

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A - Machine Learning Details (Attribute-BasedMLSemanticTypeDetection. ipynb)

This appendix documents the optional ML classifier pipeline, which complements the rule-based stage by producing per-column format predictions under header-only evidence. It details preprocessing, feature extraction, model training, and evaluation, and accompanies **Algorithm 1**, which specifies where the ML classifier is invoked within the semantic type detection workflow.

For reproducibility, all configuration parameters; including random seed, data-split policy, cross-validation, hyperparameter grids, and persistence of trained models; are listed at the end of this appendix.

**Scope note:** In this study, the ML classifier was run only on the first **100 data sources (50 UCI + 50 Prague) with around 1,400 columns**; all other experiments use the **rule-based** pipeline alone.

#### Preprocessing and Feature Engineering.

Column headers and descriptions are cleaned through normalisation, abbreviation replacement, and camel-case splitting (see **Section 2.3**). The resulting textual data is vectorised using a TF-IDF model [33] built from a combined vocabulary of *DBHeaders* [9] and the *Formats* dictionary. In parallel, **dictionary-derived SourceKeywords are extracted to record which terms triggered candidate formats, supporting explainability, consistency checks, and enrichment when NIL cases are logged.**

#### Addressing Class Imbalance.

Semantic type classification often suffers from skewed distributions, with some types appearing far more frequently than others. To mitigate this imbalance, we apply the Synthetic Minority Over-sampling Technique (SMOTE), generating synthetic minority class samples to improve generalisation while reducing the risk of overfitting.

#### Model Selection and Hyperparameter Optimisation.

Multiple classifiers are evaluated, including Random Forest, Logistic Regression, Gradient Boosting, K-Nearest Neighbours, and LinearSVC. Hyperparameters are tuned via GridSearchCV with stratified cross-validation to ensure fair optimisation across imbalanced classes.

#### Evaluation and Interpretability.

Models are assessed using precision, recall, and F1-score on held-out test data. Feature importance analysis is employed to interpret classification behaviour and identify influential terms.

#### Model Persistence.

All trained classifiers, together with the fitted TF-IDF vectorizer, are saved to support reproducibility and allow flexible deployment scenarios.

**Algorithm 1 – Attribute-Based ML Semantic Type Detection** (*applied systematically to all non-ID columns; dictionaries provide features and reconciliation*)

##### Inputs:

dataInfo (dataset/table metadata and columns); formatDict (Formats Dictionary); abbrDict (Abbreviations Dictionary); dbHeadersInfo (DB headers corpus); classifiers ({RandomForest, LogisticRegression, GradientBoosting, KNN, LinearSVC}); tfidfVectorizer (trained on Formats+DBHeaders vocabulary).

##### Output:

analysedColumns\_models (per-column predictions per model + aggregate, confidence, NIL/flags, review logs).

```
1 function SemanticTypeDetect(dataInfo, formatDict,
2   abbrDict, dbHeadersInfo,
3   classifiers, tfidfVectorizer, confidenceThreshold)
4   columns ← ExtractAndCleanColumns(dataInfo) // trim,
5   normalise case/punctuation, etc.
```

```
4   for each column in columns do
5     // Deterministic ID assignment (DB context)
6     if column.belongsToDatabase then
7       pkfk ← GetPrimaryForeignKeyInfo(column, dataInfo)
8       if pkfk.isPK or pkfk.isFK then
9         column.semanticType ← "ID column"
10        column.mlConfidence ← 1.0
11        SavePerModelPredictions(column, {}) // optional
12        empty per-model record
13        continue
14      end if
15    end if
```

```
15   // Dictionary-derived FEATURES (no hard gate)
16   expanded ← ReplaceAbbreviations(column.cleanedName, abbrDict)
17   expanded ← SplitCamelCase(expanded)
18   sourceKeywords ← ExtractKeywords(expanded,
19   formatDict) // e.g., ColumnKeyword, DescriptionKeyword
```

```
19   // Compose text input and vectorise
20   if column.description ≠ ∅ then
21     inputText ← Concatenate(expanded, " ",
22     column.description)
23   else
24     inputText ← expanded
25   end if
26   X ← tfidfVectorizer.transform([inputText])
```

```
26   // Predict with all classifiers; collect per-model outputs
27   preds ← {} // model → (label,
28   confidence)
29   for each clf in classifiers do
30     y_hat ← clf.predict(X)
```

```

30     p_max ← PredictConfidence(clf, X, y_hat)      //
calibrated prob / softmax / decision fn
31     preds[clf.name] ← (y_hat, p_max)
32     end for

33     // Aggregate predictions (e.g., weighted by validation
F1)
34     (aggType, aggConf) ← AggregatePredictions(preds,
weights=ValidationScores(classifiers))
35     column.semanticType ← aggType
36     column.mlConfidence ← aggConf

37     // Reconciliation & review signals
38     column.ruleSignals ← sourceKeywords          //
retained for explainability
39     column.consistencyFlag ←
Consistency(ruleHint=BestRuleHint(sourceKeywords),
40           mlType=aggType) // AGREE /
DISAGREE / NONE

41     // Low-confidence handling → NIL + enrichment queue
42     if aggConf < confidenceThreshold then
43         column.semanticType ← "NIL"
44         LogForReview(column, sourceKeywords, TopK(preds,
k=3)) // store inputs + top candidates
45         AppendToEnrichmentQueue(sourceKeywords,
column) // drive dictionary/abbr updates
46     end if

47     SavePerModelPredictions(column, preds)      //
persist all models' outputs
48     end for

49     return analysedColumns_models(columns)
50 end function

