



*Sheffield Hallam University*

---

# Project Report

<b>TITLE</b>	<b>THE DESIGN AND REALISATION OF AN FPGA BASED AUDIO PROCESSOR</b>
<b>STUDENT</b>	MIKE HUDSON 18022224
<b>PROJECT SUPERVISOR</b>	DR. JOHN HOLDING
<b>COURSE</b>	BENG HON ELECTRICAL AND ELECTRONIC ENGINEERING

([a8022224@shu.ac.uk](mailto:a8022224@shu.ac.uk))

---

9/05/2012

Mike Hudson

### **Preface**

This report describes project work carried out within the Engineering Programme at Sheffield Hallam University from October 2011 to April 2012.

The submission of the report is in accordance with the requirements for the award of the degree of “Bachelor of Electrical and Electronic Engineering with Honours” under the auspices of the University.

**Acknowledgements**

I would like to thank my supervisor Dr John Holding for his advice and guidance throughout the duration of this project. I would also like to thank every one who has helped me over at the Altera Internet forums.

## **Abstract**

This project report documents the use of a field programmable gate array (FPGA) for real-time processing of audio. The traditional software approach to digital signal processing often introduces an unacceptable delay between the audio input and the audio output (referred to as the total system latency). This can be problematic for applications that require real-time operation such as live processing of musical instruments. An FPGA design allows the same software routines to be implemented as hardware, therefore eliminating high system latency and potential unreliability.

Quartus II v11.0 and SOPC Builder have been used to design and create the VHDL code to be synthesised. The implementation of the VHDL design uses the DE2 development board from Altera which is based around a Cyclone EP2C35 FPGA device having 35000 logic elements.

Four audio effects were explored and implemented: echo, flanger, filter and reverb. External control to the FPGA was implemented using rotary encoders to change various effect parameters, and visual feedback been given through an LCD. The final design utilises a Nois II soft-core processor to form part of the user interface. The results show that the total system latency of the FPGA audio processor was considerably less than a computer software application: less than 1ms compared to 10ms.

The initial concept has been proven using a total of 11702 logic elements. There is much scope for development of the final project. Future work could focus of a more user-friendly system in terms of the user interface and also the creation of more advanced audio effects.

## Table of contents

<i>Preface</i> .....	<i>II</i>
<i>Acknowledgements</i> .....	<i>III</i>
<i>Abstract</i> .....	<i>IV</i>
<i>Table of contents</i> .....	<i>V</i>
<i>Nomenclature and abbreviations</i> .....	<i>VIII</i>
<i>Table of Figures</i> .....	<i>IX</i>
<b>1 Introduction</b> .....	<b>1</b>
1.1 Digital audio processing .....	1
1.2 Project aims and objectives .....	2
1.1 Previous works and current products .....	3
<b>2 Background and Theory</b> .....	<b>5</b>
2.1 Background.....	5
2.2 Digital audio theory .....	5
2.3 The building blocks of basic audio effects .....	7
2.4 Digital filter example.....	8
2.5 Conclusion.....	8
<b>3 Approach</b> .....	<b>10</b>
3.1 General .....	10
3.2 Selection of Tools and Software.....	10
<b>4 The Altera DE2 development board</b> .....	<b>12</b>
4.1 Features.....	12
<b>5 Altera Quartus II</b> .....	<b>14</b>
5.1 Introduction .....	14
5.2 SOPC Builder .....	14
<b>6 Interfacing Audio to the audio to the FPGA</b> .....	<b>16</b>
6.1 The Wolfson WM8781 audio ADC .....	16
6.2 Configuration.....	18
6.2.1 Sampling frequency .....	20
6.2.2 Register map.....	20

6.3	Serial/parallel conversion .....	21
6.1	Digital serial data stream .....	24
6.2	Summary.....	24
<b>7</b>	<b>Building a project library of Quartus block symbols.....</b>	<b>25</b>
7.1	Multiply .....	25
7.2	Sum.....	25
7.3	Mixer .....	26
7.4	Small delay-line in hardware .....	27
7.5	Summary.....	28
<b>8</b>	<b>Implementing a buffer in RAM .....</b>	<b>29</b>
8.1	Requirements.....	29
8.2	Choice of RAM device .....	29
8.3	SDRAM controller Core.....	29
8.4	Reading/writing to and from the SDRAM .....	30
8.5	RAM state machine .....	32
8.6	Implementation of a circular buffer.....	34
8.7	Multiple read pointers.....	36
8.8	Multiple buffer instances .....	36
8.9	Summary.....	37
<b>9</b>	<b>Echo effect .....</b>	<b>39</b>
9.1	Implementation.....	39
<b>10</b>	<b>Audio filter.....</b>	<b>41</b>
10.1	State variable filter .....	41
10.2	Discussion.....	43
<b>11</b>	<b>Flanger effect.....</b>	<b>44</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Reverberation effect.....</b>	<b>46</b>
12.1	A basic reverb .....	46
12.2	The all-pass filter .....	46
12.2.1	Multiple all-pass filters .....	48
12.3	Impulse response test.....	48
12.4	Comparison with a commercial software reverb.....	51
12.5	Summary.....	54

<b>13 Latency test.....</b>	<b>56</b>
13.1 Comparison with computer software.....	56
13.2 Summary.....	57
<b>14 User Interface.....</b>	<b>59</b>
14.1 Considerations .....	59
14.2 Quadrature rotary encoder .....	60
14.3 Interfacing the controls.....	62
14.4 Visual feedback .....	62
14.5 Discussion and summary .....	64
<b>15 Conclusion .....</b>	<b>65</b>
15.1 Further work .....	65
<b>16 References.....</b>	<b>67</b>
<b>17 Appendices.....</b>	<b>69</b>
17.1 Quartus II screenshots .....	69
17.2 Project photographs .....	70

### Nomenclature and abbreviations

ADC	Analogue to Digital Converter
AIFF	Audio Interchange File Format
CODEC	Refers to audio ADC/DAC device
DAC	Digital to Analogue Converter
DE2	Refers to the Altera Development and Education board
DSP	Digital Signal Processor
FIR	Finite Impulse Response
FPGA	Field Programmable Gate Array
HDL	Hardware Description Language
I <sup>2</sup> C	Inter-Integrated Circuit Serial Protocol
IC	Integrated Circuit
IDE	Integrated development Environment
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers
IP	Intellectual property
LSB	Least Significant Bit
MAC	Multiply Accumulate
MSB	Most Significant Bit
PISO	Parallel In Serial Out
PLL	Phase Locked Loop
SIPO	Serial In Parallel Out
SOPC	System On Programmable Chip
VHDL	VHSIC Hardware Description Language

## Table of Figures

Figure 2-1: (a) An analogue signal (b) Digitised PCM signal (c) Digitised PCM signal with fewer bits of precision (Katz. D & Gentile R, 2009) .....	6
Figure 2-2: Digital audio processor example- volume (Udo Zolzer.).....	6
Figure 2-3: Circular buffer concept.....	7
Figure 2-4: Parallel FPGA FIR filter structure (Maxfield. C, 2006) .....	8
Figure 4-1: The Altera DE2 inputs and outputs (Altera DE2 manual) .....	12
Figure 6-1: Audio codec schematic (Altera DE2 User manual) .....	17
Figure 6-2: left justified format (WM8781 datasheet).....	19
Figure 6-3: Master mode (WM8731 datasheet).....	20
Figure 6-4: WM8731 register map (WM8731 datasheet).....	21
Figure 6-5: Digital audio stream into the FPGA .....	22
Figure 6-6: Codec waveforms .....	23
Figure 6-7: Serial to parallel .....	23
Figure 6-8: Parallel to serial .....	23
Figure 6-9: Digital audio interfaced to the FPGA.....	24
Figure 7-1: Dry/wet ratio control of affected and original signal .....	26
Figure 7-2: Wet/dry ratio block symbol in Quartus .....	27
Figure 7-3: Delay-line simulation in Quartus simulator .....	28
Figure 8-1: SDRAM Controller with Avalon interface block diagram (Altera Embedded Peripherals IP User Guide).....	30
Figure 8-2: SOPC Builder custom component signals .....	31
Figure 8-3: Component connection to the SDRAM Controller in SOPC Builder .....	31
Figure 8-4: System top level connections in Quartus .....	31
Figure 8-5: Read and write waveforms for Avalon memory mapped master.....	32
Figure 8-6: State Machine for a RAM Buffer .....	32
Figure 8-7: SDRAM state machine connected to SOPC System.....	34
Figure 8-8: Problem with circular buffer .....	35
Figure 8-9: Multiple read pointers in the RAM state machine .....	36
Figure 8-10: Multiple SDRAM buffer components in SOPC Builder.....	37

