Don't waste Compute Efficient Al Models for Waste Management

Masterthesis by Helene Benkert • Applied Computational Life Sciences • Spring Semester 2025 Supervisor: Dr. Martin Schüle

Introduction

The correct separation of household waste is a cornerstone of efficient recycling and sustainable resource management. However, for consumers, this task often presents a significant challenge due to complex, regional regulations.

Objective of this Thesis: To develop and evaluate modern Al models for creating a user-friendly, automated sorting assistant that provides precise, location-specific instructions.

Research Question

How do different Al paradigms (generative, discriminative, and detection models) compare in their effectiveness at classifying household waste and providing accurate recycling guidance?

Methodology

- 1. Dataset: Creation of a custom dataset with >5,800 images, reflecting the recycling rules of Lower Austria. 14 Material classes and 11 Disposal classes.
- 2. Models: Training and evaluation of four architectures:
 - CuMo: Generative Multimodal Model
 - ViLT: Discriminative Multimodal Model
 - ViT: Unimodal Image Classifier
 - YOLO11m: Object Detector
- 3. Training: Comparison of specialized (material-only/disposal-only) vs. combined training strategies.

Results

All models achieved excellent performance with F1-scores and mAP values ≥ 0.90.

- CuMo (Generative): Functionally superior. Generates complete, human-readable instructions.
- ViT/ViLT (Discriminative): Very high classification precision but limited to a predefined set of labels.
- YOLOu11m (Detection): Excellent at localizing objects with clear shapes (e.g., batteries), but weaker on amorphous materials (e.g., organic waste).

Experiment: Al vs. Human

A comparative study with 10 test items revealed:

- Finetuned CuMo: 10/10 correct answers
- Humans (Local Residents): 7/10 correct answers

Ultralytics YOLOv11 (Version 11.0.0) [Software]. Ultralytics. https://github.com/ultralytics/ultralytics

- ChatGPT-4: 7/10 correct answers
- Gemini 2.5 Pro: 3/10 correct answers

Key Insight: Humans and general-purpose Als fail on ambiguous cases (e.g., broken glass, aluminum foil). A specialized, fine-tuned model significantly surpasses average human knowledge and general Al performance.

Shown below are some example pictures, taken from the Dataset:

Difficult Classes & Model Strengths

The Battery: A Key Example

- ViT/ViLT (Classifiers): Struggled significantly (low recall). Theory: Their global view of the image "overlooked" the small but critical features of the battery.
- YOLO (Detector): Perfect score. Its architecture is optimized for finding local features and ignoring the background.
- CuMo (Generative): Also a perfect score. Theory: Despite a ViT-based encoder, this points to the power of its CLIP pre-training and the downstream language model.

Composite Materials (e.g., Tetra Pak)

Often confused by ViT/ViLT with their constituent materials (cardboard, aluminum, plastic), highlighting the limits of purely visual texture recognition.

Conclusion

The targeted fine-tuning of a generative multimodal model (CuMo) is the most effective strategy for creating a reliable, practical expert system. It bridges the gap from simple recognition to situational problem-solving.

Proof of Concept

A functional prototype was implemented as a web app on Hugging Face Spaces. Users can upload an image and receive a direct, clear sorting instruction. This demonstrates the technical feasibility of the application.



Composite Carton



White Glass & Metal



Rigid Plastic Container



Residual Waste



Plastic & Aluminium