

CS201 DISCRETE MATHEMATICS FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE

Dr. QI WANG

Department of Computer Science and Engineering

Office: Room413, CoE South Tower

Email: wangqi@sustech.edu.cn

A positive integer *p* that is greater than 1 and is divisible only by 1 and by itself is called a *prime*.



A positive integer p that is greater than 1 and is divisible only by 1 and by itself is called a prime.

A positive integer p that is greater than 1 and is not a prime is called a composite.



A positive integer p that is greater than 1 and is divisible only by 1 and by itself is called a prime.

A positive integer p that is greater than 1 and is not a prime is called a composite.

■ Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic Every integer greater than 1 can be written uniquely as a prime or as the product of two or more primes where the prime factors are written in order of nondecreasing size.



• How to determine whether a number is a prime or a composite?



• How to determine whether a number is a prime or a composite?

Approach 1: test if each number x < n divides n.



• How to determine whether a number is a prime or a composite?

Approach 1: test if each number x < n divides n.

Approach 2: test if each prime number x < n divides n.



• How to determine whether a number is a prime or a composite?

Approach 1: test if each number x < n divides n.

Approach 2: test if each prime number x < n divides n.

Approach 3: test if each prime number $x \le \sqrt{n}$ divides n.



■ If n is composite, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .



If n is composite, then n has a prime divisor less than or equal to \sqrt{n} .

Proof.

- \diamond if n is composite, then it has a positive integer factor a such that 1 < a < n by definition. This means that n = ab, where b is an integer greater than 1.
- \diamond assume that $a>\sqrt{n}$ and $b>\sqrt{n}$. Then ab>n, contradiction. So either $a\leq \sqrt{n}$ or $b\leq \sqrt{n}$.
 - \diamond Thus, *n* has a divisor less than \sqrt{n} .
- \diamond By the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic, this divisor is either prime, or is a product of primes. In either case, n has a prime divisor less than \sqrt{n} .

There are infinitely many primes.

Proof (by contradiction)



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Let a and b be integers, not both 0. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, denoted by gcd(a, b).



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Let a and b be integers, not both 0. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, denoted by gcd(a, b).

The integers a and b are *relatively prime* if their greatest common divisor is 1.



Greatest Common Divisor (GCD)

Let a and b be integers, not both 0. The largest integer d such that d|a and d|b is called the greatest common divisor of a and b, denoted by gcd(a, b).

The integers a and b are *relatively prime* if their greatest common divisor is 1.

A systematic way to find the gcd is **factorization**. Let $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$. Then $gcd(a, b) = p_1^{\min(a_1, b_1)} p_2^{\min(a_2, b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\min(a_k, b_k)}$



Least Common Multiple (LCM)

Let a and b be integers. The *least common multiple* of a and b is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both a and b, denoted by lcm(a, b).



Least Common Multiple (LCM)

Let a and b be integers. The *least common multiple* of a and b is the smallest positive integer that is divisible by both a and b, denoted by lcm(a, b).

We can also use **factorization** to find the lcm. Let $a = p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} \cdots p_n^{a_n}$ and $b = p_1^{b_1} p_2^{b_2} \cdots p_n^{b_n}$. Then $\operatorname{lcm}(a,b) = p_1^{\max(a_1,b_1)} p_2^{\max(a_2,b_2)} \cdots p_n^{\max(a_k,b_k)}$



• Factorization can be **cumbersome** and **time consuming** since we need to find all factors of the two integers.



Factorization can be cumbersome and time consuming since we need to find all factors of the two integers.

Luckily, we have an efficient algorithm, called Euclidean algorithm. This algorithm has been known since ancient times and named after the ancient Greek mathmaticain Euclid.







