

# Draft: Quantile estimation with using auxiliary information

March 5, 2015

## 1 Motivation

Suppose we have a population, we can always observe the information  $X$ . But we can only observe the sample of  $Y$  from the population. Our target is to estimate the population quantile of  $Y$ .

## 2 Method

### 2.1 Direct Method

A very naive method is to use the sample of  $Y$ . Define

$$F_n(y) = \frac{\sum_{i \in A} d_i I(y_i \leq y)}{\sum_{i \in A} d_i}$$

. Then we can get the estimated quantile by

$$\hat{\theta}_d = \inf \{y : F_n(y) \geq \tau\}$$

### 2.2 Regression estimator and difference estimate under pseudo empirical log-likelihood function

We put these two estimators together, because they are asymptotically same. The theorem 1 in the paper shows that.

$$\hat{\theta}_{df} = \hat{\theta}_d + N^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N q(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\beta}_{\tau_0}) - \sum_{i \in A} d_i q(\mathbf{x}_i; \hat{\beta}_{\tau_0}) \right\}$$

For this estimator, we can extend to multi-calibrations. We can use  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \dots, \tau_m$  to do the calibration together.

## 2.3 Using empirical distribution to do calibration

Define

$$F_N(x) = \frac{\sum_i^N I(x_i \leq x)}{N}$$

$$F_w(x) = \sum_{i \in A} w_i I(x_i \leq x)$$

We want to minimize

$$\arg \min_w Var(\hat{\theta}_w) \cong \left( \frac{1}{f(\hat{\theta}_\tau)} \right)^2 Var(\hat{F}_w(\theta)) \quad (1)$$

Given constraints:

$$F_N(x) = F_w(x)$$

$$\sum_{i \in A} w_i = 1$$

But unfortunately, this constraint is not always holds for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . So I just use the moments to do the calibration. Because the theorem below:

**Theorem 1** (*Frechet-Shohat Therom*) Suppose  $X_n, n \geq 0$  are random variables. If  $\lim EX_n^r = \beta_r$  for all  $r$  and if all  $\beta_r$  are the moments of a unique random variable  $X_0$ , then  $X_n \rightarrow X_0$  in distribution.

So we can use the first four moments to do the calibration. But I don't get the minimized weights. I first to do the simulation to see if this works. Then we can minimize the  $w$  to see we can get the improvement.

## 2.4 Ratio estimator

For any quantile  $\tau$ , we can get the true population quantile for  $X$ . So the ratio estimator is possible. Suppose the  $\theta_{x,\tau}$  is the quantile of  $\tau$  for population  $X$ .

The ratio estimator is

$$\hat{\theta}_{ratio} = \theta_{x,\tau} \frac{\hat{\theta}_{w,y}}{\hat{\theta}_{w,x}}$$

But in some cases if the  $\hat{\theta}_{w,x}$  is 0 or closed to 0. That may cause problems. We may use the bias-corrected ratio estimator.

$$\hat{\theta}_{ratio} = \theta_{x,\tau} \frac{\hat{\theta}_{w,y} \hat{\theta}_{w,x} + \hat{C}(\hat{\theta}_{w,y}, \hat{\theta}_{w,x})}{\hat{\theta}_{w,x}^2 + \hat{V}(\hat{\theta}_{w,x})}$$

The problem is that can we use

$$\hat{C}(\hat{\theta}_{w,y}, \hat{\theta}_{w,x}) = ??$$

and

$$\hat{V}(\hat{\theta}_{w,x}) \cong \left( \frac{1}{f(\hat{\theta}_\tau)} \right)^2 \hat{Var}(\hat{F}_w(\theta))$$

### 3 A small simulation study

The simulation studies are conducted to compare the performance of different estimators. Two finite populations of size  $N = 1000$  were generated from bivariate normal distribution respectively. The correlation between two variables in the first population is 0.9, then 0.6. For each simulation run, a simple random sample of size  $n = 100$  was taken.

To compare with each other, we set the direct estimator as the base and define relative efficiency:

$$RE_* = \frac{MSE_*}{MSE_{Direct}}$$

Note: We use the same  $\tau_0 = 0.5$  to get the difference estimator.