## Exercise Sheet 3

Machine Learning 2, SS16

May 15, 2016

Sascha Huk, 321249; Viktor Jeney, 348969; Mario Tambos, 380599; Jan Tinapp, 0380549

## Exercise 1

(a) For data-span constructed  $w_x = X\alpha_x$  and  $w_y = Y\alpha_y$  the primal problem is:

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{\alpha_x,\alpha_y} {\alpha_x}^\top X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y \\ \text{s.t. } \alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xx} X \alpha_x - 1 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad , \qquad \alpha_y^\top Y^\top C_{yy} Y \alpha_y - 1 = 0$$

Lagrangian (the factor 1/2 is introduced just for convenience)

$$\mathcal{L} = \alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_x (\alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xx} X \alpha_x - 1) - \frac{1}{2} \lambda_y (\alpha_y^\top Y^\top C_{yy} Y \alpha_y - 1)$$

Partial derivatives:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \alpha_x^\top} = X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y - \lambda_x X^\top C_{xx} X \alpha_x \stackrel{!}{=} 0 \qquad , \qquad \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \alpha_y^\top} = Y^\top C_{yx} X \alpha_x - \lambda_y Y^\top C_{yy} Y \alpha_y \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

We now multiply with  $\alpha_x^{\top}$ ,  $\alpha_y^{\top}$ 

$$\begin{split} &\alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y = \lambda_x \alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xx} X \alpha_x \qquad , \qquad \alpha_y^\top Y^\top C_{yx} X \alpha_x = \lambda_y \alpha_y^\top Y^\top C_{yy} Y \alpha_y \\ \Longrightarrow &\alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y = \lambda_x \alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xx} X \alpha_x \qquad , \qquad \alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y = \lambda_y \alpha_y^\top Y^\top C_{yy} Y \alpha_y \end{split}$$

From the auto-cov constraints follows

$$\alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xy} Y \alpha_y = \lambda_x \underbrace{\alpha_x^\top X^\top C_{xx} X \alpha_x}_{=1} = \lambda_y \underbrace{\alpha_y^\top Y^\top C_{yy} Y \alpha_y}_{-1} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \lambda_x = \lambda_y$$

Now the derivatives can be rewritten as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{C}_{xy} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_y \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_x \boldsymbol{X}^{\top} \boldsymbol{C}_{xx} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_x \qquad , \qquad \boldsymbol{Y}^{\top} \boldsymbol{C}_{yx} \boldsymbol{X} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_x \stackrel{!}{=} \boldsymbol{\lambda}_x \boldsymbol{Y}^{\top} \boldsymbol{C}_{yy} \boldsymbol{Y} \boldsymbol{\alpha}_y$$

The same in blockmatrix form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & X^{\top}C_{xy}Y \\ Y^{\top}C_{yx}X & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \alpha_y \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} \lambda_x \begin{bmatrix} X^{\top}C_{xx}X & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{\top}C_{yy}Y \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \alpha_y \end{bmatrix}$$
 
$$\Longrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} X^{\top}C_{xx}X & 0 \\ 0 & Y^{\top}C_{yy}Y \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & X^{\top}C_{xy}Y \\ Y^{\top}C_{yx}X & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \alpha_y \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} \lambda_x I \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_x \\ \alpha_y \end{bmatrix}$$

- (a)  $X^{\top}C_{xx}X$  and  $Y^{\top}C_{xx}Y$  are positive semi-definite. At least after regularizing one of these blocks, the diagonal block matrix becomes positive definite / invertible, which leads to a non-trivial solution.
- (b) In comparison, the same conditions occur when viewing the Jacobian of  $\mathcal{L}$ . The Jacobian has to be negative definite. Since the Jacobian is symmetric, this is true iff the determinants of the principle minors alternate. We already know that the first principle minor  $X^{\top}C_{xx}X$  or  $Y^{\top}C_{yy}Y$  can only be positive. Then, the second principle minor should be negative, which means  $-A^2 B^2 AB BA < 0$ . This is true as this is the quadratic form  $-(A B)^2 < 0$ . Ultimately, positive  $X^{\top}C_{xx}X$  or  $Y^{\top}C_{yy}Y$  is solely necessary for a solution likewise.
- (c) By finding the solutions  $\alpha_x^*$  and  $\alpha_y^*$ , the dual variable  $\lambda_x$  is identified as this is an eigenvalue problem. Each eigenvalue  $\lambda_x$  corresponds to an eigenvector  $[\alpha_x, \alpha_y]^{\top}$ . Therefore, the lagrangian does not depend on  $\lambda_x$  which means  $\forall \lambda_x . \mathcal{L}(\alpha_x^*, \alpha_y^*, \lambda_x) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha_x^*, \alpha_y^*)$ . For the dual problem we therefore find  $\min_{\lambda_x} \max_{\alpha_x, \alpha_y} \mathcal{L}(\alpha_x, \alpha_y, \lambda_x) = \min_{\lambda_x} \mathcal{L}(\alpha_x^*, \alpha_y^*, \lambda_x) = \mathcal{L}(\alpha_x^*, \alpha_y^*)$

## Exercise 2

- (a) Let  $\Phi$  be a general symbol for an appropriate feature mapping and define  $\Phi(X) := [\Phi(x^{(1)}, \Phi(x^{(2)}), \dots, \Phi(x^{(N)})]$  for each dataset X. As in exercise (1), by starting with  $w_x = \Phi(X)\alpha_x$  and  $w_y = \Phi(Y)\alpha_y$  one ends up with the eigenvalue problem stated in the task description of exercise (1b), where  $A = \Phi(X)^{\top}\Phi(X) = K_x$  and  $B = \Phi(X)^{\top}\Phi(X) = K_y$ . The inner products in the Gramian matrices don't need to be computed via  $\Phi$  explicitly but rather via the kernels' definitions.
- (b) The results  $\alpha_x, \alpha_y$  are linear combinations of the N vectors in the respective feature spaces, which is spanned by  $\Phi(X)$ ,  $\Phi(Y)$ . The solutions can be interpreted as directions in the input space if  $\Phi$  is a conformal map, otherwise not.

## Exercise 3

Given 
$$\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$$
,  $\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta_{x}} = \left[\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta(x,1)}, \dots, \frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta(x,N)}\right]$ :
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{x}} w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{x} \phi_{y}^{\top}) w_{y} + \alpha \left[ \min(0, 1 - w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{x} \phi_{x}^{\top})) w_{x} + \min(0, 1 - w_{y}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{y} \phi_{y}^{\top})) w_{y} \right]$$

$$= w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta_{x}} \phi_{y}^{\top}) w_{y} + \alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{x}} \min(0, 1 - w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{x} \phi_{x}^{\top})) w_{x}$$

$$= \begin{cases} w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta(x,i)} \phi_{y}^{\top}) w_{y} - \alpha w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta(x,i)} \phi_{x}^{\top} + \phi_{x}(\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta(x,i)})^{\top}) w_{x}, & \text{if } 1 - w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{x} \phi_{x}^{\top})) w_{x} < 0 \\ w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\frac{\partial \phi_{x}}{\partial \theta(x,i)} \phi_{y}^{\top}) w_{y}, & \text{if } 1 - w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{x} \phi_{x}^{\top})) w_{x} > 0 \\ \text{undefined}, & \text{if } 1 - w_{x}^{\top} \mathbb{E}(\phi_{x} \phi_{x}^{\top})) w_{x} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$\forall i \in [1, \dots, N]$$