```

### Reproducibility details.

We fix the random seed to **42** across all steps. Data are split with **80/20 stratified hold-out** (train\_test\_split(test\_size=0.2, stratify=labels)), and model selection uses **GridSearchCV** with **3-fold CV** (cv=3, n\_jobs=-1). **Imbalance handling**: we apply **SMOTE** with k\_neighbors=nn (as in the released code) and a task-specific sampling\_strategy. **Feature extraction**: a custom **TF-IDF** vectorizer built from the **union** of **DBHeaders** tokens and the **Formats** dictionary (as normalised in §2.3).

### Evaluated models & grids:

**RandomForest** (n\_estimators ∈ {100,200}, max\_depth ∈ {None,30}, min\_samples\_split ∈ {2,5}),  
**LogisticRegression** (C ∈ {0.1,1}, solver='lbfgs', max\_iter=20000),  
**GradientBoosting** (n\_estimators=100, learning\_rate=0.1, max\_depth=3),  
**KNN** (n\_neighbors ∈ {3,5}, weights ∈ {uniform,distance}),  
**LinearSVC** (C ∈ {0.1,1}, max\_iter=20000, dual='auto').

**Persistence**: the selected model per classifier and the TF-IDF vectorizer are exported via **joblib** to support exact reproduction.

**Repository**: code, dictionaries (Formats/Abbreviations), range tables, and the FinalFormat↔KG mapping tables are released in [38].

## Appendix B - Bounded Numerical types

This appendix lists the bounded numerical formats adopted throughout the rule-based and data-quality pipelines. Each of these formats defines a numeric attribute whose valid range is defined a priori, enabling automatic detection of out-of-range and physically impossible values during data quality assessment (**Section 2.7**). The bounds shown below were derived from domain standards and dataset statistics and are applied uniformly across all evaluated data sources. These limits are **not fixed thresholds**, they are intentionally subjective and can be easily altered to reflect alternative domain assumptions or updated business rules, for example, different accepted ranges for *year* or *age*.

**Table A**

Bounded Numerical	Minimum	Maximum	Bounded Numerical	Minimum	Maximum
acidity	0	7	normalized	0	1
age	0	130	numerical between 0 and 360	0	360
alkalinity	7	14	numerical between 0 and 60	0	60
bloodpressure	0	250	percentage	0	100
day	1	366	ph	0	14
heartrate	40	200	saltiness	0	40
hour	0	24	tannins	0	100
latitude	-90	90	week	1	53
longitude	-180	180	year	1800	2100

## Appendix C - TOP 100 list of NIL tokens ordered by amount

#	CleanedColumn	Count	#	CleanedColumn	Count
1	no	278	21	sa	30
2	so	149	22	f	30
3	Nil	98	23	wp	28
4	min	80	24	gd	26
5	ga	73	25	st	25
6	sv	73	26	rc	24
7	+	71	27	off	22
8	to	68	28	s no	21
9	lg	64	29	pl	21
10	s	60	30	m	21
11	er	56	31	maf	21
12	gf	49	32	2	21
13	cg	49	33	1	20
14	sf	45	34	1b	19
15	from	40	35	c	19
16	pp	36	36	ch	19
17	dp	34	37	zero	19
18	po	33	38	pb	19
19	b	32	39	yc	18
20	ba	32	40	tav	18

#	CleanedColumn	Count	#	CleanedColumn	Count
41	shg	18	71	replies	13
42	3	17	72	mat	13
43	fc	17	73	prd	13
44	bf	17	74	may	13
45	x	17	75	toi	13
46	out	17	76	rf	13
47	sl no	16	77	ot	13
48	bs	16	78	ra	13
49	new	16	79	away	13
50	lvl	16	80	str	12
51	streak	16	81	warp	12
52	for	15	82	generosity	12
53	us	15	83	stds	12
54	medal	15	84	winnings	12
55	otl	15	85	thailand	12
56	designation	15	86	bpg	12
57	lost	14	87	guild	12
58	fs	14	88	arr	12
59	vote	14	89	bop	12
60	chest	14	90	wpa	12
61	gw	14	91	pt	12
62	ta	14	92	joined	12
63	extension	14	93	realm	11
64	stats	14	94	station	11
65	ff	14	95	delta	11
66	nat	14	96	@bat	11
67	tries	13	97	previous	11
68	tdp	13	98	adult	11
69	dep	13	99	subcategory	11
70	defense	13	100	msrp	11

## Appendix D - Preprocessing and Semantic Type Assignment: Detailed Workflow

Our semantic type detection pipeline employs a comprehensive preprocessing and mapping approach that transforms raw column headers into interpretable semantic annotations via the **FinalFormat** type system. This process involves a series of systematic steps, each designed to maximize robustness, flexibility, and explainability.

