

CS601: Software Development for Scientific Computing

Autumn 2021

Week11:

Particle Methods, Tree-based codes

Course Progress..

- Last topic - Computation on unstructured grids
 - Finite Element Method (FEM)
- Coming Next
 - Particle (Simulation) Methods
 - Tree-based codes

Course Progress..

- **Pic source: the Parallel Computing Laboratory at U.C. Berkeley: A Research Agenda Based on the Berkeley View (2008)**

<i>Motif</i>	Embed	Desktop	Games	DB	ML	HPC	Medicine	Music	Speech	CBIR	Browser		Motif	Desktop	Games	DB	ML	HPC	Medicine	Music	Speech	CBIR	Browser
1 Finite State Mach.													9 N-Body										
2 Combinational													10 MapReduce										
3 Graph Traversal													11 Backtrack/B&B										
4 Structured Grid													12 Graphical Models										
5 Dense Matrix													13 Unstructured Grid										
6 Sparse Matrix												Temperature Chart of Need			DB = database								
7 Spectral (FFT)												Hot	Warm	Med	Cool	ML = machine learning							
8 Dynamic Prog																HPC = High Perf. Comp.							

Figure 4. Temperature Chart of the 13 Motifs. It shows their importance to each of the original six application areas and then how important each one is to the five compelling applications of Section 3.1. More details on the motifs can be found in (Asanovic, Bodik et al. 2006).

Seen earlier
Next..

Particle (Simulation) Methods

- N-Body Simulation – Problem

System of N-bodies (e.g. galaxies, stars, atoms, light rays etc.) interacting with each other continuously


- Problem:

- Compute force acting on a body due to all other bodies in the system
 - Determine position, velocity, at various times for each body

- Objective:

- Determine the (approximate) evolution of a system of bodies interacting with each other simultaneously

Particle (Simulation) Methods

- N-Body Simulation - Examples
 - Astrophysical simulation: E.g. each body is a star/galaxy
https://commons.wikimedia.org/w/index.php?title=File%3AGalaxy_collision.ogv
 - Graphics: E.g. each body is a ray of light emanating from the light source.
<https://www.fxguide.com/fxfeatured/brave-new-hair/>

 - Here each body is a point on a strand of hair

N-Body Simulation

- All-pairs Method
 - Naïve approach. Compute *all pair-wise interactions*
- Hierarchical Methods
 - Optimize. Reduce the number of pair-wise force calculations. How? dependence on ‘distant’ particle(s) can be *compressed*
 - Examples:
 - Barnes-Hut
 - Fast Multipole Method

N-Body Simulation


- Three fundamental simulation approaches
 - Particle-Particle (PP)
 - Particle-Mesh (PM)
 - Particle-Particle-Particle-Mesh (P3M)
- Hybrid approaches
 - Nested Grid Particle Scheme
 - Tree Codes
 - Tree Code Particle Mesh (TPM)
- Self Consistent Field (SCF), Smoothed-Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH), Symplectic etc.

Particle-Particle method

- Simplest. Adopts an all-pairs approach.
- State of the system at time t given by particle positions $x_i(t)$ and velocity $v_i(t)$ for $i=1$ to N

$$\{x_i(t), v_i(t); i = 1, N\}$$

– Steps:

- 
1. Compute forces
 2. Integrate equations of motion
 3. Update time counter

Each iteration updates $x_i(t)$ and $v_i(t)$ to compute $x_i(t + \Delta t)$ and $v_i(t + \Delta t)$

Particle-Particle Method

1. Compute forces

```
//initialize forces
```

```
for i=1 to N
```

```
   $F_i = 0$ 
```

```
//Accumulate forces
```

```
for i=1 to N-1
```

```
  for j=i+1 to N
```

```
     $F_i = F_i + F_{ij}$  ←  $F_{ij}$  is the force on particle i due to particle j
```

```
     $F_j = F_j - F_{ij}$ 
```

Typically: $F_i = F_{\text{external}} + F_{\text{nearest_neighbor}} + F_{\text{N-Body}}$

Particle-Particle Method

2. Integrate equations of motion

for $i=1$ to N

$$v_i^{new} = v_i^{old} + \frac{F_i}{m_i} \Delta t \quad // \text{using } a=F/m \text{ and } v=u+at$$

$$x_i^{new} = x_i^{old} + v_i \Delta t$$

3. Update time counter

$$t^{new} = t^{old} + \Delta t$$

Particle-Particle Method

```
t=0
while(t<tfinal) {
  //initialize forces
  for i=1 to N
    Fi = 0
  //Accumulate forces
  for i=1 to N-1
    for j=i+1 to N
      F[i] = F[i] + Fij
      F[j] = F[j] - Fij
  //Integrate equations of motion
  for i=1 to N
     $v_i^{new} = v_i^{old} + \frac{F_i}{m_i} \Delta t$  //using a=F/m and v=u+at
     $x_i^{new} = x_i^{old} + v_i \Delta t$ 
  // Update time counter
  t = t + Δt
}
```

