

377 A Appendix

378 A.1 Extending the pointwise normal reach to the non-manifold setting

379 Federer (1959) introduces reach for arbitrary subsets of Euclidean space. In this situation $T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ and $N_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$
380 denote the tangent- and normal cone.

381 **Definition A.1.** Let $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ denote an arbitrary subset and let $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Then $v \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is a tangent vector for
382 \mathcal{M} at \mathbf{x} if either $v = 0$ or if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ exists $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}$ with

$$0 < \|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\| < \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \left\| \frac{\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}}{\|\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x}\|} - \frac{v}{\|v\|} \right\| < \varepsilon. \quad (23)$$

383 Let $T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of tangent vectors for \mathcal{M} at \mathbf{x} . A vector $w \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is a normal vector for \mathcal{M} at \mathbf{x} if

$$\langle w, v \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } v \in T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}. \quad (24)$$

384 Let $N_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ denote the set of all normal vectors for \mathcal{M} at \mathbf{x} .

385 We can extend theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 to the general situation as defined by Federer. To extend Theorem 2.4
386 it is sufficient to prove that for any $v \in N_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^D$, $\|P_v(u)\| \leq d(u, T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M})$.

387 **Lemma A.2.** For any $v \in N_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^D$ with $\langle v, u \rangle \geq 0$, $\|P_v(u)\| \leq d(u, T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M})$.

388 *Proof.* For a subset $A \subset \mathbb{R}^D$, $\text{dual}(A) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^D : \langle a, v \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } a \in A\}$. First we prove that
389 $d(u, \text{dual}(v)) = \|P_v(u)\|$. Note that we can write $u = u_v + u_{v^\perp}$, where $u_v = P_v(u)$ and $u_{v^\perp} \in v^\perp$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, \text{dual}(v)) &= \inf_{w \in \text{dual}(v)} \|u - w\| = \inf_{w \in \text{dual}(v)} \|u_v + u_{v^\perp} - w\| \\ &= \inf_{w \in \text{dual}(v)} \|u_v\| + \|u_{v^\perp} - w\| - 2\langle u_v, w \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

390 As $\langle u_v, w \rangle \leq 0$, it follows that the infimum is achieved when $w = u_{v^\perp}$. By the definition of the
391 dual it follows that $\text{dual}(v) \supset \text{dual}(N_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}) \supset T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$. Hence $d(u, T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}) = \inf_{w \in T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}} \|u - w\| \geq$
392 $\inf_{w \in \text{dual}(v)} \|u - w\| = \|P_v(u)\|$. \square

393 To extend lemma 2.6 note that if $r_{\max}(x) > 0$, then $T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ is convex (Federer, 1959, Thm 4.8 (12)). Let $\mathbf{y} \in \mathcal{M}$.
394 If $\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \in T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ then $R(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = \infty$. Otherwise, as $T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ is a convex cone, there exists $n \in N_{\mathbf{x}}\mathcal{M}$ such that
395 $\langle n, \mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x} \rangle \geq 0$. In that case $\langle n, \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} \rangle = \|P_n(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{x})\|$, so applying Lemma 2.5 gives the result.

396 Though the theory can be extended to general subspaces, the manifold assumption is important for the exper-
397 imental setup. An important assumption for the estimator (16) is that the Jacobian spans the entire tangent
398 space. If this is not the case, this estimator does not estimate the pointwise normal reach. The reason being, the
399 length of the projection onto the orthogonal complement of the Jacobian is not necessarily the distance to the
400 tangent space. It is clear that when we want to study the uniqueness of latent representations, if the decoder is
401 not injective, it automatically has areas without unique representations. So if the decoder is not injective, we
402 should already be wary about trusting the latent representations.