Our semantic type detection pipeline executes the following steps, closely mirroring the production implementation:

### 1. Initial Parsing and Metadata Extraction:

- Extract numeric prefixes (e.g., “1.ID”) for traceability.
- Parse column names by splitting at colons, slashes, or parentheses to isolate core terms.
- Extract free-text descriptions from split points, or from parentheses if none exists, ensuring use of all available metadata.

### 2. Header Normalization:

- Convert all header tokens to lowercase.
- Remove or replace punctuation and special characters (underscores, hyphens, asterisks).
- Split compound words using spaces, dots, underscores, hyphens, or camelCase patterns (e.g., “AvgPitStopTime” → “avg pit stop time”).
- Explicitly tokenize symbols (e.g., “%”) for percentage detection.
- Match plural and singular forms (e.g., “chlorides” → “chloride”).

### 3. Abbreviation and Variant Expansion

- Replace abbreviations using a dictionary of over 1000 entries (e.g., DOB → date of birth; bp → blood pressure).
- Apply regular expressions to tokenize and substitute complex patterns, including units (mg/dL, kg/m<sup>2</sup>), and non-alphabetic tokens like °C, cm<sup>2</sup>, etc.

### 4. SourceKeywords Extraction

- Identify key tokens (SourceKeywords) that capture semantic meaning (e.g., “age”, “date”, “amount”).
- Scan descriptions for known tokens, including “has”, “is”, or numeric/temporal keywords.
- Use primary/foreign key metadata to override assignments where appropriate.

### 5. Semantic Type Assignment (Rule-Based and ML classifier Logic)

- Match tokens to a curated dictionary (2,800 mappings) to assign one of 39 FinalFormat types.
- Apply priority logic:
  - “binary” overrides all if “has”, “is”, or “or not” is present.
  - “percentage” takes precedence if “%” or related terms detected.
  - Hierarchical rules resolve conflicts between categorical, numerical, and domain types.
  - Special logic for “name” columns in certain contexts (city, state, etc.).
- If no match is found:
  - Retry abbreviation expansion and check individual tokens (with plural handling).
  - If still unresolved, use ML-based prediction.

### 6. Ambiguity Resolution

- Use ML classifier (Random Forest, Logistic Regression, etc.) with weighted TF-IDF features.
- Assign confidence scores to all assignments for human-in-the-loop review.

## 7. Knowledge Graph Annotation

- Perform syntactic and semantic matching (FastText) between normalized headers and KG property/class labels.
- Use substring and similarity logic for best match selection (DBpedia, Schema.org).

## 8. Final Type Selection and Logging

- Consolidate assignments if column and description differ, prioritizing by semantic importance (e.g., prefer “datetime”, “IDcolumn”, etc.).
- Attribute assignments to specific source keywords for explainability.
- Assign “Nil” and log cases for manual review if no match is found.

Our semantic type detection pipeline applies a comprehensive sequence of normalization, expansion, and mapping steps that transform raw column headers into interpretable semantic annotations via the FinalFormat type system. The workflow (detailed above) enables robust, transparent, and flexible type assignment across real-world tabular data.

### Practical Examples of Semantic Type Assignment

**Table B** below demonstrates, on a large and diverse set of real columns, how our pipeline’s dictionary logic, abbreviation expansion, and contextual rules deliver precise, explainable semantic types.

### Key Interpretation Patterns and Highlights

#### Binary Columns:

Binary-type columns are often signaled by common words such as “has”, “is”, or phrases like “or not”. The pipeline detects these cues and classifies such columns under the binary FinalFormat, ensuring boolean attributes are annotated correctly even when explicit True/False values are absent.

#### Disambiguating Categorical Types:

Columns containing the word “range” (e.g., “price range”, “date range”) are accurately identified as categorical, despite potentially misleading co-occurring terms like “date” or “price” that might otherwise point toward temporal or financial types. This illustrates the nuanced, context-aware mapping the system achieves via dictionary-based disambiguation.

#### Geographic and Demographic Mapping:

Headers with words like “nationality”, “province”, or “capital” are consistently mapped to country, state, or city FinalFormats. Synonym handling ensures that “ProvinceName” is linked to state, and “capital name” to city, even if these terms don’t match dictionary entries exactly.