Figure 9-1: Variable delay .....	39
Figure 9-2: Variable delay feedback .....	39
Figure 9-3: Delay effect symbol on top level in Quartus .....	40
Figure 10-1: State variable filter .....	41
Figure 10-2: Low pass filter on the DE2 ( $f_c = 500\text{hz}$ ).....	43
Figure 11-1: Flanger diagram in Matlab Simulink .....	44
Figure 11-2: Flanger effect on the DE2 .....	45
Figure 11-3: Original signal mixed with a ten sample delayed version.....	45
Figure 12-1: Comb filter .....	46
Figure 12-2: All-pass filter.....	47
Figure 12-3: An all-pass filter in Simulink .....	47
Figure 12-4: All-pass filter in Quartus II .....	47
Figure 12-5: Multiple series all-pass reverb.....	48
Figure 12-6: Sine wave logarithmic sweep test signal.....	49
Figure 12-7: One all-pass filter 20ms delay time and 0.7 gain .....	50
Figure 12-8: Three series all-pass filters on the DE2 (setting one).....	50
Figure 12-9: Three series all-pass filters on the DE2 (setting two) .....	51
Figure 12-10: Averb reverb in Logic Pro.....	52
Figure 12-11: Averb setting one .....	53
Figure 12-12: Averb setting two .....	53
Figure 13-1: Series effect chain .....	56
Figure 13-2: Latency test setup .....	56
Figure 13-3: DE2 total system latency at 48kHz .....	57
Figure 13-4: Logic Pro total system latency at 48khz.....	57
Figure 14-1: Quadrature encoder outputs .....	60
Figure 14-2: Quadrature encoder connections to the DE2 GPIO header.....	60
Figure 14-3: Simulation of quadrature encoder VHDL code.....	61
Figure 14-4: Construction of the rotary control box .....	61
Figure 14-5: Eight rotary controls and 10 LEDS .....	61
Figure 14-6: All eight encoder connected on the top level in Quartus .....	62
Figure 14-7: LCD when no effect has been selected .....	63

Figure 14-8: Reverb effect selected- placement of text corresponds to a rotary encoder	64
Figure 17-1: Control box.....	70
Figure 17-2: Control input via GPIO on DE2 board.....	70
Figure 17-3: Testing the audio effects .....	71
Figure 17-4: Apple's impulse response utility and the Logic Pro computer software.....	71



## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Digital audio processing

Digital audio processing offers a huge amount of flexibility and an almost infinite amount of possibility to manipulate and process audio in a way that would not be possible using analogue techniques. In the last two decades or so, the music studio has evolved drastically from consisting of predominantly analogue equipment to nearly all digital equipment, based around a computer or ‘digital audio workstation’. A traditional recording studio would have several rooms full of analogue hardware, mixers, effects units and huge multi-track recorders using magnetic tape. An enthusiastic technician may spend days going through their huge repository of equipment to find the perfect reverb effect for a recording.

With the development of digital signal processing (DSP) and computer processing power increasing at an exponential rate, it has become possible to have all those analogue reverb units and amplifiers emulated in software on even the most modest of personal computers using the click of a computer mouse to audition each of them. The introduction of Steinberg’s Virtual Studio Technology (VST) in 1996 played a key role in allowing this to happen.

This significant development has paved way for completely new instruments and made musicians and technicians look at making music in a different way spawning hundreds of new music genres. The last decade of music provides a good (audible) example of how the development of technology directly influences music.

The underlying concepts and theory of DSP may not have changed much, but the technology on which they are implemented continues to develop at a phenomenal rate. The change in embedded multimedia processing technology has demonstrated (especially in the last decade) how fast the technology is moving. However, as the need for higher speed, lower cost and smaller size increases, demanding digital processing applications are beginning to see the limitations of traditional sequential instruction DSP processors.

Although not new technology, FPGAs are starting to be used more and more to overcome the limitations of general purpose DSPs in applications where low latency is critical.

## 1.2 Project aims and objectives

The aim of this project is to create a real-time, digital audio processor using an FPGA to overcome the problems with latency experienced with traditional computer software. Along the way, comparisons will be made, discussing advantages and disadvantages of related technology and development tools.

The initial requirements and proposed outcomes were established:

- A minimum of 44.1kHz with minimum 16 bit sample depth
- Real-time line in and out audio
- A platform which can be used to further develop and implement audio processing effects
- A selection of digital audio effects
- User controllable parameters via a software interface- preferably in real time
- Re-routable effects
- User feedback of parameters and selections

The project will be targeted at CD quality audio: two channel stereo, with a sample rate of 44.1kHz and bit depth of 16 bits. The quality should also be acceptable for the processing of an electric guitar audio signal. For audio processing of instruments and voice, a real-time response of the audio processor is desired in order to minimise and potentially completely eradicate perceivable delay between the input and the output signals. A typical delay value (also referred to as system latency) on a computer software implementation of an audio processor may be in the region of around 10ms. Therefore an acceptable result would be a latency of less than 10ms of latency on an

Mike Hudson

FPGA.

A short-list of effects was created. Each of these effects require a different functionality in a digital processing system and would also demonstrate a degree of flexibility in terms of what can be created. In other words, the choices of effects were carefully chosen in order to prove a concept. The idea being, that if these effects can be successfully implemented then almost any audio effect can be created.

The proposed digital audio effects:

- Echo – requires a relatively large buffer size (at least one second)
- Flanger – requires the ability to modulate a delay value in real-time
- Filter – requires only very small fixed sample delays
- Reverb – requires multiple buffer instances and read pointers

The effects should be selectable and parameters of each audio effect should be controllable via user input.

### 1.1 Previous works and current products

Recent years has seen an increase in the use of FPGAs being used for high audio equipment that require truly parallel operations on multiple channels whilst maintaining ultra low latency. An example of this is Euphonix choice to use the Altera Cyclone FPGAs in their high-end audio mixing consoles.

There have been a number previous projects regarding audio processing on an FPGA. Khan et al. (2010) demonstrated their FPGA based design of an I<sup>2</sup>C controller for fetching sound from the audio codec on-board the Altera DE2 development board. This alone can be quite a time consuming task and requires a more in depth knowledge of I<sup>2</sup>C and simulation options without the use of any existing IP proving how valuable IP blocks are to the designer to realise trivial functions.

## Mike Hudson

T. Kaczmarczyk et al. (2010) explores further the possibilities of creating a well finished and useable project with their winning design for the Swedish Embedded Award for 2010 and proves it is possible to realise a complete guitar multi-effect chain on a single FPGA chip: a project they claim could ‘potentially disrupt the market’. Results are impressive with overall system latency kept under one sample at 48kHz. A NIOS soft-core processor has been utilised to provide a rich graphical animated VGA interface comparable to current products on the market such as Native Instruments Guitarrig.

## 2 Background and Theory

### 2.1 Background

The need to create faster and smaller devices whilst also maintaining a low cost continues. The most common solution for increasing performance in a DSP would be to run at a higher clock speed. However, even with high-clock rates, two MAC units and modified bus architecture, there is a maximum level of performance that can be achieved (Maxfield. C, 2006)

Audio applications require absolute minimum system latency especially for equipment used in a live environment or recording studio; it needs to be as close to real time as possible. Cascaded digital audio effect chains can introduce unacceptable delays, which can be very disruptive (any delay over 10 milliseconds would be deemed unacceptable) to the recording artist or technician.


An FPGA DSP implementation offers many advantages over the traditional software approach:

- True hardware
- Highly flexible
- True parallel processing of DSP operations
- Reliable
- Higher system level integration
- Ability to emulate an embedded microprocessor for maximum flexibility

### 2.2 Digital audio theory


Generally, the first stage of Digitally processing audio is to convert the analogue audio signal to a digital representation using an analogue to digital converter (ADC). The digital signal processing is then carried out before being fed into a digital to analogue converter (DAC) to create an analogue signal from the digital one. The ADC and DAC are most commonly offered as one IC package, referred to in this report and the majority

of literature as an audio Codec. The ADC ‘samples’ the amplitude of the analogue signal at even points in time, at a rate that obeys Nyquist’s sampling theorem, referred to as the sample rate or ‘ $f_s$ ’. The value of the amplitude is represented with a binary value (PCM) typically, for audio, anywhere from 16 to 24 bits in length. In general, the higher the bit depth, the more precision and lower quantisation error and noise a signal will have. Figure 2-1 shows how precision can be lost with a smaller bit length.



**Figure 2-1: (a) An analogue signal (b) Digitised PCM signal (c) Digitised PCM signal with fewer bits of precision (Katz. D & Gentile R, 2009)**

The most basic of effects, a volume control can be realised by multiplying each digital sample value by a value less than one. Since most digital audio sample data is represented as fractional twos-complement format, the values range from -1 to +1 multiplying by any number less than unity will remain in range -1 to +1. The result from Figure 2-2 would be an output signal half the amplitude of the input.