Step 1:
$$287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$$



Step 1:
$$287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$$

Step 2:
$$91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$$



Step 1:
$$287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$$

Step 2:
$$91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$$

Step 3:
$$14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0$$



Step 1:
$$287 = 91 \cdot 3 + 14$$

Step 2: $91 = 14 \cdot 6 + 7$
Step 3: $14 = 7 \cdot 2 + 0$
 $gcd(287, 91) = gcd(91, 14) = gcd(14, 7) = 7$



The Euclidean algorithm in pseudocode

ALGORITHM 1 The Euclidean Algorithm.

```
procedure gcd(a, b): positive integers)
x := a
y := b
while y \neq 0
r := x \mod y
x := y
y := r
return x\{\gcd(a, b) \text{ is } x\}
```



The Euclidean algorithm in pseudocode

ALGORITHM 1 The Euclidean Algorithm.

```
procedure gcd(a, b): positive integers)

x := a

y := b

while y \neq 0

r := x \mod y

x := y

y := r

return x\{\gcd(a, b) \text{ is } x\}
```

The number of divisions required to find gcd(a, b) is $O(\log b)$, where $a \ge b$. (this will be proved later.)



Lemma Let a = bq + r, where a, b, q and r are integers. Then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).



Lemma Let a = bq + r, where a, b, q and r are integers. Then gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).

Proof.

- \diamond suppose that d|a and d|b. Then d also divides a-bq=r. Hence, any common divisor of a and b must also be any common divisor of b and r.
- \diamond suppose that d|b and d|r. Then d also divides bq + r = a. Hence, any common divisor of b and r must also be a common divisor of a and b.
- \diamond Therefore, gcd(a, b) = gcd(b, r).



■ Suppose that a and b are positive integers with $a \ge b$. Let $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$.



• Suppose that a and b are positive integers with $a \ge b$. Let $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$.

```
r_0 = r_1q_1 + r_2 0 \le r_2 < r_1, r_1 = r_2q_2 + r_3 0 \le r_3 < r_2, 0 \le r_3 < r_3, 0 \le r_3 < r_3
```



• Suppose that a and b are positive integers with $a \ge b$. Let $r_0 = a$ and $r_1 = b$.

$$egin{array}{lll} r_0 &= r_1 q_1 + r_2 & 0 \leq r_2 < r_1, \\ r_1 &= r_2 q_2 + r_3 & 0 \leq r_3 < r_2, \\ & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \\ & \cdot & \\ & r_{n-2} &= r_{n-1} q_{n-1} + r_n & 0 \leq r_n < r_{n-1}, \\ & r_{n-1} &= r_n q_n \ . \end{array}$$

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(r_0, r_1) = \cdots = \gcd(r_{n-1}, r_n) = \gcd(r_n, 0) = r_n$$



Bezout's Theorem If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a, b) = sa + tb. This is called *Bezout's identity*.



Bezout's Theorem If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a, b) = sa + tb. This is called *Bezout's identity*.

We may use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.



Bezout's Theorem If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a, b) = sa + tb. This is called *Bezout's identity*.

We may use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.

Example: Express 1 as the linear combination of 503 and 286.



Bezout's Theorem If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a, b) = sa + tb. This is called *Bezout's identity*.

We may use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.

Example: Express 1 as the linear combination of 503 and 286.

```
503 = 1 \cdot 286 + 217

286 = 1 \cdot 217 + 69

217 = 3 \cdot 69 + 10

69 = 6 \cdot 10 + 9

10 = 1 \cdot 9 + 1
```



Bezout's Theorem If a and b are positive integers, then there exist integers s and t such that gcd(a, b) = sa + tb. This is called *Bezout's identity*.

We may use extended Euclidean algorithm to find Bezout's identity.

Example: Express 1 as the linear combination of 503 and 286.

$$503 = 1 \cdot 286 + 217$$

 $286 = 1 \cdot 217 + 69$
 $217 = 3 \cdot 69 + 10$
 $69 = 6 \cdot 10 + 9$
 $10 = 1 \cdot 9 + 1$

$$1 = 10 - 1 \cdot 9
= 7 \cdot 10 - 1 \cdot 69
= 7 \cdot 217 - 22 \cdot 69
= 29 \cdot 217 - 22 \cdot 286
= 29 \cdot 503 - 51 \cdot 286$$



Corollaries of Bezout's Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and $a \mid bc$, then $a \mid c$.



Corollaries of Bezout's Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc, then a|c.

Proof. Since gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bezout's Theorem there exist s and t such that 1 = sa + tb. This yields c = sac + tbc. Since a|bc, we have a|tbc, and then a|(sac + tbc) = c.



Corollaries of Bezout's Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc, then a|c.