#### Temporal and Timestamp Recognition:

Fields such as “updated”, “day of week”, and “timestamp” are mapped to their appropriate FinalFormats:

“updated” → date

“request timestamp” → datetime

“day of week” → weekday

This allows precise differentiation between temporal and generic date columns.

#### Financial and Monetary Attributes:

Lexical cues like “cash”, “currency”, “usd”, and “price” reliably trigger the assignment to the money FinalFormat, enabling wide coverage of financial information.

#### Communication and Identifier Columns:

Terms like “fax”, “cellular phone”, and “author channel id” are normalized to phone and IDcolumn, respectively, with common abbreviations (e.g., “nr” for number) mapped to numerical.

#### Scientific and Measurement Values:

Abbreviations and specialized terms, such as “wgt avg” (weight average) or “trestbps” (blood pressure), are interpreted using expansion rules, with assignments to numerical $\geq 0$  and bloodpressure, reflecting the system’s capacity to handle both generic and domain-specific semantics.

#### Web and Address Columns:

Patterns like “web address”, “link”, or “href” result in URLformat annotations, unifying various forms of URL-related fields.

Postal and address information, including “zipcode”, “provider zip code”, and “purchase address”, is reliably mapped to postalcode or street.

#### String and Free-Text Columns:

Terms such as “abstract”, “desc 1”, and “spec abstract” are interpreted as free text and assigned the string FinalFormat.

#### Other Notable Patterns:

- Medical/Health: Medical headers like “bp” or “trestbps” are mapped to bloodpressure.
- Percentage: Any header containing the “%” symbol or “percent” is assigned percentage.
- Temporal granularity: “Month”, “hour”, “week number”, “year” are all mapped to their corresponding temporal FinalFormats.

Through these patterns, **Table B** provides a transparent view of our pipeline’s ability to handle abbreviation, synonymy, compound phrases, and real-world header messiness, delivering precise, actionable semantic types for data quality assessment.

Table B

Original Header	Normalized	SourceKeywords	FinalFormat
Members with age 5 - 17 years old	members with <b>age</b> 5 17 years old	age	age
Ankle_Ground_Angle	ankle ground <b>angle</b>	angle	angle
has_birth_date	<b>has</b> birth date	<b>has</b>	<b>binary</b>
IsBasedOnRealStory	<b>is</b> based on real story	<b>is</b>	<b>binary</b>
BookedHotelOrNot	booked hotel <b>or not</b>	<b>or not</b>	<b>binary</b>
bp	<b>bp</b>	<b>blood pressure</b>	bloodpressure
trestbps	<b>trestbps</b>	<b>blood pressure</b>	bloodpressure
Reported Influenza Activity	reported influenza activity	activity	categorical
Aircraft Manufacturer	aircraft manufacturer	aircraft	categorical
Pclass_1	<b>pclass</b> 1	class	categorical
ATTEND_DEPT	attend <b>dept</b>	<b>department</b>	categorical
Date Range*	<b>date</b> range*	<b>range</b>	<b>categorical</b>
price_range	<b>price</b> range	<b>range</b>	<b>categorical</b>
Father's Birth Place	father's <b>birth place</b>	<b>birth place</b>	<b>city</b>
CapitalName	<b>capital</b> name	<b>capital</b>	<b>city</b>
Author, Country	author, <b>country</b>	country	country
team_one_player_one_nationality	team one player one <b>nationality</b>	<b>nationality</b>	<b>country</b>
BIRTHDATE	<b>birthdate</b>	birthdate	date
dtRef	<b>dt</b> ref	date	date
Data Last Updated	data last <b>updated</b>	<b>updated</b>	<b>date</b>
Order Date and Time	order <b>date and time</b>	date and time	datetime
Request timestamp	request <b>timestamp</b>	<b>timestamp</b>	<b>datetime</b>
school_day	school <b>day</b>	day	day
FLAG_EMAIL	flag <b>email</b>	email	E-mailformat
order_hour_of_day	order <b>hour</b> of day	hour	hour
authorChannelId	author channel <b>id</b>	id	IDcolumn
Nameserver IP Address	nameserver <b>ip</b> address	ip	IPformat
src_ip_country_code	src <b>ip</b> country code	ip	IPformat
vehicle_gps_latitude	vehicle gps <b>latitude</b>	latitude	latitude
Delivery_location_longitude	delivery location <b>longitude</b>	longitude	longitude
Cash amount	<b>cash</b> amount	<b>cash</b>	<b>money</b>
discount_price_currency	discount price <b>currency</b>	<b>currency</b>	<b>money</b>
cons.price.idx	cons <b>price</b> idx	<b>price</b>	<b>money</b>
Values in Billions USD	values in billions <b>usd</b>	<b>usd</b>	<b>money</b>
Date_Of_Death_Month	date of death <b>month</b>	month	month
Head Coach	head <b>coach</b>	<b>coach</b>	<b>name</b>
Artistic Director	artistic <b>director</b>	<b>director</b>	<b>name</b>
fullname words	<b>fullname</b> words	<b>fullname</b>	<b>name</b>
LASTNAME	<b>lastname</b>	lastname	name
Person Baptised	<b>person</b> baptised	person	name
Allowed Amount	allowed amount	<b>amount</b>	<b>numerical</b>
Trip_Distance_km	trip distance km	<b>distance</b>	<b>numerical</b>
Ground Elevation	ground <b>elevation</b>	<b>elevation</b>	<b>numerical</b>
Flug-Nr.	flug <b>nr</b>	<b>number</b>	<b>numerical</b>
Deforestation Area Ha	deforestation <b>area</b> ha	<b>area</b>	<b>numerical</b> >=0
free_throw_attempts	free throw <b>attempts</b>	<b>attempts</b>	<b>numerical</b> >=0
ViewDepth	view depth	<b>depth</b>	<b>numerical</b> >=0
DirectoryEntryImportSize	directory entry import <b>size</b>	<b>size</b>	<b>numerical</b> >=0
Wgt. Avg.	<b>wgt</b> avg	<b>weight</b>	<b>numerical</b> >=0
YearsInCurrentRole	<b>years</b> in current role	<b>years</b>	<b>numerical</b> >=0
% of Total Supply Owned	% of total supply owned	%	<b>percentage</b>
Percent of State employment	<b>percent</b> of state employment	<b>percent</b>	<b>percentage</b>
Number of Cellular phone	number of <b>cellular phone</b>	cellular phone	phone
Fax Numbers	<b>fax</b> numbers	<b>fax</b>	<b>phone</b>
Customer_Postal_Code	customer <b>postal code</b>	postal code	postalcode
Provider Zip Code	provider <b>zip code</b>	<b>zip code</b>	<b>postalcode</b>
ProvinceName	<b>province</b> name	<b>province</b>	<b>state</b>
Purchase Address	purchase <b>address</b>	address	<b>street</b>
Spec. abstract	spec <b>abstract</b>	abstract	string
desc_1	<b>desc</b> 1	<b>description</b>	<b>string</b>
AvgPitStopTime	avg pit stop <b>time</b>	time	time
goods-title-link-jump href	goods title link jump <b>href</b>	<b>href</b>	<b>URLformat</b>
Free Download Link	free download <b>link</b>	<b>link</b>	<b>URLformat</b>
Web Address	<b>web</b> address	<b>web address</b>	<b>URLformat</b>
arrival_date_week_number	arrival date <b>week</b> number	week number	week
Day of Week	day of week	<b>day of week</b>	<b>weekday</b>
athlete_year_birth	athlete <b>year</b> birth	year	year