**Figure 2-2: Digital audio processor example- volume (Udo Zolzer.)**


### 2.3 The building blocks of basic audio effects

Digital signal processing routines consist of repetitive multiplication and summation (referred to as multiply accumulate or MAC) of multiple samples delayed at different points in time, which is usually given in terms of the sample rate. In order to realise some common digital audio effects, these three main components need to be established:

- Variable delay line
- Multiplier
- Accumulator

These fundamental operations are arranged in various structures to obtain the desired effect on the output.

The variable delay line would typically be some form of RAM buffer that stores a fixed amount of previous sampled values. The RAM will need to be implemented as a circular buffer- when the write pointer reaches the end of the specified buffer length it goes back to the beginning and overwrites the oldest sample. Many DSP routines require multiple samples of a signal simultaneously but all at different delayed points in time. This is effectively realised by having multiple read pointers whose locations can be specified by the designer, usually with reference to the write pointer. This concept can be visualised in Figure 2-3 where the read pointer is lagging the write pointer.




**Figure 2-3: Circular buffer concept**

The multiplier and accumulator are relatively straightforward to implement. However, the choice of floating point or fixed-point arithmetic needs to be established from the start.

#### 2.4 Digital filter example

A common operation in digital processing is the digital filter. Figure 2-4 demonstrates how an FIR filter could be represented in hardware, at register level. Each sample word is clocked through the registers. Each sample from  $x$  to  $x-n$  ( $x$  being the input sample and  $x-n$  being a previous input sample) are multiplied by a coefficient and accumulated at the summing point (multiply-accumulate or ‘MAC’). The coefficients define the specification of the filter whilst the amount of coefficient multipliers (aka ‘taps’) directly determines the filter order. For an IIR filter, the diagram could be modified to include delayed versions of the output are also added at the summing point.



Mike Hudson

The nature of an FPGA allows for straightforward implementation of many digital processing structures that require only relatively small signal delay such as the one illustrated in Figure 2-4. For larger delay values a dedicated RAM device will be required.

The use of FPGA design allows the user to review the design at multiple levels of abstraction for maximum learning potential and possibly a more intuitive design process.

The personal computer has always been the dominant platform for running audio processing software on, mainly because of the standardised and well-established hardware and operating systems. However, as embedded technology matures, audio processing may be able to move away from the potentially unreliable standard computer and move towards a form of dedicated, reconfigurable platform that can do, or ‘be’ anything you want it to.

### 3 Approach

#### 3.1 General

The general approach to the project consisted of the following phases:

1. Literature review
2. Choosing the tools and software
3. Learning how to use the tools and software
4. Review of related data-sheets and manufacturer documentation
5. Creation of a basic audio in/out system
6. Creation of the basic building blocks of digital processing
7. Reviewing the theory of desired audio effects
8. Implementation of effects
9. Testing, results and conclusions

The research stage of the project consisted of:

- Review of previous work and current products
- Evaluation of available tools and software
- Reading theory of signal processing
- Reading/reviewing manufacture data sheets of selected tools
- Reinforcing my understanding/ trying out theory in Matlab Simulink

Once the FPGA development board was chosen the key aspect of making the project a success was to get to develop a good working knowledge of the associated tools and software.

#### 3.2 Selection of Tools and Software

The choice of tools and software was carefully considered. The main requirements for the FPGA development board:

- IO expansion
- On-board audio ADC/DAC
- LCD Display
- User input (switches)
- On-board RAM
- Well documented

The choice of the FPGA device was between the two main manufacturers of FGPAs - Xilinx and Altera. Rather than considering the specification of individual FPGA devices for the suitability of this project, the features and specification of FPGA development boards, as a whole, were reviewed. It was assumed that a relatively recent FPGA would easily have enough logic elements to fulfil the aims of this project. It was decided that good documentation and tutorial material and support from the manufacturer would be vital to the success of this project. Both Xilinx and Altera provide free design software and comprehensive guides and reference designs to get started.

The Altys Spartan-6 (Xilinx FPGA) and the Altera DE2 development board both met the requirements for this project offering all relevant peripherals and features. However, due to familiarity with the Altera Quartus II software package an Altera device was preferred. The choice of development board was narrowed down to two similar boards from Altera, the DE1 and the DE2 both based around a Cyclone II FPGA. Essentially, the DE1 appears to be a slightly downgraded version of the DE2 in terms of on board peripherals.

The Altera DE2 development board was chosen due to it meeting all requirements and being readily available. However, if this was not the case, the DE1 board may have been a more cost effective option at less than half the price of the DE2.

## 4 The Altera DE2 development board

### 4.1 Features

The DE2 development board is intended to target the educational market and offers a wide range of features suitable for many different kinds of projects. Features that are of interest to this project include:

- Altera Cyclone II 2C35 FPGA device with 33000 logic elements
- 512-Kbyte SRAM
- 8-Mbyte SDRAM
- 4 pushbutton switches
- 18 toggle switches
- 18 red user LEDs
- 9 green user LEDs
- 50-MHz oscillator and 27-MHz oscillator for clock sources
- 24-bit CD-quality audio CODEC with line-in, line-out, and microphone-in jacks
- Two 40-pin Expansion Headers with diode protection

Figure 4-1 gives an overview of the readily available peripherals on the DE2 board




Figure 4-1: The Altera DE2 inputs and outputs (Altera DE2 manual)

Mike Hudson

The DE2 board features a Wolfson WM8781 24-bit sigma-delta audio ADC/DAC designed for consumer audio applications. Throughout this report, the term ‘audio codec’ will be used in reference to the Wolfson WM8781 – a single device that has an ADC and DAC running off the same clock.

These features appear to satisfy the requirements of the project, established in section 2.5.

## 5 Altera Quartus II

### 5.1 Introduction

Altera Quartus II is the software used to create projects for analysis and synthesis of HDL designs. It includes several tools and features to help with HDL design including:

- VHDL and Verilog HDL input
- Block schematic entry
- Pin assignment editor
- Programmer tool
- Megafunctions IP design blocks
- SOPC Builder

Projects can consist of a mixture of Verilog and VHDL hardware description language. For this project, VHDL will be used for all HDL input due to familiarity with this language. However, some pre-made design blocks such as the Audio and Video Core used to configure the audio ADC will generate Verilog code. Altera Quartus II comes with a range of building blocks of pre-made HDL design blocks referred to as IP core. It is proposed that IP core be used in this project for realising trivial tasks and functions such as configuration of peripherals which could be very time consuming to design and test in HDL from scratch.

The free ‘web edition’ version of the Quartus II v11.0 software is used throughout this project.

### 5.2 SOPC Builder

The SOPC (System On Programmable Chip) builder is a development tool that comes packaged with the Altera Quartus II software. SOPC builder has a library of common components and intellectual property including memory controllers, interfaces and peripherals. Components are to be chosen from the library and are automatically connected through the Avalon bus interconnect fabric. Custom components can be

## Mike Hudson

made and saved to the library to be instantiated anywhere in the project. A SOPC builder component is described by a .tcl file, which describes its properties and interface behaviours as well as associated HDL and available signals on the Avalon bus. The VHDL is generated from the SOPC builder and integrated into the project by connecting it on the top level either in HDL or using a schematic file.

## 6 Interfacing Audio to the audio to the FPGA

### 6.1 The Wolfson WM8781 audio ADC

This section introduces the on-board audio codec and gives an overview of its configuration and its various modes of operation.


The default mode of the codec is an internal signal loop-back, which connects the audio input signal to the audio output. The codec features stereo line in and out as well as mono microphone level audio input. Its features include:

- Standard sampling frequencies of 8, 32, 44.1, 48, 88.2 and 96kHz
- I<sup>2</sup>C serial control interface
- Four serial interface modes
- 16, 20, 24 and 32 bit word lengths
- Master or slave clocking modes

The codec will easily provide adequate functionality for this project in terms of audio quality (sample rate and bit depth) and also interfacing requirements. The codec uses an I<sup>2</sup>C bus for configuration which is a standard protocol for communication between digital devices which reside on the same circuit board.

The Altera DE2 user manual provides full schematic diagrams of all its peripherals connected to the FPGA.

Figure 6-1 shows how the WM8781 chip is physically connected to the FPGA and a description of the signals are given in Table 6.1.



**Figure 6-1: Audio codec schematic (Altera DE2 User manual)**

This allowed for a better understanding of how the codec device is interfaced to the FPGA and which signals are available in the FPGA. For example, the lineout signals from the codec have been omitted in the design of the DE2 and it can be seen from Figure 6-1 that the headphone output is used instead. The figure also confirms that the line in and line out are stereo (two channels) whilst the mic in is mono (one channel). The former will be used for this project.

