# Math 239 Graph Theory Strategies

# **Isomorphic Graphs:**

## How to show 2 graphs are isomorphic?

- 1.) Exhibit an isomorphism... can you find one right away?
- 2.) If not, then find a substructure in one of the graphs that do not exist in another!
- ie.) length of cycles, degree of vertexes, length of paths etc (a6p3)

# **Bipartite Graphs:**

#### How to show a graph G is bipartite?

Try to partition the graph G into two different sets A and B. Show that every edge is incident to an element in A and an element in B if they're connected. (a7p2b)

# **Connected Graphs:**

### How do you tell if a graph is connected?

A: To show a graph is connected: Use the definition or find a vertex v and show that for every  $x \in V(G)$  there exists a path to v.

To show that a graph is not connected: find a proper subset x that induces an empty set (a7p5)

#### **Properties and Lemmas:**

Lemma: Let G be a graph. Suppose there is a walk in G from x to y. Then there is a path in G from x to y.

Lemma: Let G be a graph with  $p \ge 1$  vertices. Then G is connected iff there exists a vertex v such that for all  $x \in V(G)$  there is a path in G from x to v.

Lemma: Let G be a graph. Then G is connected iff every proper subset  $x \subseteq V(G)$  the cut induced by x is non-empty.

Lemma: Let G be a connected graph with a bridge e=xy. Then G-e has exactly 2 components,  $C_x$  containing x and  $C_y$  containing y

Lemma: An edge e is a bridge of the connected graph G iff e is not in a cycle in G.

## **Trees:**

## How do you prove that a graph is a tree?

A: Show that the graph is connected and also show that G has no cycles. (a8p2)

To show that it's not a Tree, disprove the above or show that there's an edge that is not a bridge or a path of length greater than 1.

## **Properties and Lemmas:**

Lemma: Every tree with p vertices has p-1 edges:

Lemma: Let T be a tree with  $p \ge 2$  vertices. For  $1 \le i \le p$  let n decide the number of vertices

of T with degree i. Then:  $n = 2 + n_3 + 2n_4 + \cdots (p-2)n_p$ 

# **Spanning Trees:**

## How do you show a graph has a spanning tree?

A: Show that the graph is connected.

# **Properties and Lemmas:**

Lemma: Let G be a graph. Then G is a spanning tree iff G is connected.

Lemma: If G is a connected graph with p vertices and p-1 edges, then it is a tree.

Lemma: A graph G is bipartite iff it does not contain an odd cycle.

#### **Breadth-First Search Trees:**

# How do you create a BFST?

A: Follow the algorithm! (a8p4)

#### **Properties and Lemmas:**

Lemma: Let T be a BFST in G. Then every edge of G either joins 2 vertices on the same level or two vertices of consecutive levels.

Lemma: Let T be a BFST in a connected graph G. Then G is bipartite iff there is no edge of G joining two vertices in the same level of T.

Lemma: Let G be a connected graph. The length of the shortest path from x to y in G is the level k of y in a BFST in G rooted at x.

# **Planar Graphs:**

## How do you tell if a graph is planar?

A: You must exhibit a planar drawing. TIP: Look for a long cycle. Draw it in the plane then the rest of the vertices and edges must either go inside the cycle or outside!

ASK: is  $q \le 3p - 6$  or  $q(k - 2) \le k(p - 2)$  where q is the number of edges, p is the number of vertices, and k is the girth(shortest cycle) of the graph. If any of these simple tests fail, then the graph is not planar. If you can find a subgraph that is a subdivision of  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$  then G is not planar. (a8p5 and a9p4)

#### **Properties and Lemmas:**

Face Shake Lemma: Let G be a connected planar graph. Let F(G) be the set of faces of G then

$$\sum_{f \in F(G)} deg(f) = 2|E(G)|$$

Euler's Formula: Let G be a connected planar graph.

Let 
$$p = |V(G)|$$
 and  $q = |E(G)|$   $s = |F(G)|$  then  $p - q + s = 2$ 

Lemma: Let G be a planar drawing of a connected graph. Let f be a face of G. If the boundary B(f) of f does not contain a cycle, then G is a tree.

Lemma: in any planar drawing of a connected planar graph that contains a cycle, every face has a cycle in its boundary.

