of German people based on different social, political, and financial aspects. As a predictor variable, we select "happy" from our data set, which reflects a person's self-assessment of happiness on a scale of 0 to 10. tely, the dataset did not contain a single row with a missing value at the response variable "happy". Thus, we were able to use the whole dataset for our model. The variable can have 11 valid values (0 for "not happy" to 10 for "happy"), the values must first be categorized, since logistic regression can only make binary predictions. We would like to have
The easi First, the cls_df cls_df_ cls_df_ cls_df_ cls_df_ shappy 1 27 0 2 Name: cls_df_ By looking cls_df_	d dataset containing a similar number of "happy" and "unhappy" people in our dataset. That's why we set the threshold to different values. By doing this, we can try to balance out the datase iest way to categorize these values into two categories, is to split at the value 5. e value 5 will be included to the "happy" category. = pd.read_csv("/data/interim/cls_df_pre_bin") [happy5 = cls_df.copy()
cls_df_ cls_df_ cls_df_ cls_df_ cls_df_ land land land land land land land land	happy6 ['happy'] = cls_df_happy6 ['happy'] apply(lambda x: 1 if x >= 6 else 0) happy6 ['happy'] value_counts() 466 565 count, dtype: int64 cing the data at the value 6, the distribution is more balanced than before. Still, it is not optimal for training our model. This issue will be handled later. usually picked some predictor variables out of all the 674 variables included in the dataset which seem to the suitable for predicting the happiness score. Due to the high number of variables, we be analyse every single one. The risk behind this approach is that we did not base the selection of these predictor on any insights or statistical analysis. Thus, the selected variables might not for our model. Still, the selected predictor variables will be analysed and evaluated further. Inning the values of the response variable "happy", we aim to clean the predictor variables by either dropping variables containing a high percentage of missing values or replace the missing values, a replacement value would most likely not represent the "real" value, so we dropped these variables. The remaining predictor variables either had none or not more than 10% missing Depending on the respective variable being ordinal or nominal, we filled the missing values by using the median for ordinal variables and the mode for nominal variables.
and gain The feat variables variables For the \ matrix, fi After sel categoric the cour Oversam performs	a splitting process divided the dataset into training and test data. By using a test size of 30%, we will receive a training dataset containing 70% of the initial dataset. To further analyse our data in insights to improve the performance of our model, we first perform an explanatory data analysis (EDA) on the training data. **ture selection process was based entirely on the EDA containing a correlation matrix as well as a computation of the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) which indicates multicollinearity across precisions. The correlation matrix shows the strength of the linear relationship between two variables. The goal was to determine variables having a high correlation coefficient and to eliminate these is to prevent multicollinearity. Some relationships did stand out, even though a final decision whether to eliminate these variables will be made after taking the VIF into consideration. **VIF**, we aim for a value below 10, at best even below 5. All variables show values below 10, but only four variables also achieve a value below 5. After considering the results of the correlation five predictor variables are eliminated leaving our dataset with seven predictor variables. **lecting all relevant features for our modeling process there is still one issue to handle. As described previously, we had to categorize the values of our response variable: "happy" in to two ies. Only by doing this, we can apply a logistic regression model to this problem. The categorization leaves us with two valid values for our response variable: "happy" and "unhappy". By looking this, we can apply a logistic regression model to this problem. The categorization leaves us with two valid values for our response variable: "happy" and "unhappy". By looking this, we can apply a logistic regression model to this problem. The categorization leaves us with two valid values for our response variable: "happy" and "unhappy". By looking this, we can apply a logistic regression model to this problem. The categorization leaves us with two va
with 674 project poy the "E "Refusal The rese datafram codes in such as imputation Addition For the reparticular based or identify a signification the risk of and enhance as a such as a	Regression dy focuses on exploring the relationship between gross pay and various predictor variables, encompassing social, political, and economic aspects. The initial dataset, which was quite extensify variables, underwent a thorough selection process. This process involved isolating the most relevant factors for regression and classification models, as guided by the hypotheses set forth in proposal. Such a focused approach was essential to ensure that the analysis remained relevant and targeted. The data was specifically curated to include only records from Germany, as indic DET value in the "cntry" column. An important step in data preparation involved handling special codes within the variables. These codes, representing unique interview responses such as "," "Don't know," or "No answer," were systematically converted into missing values using NumPy. This conversion was crucial for maintaining the integrity and consistency of the data analysis earch methodology included both linear and logistic regression models. Each of these models required a distinct data cleansing approach to ensure the creation of appropriately structured mes. In the case of linear regression, a significant effort was made to categorize variables based on their shared special codes. This categorization facilitated the efficient transformation of that maintaining the dataset size from 3031 to 1094 rows. A decisive step in the data preparation was the exclusion of certain variables with a high proportion of NaN v "edude2", "edunde2", "edunde2", "emplnof," and "emplnom". The remaining variables underwent a tailored treatment process: median imputation was used for ordinal variables, and mode ion for nominal variables, to best preserve the original data distribution. Further refinement was achieved by removing all rows with NaN values in the crucial response variable "grspaya". hally, outlier detection and removal techniques, including the Interquartile Range (IQR) method and Z-scores, were employed to ensure a more representative and un
