



Exercise Sheet 10

Machine Learning 2, SS16

July 11, 2016

Mario Tambos, 380599; Viktor Jeney, 348969; Sascha Huk, 321249; Jan Tinapp, 0380549

Exercise 1a

For arbitrary n let $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathcal{X}$ be arbitrary samples and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary vector.

$$\forall_{l=1}^L \cdot \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j k_l(x_i, x_j) \geq 0 \implies \sum_{l=1}^L \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j k_l(x_i, x_j) \geq 0 \implies \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j \sum_{l=1}^L k_l(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$

$$\xRightarrow{\vec{\beta} \geq 0} \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j \sum_{l=1}^L \beta_l k_l(x_i, x_j) \geq 0 \xRightarrow{\text{Def. k}} \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j k(x_i, x_j) \geq 0$$



Exercise 1b

Let $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ be two arbitrary samples.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{l=1}^L \beta_l k_l(x, x') &= \sum_{l=1}^L \beta_l \phi_l(x)^\top \phi_l(x') = \sum_{l=1}^L (\sqrt{\beta_l} \phi_l(x)^\top) (\sqrt{\beta_l} \phi_l(x')) \\ &= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\beta_1} \phi_1(x)^\top & \dots & \sqrt{\beta_L} \phi_L(x)^\top \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi(x)^\top} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\beta_1} \phi_1(x') \\ \dots \\ \sqrt{\beta_L} \phi_L(x') \end{bmatrix}}_{\phi(x')} \end{aligned}$$

$\phi(x)^\top$ and $\phi(x')$ are **block partitioned matrices**, i.e. the ϕ_l 's are simply concatenated together in one very long vector. So, the result is:

$$\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\beta_1} \phi_1(x) \\ \dots \\ \sqrt{\beta_L} \phi_L(x) \end{bmatrix}$$



Exercise 2a

We also encode the classes via the canonical base vectors \mathbf{e}_y for all $y \in \{1, \dots, C\}$. For arbitrary n let $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathcal{X}$ be arbitrary samples and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary vector.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j k(x_i, x_j) \mathbb{1}_{[y_i=y_j]} &= \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j k(x_i, x_j) \mathbf{e}_{y_i}^\top \mathbf{e}_{y_j} \\
 &= \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j \phi(x_i)^\top \phi(x_j) \mathbf{e}_{y_i}^\top \mathbf{e}_{y_j} = \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j \sum_k \phi(x_i)_k \phi(x_j)_k \sum_l (\mathbf{e}_{y_i})_l (\mathbf{e}_{y_j})_l \\
 &= \sum_{k,l} \sum_{i,j} v_i v_j \phi(x_i)_k \phi(x_j)_k (\mathbf{e}_{y_i})_l (\mathbf{e}_{y_j})_l = \sum_{k,l} \underbrace{\left(\sum_i v_i \phi(x_i)_k (\mathbf{e}_{y_i})_l \right) \left(\sum_j v_j \phi(x_j)_k (\mathbf{e}_{y_j})_l \right)}_{\text{sums are equal}} \\
 &= \sum_{k,l} \underbrace{\left(\sum_i v_i \phi(x_i)_k (\mathbf{e}_{y_i})_l \right)^2}_{\geq 0} \geq 0
 \end{aligned}$$



Exercise 2b

We also encode the classes via the canonical base vectors \mathbf{e}_y for all $y \in \{1, \dots, C\}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 k(x, x') \mathbb{1}_{[y=y']} &= \phi(x)^\top \phi(x') \mathbf{e}_y^\top \mathbf{e}_{y'} = \sum_{i=1}^h \phi_i(x) \phi_i(x') \sum_{c=1}^C (\mathbf{e}_y)_c (\mathbf{e}_{y'})_c = \sum_{i=1}^h \sum_{c=1}^C \phi_i(x) \phi_i(x') (\mathbf{e}_y)_c (\mathbf{e}_{y'})_c \\
 &= \sum_{c=1}^C \sum_{i=1}^h \phi_i(x) (\mathbf{e}_y)_c \phi_i(x') (\mathbf{e}_{y'})_c = \underbrace{\langle \phi(x) \times \mathbf{e}_y, \phi(x') \times \mathbf{e}_{y'} \rangle_F}_{\phi_{\text{struct}}(x, y) \quad \phi_{\text{struct}}(x', y')}
 \end{aligned}$$

$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$ is the Frobenius inner product. If one prefers the standard scalar product (to see $\phi_{\text{struct}}(x)$ as a vector) one could convert the matrices ϕ_{struct} into vectors, e.g. by concatenating the row- or column vectors. Then using the standard scalar product on these vectors would yield the same result.

