

PseudoCode

//First step is to import and update the WebPage variables (URLs, Keywords, Impressions, and Clicks) from external csv //files for later computations. This would look something like:

```
ifstream impressionsFile("impressions.csv");

if (impressions file cannot be opened)
{
    //display error message, useful for debugging
    cout << "Error: Unable to open impressions file.\n";
}

string line_i; //take comma separated input line by line using getline()
while (getline(impressionsFile, line_i))
{
    stringstream ss(line_i);
    string webAddress, impressionNum, clicksNum;
    getline(ss, webAddress, ',');
    getline(ss, impressionNum, ',');
    getline(ss, clicksNum);
    while (impressionNum[0] == ' ')
        impressionNum.erase(impressionNum.begin());
    while (clicksNum[0] == ' ')
        clicksNum.erase(clicksNum.begin());
    webPage *temp = new webPage(webAddress);
    temp->impressions = stof(impressionNum);
```

```
temp->clicks = stof(clicksNum);  
webPages.push_back(temp);  
searchList[webAddress] = temp;  
}
```

// Then I can initialize the page ranks to prepare them for iterations by setting the pageRank to

// 1 divided by how many webPages there are in total

```
for (auto it : webPages)  
{  
    it->pageRank = (1 / (float)webPages.size());  
}
```

//iterations generator of pageRank for convergence (variable iterations constant)

void webGraph::pageRankIteration()

```
{  
    for (auto it : inv_adjList)  
    {  
        it.first->tempPageRank = 0;  
        for (auto node : inv_adjList[it.first])  
        {  
            it.first->tempPageRank += node->pageRank / node->hyperLinksCount;  
        }  
    }  
    for (auto it2 : webPages)
```

```

    {
        it2->pageRank = it2->tempPageRank;
    }
}

//run x iterations, in this example 10 iterations for better convergence

//sum of all pageRanks will always = 1 (due to normalization)

int iterations = 10;

for (int i = 0; i < iterations; i++)
{
    pageRankIteration();
}

//function to calculate CTR

void webGraph::CTRCalc()
{
    for (auto page : webPages)
    {
        if (page->impressions != 0)
            page->CTR = (100 * (page->clicks / page->impressions));
        else
            page->CTR = 0;
    }
}

//Function to calculate Score using pageRank and CTR

```

```

void webGraph::scoreCalc()
{
    for (auto page : webPages)
    {
        page->score = 0.4 * page->pageRank + ((1 - ((0.1 * page->impressions) / (1 + 0.1 *
page->impressions))) * page->pageRank + ((0.1 * page->impressions) / (1 + 0.1 *
page->impressions) * page->CTR) * 0.6));
    }
}

```

//assist tool used for sorting the search results for ordered Indexing

```

bool mainMenu::cmpScore(webPage *x, webPage *y)

```

```

{
    return x->score < y->score;
}

```

```

sort(relevantWebPages.begin(), relevantWebPages.end(), cmpScore);

```

//can be displayed after any search query sorted by score and according to the keywords:

// 1 word case

```

if (searchWords.size() == 1) {
    for each web in webPages {
        for each keyword in web->keyWords {
            if (keyword == searchWords[0]) {
                relevantWebPages.push_back(web);
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

    }

}

}

sort(relevantWebPages.begin(), relevantWebPages.end(), cmpScore);

interactiveDisplay(relevantWebPages);

relevantWebPages = {};

clearVisited();

}

// parentheses case

else if (searchWords[0].front() == "\"") {

    searchWords[1].pop_back();

    searchWords[0].erase(searchWords[0].begin());

    for each web in webPages {

        for each keyword in web->keyWords {

            if ((keyword == (searchWords[0] + " " + searchWords[1])) && !visited[web]) {

                relevantWebPages.push_back(web);

                visited[web] = true;

            }

        }

    }

}

interactiveDisplay(relevantWebPages);

relevantWebPages = {};

clearVisited();

```

```

}

// OR case

else if (searchWords[1] == "OR") {

    if (searchWords.size() == 2) {

        searchWords.insert(searchWords.begin() + 1, "OR");

    }

    for each web in webPages {

        for each keyword in web->keyWords {

            if ((keyword == searchWords[0] || keyword == searchWords[2]) && !visited[web]) {

                relevantWebPages.push_back(web);

                visited[web] = true;

            }

        }

    }

    interactiveDisplay(relevantWebPages);

    relevantWebPages = {};

    clearVisited();

}

// AND case

else if (searchWords[1] == "AND") {

    keys[searchWords[0]] = false;

    keys[searchWords[2]] = false;