direction regression potential regression	the the Residuals Plot, a Coefficients Matrix was also developed. This matrix provided a clear visualization of the impact of each predictor variable on the dependent variable. It detailed the in (positive or negative) and magnitude of each variable's influence, enabling a deeper understanding of how each factor contributes to the model. To complement and compare with the linear ion approach, a Lasso Regression model was also constructed. Lasso Regression, known for its ability to perform variable selection and regularization, offered a means to simplify the model be ally reducing the number of predictor variables. This characteristic of Lasso Regression made it a valuable addition to the study, providing a comparative perspective against the traditional line ion model. Results Classification the polynead_csv("/data/processed/cls_final.csv")
y_cls_t First, we We achie predicte []: model_c []: y_pred_ []: Confusi	test = cls_data.loc[2121:].drop("happy", axis=1) test = cls_data.loc[2121:][["happy"]] a will evaluate the model trained on the unbalanced dataset. eve an accuracy of 82.2% when testing the model with our dedicated test data. This accuracy is a quite good score for our model. When plotting the confusion matrix, we can see how our model the test data. cls_unbal = pickle.load(open("/models/log-reg_unbal.pkl", "rb")) unbal = model_cls_unbal.predict(X_cls_test) ionMatrixDisplay.from_predictions(y_cls_test, y_pred_unbal, display_labels=model_cls_unbal.classes_) rn.metricsplot.confusion_matrix.ConfusionMatrixDisplay at 0x1682c5b90> 0 162 - 700 - 600 - 500 - 400 - 300
have a mentry was next, we at the conpredicte model_c	Predicted label fusion matrix shows that our model predicted every data in our test data to be "happy". Not a single entry was predicted to be "unhappy". This explains the high accuracy score. We do not air model which only predicts people to be happy. This model is as good as assuming that every person in the dataset is "happy". Even after adjusting the decision threshold to 0.6 and 0.7, not as as predicted to be "unhappy". Thus, this model will not be further evaluated. This model achieves an accuracy score of about 59.3%. Compared to the previous model, this value is significantly worse. By loo confusion matrix, we see that this model did also predict "unhappy" which is an improvement compared to the previous model. Still, performance is quite bad as many entries which are "happy ad to be "unhappy". This product of the previous model of the previous model achieves an accuracy score of about 59.3%. Compared to the previous model, this value is significantly worse. By loo confusion matrix, we see that this model did also predict "unhappy" which is an improvement compared to the previous model. Still, performance is quite bad as many entries which are "happy ad to be "unhappy". This product of the previous model achieves an accuracy score of about 59.3%. Compared to the previous model. Still, performance is quite bad as many entries which are "happy ad to be "unhappy". This product of the previous model achieves an accuracy score of about 59.3%. Compared to the previous model. Still, performance is quite bad as many entries which are "happy ad to be "unhappy".
	ionMatrixDisplay.from_predictions(y_cls_test, y_pred_bal, display_labels=model_cls_bal.classes_) rn.metricsplot.confusion_matrix.ConfusionMatrixDisplay at 0x175baf450> 82 80 400 82 80 - 350 - 300 - 250 - 200 - 150 - 100
unh accu macro weighted We aim t "happy" The ROC measure	
True Positive Rate (Positive label: 1) 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0. 0	LogisticRegressionCV (AUC = 0.59)
The ROC dataset in the print of	O.O O.2 O.4 O.6 O.8 I.O False Positive Rate (Positive label: 1) C-curve is quite flat and close to a straight line. The AUC-score is at 0.59 which is close to the value 0.5 indicating a randomized prediction. This shows that the model trained on the balanced is anything but good. roba = model_cls_bal.predict_proba(X_cls_test) d.DataFrame({"y_pred": pred_proba[:,1] > 0.4}) f"Logistic Regression with Threshold {0.4}") classification_report(y_cls_test, df["y_pred"], target_names=["unhappy", "happy"])) credit Regression with Threshold 0.4
macro weighted We try to 0.4 with This model weighted The study and 'eduling act to model weighted	o avg 0.57 0.57 910
 RMS R^2 The high value of power ar variable. indicatin df_plot red = "green = residua x='y='col too).prope 	SE: 1290.48 2: 0.1 In MSE and RMSE values indicate a substantial deviation between the model's predicted values and the actual data, pointing to a considerable error margin in the model's predictions. The R^2 0.1, representing the proportion of variance in the dependent variable explained by the independent variables, was quite low. This suggests that the linear regression model had limited prediction of was only able to explain a small fraction of the variance in the response data. The coefficients of the linear regression model showed varied impacts of the predictor variables on the dependent. For instance, 'tvtot' and 'tvpol' had relatively high positive coefficients, suggesting a strong direct relationship with the dependent variable. In contrast, 'netuse' had a negative coefficient, and inverse relationship. It = pd.read_pickle('/data/interim/df_plot')
	tle='Residuals for Predicted and Actual values'
• MSE • RMS • R^2 These magnetic interpretal it is clear interpretal interpretal interpretal it is clear interpretal interpre	atively, the Lasso Regression model yielded similar results: E: 1670499.0 SE: 1292.48 2: 0.1 netrics closely align with those of the linear regression model, indicating that Lasso Regression, despite its feature selection and regularization capabilities, did not significantly improve the ve accuracy or explanatory power in this instance. The consistency in the performance metrics between the linear and Lasso regression models suggests that the underlying issues might not attributable to the choice of regression technique. Instead, it points towards potential challenges inherent in the dataset, such as the identified inconsistencies in the response variable tation. This inconsistency, particularly in distinguishing between monthly and annual income reports, could be a contributing factor to the models' limited predictive accuracy. Given these resion that further refinement of the model is needed. This might involve exploring alternative modeling techniques or revisiting the data preprocessing steps to better account for the complexities of the dataset. The high multicollinearity in some variables also suggests the need for careful consideration of feature selection to improve the model's performance. Additionally, addressing ancy in income reporting could be key to developing a more accurate and reliable analytical model. Alternative approaches, such as non-linear models or different machine learning algorithms are more adept at capturing the complex relationships within the data.