Proof. Since gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bezout's Theorem there exist s and t such that 1 = sa + tb. This yields c = sac + tbc. Since a|bc, we have a|tbc, and then a|(sac + tbc) = c.

If p is prime and $p \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some i.



Corollaries of Bezout's Theorem

If a, b, c are positive integers such that gcd(a, b) = 1 and a|bc, then a|c.

Proof. Since gcd(a, b) = 1, by Bezout's Theorem there exist s and t such that 1 = sa + tb. This yields c = sac + tbc. Since a|bc, we have a|tbc, and then a|(sac + tbc) = c.

If p is prime and $p \mid a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n$, then $p \mid a_i$ for some i.

Proof. by induction. Will be given later.



Uniqueness of Prime Factorization

We prove that a prime factorization of a positive integer where the primes are in nondecreasing order is unique.



Uniqueness of Prime Factorization

We prove that a prime factorization of a positive integer where the primes are in nondecreasing order is unique.

Proof. (by contradiction) Suppose that the positive integer *n* can be written as a product of primes in two distinct ways:

$$n = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_s$$
 and $n = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_t$

Remove all common primes from the factorizations to get

$$p_{i_1}p_{i_2}\cdots p_{i_u}=q_{j_1}q_{j_2}\cdots q_{j_v}$$

It then follows that p_{i_1} divides q_{j_k} for some k, contradicting the assumption that p_{i_1} and q_{j_k} are distinct primes.



Dividing Congruences by an Integer

Theorem Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and $\gcd(c, m) = 1$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.




Dividing Congruences by an Integer

Theorem Let m be a positive integer and let a, b, c be integers. If $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$ and $\gcd(c, m) = 1$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$.

Proof. Since $ac \equiv bc \pmod{m}$, we have m|ac - bc = c(a - b). Because gcd(c, m) = 1, it follows that m|a - b.



Prime numbers of the form $2^p - 1$, where p is a prime.




Marin Mersenne



Prime numbers of the form $2^p - 1$, where p is a prime.

$$\Rightarrow 2^2 - 1 = 3$$
, $2^3 - 1 = 7$, $2^5 - 1 = 37$, $2^7 - 1 = 127$ are Mersenne primes.

$$\diamond 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \cdot 89$$
 is not a Mersenne prime.



Marin Mersenne



Prime numbers of the form $2^p - 1$, where p is a prime.

$$\Rightarrow 2^2 - 1 = 3$$
, $2^3 - 1 = 7$, $2^5 - 1 = 37$, $2^7 - 1 = 127$ are Mersenne primes.

$$\diamond 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \cdot 89$$
 is not a Mersenne prime.



Marin Mersenne

♦ The largest known prime numbers are Mersenne primes.



Prime numbers of the form $2^p - 1$, where p is a prime.

$$2^2 - 1 = 3$$
, $2^3 - 1 = 7$, $2^5 - 1 = 37$, $2^7 - 1 = 127$ are Mersenne primes.

$$\diamond 2^{11} - 1 = 2047 = 23 \cdot 89$$
 is not a Mersenne prime.



Marin Mersenne

Largest Known Prime, 49th Known Mersenne Prime Found!

January 7, 2016 — GIMPS celebrated its 20th anniversary with the discovery of the largest known prime number, $2^{74,207,281}$ -1.

50th Known Mersenne Prime Found!

January 3, 2018 — Persistence pays off. Jonathan Pace, a GIMPS volunteer for over 14 years, discovered the 50th known Mersenne prime, 2^{77,232,917}-1 on December 26, 2017. The prime number is calculated by multiplying together 77,232,917 twos, and then subtracting one. It weighs in at 23,249,425 digits, becoming the largest prime number known to mankind. It bests the previous record prime, also discovered by GIMPS, by 910,807 digits.

51st Known Mersenne Prime Found!

December 21, 2018 — The Great Internet Mersenne Prime Search (GIMPS) has discovered the largest known prime number, **2**^{82,589,933}-**1**, having 24,862,048 digits. A computer volunteered by Patrick Laroche from Ocala, Florida made the find on December 7, 2018. The new prime number, also known as M82589933, is calculated by multiplying together 82,589,933 twos and then subtracting one. It is more than one and a half million digits larger than the previous record prime number.

Prime numbers of the form $2^p - 1$, where p is a prime.