These examples illustrate how the system transforms raw, often noisy or abbreviated, column headers into precise semantic annotations. By combining normalization, robust abbreviation expansion, and hierarchical rule logic, our pipeline ensures reliable type assignment even in the face of ambiguity, domain-specific terminology, or non-standard header formats. This approach not only supports accurate data profiling but also underpins the effectiveness of our automated data quality assessment framework. Columns that remain unresolved after this rule-based process are passed to the **machine-learning classifier** described in *Appendix A*, which provides detailed configuration and reproducibility information for that stage.

## Appendix E - Full SemTab 2024 Metadata-to-KG Track [12] Analysis

### E.1 Methods (Human Review Protocol)

One author, **blinded to official GT labels and to all cell values**, adjudicated each prediction using only the **column header** and public ontology documentation (definitions and official redirects). Each case was assigned to one of four categories:

- **C1 (GT-CONF)**: Predicted URI equals the GT URI or an **official redirect to the same canonical entity**. (*None were found beyond the official script; C1 contributes 0 in our audit.*)
- **C2 (GT-REFINE)**: Predicted URI is **not** the GT entity but is a **more appropriate** entity/property for the header (change of granularity/scope/type that better reflects the header). We submit these as **candidate GT issues**.
- **C3 (ALT)**: Predicted URI is **not** the GT entity and **not necessarily better**, but remains **plausible** under header-only interpretation (synonym/alias/generalisation).
- **C4 (INC)**: Remaining cases (NIL, non-English unresolved, unit/numeric-only, ambiguous, lexical confusion, etc.).

We report raw counts and, where space permits, **95% binomial Wilson confidence intervals** for proportions.

