| Presentation | Poor | Adequate | Excellent |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Group member** | 0-2<br>It is not possible to understand the content of the demo based on the presentation/explanation given, because the presentation/explanation is poor. Little effort has been put in preparing it. | 2-5<br>The presentation/explanation is reasonably clear, but not much extra effort has been made with respect to the demo.<br>The presentation/explanation is good but lacking content. | 5-7.5<br>Excellent. The demo presentation/explanation is of high standards, showing innovation, imagination and understanding of the task. The content is presented/explained clearly and attractively. |
| **Name1:** | | | |
| **Name2:** | | | |
| **Name3:** | | | |
| **Name4:** | | | |
| **Demo** | 0-2<br>Produced a minimal application with many bugs and poor usability. | 2-5<br>Under half functionality completed (at least 3 targets listed in the assignment including one detection mechanism, FSM and iCub head movement) , but basic functionality achieved, although with some usability issues. | 5-7.5<br>Substantial, robust and usable application, achieved all 7 targets listed in the assignment and did extra work. |
| **Name1:** | | | |
| **Name2:** | | | |
| **Name3:** | | | |
| **Name4:** | | | |