Particle-Particle Method

- Costs (CPU operations)?

```
t=0
while(t<tfinal) {
  //initialize forces
  for i=1 to N
    Fi = 0
  //Accumulate forces
  for i=1 to N-1
    for j=i+1 to N
      F[i] = F[i] + Fij
      F[j] = F[j] - Fij
  //Integrate equations of motion
  for i=1 to N
    vinew = viold +  $\frac{F_i}{m_i} \Delta t$  //using a=F/m and v=u+at
    xinew = xiold + vi  $\Delta t$ 
  // Update time counter
  t = t +  $\Delta t$ 
}
```

The diagram illustrates the sequence of operations in the Particle-Particle Method. It consists of four curly braces, each followed by a horizontal arrow pointing to the right. The first brace groups the initialization of forces (for i=1 to N, F_i = 0). The second brace groups the accumulation of forces (for i=1 to N-1, for j=i+1 to N, F[i] = F[i] + F_{ij}, F[j] = F[j] - F_{ij}). The third brace groups the integration of equations of motion (for i=1 to N, v_i^{new} = v_i^{old} + $\frac{F_i}{m_i} \Delta t$, x_i^{new} = x_i^{old} + v_i Δt). The fourth brace groups the update of the time counter (t = t + Δt).

Particle-Particle Method

- Experimental results (then):
 - Intel Delta = 1992 supercomputer, 512 Intel i860s
 - 17 million particles, 600 time steps, 24 hours elapsed time

M. Warren and J. Salmon

Gordon Bell Prize at Supercomputing 1992

 - Sustained 5.2 GigaFlops = 44K Flops/particle/time step
 - 1% accuracy
 - *Direct method (17 Flops/particle/time step) at 5.2 Gflops would have taken 18 years, 6570 times longer*

Particle-Particle Method

- Experimental results (now):

Vortex particle simulation of turbulence

- Cluster of 256 NVIDIA GeForce 8800 GPUs
- 16.8 million particles
 - T. Hamada, R. Yokota, K. Nitadori, T. Narumi, K. Yasuki et al
 - *Gordon Bell Prize for Price/Performance at Supercomputing 2009*
- Sustained 20 Teraflops, or \$8/Gigaflop

Particle-Particle (PP) Method

- Discussion
 - Simple/trivial to program
 - High computational cost
 - Useful when number of particles are small (few thousands) and
 - We are interested in close-range dynamics when the particles in the range contribute *significantly* to forces
 - Constant time step must be replaced with variable time steps and numerical integration schemes for close-range interactions

Particle-Mesh (PM) Method

- Now think of Force as a *Field* i.e. a quantity that is pervading all space rather than arising out of (and concentrated at) the particle.
- **Steps** (e.g. body of electrically charged particles):
 1. Assign *charge* to *mesh*
 2. Solve the Field Potential equation on the mesh
$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\rho / \epsilon_0$$
 ← *What kind of PDE is involved?*
 3. Compute forces from the mesh-defined potential and interpolate forces at particle positions
 4. Integrate forces to get particle positions and velocities
 5. Update time counter

} Same as PP

Particle-Mesh (PM) Method

1. Assign *charge* to *mesh*

- Create a mesh of points for each particle. Assign “charge” to mesh points
 - Many schemes exist. "Nearest-Grid-Point" (NGP) PM method assigns charge densities to mesh points. The charge densities are computed as: total amount of "charge" in the cell surrounding the mesh point, divided by the cell volume.
 - Rarely used. Drawback: it gives forces that are discontinuous in value.
 - “Smoothness” is incorporated to ensure continuity of the derivatives.
- Computational Cost? Assume NGP.

Particle-Mesh (PM) Method

2. Solve the Field Potential equation on the mesh

$$\nabla^2 \phi = -\rho / \epsilon_0$$

ϕ is electrostatic potential and ρ is the charge density.

The Poisson's equation is solved using finite difference approximations.

- Cost of solving the equation depends on ???

Particle-Mesh (PM) Method

- Computational Costs?
 - Cost for steps 1, 3, and 4: $O(N)$
 - Cost for step 2: depends on number of mesh points
 - Cost for step 5: constant

Particle-Mesh (PM) Method

- Discussion

- PM is much faster than PP but less accurate

- Computation cost

$$\alpha N + \beta N_{meshpoints}$$

- Source of errors:

- 1) Replacing the charge with charge densities on the mesh

- 2) Truncation error due to finite differences

- 3) Interpolation error,

- 4) Integration error (when numerical integration schemes used)

- Unsuitable for “close-encounters”

Exercise

- Assume $\alpha=20$, $\beta = N^3 \log_2 N^3$ (for $N \times N \times N$ mesh)

Give an estimate of time taken by PP and PM methods for:

- Number of particles = 10^5
- Number of mesh points = 32
- $\approx 1\mu s$ per pair-wise force calculation