Table 6.1 shows the description of the signals in Figure 6-1.

Signal name	FPGA pin no.	Description
AUD_ADCLRck	PIN_C5	Audio CODEC ADC LR Clock
AUD_ADCDAT	PIN_B5	Audio CODEC ADC Data
AUD_DACLRCK	PIN_C6	Audio CODEC DAC LR Clock
AUD_DACDAT	PIN_A4	Audio CODEC DAC Data
AUD_XCK	PIN_A5	Audio CODEC Chip Clock
AUD_BCLK	PIN_B4	Audio CODEC Bit-stream Clock
I2C_SCLK	PIN_A6	I2C Data
I2C_SDAT	PIN_B6	I2C Clock

**Table 6.1: WM8781 pins (sourced from the DE2 manual)**

## 6.2 Configuration


The codec is configured via a serial I<sup>2</sup>C controller, which is automatically generated when instantiating the ‘Audio and Video Config’ IP core in the SOPC Builder. A number of different modes and options are available and are selected by writing to the appropriate register on the codec and will be discussed in this section.

The digital audio data is interfaced to the FPGA through the digital serial audio interface. Audio left and right data channels are multiplexed to form the serial stream of data with reference to the bit clock and the channel clock (see Figure 6-2). The audio interface mode describes how the serial audio data stream is framed relative to the bit clock (named BCLK) and the DAC/ADC channel clock (named DACLRCK and ADCLRCK respectively). The three available standards are:

- Left justified
- Right justified
- I2S (Inter-IC Sound Bus)

For the scope of this project, the choice of interface mode is not too critical since there are no compatibility requirements for example, interfacing with other devices or


software. However, left-justified mode was chosen due to it being word length independent and therefore easily allowing the word length to be changed at a later point if required. Figure 6-2 shows how the channel clock is used to frame the left and right words on the serial bit stream with each bit being clocked on the falling edge of the bit clock. When the channel clock goes high, the serial data contains the left channel data with the MSB aligned to the left.



**Figure 6-2: left justified format (WM8781 datasheet)**

The WM8731 provides two independent channel clocks for DAC and the ADC, which allows both to operate with different sampling frequencies. However, sampling frequencies will be the same for the line-in and line-out for this project. Therefore the same channel clock can be used for both the DAC and ADC. The channel clock operates at sampling frequency ( $f_s$ ). The bit clock is derived from the sampling frequency and the word length. For example, a sampling frequency of 48kHz and a word length of 16 bits requires a minimum bit clock value of  $2 \times 16 \times 48000 = 1.536\text{MHz}$ .

Timing for the bit clock and channel clock can either be obtained from the codec itself (master mode) or provided externally (slave mode). Master mode was chosen to configure the serial interface clocks as inputs to the FPGA as shown in Figure 6-3. These clocks are used to convert the serial stream to left and right channel parallel words for processing and then back to serial for the DAC.



**Figure 6-3: Master mode (WM8731 datasheet)**

### 6.2.1 Sampling frequency

The desired sampling frequency was chosen by providing the appropriate master clock (MCLK) frequency to the codec. This provides a reference clock to which all audio data processing is synchronised. The source of the master clock can either be from the provided crystal oscillator or from an external clock (the FPGA). This master clock provides a reference to which all audio data processing is synchronised. To be able to control the sample rate by selecting the master clock frequency, the codec must be in ‘normal mode’ (as opposed to USB mode which can only use a 12MHz clock). From the WM8731 datasheet, a master clock frequency of 12.288MHz is needed to obtain a sampling frequency of 48kHz for the DAC and ADC. This was provided from the FPGA by utilising the PLL mega-function in Altera Quartus and the DE2 50MHz crystal as the reference.

### 6.2.2 Register map

Figure 6-4 shows the complete register map for WM8731 audio Codec as given in the datasheet. There are eleven registers in total, each having nine bits per register (note: there is a misprint in the datasheet where ‘R3’ is labelled as ‘R1’). Several different features of the codec can be utilised through its I<sup>2</sup>C interface such as volume control and different filtering options. These extra options will be left at their default values (as given from the audio and video IP-core) since all that is needed is the digitised audio and

any further processing would be done on the FPGA itself. Table 6.2 shows the actual values used to configure the codec.

REGISTER	BIT[8]	BIT[7]	BIT[6]	BIT[5]	BIT[4]	BIT[3]	BIT[2]	BIT[1]	BIT[0]	DEFAULT				
R0 (00h) Left Line In	LRINBOTH	LINMUTE	0	0		LINVOL[4:0]				0_1001_0111				
R1 (01h) Right Line In	RLINBOTH	RINMUTE	0	0		RINVOL[4:0]				0_1001_0111				
R2 (02h) Left Headphone Out	LRHPBOTH	LZCEN			LHPVOL[6:0]									
R1 (01h) Right Headphone Out	RLHPBOTH	RZCEN			RHPVOL[6:0]									
R4 (04h) Analogue Audio Path Control	0	SIDEATT[1:0]		SIDETONE	DACSEL	BYPASS	INSEL	MUTEMIC	MICBOOST	0_0000_1010				
R5 (05h) Digital Audio Path Control	0	0	0	0	HPOR	DACMU	DEEMPH[1:0]		ADCHPD	0_0000_1000				
R6 (06h) Power Down Control	0	POWEROFF	CLKOUTPD	OSCPD	OUTPD	DACPD	ADCPD	MICPD	LINEINPD	0_1001_1111				
R7 (07h) Digital Audio Interface Format	0	BCLKINV	MS	LRSWAP	LRP	IWL[1:0]		FORMAT[1:0]		0_1001_1111				
R8 (08h) Sampling Control	0	CLKODIV2	CLKIDIV2		SR[3:0]			BOSR	USB/NORMAL	0_0000_0000				
R9 (09h) Active Control	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Active	0_0000_0000				
R15 (0Fh) Reset					RESET[8:0]									

Figure 6-4: WM8731 register map (WM8731 datasheet)

Register	Description	Binary value	HEX value
R7 (7'h07)	digital audio interface format	0100 0001	9'h041


Table 6.2: WM8781 modified register values

Table 6.2 shows the modified bits in register seven (the highlighted row in Figure 6-4). For example, bit six enables ‘master mode’ as described towards the end of section 6.2 (configuration).

### 6.3 Serial/parallel conversion


The ADC (ADC\_DAT) data comes into the FPGA as a channel multiplexed serial stream, which needs to be de-serialised into N bit, sized words for the left and right channel. A ‘SIPO’ (Serial In Parallel Out) and ‘PISO’ (parallel In Serial Out) entity was created in VHDL and simulated using Altera Qsim to prove their functionality. Figure 6-7 and Figure 6-8 show the simulation waveforms of the parallel/serial converters.

Another symbol block was created (Figure 6-5) from the serial and parallel converter entities to create one simple parallel out/in interface.




**Figure 6-5: Digital audio stream into the FPGA**


Figure 6-6 shows the actual clock waveforms of the configured Codec using the SignalTap logic analyser in Altera Quartus. To check the correct configuration of the codec, the actual frequencies of the sample clock and bit clock were measured by dividing 50MHz (system clock) by the amount of system cycles for one period. For example  $50\text{E}6/1024 = 48.83\text{kHz}$  for actual sampling frequency and  $50\text{E}6/16 = 3.125\text{MHz}$  for the bit clock. This is as expected however; the sampling frequency is slightly more than 48kHz because the Codec master clock was provided with 12.5MHz rather than 12.288MHz since this was the closest divisible value from the PLL using a 50MHz input.



**Figure 6-6: Codec waveforms**



**Figure 6-7: Serial to parallel**



**Figure 6-8: Parallel to serial**

### 6.1 Digital serial data stream

To test the correct configuration of the audio Codec, a simple audio in/out loopback was created in VHDL.

*DAC\_DAT <= ADC\_DAT;*

An audio source was connected to the line-in which gave acceptable results when monitored on the audio line-out. To confirm the audio data stream was coming into the FPGA and not just looping back in the ADC, one channel was disconnected in the top level Quartus block schematic file (Figure 6-5). This was re-compiled and loaded onto the FPGA. Only the connected channel was audible on the line output, confirming the digital data is being routed into the FPGA.

### 6.2 Summary

At this point, the system can be illustrated by the block diagram in Figure 6-9. Once this configuration was established and working correctly, the next stage was to implement the digital effects to go in the ‘audio effects’ block. In terms of design, this is the first major step completed.




Figure 6-9: Digital audio interfaced to the FPGA

## 7 Building a project library of Quartus block symbols

A project library of reusable blocks was built up throughout the length of this project, starting with the basic building blocks as discussed in section 8.2.