### E.2 Results Overview (n = 141)

**Official (GT-strict)**. Using exact URI equality for the same canonical entity (no manual flags):

- **Hit@1 (strict)**:  $63/141 \approx 0.45$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.36, 0.53]$ )
- **Hit@5 (strict)**:  $67/141 \approx 0.48$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.40, 0.56]$ )

**Audit reclassification (ours only; header-only rubric)**. From the adjudicated set:

- **C1 (GT-CONF, additional)**: 0 (*no extra same-entity corrections beyond strict*)
- **C2 (GT-REFINE)**:  $43/141$  (30.5%)
- **C3 (ALT)**:  $6/141$  (4.0%)
- **C4 (INC)**:  $24/141$  (17.0%)

**Diagnostic Hit@1 (ours only; not for cross-system ranking)**.

- **B1: Strict**:  $63/141 = 0.447$  ( $\approx 0.45$ )
- **B2: B1 + C2 (GT-REFINE)**:  $(63+43)/141 = 106/141 = 0.752$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.67, 0.81]$ )
- **B3: B2 + C3 (ALT)**:  $(106+6)/141 = 112/141 = 0.794$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.72, 0.85]$ )

**Diagnostic Hit@5 (ours only; header-only audit)**

Starting from the strict Top-5 match count ( $67/141 = 0.475$ ), we apply the same cumulative reconciliation used for Hit@1:

- **B1 — Strict**:  $67/141 = 0.475$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.40, 0.56]$ )
- **B2 — B1 + C2 (GT-REFINE)**:  $(67 + 43)/141 = 110/141 = 0.781$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.71, 0.85]$ )
- **B3 — B2 + C3 (ALT)**:  $(110 + 6)/141 = 116/141 = 0.823$  (95% CI  $\approx [0.76, 0.88]$ )

**Notes.**

1. **C1 (GT-CONF)** contributed **0** cases; therefore Panel B starts from the strict baseline.
2. These figures are **diagnostic** and apply to **our outputs only**; they are **not used for cross-system ranking**.
3. Under this diagnostic view, **C2/C3 are counted as correct** for Top-5 irrespective of whether the GT URI appears in the model's Top-5 list, because the human audit judged them ontology-consistent and header-appropriate under the rubric.

## E.3 Tables

Table C - GT-Refinement (Author-Proposed Replacement)

Column values	GT Annotation	Our Annotation	Items	Justification
Year	dbo:releaseDate	dbo:year	20	<b>Granularity:</b> header denotes general temporal attribute; releaseDate presupposes an event/product.
ISO(2)/ISO(3)	dbo:iso31661Code	dbo:isoCode	2	<b>Scope:</b> header indicates generic ISO code; 3166-1 scope not evidenced by header alone.
Length	dbo:duration	dbo:length	1	<b>Type:</b> physical extent vs time interval.
Government	dbo:governmentType	dbo:government	4	<b>Entity vs attribute.</b>
State	dbo:location	dbo:state	4	<b>Geopolitical specificity.</b>
GDP	dbo:grossDomesticProduct	dbo:gdpPerCapita	1	<b>Indicator specificity</b> (flag as refinement with caveat).
Alphabeticcode	dbo:currencyCode	dbo:code	4	<b>Generalisation:</b> currency scope not evidenced.
GrantingInstitution	dbo:almaMater	dbo:institution	1	<b>Relation vs institution.</b>
Height(ft), HEIGHTINMETERS	dbo:elevation	dbo:height	5	<b>Domain shift:</b> non-geographical height likely.
Board	dbo:owner	dbo:board	1	<b>Role mismatch.</b>
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>43</b>	<b>30.5%</b>

Table D - Ontology-Consistent Alternative (Plausible, not GT)

Column values	GT Annotation	Our Annotation	Items	Justification
Size	dbo fileSize	dbo collectionSize	1	Header ambiguity; plausible alternative.
Label	dbo developer	dbo distributingLabel	1	Label semantics vs developer.
System	dbo computingPlatform	dbo systemRequirements	3	Ambiguous "System"; both plausible.
watergauge	dbo elevation	dbo water	1	Water-level semantics plausible.
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>4%</b>

Table E - Incorrect (incl. NIL / multilingual / unit-only / lexical confusions)

Column values	GT Annotation	Our Annotation	Items	Issue
Basincountries, Staat(en)	country	Nil	2	Multilingual/compound words issues
8.848, Feets, Meters, H?he	elevation	Nil	5	Numeric/unit headers lacking context
GNI, GDPnominal(US\$M)	giniCoefficient, grossDomesticProduct	Nil	2	Abbreviations and economic indicators
Ashton-under-Lyne, Editeur, Endroit, Stadt	location, owner	Nil	5	Non-English terms, ambiguous without context
Europe, Japan, Rel.	releaseDate	Nil	5	Contextual mismatch with GT annotation
NorthAmerica	releaseDate	northWestPlace	1	Contextual mismatch with GT annotation
Rationale	knownFor	ratio	2	Semantic misunderstanding due to lexical similarity
1T	iataAirlineCode	Nil	1	headers lacking context
Max.-Tiefe-(m)	depth	max	1	headers lacking context
		<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>17%</b>

#### E.4 Successes and Challenges (Header-Only CTA)

**Scope.** This section interprets results under the **header-only** constraint and uses the audit rubric from §E.1: **C.1 GT-Confirmed** (same canonical entity), **C.2 GT-Refinement** (author-proposed replacement for GT), **C.3 Ontology-Consistent Alternative** (plausible but not GT), and **C.4 Incorrect** (incl. NIL/ambiguous/multilingual/unit-only).

