

Startup Failure Post-Mortems

2015 First Update (8/15/2015)

Nebula

Title: ~~Nebula Is Shutting Down~~ (NOT WORKED)

*Title: OpenStack start-up Nebula is shutting down

*Title Link: <https://www.datacenterdynamics.com/en/news/openstack-start-up-nebula-is-shutting-down/>

Product: [Nebula](#)

Product Link: <https://www.cbinsights.com/company/nebula>

At the same time, we are deeply disappointed that the market will likely take another several years to mature. As a venture backed start up, we did not have the resources to wait.

Nebula

COMPUTER HARDWARE & SERVICES | IT Services / Infrastructure & Hosting
nebula.com

Founded Year

2011

Stage

Dead | Dead

Total Raised

\$28.5M

About Nebula

Nebula is dedicated to enabling all businesses to easily, securely, and inexpensively deploy large private cloud computing infrastructures. The company has developed a hardware appliance that allows any business to easily build a massive private computing cloud from hundreds or thousands of inexpensive computers. Nebula's goal is to ignite a new era of global innovation by making big data and large scale computing accessible to every business in the world. We believe that the proliferation of data will fuel an "information revolution" across all industries, and will be enabled by democratizing web-scale cloud technology.

Nebula Headquarter Location

215 Castro Street 3rd Floor
Mountain View, California, 94041,
United States
650-593-9900

By Serdar Yegulalp, Senior Writer, InfoWorld | APR 2, 2015 11:08 AM PDT

<https://www.infoworld.com/article/2905296/how-not-to-market-openstack-a-lesson-from-nebulas->

How not to market OpenStack: A lesson from Nebula's failure

The demise of Nebula indicates that delivering OpenStack via a hardware appliance was bound to fail in the long run

starwalk nebula

Nebula, maker of a hardware-based OpenStack turnkey solution, closed its doors on April 1 -- no joke.

The company, which was founded by one of OpenStack's original progenitors, failed in big part because it delivered a product that was less OpenStack than a proprietary solution.

"When we started this journey four years ago," reads a notice on Nebula's home page, "we set out to usher in a new era of cloud computing by curating and productizing OpenStack for the enterprise. We are incredibly proud of the role we had in establishing Nebula as the leading enterprise cloud computing platform. At the same time, we are deeply disappointed that the market will likely take another several years to mature. As a venture-backed startup, we did not have the resources to wait."

[Also on InfoWorld: The best open source software of 2021]

The maturity of the OpenStack market wasn't the only problem for Nebula. There was also the nature of its product stemming from expectations for OpenStack.

The OpenStack creators -- and the OpenStack appliance people

Nebula was co-founded by Chris Kemp -- formerly of NASA's Ames Research Center, where he developed part of OpenStack's core components -- with venture capital from Kleiner Perkins.

His company's approach to the OpenStack market wasn't only to sell service or an enterprise distribution as Mirantis or Red Hat do, but to sell a complete turnkey hardware product.

The hardware in question was a controller appliance used to drive a cluster of up to 20 nodes per controller and up to five controllers per cluster. Setup was near-automatic, and upgrades to OpenStack were rolled out to the controller by Nebula.

Nebula believed its solution wasn't only more convenient, but "a true enterprise product with support that provides the enterprise integration capabilities (networking, storage, identity), all preconfigured and hardened," as Nebula CEO Gordon Stitt put it in an email earlier this year.

[FREE report! Learn how leading CIOs are maximizing the utility of data collected through multiple channels. Download now!]

He believed Nebula's solution was superior to building OpenStack in-house and by hand, or hiring a professional services team: "We provide all of the testing and upgrading on the six-month cycle, and since our OpenStack implementation is a product, all of our implementations are identical so this is a simple, automated upgrade."

Unfortunately, the market didn't favor Nebula's hardware-centric approach.

The crucial mistakes

What went wrong? Cost of the solution aside, Nebula's product ran contrary to the way OpenStack itself was conceived.

Those who make a deep investment in OpenStack, as Walmart did, learn quickly how tough it is to work with. Standing up a stack and getting it running is only part of the deal; the work also includes upgrading the stack across releases, and -- most important -- building your own enterprise-specific customizations into OpenStack, which is one of the big reasons to invest in an OpenStack setup in the first place.

Once all that's mastered, the payoffs of using OpenStack also become bigger, and the resulting stack can become the enterprise's own creation, rather than the vendor's. With a managed, appliance-based approach, it's harder for a company to reintegrate its own changes.

Another place where Nebula fell down: It aimed for a shrinking market. Stitt saw Nebula serving enterprise that wanted to build "a truly seamless hybrid cloud using the same technology on-premise due to security or regulatory requirements and data residency requirements. The

residency requirements -- that data never leaves the enterprise -- may be regulatory or may be due to the cost and/or latency of transferring data in and out of a public cloud."

But costs and requirements have been receding with each passing year, if not month. To that end, Nebula was not the only turnkey OpenStack solution out there, and many options were delivered as managed solutions on cloud-hosted hardware (Ubuntu BootStack, Mirantis OpenStack Express).

Finally, OpenStack's deployment issues seemed best solved within OpenStack itself through software -- which was bound to happen sooner or later -- rather than through what amounted to an ongoing dependency on a vendor's proprietary deployment solution.

What the market didn't bear

The wording in Nebula's farewell note seems to assign part of the blame on the way OpenStack has taken years to achieve the uptake its proponents envisioned.

This is in part a valid conceit. Only after four years did enterprise interest in the technology seem to turn the corner -- even then, the majority of its enterprise use, as of May last year, was modest compared to the scale and scope of Linux itself. Most of the deployments at scale were confined to verticals like telecom, with enterprise deployments largely modest affairs. (The most recent edition of the survey no longer tracks the size of deployments.) Container technologies also seem more likely to hit the sweet spot of what enterprises actually need, given the way they've enjoyed the kind of rapid and broad uptake that OpenStack hasn't seen.

But none of that has stopped companies like Canonical, Mirantis, or Red Hat from reaping investments made into OpenStack. With Canonical and Red Hat, their OpenStack work has been part of a larger portfolio of enterprise open source technologies, including containers. With Mirantis, it's been about easy deployment and a professed devotion to the openness of the underlying system.

In the end, the failure of Nebula is less about OpenStack's larger issues and more about a company that tried to sell a proprietary hardware solution to deliver and maintain an open source software stack -- in short, a company that provided the wrong solution to the wrong problem.

Related: [OpenStack Open Source](#)

Serdar Yegulalp is a senior writer at InfoWorld, focused on machine learning, containerization, devops, the Python ecosystem, and periodic reviews.

Follow

Copyright © 2015 IDG Communications, Inc.

How to choose a low-code development platform

InfoWorldFOLLOW US

ABOUT USCONTACTREPRINTSPRIVACY POLICYCOOKIE POLICYMEMBER
PREFERENCESADVERTISINGIDG CAREERSAD CHOICESE-COMMERCE
LINKSCALIFORNIA: DO NOT SELL MY PERSONAL INFO

IDG Communications

Copyright © 2021 IDG Communications, Inc.

Explore the IDG Networkdescend