### 7.1 Multiply

A general purpose multiply function was implemented in VHDL and its symbol added to the library. The multiplier was tested on an audio VU meter to ensure a maximum gain of unity when the 7-bit gain control was at its full value of 127. Code extract 7-1 shows the VHDL architecture for the multiply function.

```
1 begin
2
3 product <= a_in_reg * ("0") & g_val & ("1111111");
4 y_out <= product(31) & product(29 downto 15);
5
6 -- sync a_in with system clock before multiplication
7 process (clk, reset)
8 begin
9     if (reset='0') then
10         a_in_reg <= (others => '0');
11     elsif rising_edge(clk) then
12         a_in_reg <= a_in;
13     end if;
14 end process;
15
16
17 end architecture beh;
```

Code extract 7-1

### 7.2 Sum

The samples were converted to fractional form using the fixed package library (see line 1 & 2 of VHDL Code extract 7-2). This allowed for straightforward addition of two sample values represented as logic vectors.

```
1 library IEEE_PROPOSED;
2 use IEEE_PROPOSED.FIXED_PKG.ALL;
3
4 begin
5     --convert to fractional form bits negative indexes
```

```


6      a <= to_sfixed(a_in,0,-15);
7      b <= to_sfixed(b_in,0, -15);
8
9      y <= a + b;
10
11     y_out <= to_slv(y(0 downto -15)); --convert back to logic vector
12
13 end architecture;

```


**Code extract 7-2**

### 7.3 Mixer

A mixer was implemented from the previously designed multiply and sum blocks in order to control the ratio of the original signal to the affected signal (Figure 7-1). Figure 7-2 shows the implemented mixer design as a reusable Quartus block symbol.



**Figure 7-1: Dry/wet ratio control of affected and original signal**

**Figure 7-2: Wet/dry ratio block symbol in Quartus**

#### 7.4 Small delay-line in hardware

For processing that just requires a sample delay of a few samples it was considered a waste of resources to use a dedicated buffer. Instead, a hardware delay-line was created in VHDL, which consists of a series of registers clocked by the sample frequency. The simulation in Figure 7-3 shows the delayed outputs from the first four registers. Code extract 7-3 shows how an array of clock registers were be created in VHDL using a ‘for’ loop.

```

1  type buffer_array is array(0 to 128) of std_logic_vector(15 downto 0);
2  signal tap: buffer_array;
3  begin
4  process(ch_clk) is
5      begin
6          if rising_edge(ch_clk) then
7              tap(0) <= ch1_in;
8              for i in 1 to 128 loop
9                  tap(i) <= tap(i-1);
10                 end loop;
11             end if;
12         end process;
13         ch1_out <= tap(0);
14         ch1_out_delay1 <= tap(1);
15         ch1_out_delay2 <= tap(2);
16         ch1_out_delay3 <= tap(3);
17         ch1_out_delay4 <= tap(4);

```

**Code extract 7-3**




Figure 7-3: Delay-line simulation in Quartus simulator

## 7.5 Summary

- Building up a custom library of components and instantiating them multiple times in a hierarchical structure is a valuable aspect of system design
- Instantiating custom library components in a hierarchical structure is very useful
- The use of the fixed package for the ‘sum block’ may have not been the best option since it cannot be readily synthesised for simulation and is not a standard IEEE library. The standard logic signed package may have been a better option
- For the multiplication of a sample with an arbitrary value, the sample needs to be synchronised to the system clock edge. This is not necessary when multiplying with a fixed constant
- The FPGA logic elements get used up quickly when large hardware delay lines are created. For delays of more than 100 or so samples the dedicated RAM will be used

## 8 Implementing a buffer in RAM

### 8.1 Requirements

The requirements for the buffer are as follows:

- Must be able to seamlessly ‘wrap around’ to implement a circular buffer
- At least one second in length
- Must be able to have multiple read pointers
- The ability to modulate read pointer values in real time
- The ability to run multiple, independent circular buffers simultaneously


The buffer length only needs to be one or two seconds at the most. A reverb effect typically requires less than 80ms delay whilst an echo would be anything between 80ms up to a few seconds. A buffer of one second in length at a sampling rate of 48kHz at 16 bit for both left and right channels would require 192000 bytes ( $48000 * 32/8$ ).

### 8.2 Choice of RAM device

The DE2 has an 8MB SDRAM chip and a 512Kb SRAM chip. Both devices were considered suitable for this project. The general advantages of the SRAM chip is that it would usually be a lot easier to implement and also would inherently be faster than the SDRAM. However, since the RAM was to be written to and read from through the Avalon interface, the advantages were considered negligible.

### 8.3 SDRAM controller Core

The SDRAM Controller core provides a byte-addressable interface to the external SDRAM chip on the DE2 board and handles all protocol requirements. The control core is instantiated from within SOPC builder and is connected into the Avalon bus as a memory mapped slave device. Figure 8-1 illustrates how the RAM controller core effectively sits between the Avalon memory mapped slave port and the RAM chip.



**Figure 8-1: SDRAM Controller with Avalon interface block diagram (Altera Embedded Peripherals IP User Guide)**

Figure 8-1 also shows two separate PLL generated clocks to drive the SDRAM chip and the controller core. Due to the physical characteristics and PCB layout of the DE2 board the SDRAM chip requires a phase shift of -3ns with reference to the system clock to compensate for the clock lag. The -3ns applies to the DE2 board only and would probably need to be altered for other boards or devices.

#### 8.4 Reading/writing to and from the SDRAM

In order to access the Avalon memory mapped slave port provided by the SDRAM controller core, a memory mapped master component was created in SOPC builder. The component wizard was used to create a custom (.TCL) component for use in SOPC builder. An Avalon memory mapped interface was added to the component along with a clock and reset. All necessary signals were added to the component to create the interface to the RAM controller. These signals are given on the top level of the generated SOPC system and will be used in a VHDL state machine to access the RAM. See Figure 8-2.

Name	Interface	Signal Type	Width	Direction
clk	clock_reset	clk	1	input
reset	clock_reset_reset	reset_n	1	input
address	avalon_master	address	32	output
read	avalon_master	read	1	output
readdata	avalon_master	readdata	32	input
waitrequest	avalon_master	waitrequest	1	input
write	avalon_master	write	1	output
writedata	avalon_master	writedata	32	output

Figure 8-2: SOPC Builder custom component signals

The newly created component, named ‘sdrambuffer\_0’ was then connected to the SDRAM controller named ‘sdram\_0’. See Figure 8-3.




Figure 8-3: Component connection to the SDRAM Controller in SOPC Builder

The SOPC system was generated as VHDL code and connected up in the top level of Quartus. The top right of Figure 8-4 shows the signal connections to the external SDRAM chip and the bottom left and right show the signals that will be connected to a RAM state machine.






Figure 8-4: System top level connections in Quartus

### 8.5 RAM state machine

A state machine (Figure 8-6) was implemented in VHDL to write/read to and from the Avalon memory mapped master component. The component editor gives an example of the read and write waveforms (Figure 8-5). For example, to write to the SDRAM the write signal is asserted and proceed with write when the ‘waitrequest’ signal is zero.



**Figure 8-5: Read and write waveforms for Avalon memory mapped master**



**Figure 8-6: State Machine for a RAM Buffer**

Source State	Destination State	Condition
idle	write	rising edge of sample clk
write	read	waitrequest = 0
read	idle	waitrequest = 0

**Table 8.1: RAM state machine conditions**

The left and right audio channels were combined into one 32 bit word defined as a logic vector in VHDL. The read and writes to the RAM were done 32 bits at a time which requires the write pointer to increment by 4 on every sample since every address location the RAM contains a byte. This was initially tested with the read pointer lagging one sample behind the write pointer. This is shown in the VHDL Code extract 8-1.


```

1   -----increase write pointer index-----
2       if (inc_write_pntr = '1') then
3           write_pntr <= write_pntr + 4;
4       end if;
5
6
7   -----increase read pointer index-----
8       if (inc_read_pntr = '1') then
9           read_pntr <= read_pntr - 4;
10      end if;

```

**Code extract 8-1**

The code was synthesised and tested on the DE2 board with an audio source connected to the input whilst the output was monitored through speakers. Results were successful and audio could be heard on the line out. This test confirmed that the audio samples were successfully writing to the SDRAM then being read out and sent through the codec and to the line out port of the DE2. Figure 8-7 shows the RAM state machine as a block symbol connected to the SOPC system. Now all that was required was to modify the state machine to implement the function of a circular buffer.