 $\diamond 2^2 - 1 = 3$, $2^3 - 1 = 7$, $2^5 - 1 = 37$, $2^7 - 1 = 127$ are Mersenne primes.



Marin Mersenne

Prime Found!

number, 2^{74,207,281}-1.

e prime, 2^{77,232,917}-1 on 23,249,425 digits, becoming

189,933-1, having 24,862,048 known as M82589933, is previous record prime

http://www.mersenne.org/

Januar

January

December 2 the largest

digits. A cor

number.

Conjectures about Primes

Goldbach's Conjecture (1+1): Every even integer n > 2, is the sum of two primes.



Conjectures about Primes

Goldbach's Conjecture (1+1): Every even integer n > 2, is the sum of two primes.

"
$$3 + 4$$
", " $3 + 3$ ", " $2 + 3$ " - Y. Wang, 1956
" $1 + 5$ " - C. Pan, 1962
" $1 + 4$ " - Y. Wang, 1962
" $1 + 2$ " - J. Chen, 1973



Conjectures about Primes

Goldbach's Conjecture (1+1): Every even integer n > 2, is the sum of two primes.

Twin-prime Conjecture: There are infinitely many twin primes.



Linear Congruences

A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where m is a positive integer, a and b are integers, and x is a variable, is called a *linear congruence*.

Linear Congruences

A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where m is a positive integer, a and b are integers, and x is a variable, is called a *linear congruence*.

The solutions to a linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ are all integers x that satisfy the congruence.

Linear Congruences

A congruence of the form $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, where m is a positive integer, a and b are integers, and x is a variable, is called a *linear congruence*.

The solutions to a linear congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ are all integers x that satisfy the congruence.

Systems of linear congruences have been studied since ancient times.

今有物不知其数 三三数之剩二 五五数之剩三 七七数之剩二 问物几何

About 1500 years ago, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked: "There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?"

Modular Inverse

An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of a modulo m.



Modular Inverse

An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of a modulo m.

One method of solving linear congruences makes use of an inverse \bar{a} if it exists. From $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, it follows that $\bar{a}ax \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$ and then $x \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$.



Modular Inverse

An integer \bar{a} such that $\bar{a}a \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$ is said to be an inverse of a modulo m.

One method of solving linear congruences makes use of an inverse \bar{a} if it exists. From $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$, it follows that $\bar{a}ax \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$ and then $x \equiv \bar{a}b \pmod{m}$.

When does an inverse of a modulo m exist?



Inverse of a modulo m

Theorem If a and m are relatively prime integers and m > 1, then an inverse of a modulo m exists. Furthermore, the inverse is uinque modulo m.



Inverse of a modulo m

Theorem If a and m are relatively prime integers and m > 1, then an inverse of a modulo m exists. Furthermore, the inverse is uinque modulo m.

Proof. Since gcd(a, m) = 1, there are integers s and t such that sa + tm = 1. Hence $sa + tm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Since $tm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, it follows that $sa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. This means that s is an inverse of a modulo m.



Inverse of a modulo m

Theorem If a and m are relatively prime integers and m > 1, then an inverse of a modulo m exists. Furthermore, the inverse is uinque modulo m.

Proof. Since gcd(a, m) = 1, there are integers s and t such that sa + tm = 1. Hence $sa + tm \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. Since $tm \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, it follows that $sa \equiv 1 \pmod{m}$. This means that s is an inverse of a modulo m.

How to prove the uniqueness of the inverse?



Using extended Euclidean algorithm



Using extended Euclidean algorithm

Example. Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.



Using extended Euclidean algorithm

Example. Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.

$$4620 = 45 \cdot 101 + 75$$

 $101 = 1 \cdot 75 + 26$
 $75 = 2 \cdot 26 + 23$
 $26 = 1 \cdot 23 + 3$
 $23 = 7 \cdot 3 + 2$
 $3 = 1 \cdot 2 + 1$
 $2 = 2 \cdot 1$



Using extended Euclidean algorithm

Example. Find an inverse of 101 modulo 4620.

