Exercise Sheet 10

Machine Learning 2, SS16

July 11, 2016

Mario Tambos, 380599; Viktor Jeney, 348969; Sascha Huk, 321249; Jan Tinapp, 0380549

Exercise 1a

Let $\vec{x}_1, \dots, \vec{x}_n \in \mathcal{X}$ be arbitrary samples and $\vec{v} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary vector.

$$\forall_{l=1}^{L}. \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v_{i}v_{j}k_{l}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{l=1}^{L} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v_{i}v_{j}k_{l}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \geq 0 \Longrightarrow \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v_{i}v_{j} \sum_{l=1}^{L} k_{l}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \geq 0$$

$$\stackrel{\vec{\beta} \geq 0}{\Longrightarrow} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v_{i}v_{j} \sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_{l}k_{l}(x_{i}, x_{j}) \geq 0 \stackrel{\text{Def. k}}{\Longrightarrow} \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} v_{i}v_{j}k(x_{i}, x_{j}) \geq 0$$

We could also proof this for all pairs of datapoints x, x' out of \mathcal{X} , but then the unfold of the definition of positive definiteness wouldn't be that lucid.

Exercise 1b

Let $x, x' \in \mathcal{X}$ be two arbitrary samples.

$$\sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_{l} k_{l}(x, x') = \sum_{l=1}^{L} \beta_{l} \phi_{l}(x)^{\top} \phi_{l}(x') = \sum_{l=1}^{L} (\sqrt{\beta_{l}} \phi_{l}(x)^{\top}) (\sqrt{\beta_{l}} \phi_{l}(x'))$$

$$= \underbrace{\left[\sqrt{\beta_{l}} \phi_{1}(x) \dots \sqrt{\beta_{L}} \phi_{L}(x)\right]}_{\phi(x')} \underbrace{\left[\frac{\sqrt{\beta_{l}} \phi_{l}(x')}{\sqrt{\beta_{L}} \phi_{L}(x')}\right]}_{\phi(x')}$$

 $\phi(x)^{\top}$ and $\phi(x')$ are block partitioned matrices, i.e. the $\phi_l's$ are simply concatenated together in one very long vector. So, the result is: $\phi(x) = \begin{bmatrix} \sqrt{\beta_1}\phi_1(x) & \dots & \sqrt{\beta_L}\phi_L(x) \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$.