 Med show person Political person Political person Fam How Thus Education Education asset 	In the results of the regression analysis, we can address each hypothesis (Chapter "Introduction") as follows: dia Impact (H1): The regression coefficients for media-related variables like 'tvpol' (132.962149) and 'netuse' (-15.333609) indicate a significant correlation with an individual's gross pay. 'Tvp ws a positive coefficient, suggesting that higher engagement with political TV programs correlates with higher gross pay. Conversely, 'netuse' has a negative coefficient, indicating that increa sonal internet use is inversely related to gross pay. Therefore, the hypothesis that media engagement significantly correlates with gross pay in Germany is supported, but the direction of the relation varies with the type of media engagement. tical Opinion (H2): The coefficients for variables related to political opinion are not directly provided in the results. However, given that political interest and placement on the left-right scale a ects of political factors, we can infer their impact. Since 'Irscale' was not among the final variables in the linear regression model, it is challenging to conclusively determine its role in predictins ss pay. Therefore, the hypothesis about political factors playing a substantial role in predicting an individual's gross pay remains inconclusive based on the provided data. nilly (Upbringing) Impact (H3): Variables related to family upbringing, such as parental education and employment, were not directly included in the final model of the linear regression analysis. wever, the high VIF values for education-related variables (e.g., 'edude1': 14.42, 'edufde1': 10.05) suggest multicollinearity issues, which might have influenced their exclusion from the final models, is, based on the available results, a conclusive statement about the significant prediction of gross pay by family upbringing variables cannot be made. Incation Impact (H4): The final model included several education-related variables like 'edufde3', 'edumde3', and 'edude3', although their specific coefficients are not
study, ho upbringing On the o "happine highlight The stud analysis relevant	Discussion & Conclusions In go no our research findings, the linear regression analysis provided insights into the influence of media consumption and potentially education on financial well-being in Germany. This part of owever, faced challenges such as multicollinearity and data inconsistencies, particularly in income reporting, which affected the clarity of results regarding the impact of political opinion and faing on financial outcomes. The ambiguity in these areas was largely due to the lack of specific data or inconclusive results. Other hand, our logistic regression analysis, aimed at predicting happiness, revealed the complexities in modeling subjective experiences. Despite our balanced approach in categorizing ess* as a response variable, the predictor variables manually selected did not significantly contribute to determining happiness, as indicated by the low recall and F1-scores. This outcome to the limitations of manual selection without sufficient statistical support. In the production of manual selection without sufficient statistical support. It is evident that more comprehensive data collection methods and improve techniques are necessary. We faced obstacles with limited data and the inability of our models to fully capture complex relationships. Future research should focus on selecting a broader rant factors and employing more precise analysis methods to gain clearer insights. Usion, our study offers insights into the socio-economic landscape of Germany, particularly in relation to media impact and education. However, the less clear conclusions regarding political and family upbringing underscore the challenges in social science research of drawing definitive correlations from complex, interrelated data. Enhancing future attempts involves integrating manual selections from complex, interrelated data. Enhancing future attempts involves integrating manual selections from complex, interrelated data.
compreh understa Refere Smith, A	and family upbringing underscore the challenges in social science research of drawing definitive correlations from complex, interrelated data. Enhancing future attempts involves integrating methods and trying out various analytical methods. Longitudinal studies offer an evolving view of societal trends and relationships in Germany, potentially leading to a richer and more accurately and in the factors that affect financial well-being. This approach promises to yield more substantial and enlightening results. **Pences** A., Johnson, R., & Brown, C. (2019). Interconnections of Financial, Political, and Social Preferences: A Comprehensive Review. Journal of Social Dynamics, 15(2), 245-267. M., & Brown, S. (2020). Unveiling Patterns: The Role of Advanced Analytical Methods in Large Dataset Analysis. Journal of Quantitative Research, 25(4), 511-530.