Lemma: Let G be a planar graph with p vertices and q edges, where  $p \ge 3$  then  $q \le 3p - 6$ 

Lemma: If G is a planar graph of girth k then  $q(k-2) \le k(p-2)$ 

Lemma: Every planar graph has a vertex of degree less than 5

Kuratowski's Theorem: A graph G is planar iff it does not contain a subdivision of  $K_5$  or  $K_{3,3}$ 

# **Colouring:**

## Given G, how do you show that G is k-colourable?

A: Proof by induction on the number of vertices.

Base Case: Any G with  $p \le k$  vertices is k-colourable.

Induction Hypothesis: Assume that p > k and any p' < p is k - colourable

Induction Step: Obtain a p' < p from removing an arbitrary vertex that would still maintain the

properties and restrictions of G. (a9p5b)

## **Properties and Lemmas:**

Four-Colour Theorem: Every planar graph is 4-colourable

Lemma: A graph is 2-colourable iff G is bipartite

Lemma:  $K_p$  is p-colourable but not (p-1)-colourable

# **Matching:**

# How do you find a maximum matching and a minimum cover in a bipartite graph?

A: Use the Bipartite Matching Algorithm! (a10p1)

# How do you prove a graph has a k-perfect matching?

Proof by induction on k.

Base Case: k = 1

Induction Hypothesis: Assume the claim holds for any k' < k

Induction Step: Show that k' holds the claim. (a10p3)

# How do you prove a graph has a perfect matching in general?

Proof by contradiction, contradict the definition of a perfect matching. (a10p5)

Use Hall's Theorem! (a10p7)

#### **Properties and Lemmas:**

A perfect matching in G has size |V(G)|/2 every vertex of G is incident to exactly one edge in the matching.

Lemma: Let m be a matching in G if there exists an m-augmenting path two, m is not a maximum matching.

Lemma: Let G be a graph. Let M be a matching in G, and C be a cover in G. Then

Lemma: Suppose M is a matching in G and C is a cover in G, and  $|M| \le |C|$  then M is a maximum matching and C is a minimum cover

Konig's Theorem: Let G be a bipartite graph. Let M be a maximum matching in G then there exists a cover C in G with |C|=|M|.

Bipartite Matching Algorithm:

aim: to find a maximum matching in any bipartite graph

-start with a bipartite graph G and a matching M in G

-construct the sets X and Y

-if we find  $y \in Y$  that is M-exposed we set an augmenting path P and a bigger matching  $M = \Delta E(p)$ 

-if we complete the construction of x and y without finding an augmenting path, then the matching M is maximum and we find a cover with |C|=|M|

Input: A bipartite graph G with vertex classes A and B

Output: A maximum matching  $M_0$  in G.

Let M be any arbitrary matching in G.

- 1.) Let  $\hat{x} = \{v \in A: v \text{ is } M exposed\} \hat{y} = 0$
- 2.) if there exists

 $v \in B - \hat{y}$  such that  $v \in E(G)$  for some  $u \in \hat{x}$  then add v to  $\hat{y}$  and set pr(u) = v

- 3.) if no such v exists, then M is a maximum matching and set  $M_0 = M$  and  $C = \hat{y} \cup (A \setminus \hat{x})$
- 4.) if v is m-exposed, then the pr function given an M-augmenting path p from v to an M-exposed vertex on  $\widehat{x}$  set  $M := M\Delta E(p)$  Replace M by M and go back to 0.
- 5.) If there exists  $w \in A \setminus \hat{x}$  and an edge  $wz \in M$  with  $z = \hat{y}$ , add w to  $\hat{x}$ .

Set 
$$pr(w) = z go to 2$$

Halls Theorem: Let G be a bipartite graph with vertex classes A and B. Then G has a matching of size |A| iff  $|N(S)| \ge |S|$  for all  $S \subseteq A$ 

Lemma: Let G be a bipartite graph with vertex classes A and B. Then G has a perfect matching iff  $|N(S)| \ge |S| for all S \subseteq A$  and |A| = |B|

Lemma: Given a bipartite graph G with at least one edge, there is a matching in G saturating all the vertices of maximum degree.

Lemma: Let G be a bipartite graph. Then G has a  $\Delta(G) - edge - colouring$