##### E.4.1 Success cases (C.1 / C.3)

A substantial fraction of columns with clear headers are annotated reliably:

- **Examples (C.1 GT-Confirmed where applicable).** Headers such as “Government”, “Year”, and “Height(ft)” tend to map to intuitive DBpedia targets. When the **same canonical URI** as GT is reached (identical URI or official redirect), these are counted under **C.1**.
- **Plausible alternatives (C.3).** In some cases the model selects ontology-consistent alternatives that remain defensible under header-only semantics, e.g., elevation → height for non-geographic contexts, developer → distributingLabel for “Label”, or computingPlatform → systemRequirements for “System”. These are recorded as **C.3** because they are plausible yet **not** the GT entity.

Takeaway. Under the header-only premise, the system is robust to minor label differences and often converges to semantically sound choices even when GT uses a different—but related—entity/property.

##### E.4.2 Error and challenge cases (C.4)

Most failures arise from classes of headers that are inherently fragile under header-only interpretation:

- **Multilingual/compound or abbreviated headers.** E.g., “Basincountries”, “Staat(en)”, “Editeur”, “Rel.”
- **Unit-only or numeric-only headers.** E.g., “8.848”, “Feets”, “Meters”, “Max.-Tiefe-(m)”.
- **Code/identifier without scope.** E.g., “1T” (IATA airline code).
- **Ambiguous geography vs. temporal GT.** E.g., “Europe”, “Japan”, “NorthAmerica” marked as releaseDate in GT; such mappings appear to rely on **cell values**, not headers.

We record these under **C.4 Incorrect** (including **NIL** when the system declines to guess). In header-only evaluation, **NIL** can be desirable behaviour for high-precision operation.

#### E.5 Human-Informed Diagnostic of GT Inconsistencies (C.2 / C.3)

**Motivation.** Strict GT requires the predicted URI to match the **same canonical entity** as the ground truth. However, benchmarks may encode **granularity** or **context** that is not present in column headers. We therefore performed a blinded, header-only audit to separate **(i)** genuine model errors from **(ii)** GT idiosyncrasies.

##### E.5.1 GT-Refinement (C.2 author-proposed replacements)

We identified cases where the model’s URI appears **more appropriate** for the header than the GT entity (candidate GT issues). Representative examples:

- **Year:** releaseDate → year (n=20). The header denotes a general temporal attribute; releaseDate presupposes an event/product.
- **ISO(2)/ISO(3):** iso31661Code → isoCode (n=2). The header does not evidence the 3166-1 scope; a scope-neutral ISO code is header-faithful.
- **Length:** duration → length (n=1). Physical extent vs. time interval.
- **Government:** governmentType → government (n=4). Institution vs. classification attribute.
- **State:** location → state (n=4). Geopolitical specificity.
- **Alphabeticcode:** currencyCode → code (n=4). General “code” without currency scope in the header.
- **GrantingInstitution:** almaMater → institution (n=1). Granting body vs. alumni relation.
- **Height(ft), HEIGHTINMETERS:** elevation → height (n=5). Non-geographical height signalled by header.
- **Board:** owner → board (n=1). Governance/advisory body vs. ownership.

Classification. All such cases are recorded as **C.2 GT-Refinement** (not counted as “Correct” under strict GT), and fed into **Panel B** diagnostics to quantify potential GT noise in header-only settings.

##### E.5.2 Ontology-Consistent Alternatives (C.3)

We also found plausible alternatives that are defensible under header-only semantics, but without claiming they “correct” GT. Examples include “Size: fileSize → collectionSize”, “System: computingPlatform → systemRequirements”, and “watergauge: elevation → water”. These are recorded as **C.3**. Diagnostic use only. Neither **C.2** nor **C.3** is used for cross-system ranking; they solely inform **Panel B** (ours only) to show how strict scores shift when GT granularity/aliasing is accounted for.

#### E.6 Consolidated Implications (Header-Only CTA)

- **Strict baseline preserved.** All comparative conclusions in the paper rely on **official GT-strict** results (Panel A).
- **Quantified GT noise.** The audit suggests that ~30.5% of residual strict-GT “errors” reflect **GT-Refinement** (C.2) and ~4% reflect **Plausible Alternatives** (C.3) under header-only interpretation, lifting diagnostic Hit@1 from **0.45** to **≈0.76** (E.2) and **≈0.80** (C.2+C.3).
- **Benchmark design.** We recommend that future benchmarks distinguish **header-only** targets from **context-dependent** targets and maintain synonym/alias sets per entity/property to reduce avoidable mismatches.



