

Exercise 4.9

$$(a) \quad \min \sum_{t=1}^T b_t p_t$$

Subject to.

$$p_t \geq \alpha^{t-1} d_t \quad t=1, \dots, T$$

$$p_t - f q_t \geq -\alpha^{t-1} c \quad t=1, \dots, T$$

$$q_t - q_{t+1} \geq 0 \quad t=1, \dots, T-1$$

$$q_T \geq \alpha^T d_{T+1}$$

$$-p_t + q_t \geq 0 \quad t=1, \dots, T$$

(b) denote the solution as $q_t^{(0)}, p_t^{(0)}$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} q_T^{(0)} = \alpha^T d_{T+1} \\ p_T^{(0)} = \max \{ \alpha^{T-1} d_T, f q_T^{(0)} - \alpha^{T-1} c \} \\ q_t^{(0)} = \max \{ q_{t+1}^{(0)}, \alpha^{t-1} d_t \} \\ p_t^{(0)} = \max \{ \alpha^{t-1} d_t, f q_t^{(0)} - \alpha^{t-1} c \} \end{array} \right.$$

First we prove that $q_t^{(0)}, p_t^{(0)}$ is feasible:

Substitute into constraints, we have

$$p_t^{(0)} = \max \{ \alpha^{t-1} d_t, f q_t^{(0)} - \alpha^{t-1} c \} \geq \alpha^{t-1} d_t \quad t=1, \dots, T$$

$$p_t^{(0)} - f q_t^{(0)} = \max \{ \alpha^{t-1} d_t, f q_t^{(0)} - \alpha^{t-1} c \} - f q_t^{(0)} \geq -\alpha^{t-1} c$$

$$t=1, \dots, T$$

$$q_t^{(0)} - q_{t+1}^{(0)} = \max \{ q_{t+1}^{(0)}, \alpha^{t-1} d_t \} - q_{t+1}^{(0)} \geq 0 \quad t=1, \dots, T-1$$

$$q_T^{(0)} = \alpha^T d_{T+1}$$

$$-p_t^{(0)} + q_t^{(0)} = q_t^{(0)} - \max \{ \alpha^{t-1} d_t, f q_t^{(0)} - \alpha^{t-1} c \}$$

$$= \min \{ q_t^{(0)} - \alpha^{t-1} d_t, (1-f) q_t^{(0)} + \alpha^{t-1} c \}$$

because $f < 1, \alpha > 0, c > 0, (1-f) q_t^{(0)} + \alpha^{t-1} c > 0$

So it is feasible. Then we are going to prove that it is optimal. Suppose that there is another feasible solution \tilde{q}_t, \tilde{p}_t , we're going to prove that $\tilde{q}_t \geq q_t^{(0)}$
 $\tilde{p}_t \geq p_t^{(0)}$

we can see that it holds for $t=T$, then, suppose that it holds for $t=t+1$, we can see that it holds for $t=t$,
 So, $\tilde{q}_t \geq q_t^{(0)}, \tilde{p}_t \geq p_t^{(0)}$ holds for every t .
 So the solution is optimal.

(c) every optimal dual solution gives an optimal primal solution

we can do like this to obtain optimal primal solution

denote dual problem as $\begin{cases} \max p^T b \\ p^T A \leq c^T \end{cases}$

rearrange optimal p as: $p^T A = \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} c_B & c_N \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{binding}} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} B & N \end{bmatrix}}_{\text{feasible}}$

Correspondingly, A as:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} B & N \end{bmatrix}$$

primal solution: $x^T = \begin{bmatrix} B^{-1} c_B & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

primal maximizing value is equal to dual minimizing value

Simple rules for order fulfillment

(a). cost is 23274

220 customers are fully served by nearest

```

model = Model(Gurobi.Optimizer)
@variable(model, X[1:100, 1:500]);
@objective(model, Min, sum(sum(cost[i,j]*X[i,j] for j in 1:500) for i in 1:100));
@constraint(model, a_constraint[i in 1:100, j in 1:500], X[i,j] >= 0 );
@constraint(model, d_constraint[j in 1:500], sum(X[i,j] for i in 1:100) >= demand[j] );
@constraint(model, s_constraint[i in 1:100], sum(X[i,j] for j in 1:500) <= supply[i] );
optimize!(model)

✓ 1.8s

```

```

cnt = 0
for j in 1:500
    # find the nearest
    cj = cost[:,j]
    mVal, i = findmin(cj)
    cost_tmp = abs(value(X[i,j]) - demand[j])
    if cost_tmp < 1e-6
        cnt+=1
    end
end
print(cnt)

✓ 0.0s

```

220

Comment: about half customers are not fully served by nearest, the distribution is not very efficient

(b). dual problem

$$\max_{p, q} \sum_{j=1}^n p_j d_j - \sum_{i=1}^m q_i s_i$$

$$p_j \geq 0 \quad j=1, \dots, n$$

$$q_i \geq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m$$

$$p_j - q_i \leq C_{ij} \quad i=1, \dots, m \quad j=1, \dots, n$$

I propose β_{ij} to be the reduced cost $\beta_{ij} = C_{ij} - p_j + q_i$

because this quantify represents how much the cost function in primal problem will increase if a unit flow is sent from i to j , so the less β_{ij} is, the more likely $i \rightarrow j$ will be included in the optimal solution.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C) \quad & \min \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^n C_{ik}^1 C_{kj}^2 x_{ikj} \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{k=1}^K \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ikj} \leq S_i \quad i=1, \dots, m \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^K x_{ikj} \geq d_j \quad j=1, \dots, n \\
 & \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n x_{ikj} \leq C_k \quad k=1, \dots, K
 \end{aligned}$$

(d) the dual problem

$$\begin{aligned}
 \max_{p, q, r} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n d_j p_j - \sum_{i=1}^m S_i q_i - \sum_{k=1}^K C_k r_k \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & p_j \geq 0 \quad j=1, \dots, n \\
 & q_i \geq 0 \quad i=1, \dots, m \\
 & r_k \geq 0 \quad k=1, \dots, K
 \end{aligned}$$

$$p_j - q_i - r_k \leq C_{ik}^1 C_{kj}^2$$

I propose x_{ikj} to be reduced cost,

$$Y_{ijk} = C'_{ik} C^2_{kj} - (P_j - q_i - r_k)$$

because this quantity represents how much the cost function in primal problem will increase if a unit flow is sent from i to k to j , so the less Y_{ijk} is, the more likely $i \rightarrow k \rightarrow j$ will be included in the optimal solution.

Exercise 4.5

(a) True. If the x^* is optimal, because x^* optimal \Leftrightarrow one of its corresponding dual is feasible, so one of the dual is feasible, contradicts.

(b) because the auxiliary problem is always feasible and bounded, so it has an optimal solution. By strong duality, the dual problem has an optimal solution, so it's feasible.

(c) True. without loss of generality, we assume the first constraint is removed, i.e. $\sum_j a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i \ (i=1, 2, \dots, n) \rightarrow \sum_j a_{ij} x_j = b_i \ (i=2, \dots, n)$
 correspondingly $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_{ij} \leq c_j \ (j=1, \dots, m) \rightarrow \sum_{i=2}^n p_i a_{ij} \leq c_j \ (j=1, \dots, m)$
 It is equivalent to set $p_1 = 0$

(d) True. primal unbounded means the cost is $-\infty$, by weak duality, the dual can be only infeasible