**Figure 8-7: SDRAM state machine connected to SOPC System**

## 8.6 Implementation of a circular buffer

With the basic read and write functionality to the RAM working, the state machine was modified to implement a circular buffer. Another ‘if statement’ was added to the original code to check, on every increment, if the pointer had reached the size of the buffer and if so then reset the pointer back to the beginning (defined as the base\_address). Code extract 8-2 shows the modified VHDL that implements this functionality.

```

1  -----increase write pointer index
2      if (inc_write_pntr = '1') then
3          if (write_pntr = buff_size) then --wrap round
4              write_pntr <= base_address;
5          else
6              write_pntr <= write_pntr + 4; -- increase as normal
7          end if;
8      end if;


```

**Code extract 8-2**

This basic method of increasing the read and write pointers presented a few problems:

- White noise when resetting or powering up the DE2
- Periodic white noise when the read pointer lags by more than several samples
- Moving the sample delay whilst it is running gives pops and crackles

Every time the write pointer resets back to the base address, the read pointer cannot be properly calculated (cannot be a minus number) from the write pointer and this continues for the length of the specified lag time of the read pointer. This resulted in white noise for the length of the samples between the write and read pointer at every new cycle of the buffer. Figure 8-8 attempts to demonstrate this. The shaded area illustrates the ‘dead zone’. This continues until the read pointer’s value is positive.



**Figure 8-8: Problem with circular buffer**

The problem was rectified by implementing some further logic in the form of ‘if statements’ on every increase of the read pointer only. When a request to increase the read pointer is made by the state machine, the code will test if the read pointer is more than the write pointer. If true, this means the write pointer has reset (wrapped back round) and so instead of obtaining the read pointer value from the usual subtraction, it is increased by 4 until it reaches the end of the buffer where its value will then be calculated as normal again. The other modification to the initial code was to wait until the write pointer was ahead of the read pointer by the delay amount. This allowed the read pointer delay value to be modulated by external means, which is necessary to implement various audio effects such as phasing and chorus type effects. The extra if statements in the code below eliminated these problems.

```

1 -----increase read pointer index-----
2     if (inc_read_pntr = '1') then --write pntr has reset
3         if (read_pntr > write_pntr) then
4             read_pntr <= read_pntr + 4;
5         if (read_pntr >= buff_size) then -- wrap round

```

```

6           read_pntr <= conv_std_logic_vector(base_addr, 32);
7       end if;
8
9   elsif (write_pntr >= (base_addr +sample_delay) then
10      read_pntr <= (write_pntr - 4 *(sample_delay));
11   else
12      read_pntr <= write_pntr;
13   end if;

```

Code extract 8-3

### 8.7 Multiple read pointers

Figure 8-9 illustrates how additional read pointers may be easily be added to the state machine code. The location of the taps, relative to the write-pointer, can be changed independently. Values of the taps are read out sequentially since a new state has to be added to the state machine for every read pointer (tap).




Figure 8-9: Multiple read pointers in the RAM state machine

### 8.8 Multiple buffer instances

Some audio effects (a reverb effect for example) may require multiple, independent buffers and each with multiple taps. Using the Avalon bus for read and write operations to and from the SDRAM makes it very easy to perform multiple, simultaneous read and writes to the SDRAM. The custom Avalon memory mapped component ('sdrambuffer' previously created) can be instantiated multiple times and all can be connected up to the same SDRAM controller in SOPC Builder (Figure 8-4). Each instance of the

‘sdrambuffer’ component has its own state machine writing and reading to its own allocated section of memory using a different range of addresses.



**Figure 8-10: Multiple SDRAM buffer components in SOPC Builder**

As established in section 1.2, the length of the buffer needed to be a minimum of one second. The buffer delay was to be controlled by a seven bit value whose maximum value is 127. The resolution of the delay value was initially 10ms to obtain a maximum buffer size of 1.27 seconds. This requires a buffer size of 245760 bytes (128 \* 1920).

The VHDL code in Code extract 8-4 shows how the first buffer base address and size is declared. The second buffer starts at one address location after the end of the previous buffer

```

1      signal base_addr: integer:=0; -- base address of sdram
2      signal buff_size: integer:=245760 + base_addr ; --size of circular buffer

```

**Code extract 8-4**

## 8.9 Summary

- Using the Avalon interface for multiple read and write to memory makes design a lot more straightforward.

## Mike Hudson

- This may not be the best method of creating a variable delay. There is a noticeable ‘zipping’ sound when the read pointer is varied by a considerable amount. A better solution may be to interpolate between samples to create smoother transition.
- Using IP (for example the SDRAM controller core) greatly speeds up the design process.

## 9 Echo effect

### 9.1 Implementation

A delay effect was first realised using a buffer instance with a read pointer whose distance from the read pointer determines the delay. The delayed signal was then mixed with the original non-delayed version. See Figure 9-1




Figure 9-1: Variable delay

To create the echo effect, the delay was added into a feedback path and scaled by a multiply block to create a decaying series of the delay (Figure 9-2).





Figure 9-2: Variable delay feedback



**Figure 9-3: Delay effect symbol on top level in Quartus**

The final delay effect gives two independently controlled feedback delays. The first one is as Figure 9-2 describes and the second has an adjustable filter in the feedback path, which gives an interesting variation on the standard echo effect.


## 10 Audio filter

Initially, the filter design and analysis tool (fdatool) in Matlab was experimented with. The ‘fdatool’ provides a graphical interface for the design and analysis of digital filters by setting desired specifications and then generating the HDL to use in the Quartus project. A low-pass FIR filter was first generated and loaded to the DE2 board, which proved successful. This would be appropriate for fixed-frequency filters but the aim was to have a filter whose cut-off frequency could be varied in real-time. Other filtering techniques were investigated.

### 10.1 State variable filter

The state variable filter’s suitability has been proven in many audio applications (digital and analogue) and was found to be the best solution for the following reasons:

- Low-pass, high-pass, band-pass and band reject signals are all available simultaneously.
- Its tuning coefficients (frequency cut-off and damping factor) are independent of one another allowing both values to be easily varied independently.
- Straight forward to implement.



**Figure 10-1: State variable filter**

The outputs of the state variable filter are described by equation 16.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
 y_{lp}(n) &= f y_{bp}(n) + y_{lp}(n-1) \\
 y_{bp}(n) &= f y_{hp}(n) + y_{bp}(n-1) \\
 y_{bp}(n) &= x(n) - y_{hp}(n-1) - Q y_{bp}(n-1)
 \end{aligned} \tag{16.1}$$

Where the fc coefficient determines the filter cut-off frequency and Q is the damping factor (filter resonance).


$$f = 2 \sin\left(\frac{\pi f_c}{f_s}\right) \tag{16.2}$$

To prove equation 16.2 describes the relationship between the 'f' coefficient and the filter cut-off frequency, a value of 500Hz was used (equation 16.3).

$$f = 2 \sin\left(\pi \frac{500}{48000}\right) \tag{16.3}$$

Which gave a value of 0.0654 for the f coefficient.

A frequency response measurement was taken to prove the working of the filter with the calculated frequency coefficient and the Q coefficient of 1.4. Figure 10-2 shows the frequency response of the low pass filter when subject to white noise at the input and the gain raised to 0db for easy interpretation of the plot. The spectrum plot also proves this is a two pole 12dB/decade filter.



**Figure 10-2: Low pass filter on the DE2 ( $f_c = 500\text{hz}$ )**

## 10.2 Discussion


The coefficients have to be carefully limited since it can easily become unstable as it approaches high frequencies with a low damping factor. One other disadvantage to this filter topology is that its stability limit is  $1/6$  of the sample frequency (when the tuning coefficient  $f = 1$ ). A limit of 8kHz in this case with a sampling frequency of 48kHz.

Despite these minor drawbacks and potential room for improvement, the overall sound from the filter produced satisfying results.

## 11 Flanger effect

The ‘flanger’ is a phasing effect and creates a ‘whooshing’ sound by mixing the original signal with a delayed version of itself. The whooshing sound results from the variable delay length being modulated, usually by a low frequency sine wave. As the delay value is varied, certain frequencies will become 180 degrees out of phase causing cancellation, hence the reason why this effect is most prominent when both the delayed and original signal are mixed at a 50%.

Variation of zero to about 30 sample delays in increments one seems to be sufficient for this effect. The sample delay was provided by a hardware delay-line written in VHDL code as discussed in section 7.4. Figure 11-1 shows the schematic drawn in Simulink.



**Figure 11-1: Flanger diagram in Matlab Simulink**

Figure 11-2 shows the actual spectrogram plot (frequency against time) of the recorded flanger effect from the DE2. The frequency notches are a bit uneven because the variable delay was modified manually rather than automatically with a sine wave since some difficulty was had with creating a variable low frequency sine wave in VHDL. One advantage of manually controlling the delay was that the notching effect could be observed at each delay increment to get a better understanding of how the effect works. Figure 11-3 shows the frequency response with the delay at an instantaneous value of 10 samples. As the delay is increased more notches get introduced and they shift along the spectrum.