$$4620 = 45 \cdot 101 + 75$$
 $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot 2$
 $101 = 1 \cdot 75 + 26$ $1 = 3 - 1 \cdot (23 - 7 \cdot 3) = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot 3$
 $75 = 2 \cdot 26 + 23$ $1 = -1 \cdot 23 + 8 \cdot (26 - 1 \cdot 23) = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 23$
 $26 = 1 \cdot 23 + 3$ $1 = 8 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot (75 - 2 \cdot 26) = 26 \cdot 26 - 9 \cdot 75$
 $23 = 7 \cdot 3 + 2$ $1 = 26 \cdot (101 - 1 \cdot 75) - 9 \cdot 75$
 $1 = 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot 75$
 $1 = 26 \cdot 101 - 35 \cdot (4620 - 45 \cdot 101)$
 $1 = -35 \cdot 4620 + 1601 \cdot 101$



Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

Solve the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ by multiplying both sides by \bar{a} .



Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

Solve the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ by multiplying both sides by \bar{a} .

Example. What are the solutions of the congruence $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$?



Using Inverses to Solve Congruences

Solve the congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ by multiplying both sides by \bar{a} .

Example. What are the solutions of the congruence $3x \equiv 4 \pmod{7}$?

Solution: We found that -2 is an inverse of 3 modulo 7. Multiply both sides of the congruence by -2, we have $x \equiv -8 \equiv 6 \pmod{7}$.



Number of Solutions to Congruences *

Theorem* Let $d = \gcd(a, m)$ and m' = m/d. The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has solutions if and only if d|b. If d|b, then there are exactly d solutions. If x_0 is a solution, then the other solutions are given by $x_0 + m', x_0 + 2m', \ldots, x_0 + (d-1)m'$.

Number of Solutions to Congruences *

Theorem* Let $d = \gcd(a, m)$ and m' = m/d. The congruence $ax \equiv b \pmod{m}$ has solutions if and only if d|b. If d|b, then there are exactly d solutions. If x_0 is a solution, then the other solutions are given by $x_0 + m', x_0 + 2m', \ldots, x_0 + (d-1)m'$.

Proof.

- 1) "only if": If x_0 is a solution, then $ax_0 b = km$. Thus, $ax_0 km = b$. Since d divides $ax_0 km$, we must have $d \mid b$.
- 2) "if": Suppose that d|b. Let b = kd. There exist integers s, t such that d = as + mt. Multiply both sides by k. Then b = ask + mtk. Let $x_0 = sk$. Then $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$.
- 3) "# = d": $ax_0 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ $ax_1 \equiv b \pmod{m}$ imply that $m|a(x_1 x_0)$ and $m'|a'(x_1 x_0)$. This implies further that $x_1 = x_0 + km'$, where k = 0, 1, ..., d 1.

The Chinese Remainder Theorem

About 1500 years ago, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked:

"There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?"

今有物不知其数 三三数之剩二 五五数之剩三 七七数之剩二 问物几何



The Chinese Remainder Theorem

About 1500 years ago, the Chinese mathematician Sun-Tsu asked:

"There are certain things whose number is unknown. When divided by 3, the remainder is 2; when divided by 5, the remainder is 3; when divided by 7, the remainder is 2. What will be the number of things?"

今有物不知其数 三三数之剩二 五五数之剩三 七七数之剩二 问物几何

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$



The Chinese Remainder Theorem

Theorem (*The Chinese Remainder Theorem*) Let m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n be pairwise relatively prime positive integers greater than 1 and a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n arbitrary integers. Then the system

```
x\equiv a_1\pmod{m_1} x\equiv a_2\pmod{m_2} ... x\equiv a_n\pmod{m_n} has a unique solution modulo m=m_1m_2\cdots m_n.
```



Proof Let $M_k = m/m_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n and $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$. Since $\gcd(m_k, M_k) = 1$, there is an integer y_k , an inverse of M_k modulo m_k such that $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$. Let

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \cdots + a_n M_n y_n.$$

It is checked that x is a solution to the n congruences.



Proof Let $M_k = m/m_k$ for k = 1, 2, ..., n and $m = m_1 m_2 \cdots m_n$. Since $\gcd(m_k, M_k) = 1$, there is an integer y_k , an inverse of M_k modulo m_k such that $M_k y_k \equiv 1 \pmod{m_k}$. Let

$$x = a_1 M_1 y_1 + a_2 M_2 y_2 + \cdots + a_n M_n y_n.$$

It is checked that x is a solution to the n congruences.

