

Problem 1

Result: The series given by

$$1 + 5 + 9 + \cdots + (4n - 3) = 2n^2 - n$$

is true for every positive integer n .

Proof: We will use induction. Let $n = 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 2(1)^2 - 1 \\ 1 &= 1, \end{aligned}$$

so the statement holds for the base case.

Now, suppose that for some integer $k \geq 1$, the formula holds for $n = k$. In other words, the statement

$$1 + 5 + 9 + \cdots + (4k - 3) = 2k^2 - k$$

is true. We now work to show that the following statement is also true.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + 5 + 9 + \cdots + (4(k+1) - 3) &= 2(k+1)^2 - (k+1) \\ 1 + 5 + 9 + \cdots + (4k - 3) + (4(k+1) - 3) &= 2(k+1)^2 - (k+1) \\ 2k^2 - k + (4(k+1) - 3) &= 2(k+1)^2 - (k+1) \\ 2k^2 + 3k + 1 &= 2(k^2 + 2k + 1) - (k+1) \\ 2k^2 + 3k + 1 &= 2k^2 + 3k + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by induction, the initial result is true. □

Problem 2

Result: For every positive integer $n \geq 2$, the equation $x^n + (x+1)^n = (x+2)^n$ is false.

Disproof (by counterexample): Let $x = 3$ and $n = 2$. Plugging these values into the above equation, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}x^n + (x+1)^n &= (x+2)^n \\3^2 + (3+1)^2 &= (3+2)^2 \\3^2 + 4^2 &= 5^2 \\9 + 16 &= 25 \\25 &= 25\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the statement has been disproven. □

Problem 3

Result: If $a \geq 2$ and b are integers, then $a \nmid b$ or $a \nmid b + 1$.

Proof, by contradiction: Assume, to the contrary, that both $a \mid b$ and $a \mid b + 1$, for some $a \geq 2, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that there are two integers s and t such that

$$\begin{aligned}b &= as \\b + 1 &= at\end{aligned}$$

Through algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned}b + 1 &= at \\b &= at + 1 \\as &= at + 1 \\1 &= a(s - t)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

Notice that according to equation (1), and since $(s - t) \in \mathbb{Z}$, we get that a divides 1. This implies that $a = \pm 1$, which is a contradiction since we assumed that $a \geq 2$.

Therefore, the result must be true. \square

Problem 4

Result: $\sqrt{3}$ is irrational.

Proof, by contradiction: Assume, to the contrary, that $\sqrt{3}$ is rational. By definition, $\sqrt{3}$ can be expressed as

$$\sqrt{3} = \frac{m}{n}$$

for some integers m, n where n is nonzero and the fraction is in its most simplified form.

Through algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{3} &= \frac{m}{n} \\ 3 &= \frac{m^2}{n^2} \\ 3n^2 &= m^2\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

The implication of equation (2) is that 3 divides m^2 , or in other words, $3 \mid m^2$. From the lemma provided, we know that $3 \mid m^2$ if and only if $3 \mid m$. Therefore, we know that $m = 3p$ for some integer p .

Again, through algebra, we find

$$\begin{aligned}3n^2 &= (3p)^2 \\ 3n^2 &= 9p^2 \\ n^2 &= 3p^2\end{aligned}$$

Without loss of generality, we find that $n = 3q$ for some integer q .

However, we have arrived upon a contradiction, since we said that the original fraction $\frac{m}{n} = \frac{3p}{3q}$ is in its most simplified form. Therefore, the original statement must be true. \square

Problem 5

Result: There exist no positive integers m, n such that $m^2 - n^2 = 1$.

Proof, by contradiction: Assume, to the contrary, that there do exist two integers m, n such that $m^2 - n^2 = 1$. Through algebra, we find

$$\begin{aligned}m^2 - n^2 &= 1 \\(m + n)(m - n) &= 1\end{aligned}$$

Notice that we have arrived at a product of two expressions, the product of which is 1. The only way for this to be true (over the domain \mathbb{Z}) is if both $(m + n)$ or $(m - n)$ are equal to 1 or -1. For both cases, we can set them equal to each other, arriving at

$$\begin{aligned}(m + n) &= (m - n) \\m + n &= m - n \\m &= m - 2n \\0 &= -2n \\0 &= n\end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction because we assumed both m and n were positive. Therefore, the statement has been proven.

□

Problem 6

Result: For a real number x , if $x - \frac{x}{2} > 1$, then $x > 2$.

Proof (direct): Let x be a real number. From the statement above and through algebra, we get

$$\begin{aligned}x - \frac{2}{x} &> 1 \\x^2 - 2 &> x \\x^2 - x - 2 &> 0 \\(x - 2)(x + 1) &> 0\end{aligned}$$

Notice that of the two terms of the product, $(x + 1)$ is always positive for $x > 0$. That leaves us with

$$x - 2 > 0 \tag{3}$$

Hence, the proof is complete. \square

Proof, by contrapositive: Let x be a real number. We will work to show that if $x \leq 2$, then $x - \frac{x}{2} \leq 1$.

$$\begin{aligned}x &\leq 2 \\x - 2 &\leq 0 \\(x - 2)(x + 1) &\leq 0 \\x^2 - x - 2 &\leq 0 \\x^2 - 2 &\leq x \\x - \frac{2}{x} &\leq 1\end{aligned}$$

The result has been proven. \square

Proof, by contradiction: Let x be a real number. Suppose, to the contrary, that if $x - \frac{x}{2} > 1$, then $x \leq 2$. However, by the contrapositive argument given above, when $x \leq 2$, $x - \frac{x}{2} \leq 1$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, the result has been proven. \square

Problem 7

Result: The equation $4x - \cos^2(x) = 10$ has a real number solution in the interval $[0, 4]$.