Figure 11-2: Flanger effect on the DE2




Figure 11-3: Original signal mixed with a ten sample delayed version


This effect is used as the basis of many other audio effects such as the chorusing effect and other extensions of phasing type effects.

## 12 Reverberation effect

### 12.1 A basic reverb

The basic idea of a reverb was first created using a variable delay with its signal fed back to create a series of delays that fade out over time (feedback gain must be less than one). Equation 17.1 shows how the gain parameter is calculated to control the amount of feedback and to determine the amount of reverb reflections.

Figure 12-1 shows this structure (comb filter).



**Figure 12-1: Comb filter**

$$g = 0.001^{\tau/\text{RVT}} \quad (17.1)$$

Where  $\tau$  is the delay time in ms and RVT is the total reverb time of the comb filter.


Reverb time is defined as the time it takes for the output to fall to zero (-60dB) when an impulse is applied.

This form of reverb was implemented on the DE2 board but did not give very satisfactory results. The sounds seemed to resonate at the high frequencies and could completely alter the tone depending on the delay. Further techniques were explored.

### 12.2 The all-pass filter


The all-pass filter is effectively the same as the comb filter but with a feed-forward path in addition to the feedback path (Figure 12-2). The all-pass filter has a flat frequency response unlike the comb filter and allows for a frequency independent delay. Figure 12-3 shows how the all-pass filter was realised in practice- in Quartus as a block

diagram. A new Quartus symbol containing the all-pass structure was created (Figure 12-4).




**Figure 12-2: All-pass filter**

The reverb equation (17.1) also applies to the all-pass filter



**Figure 12-3: An all-pass filter in Simulink**




**Figure 12-4: All-pass filter in Quartus II**

The single all-pass filter was programmed to the DE2 to prove it was working correctly and to hear its effect on audio. This did not sound like a reverb sound but more like a ‘flutter’ echo where individual delays could be heard. See Figure 12-7 for the impulse response and spectrogram plot of the single all-pass filter (measured with the effect mix at 50%).

### 12.2.1 Multiple all-pass filters

Three series all-pass filters were implemented in an attempt to create a denser sounding reverb.



**Figure 12-5: Multiple series all-pass reverb**

Adding these extra all-pass filters made a significant difference to the reverb effect to the point where the individual reflections were dense enough to not be individually recognised by ear. This resulted in a smoother reverb sound as can be seen in the spectrogram plots in section 12.3.

### 12.3 Impulse response test

A test was devised in order to determine both the frequency and time characteristics of the reverb effect. An impulse response was obtained using Apple’s impulse response utility to apply a sweeping sine wave to the input and record (24bit 96kHz) the response at the output. The output was then de-convolved to get a time domain impulse response, which was exported as an audio .AIFF file. A short time Fourier transform (STFT) was performed in Matlab on the audio AIFF file and plotted in order to view both time and frequency information on one graph.

The Matlab code below was used to plot the spectrogram of the impulses:

```
1 [ir,fs] = aiffread('impulse.aiff');
2 figure;
3 specgram(ir, 512, fs);
4 colorbar
5 title('Spectrogram')
6 xlabel('Time (Seconds)'); ylabel('Frequency x10^4 (Hz)');
```

Code extract 12-1

Figure 12-6 shows the applied sine wave sweep generated from Apple's impulse response utility.






Figure 12-6: Sine wave logarithmic sweep test signal


Figure 12-7 shows the effect of one all-pass filter when subject to an impulse.



**Figure 12-7: One all-pass filter 20ms delay time and 0.7 gain**



**Figure 12-8: Three series all-pass filters on the DE2 (setting one)**



**Figure 12-9: Three series all-pass filters on the DE2 (setting two)**

#### 12.4 Comparison with a commercial software reverb

As a matter of interest, the impulse response test was also done on a commercially available software reverb. A comparison was made with results from the reverb effect implemented on the DE2 and key differences were noted.

The ‘Averb’ reverb (Figure 12-10) was chosen due to its intuitive and straightforward interface and parameters. This is one of the standard effects that comes packaged with the Apple Logic Pro software and is quite highly regarded as far as digital software effects are concerned. There are six different reverb plugins that come packaged with Logic and all are different in terms of their sound, parameters and versatility.

Impulse responses were obtained for three different settings on the Averb reverb. See Table 12.1 for the different settings.




Figure 12-10: Averb reverb in Logic Pro


Averb	Setting one	Setting two
Pre-delay	20ms	20ms
Reflectivity	30%	90%
Room size	50%	150%
Density	50%	50%
Mix/wet	50%	50%

Table 12.1: Three different settings in Logic's Averb reverb plugin

Figure 12-11 and Figure 12-12 show the response from the Averb reverb with setting one and two respectively.



**Figure 12-11: Averb setting one**



**Figure 12-12: Averb setting two**

Key differences of the DE2 all-pass reverb and Logic's Averb reverb:

- The DE2 reverb reflections appear to be very periodic compared to the Averb
- The DE2 reverb has a more uniform frequency response
- The response of the Averb appears to be a lot more dense at low frequencies
- Large ‘reverb tails’ can be created with the Averb
- The sound of the Averb is far superior to the DE2 reverb in terms of simulating a real acoustic space
- The DE2 reverb has no pre-delay

## 12.5 Summary

It is difficult to make a good comparison between the Averb and the all-pass reverb implemented on the DE2 because the Averb is parameterised. Also, the performance of the reverb cannot be evaluated from plots and data alone: it needs to be listened to. This creates the need for a lot of experimentation and time to obtain the desired affect. It is also worth noting that on the spectrogram plots of the Averb, there appears to be a large pre-delay. Whilst some of this delay may be due to the nature of the reverb, a small amount is probably due to latency, which was not taken into account at the time of testing.

Due to time constraints on this project, the work on the reverb effect was cut a little short and the ‘three all-pass’ structure shown in Figure 12-5 was used for the reverb in this project. It was the intention that various different reverb structures be implemented, tested and compared and the best one chosen for this project.

Possible improvements to the reverb may include:

- Using nested all-pass filters
- Simulating pre-delay
- Parallel comb filters to create early reflections

## Mike Hudson

- Using a low pass filter to create frequency damping effects
- Ensuring all delay values are mutually co-prime
- Proper parameterisation with relation to a physical space

## 13 Latency test

### 13.1 Comparison with computer software

A simple test was carried out to determine the latency of a series effect chain on the DE2 board. The same test was then carried out on a computer (running Apple's OS X operating system) with an equivalent series effect chain (Figure 13-1) within Logic Pro 9 (a commercially available digital audio workstation). The standard built in 'CoreAudio' driver and built in soundcard were used which is supposedly prepared to run low latencies. Figure 13-1 shows the series effect chain and Figure 13-2 illustrates how the equipment was setup to measure the system latency. The delayed signal goes into 'ch1' and 'ch2' is the direct signal. The system latency is the difference between the two.




Figure 13-1: Series effect chain





Figure 13-2: Latency test setup


Due to lack of immediately available resources and time, the oscilloscope was replaced with a computer running audio recording software setup to record a stereo input for

channels one and two. A microphone was used for the audio source and was tapped to create a transient. This meant the test was not of good enough quality to determine the exact latency value since the waveform editor scale was not small enough but it is acceptable for a rough visual comparison. The distance between the two markers in Figure 13-3 and

Figure 13-4 is 10ms.



**Figure 13-3: DE2 total system latency at 48kHz**



**Figure 13-4: Logic Pro total system latency at 48khz**

## 13.2 Summary

This test demonstrates the potential advantages of an FPGA for a low latency solution to digital audio signal processing due to the parallel nature of its operation.

The following observations were made:

## Mike Hudson

- A considerable amount of latency is introduced for every effect added to the chain on the computer software
- The audio latency on the computer software would most likely be unsuitable for real time processing. Especially for instruments and vocals where latency would be most noticeable.
- Audio latency on the DE2 is negligible and would be unnoticed
- Total latency for the DE2 board will always be less than the time of one audio sample even when more effects are added.

It was noted that this was a rather crude method for testing latency. Possible improvements to this test would be:

- Use a signal generator impulse for a consistent audio source
- Use a dual channel storage oscilloscope with configured axis for more accurate readings
- Could test both systems first with no effects then with effects to make a comparison and to determine the minimum system delay

## 14 User Interface

### 14.1 Considerations

The aim of the user interface was to:

- Provide visual feedback of various parameters
- Simultaneously vary different parameters
- Aid in testing
- Make the project ‘useable’

Three methods of user input were considered:


- Switches (on the DE2 board)
- Keys (on the DE2 board)
- Quadrature rotary encoders

The DE2 board has 17 switches, which could be used to represent binary values for the various effect parameters. This was not considered a very user-friendly method of input. Rotary encoders were used because of their versatility and intuitive nature (turn clockwise to increase value). Quadrature encoders also have many other advantages such as:

- Resolution can be altered
- Ease of control over minimum and maximum values
- Do not have absolute values (as opposed to resistive potentiometers) therefore allowing one encoder to alter multiple values
- One encoder only uses 2 digital inputs on the FPGA
- Velocity can be detected to alter the value rate of change


## 14.2 Quadrature rotary encoder

A quadrature rotary encoder outputs two pulses (when turned), 90 degrees out of phase with each other. The direction of the decoder can be determined by testing if one of the channels is high or low at the falling or rising transition of the other channel. Four possible states are available in one channel cycle and the associated variable is incremented or decremented by one. Figure 14-1 shows how the outputs of the encoder are used to determine direction.