How to prove the uniqueness of the solution modulo m?



$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$



```
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}

x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}

x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}
```

```
Let m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105, M_1 = m/3 = 35, M_2 = m/5 = 21, M_3 = m/7 = 15.
```

```
35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}

21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}

15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}
```



```
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}

x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}

x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}
```

```
Let m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105, M_1 = m/3 = 35, M_2 = m/5 = 21, M_3 = m/7 = 15.
```

```
35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} y_1 = 2

21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} y_2 = 1

15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} y_3 = 1
```



$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$$

 $x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$
 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}$

Let
$$m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$$
, $M_1 = m/3 = 35$, $M_2 = m/5 = 21$, $M_3 = m/7 = 15$.

```
35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3} y_1 = 2

21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5} y_2 = 1

15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7} y_3 = 1
```

$$x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 \equiv 233 \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$$



```
      x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}
      三人同行七十稀,五树梅花廿一枝,

      x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}
      七子团圆正月半,除百零五便得知。

      x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}
      一程大位《算法统要》(1593年)
```

Let
$$m = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$$
, $M_1 = m/3 = 35$, $M_2 = m/5 = 21$, $M_3 = m/7 = 15$.

$$35 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$$
 $y_1 = 2$
 $21 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$ $y_2 = 1$
 $15 \equiv 1 \pmod{7}$ $y_3 = 1$

$$x = 2 \cdot 35 \cdot 2 + 3 \cdot 21 \cdot 1 + 2 \cdot 15 \cdot 1 \equiv 233 \equiv 23 \pmod{105}$$



Back Substitution

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli by back substitution.



Back Substitution

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli by back substitution.

```
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}

x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}

x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}
```



Back Substitution

We may also solve systems of linear congruences with pairwise relatively prime moduli by back substitution.

```
x \equiv 2 \pmod{3}

x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}

x \equiv 2 \pmod{7}
```

$$x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

 $x \equiv 2 \pmod{21}$



Modular Arithmetic in CS

- Modular arithmetic and congruencies are used in CS:
 - ♦ Pseudorandom number generators
 - ♦ Hash functions
 - ♦ Cryptography



Linear congruential method

We choose four numbers:

- ♦ the modulus *m*
- ♦ multiplier a
- ♦ increment c
- \diamond seed x_0



Linear congruential method

We choose four numbers:

- ♦ the modulus m
- ♦ multiplier a
- ♦ increment c
- \diamond seed x_0

We generate a sequence of numbers $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n, \ldots$ with $0 \le x_i < m$ by using the congruence

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + c) \pmod{m}$$



Linear congruential method

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + c) \pmod{m}$$



Linear congruential method

$$x_{n+1} = (ax_n + c) \pmod{m}$$


- Assume: $m=9,a=7,c=4, x_0=3$
- $x_1 = 7*3+4 \mod 9=25 \mod 9=7$
- $x_2 = 53 \mod 9 = 8$
- $x_3 = 60 \mod 9 = 6$
- x₄= 46 mod 9 =1
- $x_5 = 11 \mod 9 = 2$
- $x_6 = 18 \mod 9 = 0$
-



A *hash function* is an algorithm that maps data of arbitrary length to data of a fixed length. The values returned by a hash function are called *hash values* or hash codes.



A hash function is an algorithm that maps data of arbitrary length to data of a fixed length. The values returned by a hash function are called hash values or hash codes.





Problem: Given a large collection of records, how can we store and find a record quickly?




Problem: Given a large collection of records, how can we store and find a record quickly?

Solution: Use a hash function, calculate the location of the record based on the record's ID.

Example: A common hash function is


•
$$h(k) = k \mod n$$
,

where *n* is the number of available storage locations.





Two records mapped to the same location






Solution 1: move to the next available location

try
$$h_0(k) = k \mod n$$

 $h_1(k) = (k+1) \mod n$
...
 $h_m(k) = (k+m) \mod n$
1D: 21 21 mod 9 ID: 39 39 mod 9 = 3



Solution 2: remember the exact location in a secondary structure that is searched sequentially





Next Lecture

cryptography ...

