Exercise Sheet 1

Machine Learning 2, SS16

April 30, 2016

Sascha Huk, 321249; Viktor Jeney, 348969; Mario Tambos, 380599; Jan Tinapp, 380549

Exercise 1

(i)

Given the following problem:

$$\min_w E(w) = \sum_i \left| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij}\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

we are trying to prove that the multiplication of each vector $\vec{x}_i$ by a constant scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ does not alter the problem's solution.

$$\min_w \sum_i \left| \alpha\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij}\alpha\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| \alpha\vec{x}_i - \alpha\sum_j w_{ij}\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \alpha^2 \left| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij}\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \alpha^2 \sum_i \left| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij}\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

Since the multiplication by $\alpha^2 \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$ doesn't change the minima with respect to w, the minimizaiton problem remains the same

(ii)

Given the following problem:

$$\min_w E(w) = \sum_i \left| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij}\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

we are trying to prove that the addition of constant vector $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ to each vector $\vec{x}_i$ does not alter the problem's solution.

$$\min_w \sum_i \left| (x_i + \vec{v}) - \sum_j w_{ij}(x_j + \vec{v}) \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| x_i + \vec{v} - \left(\sum_j w_{ij}x_j + w_{ij}\vec{v} \right) \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| x_i + \vec{v} - \left(\sum_j w_{ij}x_j \right) - \left(\sum_j w_{ij}\vec{v} \right) \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\overset{\text{minimization constraint}}{\equiv}$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| x_i + \vec{v} - \left(\sum_j w_{ij}x_j \right) - \vec{v} \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| x_i - \sum_j w_{ij}x_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

(iii)

Given the following problem:

$$\min_w E(w) = \sum_i \left| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

we are trying to prove that the multiplication of each vector $\vec{x}_i$ by a constant, orthogonal $D \times D$ matrix U does not alter the problem's solution.

$$\min_w \sum_i \left| U\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} U\vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| U\vec{x}_i - \sum_j U w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| U\vec{x}_i - U \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$
$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| U \left(\vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right) \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

Since for all orthogonal matrices $U \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times D}$ and vectors $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ we have that $|U\vec{x}| = |\vec{x}|$

$$\equiv$$
$$\min_w \sum_i \left| \vec{x}_i - \sum_j w_{ij} \vec{x}_j \right|^2$$
$$s.t. \sum_j w_{ij} = 1$$

Exercise 2

(i)

We have to prove $w^\top Cw \stackrel{?}{=} \epsilon \stackrel{(3)}{=} |x - \sum_j w_j \eta_j|^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} & w^\top Cw \\ &= w^\top(\mathbb{1}_k x^\top - \eta)(\mathbb{1}_k x^\top - \eta)^\top w \\ &= w^\top(\mathbb{1}_k x^\top - \eta)(x\mathbb{1}_k^\top - \eta^\top)w \\ &= w^\top \mathbb{1}_k x^\top x \mathbb{1}_k^\top w - w^\top \mathbb{1}_k x^\top \eta^\top w - w^\top \eta x \mathbb{1}_k^\top w + w^\top \eta\eta^\top w \\ &= (w^\top \mathbb{1}_k x^\top)(x\mathbb{1}_k^\top w) - 2(w^\top \mathbb{1}_k x^\top)(\eta^\top w) + (w^\top \eta)(\eta^\top w) \\ &= |(w^\top \mathbb{1}_k x^\top) - (w^\top \eta)|^2 \\ &= |w^\top(\mathbb{1}_k x^\top - \eta)|^2 \\ &= |\sum_j w_j (x - \eta_j)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_i w_i = 1$ we find $\sum_i w_i x = x$, which leads to the desired result. □

We now perform Lagrange optimization:

$$\Lambda(w, \lambda) = w^\top Cw - \lambda(w^\top \mathbb{1}_k - 1)$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial w} = 2Cw - \lambda \mathbb{1}_k \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies 2Cw = \lambda \mathbb{1}_k \implies w = \frac{\lambda}{2} C^{-1} \mathbb{1}_k$$

$$\frac{\partial \Lambda}{\partial \lambda} = w^\top \mathbb{1}_k - 1 \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \implies w^\top \mathbb{1}_k = 1$$

We now replace w in the constraint $w^\top \mathbb{1}_k = 1$. Since $C = C^\top$ we find

$$\frac{\lambda}{2} = \frac{1}{\mathbb{1}_k^\top C^{-1} \mathbb{1}_k}$$

(ii)

Replacing $\lambda/2$ in the deduced definition of w leads to the desired result. The candidate w is indeed a minimum since $\frac{\partial^2 \Lambda}{\partial w^2} = 2C$ (invertible covariance matrices have positive definite quadratic forms). □

(iii)

Multiplying by C from the left in the deduced definition of w leads to $Cw = \frac{\lambda}{2}\mathbb{1}_k$ (resp. $Cw' = \mathbb{1}_k$ for $w' = \frac{2}{\lambda}w$). Both, w' and w, point to the same direction. Therefore, by rescaling w' such that $w'^\top \mathbb{1}_k = 1 = w^\top \mathbb{1}_k$ the desired w is identified.□