**Figure 14-1: Quadrature encoder outputs**

The encoders were interfaced using the DE2 boards general purpose (GPIO) ports each consisting of 32 available bidirectional pins (Figure 14-2).



**Figure 14-2: Quadrature encoder connections to the DE2 GPIO header**

The code to read each encoder was written in a VHDL process. The pseudo code for one state of the encoder is shown in Code extract 14-1.

```


1  if (A is rising) then --
2      if (B='0' and count /= 127) then -- increase value
3          count <= count + 1;
4      elsif (B='1' and count /= 0) then -- decrease value
5          count <= count - 1;
6      end if;
7  end if;

```

**Code extract 14-1**


This VHDL code was repeated for the other three conditions:- B rising, A falling, B falling.

Figure 14-3 shows the functional Quartus simulation for a clockwise motion of the encoder.



**Figure 14-3: Simulation of quadrature encoder VHDL code**

A box containing 8 rotary encoders was constructed (Figure 14-4) and connected to the general purpose inputs on the DE2 via a 40pin ribbon cable. Figure 14-5 shows the completed ‘control box’.



**Figure 14-4: Construction of the rotary control box**




**Figure 14-5: Eight rotary controls and 10 LEDs**

The problem with the functional simulation of the VHDL code for the rotary encoder is that it does not account for any switch bounce. The VHDL process was clocked at 50MHz and when it came to physically testing the encoder its behaviour was very erratic indicating the need to implement some form of de-bounce mechanism within the code. After some experimentation, the problem was resolved by clocking the VHDL process with a slower clock frequency of 48kHz.

### 14.3 Interfacing the controls

The ‘controlbox’ VHDL entity (Figure 14-6) provides the eight seven bit values from the encoders. These values were initially connected directly to the parameters to control. However, with four effects, eight controls were not enough and so an ‘effect selection’ feature was devised. The switches on the DE2 board were used to select which effect the control values were connected to.



**Figure 14-6: All eight encoder connected on the top level in Quartus**

### 14.4 Visual feedback

The two immediate methods of display on the DE2 board were considered:

- The VGA display output
- The on-board 16x2 LCD display

Both display methods were not perfectly suitable: the LCD was too small and a VGA monitor would probably be too big. However, the chosen method of display was the on-board LCD display since a VGA monitor was not always available.


In order to easily write to the LCD, a NIOS II processor was included in the system. This allows the use of the familiar ‘printf’ function to be used in the C language.

The final work provides the user with visual feedback using the LCD to display the selected effect and how its parameters are mapped to the controller. Values can be represented in a bar format or binary format using the 10 LEDs on the control box. The LEDs display whichever value was altered last - dynamically displaying values. For example, to view the value of a parameter, a slight nudge of the encoder will display its associated value.

The eight switches on the DE2 board, used to select an audio effect, are fed into the SOPC system on the top level to enable their values to be available on the Avalon bus and read in a NIOS II program. The NIOS program gives a different display depending on the status of the switches. The display shows what parameters are currently mapped to which rotary encoder whose values are dynamically mapped depending on which effect is selected. See Figure 14-7 and Figure 14-8.



**Figure 14-7: LCD when no effect has been selected**



**Figure 14-8: Reverb effect selected- placement of text corresponds to a rotary encoder**

#### 14.5 Discussion and summary

The performance of the rotary encoders is still not entirely satisfactory since they have no de-bounce mechanism in place. An improvement would be to use some de-bounce circuitry, possibly in the form of a capacitor filter circuit in the rotary control box.

Nios II was not entirely necessary for project work up to this point since it was only used to provide ease of writing to the LCD. The intention is that a more elaborate user interface will be implemented in the future which will be driven by the NIOS processor.

## 15 Conclusion

The completed project has fulfilled all of the initial aims and objectives. Effective time management along with the excellent teaching resources from Altera have played a large part in making the project a success.

A library of basic building blocks was established and utilised to implement all four of the proposed audio effects: echo, flanger, filtering and reverberation.

The total latency of the FPGA was under 1ms where as an equivalent setup on a personal computer running audio processing software had a typical latency of 10ms. Comparisons showed that the latency of the FPGA audio processor remains relatively constant when more audio effects are added whereas the computer software adds a considerable amount of delay for every audio effect in the chain. This demonstrates the benefits of using an FPGA for a low latency solution to audio processing.

The design of the project demonstrates how a library of VHDL entities can be built up to form the basic building blocks of digital processing. Instantiating these blocks in Quartus schematic editor proved to be a very intuitive method of designing such systems, allowing for multiple levels of abstraction. The SOPC Builder also proved to be a valuable tool for the system design and allowed ease of interconnection between components and intellectual property.

### 15.1 Further work

A considerable amount of time was spent creating the basic audio in/out system. Therefore this work could be valuable to any other work concerned with utilisation of the audio codec on the Altera DE2 board.

There are many possibilities to extend the work carried out for this project such as:

- Improvements of the user interface and parameterisation of audio effects, possibly using a NIOS processor

## Mike Hudson

- To further demonstrate the major advantage of hardware: true parallel processing
- More elaborate and demanding audio effects
- High quality audio. For example, 24 bit at 96kHz

## 16 References

A.R.M. Khan, A.P. Thakare, S.M. Gulhane. (2010). FPGA-Based Design of Controller for Sound Fetching Codec Using Altera DE2 Board. *International Journal of Scientific 7 Engineering Research*. 1 (.), ..

Altera. (.). *Euphonix chooses Altera Cyclone FPGAs*. Available:  
<http://www.prnewswire.com/news-releases/euphonix-chooses-alteras-cyclone-fpgas-and-nios-ii-processor-for-audio-mixing-console-product-line-74981927.html>. Last accessed January 2012.

Altera. (2006). *DE2 User Manual*. Available:  
ftp://ftp.altera.com/up/pub/Webdocs/DE2\_UserManual.pdf. Last accessed January 2012

Altera. (2011). *Audio/Video Configuration Core*. Available:  
ftp://ftp.altera.com/up/pub/Altera\_Material/11.0/University\_Program\_IP\_Cores/Audio\_Video/Audio\_and\_Video\_Config.pdf

Altera. (2011). *Clock Signals for Altera DE-Series Boards*. Available:  
ftp://ftp.altera.com/up/pub/Altera\_Material/11.0/University\_Program\_IP\_Cores/Altera\_UP\_Clocks.pdf.

Altera. (2011). *Introduction to the Altera Nios II Soft Processor*. Available:  
ftp://ftp.altera.com/up/pub/Altera\_Material/11.0/Tutorials/Nios2\_introduction.pdf

Altera. (2011). *SignalTap II with VHDL Designs*. Available:  
ftp://ftp.altera.com/up/pub/Altera\_Material/11.0/Tutorials/VHDL/SignalTap.pdf

Altera. (2012). *Introduction to SOPC Builder*. Available:  
ftp://ftp.altera.com/up/pub/Altera\_Material/11.0/Tutorials/VHDL/Introduction\_to\_the\_Altera\_SOPC\_Builder.pdf.

David Katz & Rick Gentile. A Source of Information. In: Clive Maxfield (2009). *FPGAs World Class Designs*. Oxford: Elsevier. Ch.8.


R.C. Cofer & Ben Harding. A Source of Information. In: Clive Maxfield (2009). *FPGAs World Class Designs*. Oxford: Elsevier. Ch.7.

Tomasz Kaczmarczyk, Tomasz Henisz, Dominik Stozek. (2010). *DGN-1 Digital Guitar Effects Processor*. Available: <http://dgn.teamovercrest.org/>. Last accessed January 2012

Udo Zolzer. Ed., (2002). DAFX. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons  
Volnei A. Pedroni., (2010). Circuit Design and Simulation with VHDL. Cambridge, Massachusetts: MIT Press

## 17 Appendices


### 17.1 Quartus II screenshots



## 17.2 Project photographs



**Figure 17-1: Control box**



**Figure 17-2: Control input via GPIO on DE2 board**

## Mike Hudson



Figure 17-3: Testing the audio effects




Figure 17-4: Apple's impulse response utility and the Logic Pro computer software