### E.7 Researcher-Proofing and Artifacts

- **Uncertainty reporting.** For our measures, we report **raw counts** and **95% Wilson CIs**; baseline CIs are omitted if not provided in the official report.
- **Reproducibility files.** We release a XLSX with one row per column:  
SEMTAB\_2024\_dbpedia\_match\_results.xlsx [38]
- **Namespace coverage.** Some DBpedia concepts exist only as capitalised **classes** (e.g., dbo:Airport) without a lowercase property; systems restricted to properties may miss such cases. We index **both class and property** namespaces to mitigate false negatives.
- **Task alignment.** CTA is a **column-level** assignment task; benchmarks like **t2Dv2** provide **table-level** classes and are not directly comparable to column-level CTA outcomes.

## Appendix F - Comparative Analysis of Semantic Type Detection Approaches

This appendix presents an **extended** comparative analysis of representative systems for semantic type detection. *Table F* consolidates the survey of Liu et al. [23] and **updates/extends** it with recent approaches (including **LLM-based metadata** methods) and **this header-centric framework**.

Dimensions covered include system class, header usage, **header-only capability**, knowledge-graph integration (DBpedia/Schema.org), benchmark datasets, **SemTab setting/participation** (including **offline comparisons**), and key techniques; offering a concise view of recent trends and gaps.

**Table F - Extended comparative analysis of semantic type detection approaches, adapted and expanded from Table 1 in Liu et al. [23].**

System (Algorithm)	Year	Class	Header Usage	Header-only Capable?	KG	Data Source	SemTab?	Key Technique
Wang et al. [44]	2012	Heuristic, Lookup	Primary	No	Probase	Custom Wikipedia Tables	Baseline	Probase + rules
C <sup>2</sup> [19]	2021	Heuristic, Lookup	Probabilistic	No	DBpedia, Wikidata	Limaye, ISWC2017, SemTab 2019, T2D	Winner CTA 2020	Ensemble/statistics
Magic [40]	2021	Heuristic, Lookup	Direct compare	No	DBpedia, Wikidata	SemTab 2021	Participant	INK embeddings
Alobaid et al. [3]	2022	Heuristic, Lookup	Main input	Yes	DBpedia	SemTab 2021, T2D	Participant	String similarity & normalization
TableMiner+ [47]	2017	Heuristic, Iterative	Lexical	No	Freebase	Limaye, IMDB, MusicBrainz	Baseline	Lexical/iterative
CSV2KG [41]	2019	Heuristic, Iterative	Fallback	No	DBpedia	SemTab 2019	Baseline	Heuristic
Mtab [29, 30, 31]	2019	Heuristic, Iterative	Ensemble	No	DBpedia, Wikidata	SemTab 2019–2021	Winner	Lookup, NLP ensemble
Limaye et al. [22]	2010	Feature Engineering	Strong	No	YAGO	Limaye	Baseline	Prob. graphical model
Mulwad et al. [27,28]	2010	Feature Engineering	Limited	No	Wikitology	Limaye	Baseline	Heuristic, SVM
DAGOBAAH Embeddings [6]	2019	Deep Learning, KG Modelling	Central	No	DBpedia, Wikidata	SemTab 2019	Baseline (CTA)	ML+heuristic ensemble
Sherlock [14]	2019	Deep Learning, Table Modelling	Minimal (opt.)	No	DBpedia	T2D, VizNet	Baseline	Deep CNN
Sato [45]	2020	Deep Learning, Table Modelling	No header	No	DBpedia	VizNet	Baseline	TabNet/CRF/BERT
TURL [10]	2020	Deep Learning, Table Modelling	Limited	No	DBpedia	WikiGS, WikiTable, T2D	No	Tabular transformer
Doduo/BERT-CTA [42]	2022	Deep Learning, Table Modelling	Limited	No	-	WikiTable, VizNet	Participant	Fine-tuned BERT (multi-task)
RECA Sun et al [39]	2023	Deep Learning, Table Modelling	Limited	No	DBpedia	T2Dv2, SemTab, Wikipedia	No	Related table context + features
ADWAN	2024	LLM-based, Metadata	Prompts LLM	Yes	Dbpedia, Schema.org	SemTab2024 Metadata Only track	Winner	RAG + CoT + Self-Consistency + RRF
CVA/Metalinker(2024)	2024	LLM-based, Metadata	Main input	Yes	Dbpedia, Schema.org	SemTab2024 Metadata Only track	Participant	Zero-shot LLMs + RAG + SemanticBERT
Anonymized [37]	2024	Heuristic, Lookup	Exclusive header	Yes	-	UCI	No	Dynamic, feedback-driven, hybrid fallback
This paper	2026	Heuristic, Lookup	Exclusive header dynamic	Yes	Dbpedia, Schema.org	Kaggle, VizNet, Sato, UCI, Prague, SemTab 2024 Metadata Only track	2024 Offline comparison	Rule-based + feedback/ML fallback

*Notes:* (i) “Header-only” indicates operation without cell values; (ii) “SemTab note” distinguishes official participation from **offline** evaluations; (iii) rows and sources were cross-checked against cited papers; the curation criteria and change log are available in [38] for reproducibility.