Proof: Let f be a function defined as $f(x) = 4x - \cos^2(x)$, which is defined over all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Notice that the function is continuous over \mathbb{R} , since a combination of a linear and trigonometric term result in a continuous function.

Evaluating at each endpoint of the interval in question, we get

$$f(x) = 4x - \cos^2(x)$$

$$f(0) = 4(0) - \cos^2(0)$$

$$f(0) = -1$$

$$f(4) = 4(4) - \cos^2(4)$$

$$f(4) = 16 - \cos^2(4)$$

$$f(4) \approx 15$$

Notice that $-1 \leq 0 \leq 15$.

By the intermediate value theorem, $\exists c \in [0, 4] : f(c) = 0$. □

Discussion: Graphing the function $f(x) = 4x - \cos^2(x)$ shows that there is only one unique real-valued solution over the interval $[0, 4]$. Intuitively (without the graph), we can see this because of the linear term. Even though $-\cos^2(x)$ is an oscillating function, with an infinite number of real number solutions, the $4x$ term makes it so it only ever cross the x-axis once. The period of the function $-\cos^2(x)$ is π with a magnitude of -1 , but the vertical translation from the $4x$ term outweighs the magnitude. By the time another solution would appear, the function has been vertically translated by $4\pi \approx 12 > -1$.

Problem 8

Result: There is a real number x such that $x^6 + x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 = 0$.

Disproof: We work to show that for every real number x , the equation $x^6 + x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 = 0$ is false.

$$x^6 + x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 = 0$$

$$x^6 + x^4 - 2x^2 = -1$$

$$x^2(x^4 + x^2 - 2) = -1$$

Notice that we have a product of numbers equalling -1 . Recall that the only way to have a product of -1 over the domain \mathbb{Z} is for the components of the product to be either $(-1, 1)$ or $(1, -1)$. Therefore, we have two cases.

Case 1: Suppose $x^2 = -1$ and $(x^4 + x^2 - 2) = 1$. We know that $x^2 = -1$ is not possible over \mathbb{Z} , so we know this case to be false.

Case 2: Suppose $x^2 = 1$ and $(x^4 + x^2 - 2) = -1$. The only way for x^2 to equal 1 is if $x = \pm 1$. However, when plugging $x = \pm 1$ into the second expression, we find

$$(x^4 + x^2 - 2) = -1$$

$$((1)^4 + (1)^2 - 2) = -1$$

$$(1 + 1 - 2) = -1$$

$$0 \neq -1$$

$$(x^4 + x^2 - 2) = -1$$

$$((-1)^4 + (-1)^2 - 2) = -1$$

$$(1 + 1 - 2) = -1$$

$$0 \neq -1$$

Since neither case works, we have that the equation $x^6 + x^4 - 2x^2 + 1 = 0$ is false for every x . \square

Problem 9

Result: The king places one crown each, taken from a pool of 3 gold crowns and 2 silver crowns, on the heads of three suitors. When neither of the first two suitors know which crown is on their own head (by observing the color of the crowns on the other suitor's heads), the third suitor correctly deduces that he has a gold crown on his head, despite being blind.

Proof: Throughout this proof, I will refer to a gold crown as G and a silver crown as S .

The most direct way for a suitor to know what crown is on his head is if he sees a silver crown on each of the other suitors' heads. He would then deduce that he himself has a gold crown, since there are no silver crowns left for himself.

When suitor one says that he is unable to tell, it eliminates the combination of (S, S) for the other two suitors. We remain with six of $2^3 = 8$ cases, given below.

Suitor 1	Suitor 2	Suitor 3
G	G	G
G	G	S
G	S	G
S	G	G
S	G	S
S	S	G

Notice that the combination (S, S, S) cannot exist because there are only 2 silver crowns.

We now come to the second suitor. He similarly says he does not know, so it is safe to eliminate the case where Suitor 1 and Suitor 3 both have silver crowns.

Suitor 1	Suitor 2	Suitor 3
G	G	G
G	G	S
G	S	G
S	G	G
S	S	G

Also notice that when observing the third suitor, if the second suitor were to see a silver crown, he would immediately know that he himself does not have a silver crown (recall the first suitor's testimony), implying he has a gold crown. However, because Suitor 2 says he does not know, we can safely eliminate this case as well.

Suitor 1	Suitor 2	Suitor 3
G	G	G
G	S	G
S	G	G
S	S	G

Notice that of the remaining cases, in every case, Suitor 3 has a gold crown on his head. Therefore, the third suitor is capable of deducing that he must have a gold crown on his head, without seeing the other suitors' crowns. \square