```

```

for each web in webPages {
    for each keyword in web->keyWords {
        if (keyword == searchWords[0]) {
            keys[searchWords[0]] = true;
        }
        if (keyword == searchWords[2]) {
            keys[searchWords[2]] = true;
        }
        if (keys[searchWords[0]] && keys[searchWords[2]] && !visited[web]) {
            relevantWebPages.push_back(web);
            visited[web] = true;
            keys[searchWords[0]] = false;
            keys[searchWords[2]] = false;
            continue;
        }
    }
}

keys[searchWords[0]] = false;
keys[searchWords[2]] = false;

interactiveDisplay(relevantWebPages);
relevantWebPages = {};
clearVisited();

```

```
}
```

```
//Then I can display the relevant webPage interactions menu for the user to traverse and
```

```
//interact with by creating a new search query, clicking on a website, or exiting the program
```

```
//When the user exits the program, the impressions and clicks are automatically saved to the csv
```

```
//file once again until the next booting of the search engine.
```

```
    ofstream imp_click("impressions.csv");
```

```
    if (imp_click cannot be opened)
```

```
    {
```

```
        cout << "Error: Unable to open imp_click file.\n";
```

```
    }
```

```
    for (auto it : webPages)
```

```
    {
```

```
        imp_click << it->URL << "," << it->impressions << "," << it->clicks << endl;
```

```
    }
```

```
    exit(1);
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
//After completing the necessary operations, the program concludes its execution, ensuring that
```

```
//all relevant components are saved and prepared for a subsequent reboot whenever the user
```

```
//desires.
```


Time and Space Complexity

(Ranking and Indexing)

- **Time complexity:**

1. Calculating the PageRank of each vertex.
 - $O(|V| * |E|)$ time, because it requires iterating over all the vertices and edges in the graph.
2. Updating the PageRank of each vertex.
 - $O(|V|)$ time, because it requires iterating over all of the vertices.

- **Space complexity:**

1. The vector of vertices
 - $O(|V|)$ space to store all nodes
2. The graph represented as an adjacency list
 - $O(|V| * |E|)$ space to store all nodes and their edges.

Data Structure Usage

The primary data structures employed in my Search Engine were maps and vectors due to their distinct advantages and features. These data structures were chosen to optimize time and space complexity while improving code organization and simplicity. Maps were particularly beneficial for their efficient key-value lookup mechanism. They proved valuable in associating URLs, website names, or keywords with relevant information, such as ranking, scores, or internal variables. By utilizing maps, I could quickly retrieve information, making it ideal for tasks such as indexing and sorting search results. I employed pointers to structs of webPages that contained all necessary internal variables. Combining the built-in map sorting operation with a compare score function enabled automatic updating of search results based on relative scores, simplifying the process of indexing the results for output. On the other hand, vectors served as dynamic arrays with fast random access and efficient insertion/deletion times. These properties made them well-suited for tracking multiple websites efficiently. Vectors also offered easy sorting, which facilitated the storage of keywords, scores, and other variables in a compact and convenient manner. By leveraging the strengths of both data structures, I achieved optimized search and operational efficiency when handling the stored data. This approach allowed for a coherent flow of operations, enhancing the functionality and usability of my search engine without requiring significant alterations to the core structure.

Design Tradeoffs Justified

In the process of designing my search engine, I made a couple of tradeoffs that had minor impacts on certain functionalities in the design. For one, I limited the maximum word search to two words. This decision was made based on a balance between search accuracy and computational efficiency. By restricting the search to a maximum of two words, I could simplify the search algorithm and reduce the complexity of matching and ranking results. While this limitation may occasionally result in less precise search outcomes for more complex queries, it significantly improved the speed and responsiveness of my search engine.

Another tradeoff was related to the website impression count, which would increment by two for each interaction. When a user selects a website from the list, it behaves as if the person had opened the link, and upon returning to the list, the impression count increments again. Although this approach may slightly overstate the impression count, it aligns with the user's behavior of entering a website, then navigating back to explore other options. Additionally, users have the freedom to click on a website multiple times, which would accurately increment the click counter for that specific website regardless of the impressions, making it practically negligible overall.

Altogether, these design tradeoffs were justified by considerations of computational efficiency, search speed, and aligning with user behavior. By making these decisions, the search engine could deliver reasonably accurate results within acceptable performance limits, while still providing a user experience that resembled real-world browsing patterns.