

Algebraic Combinatorics - HW7

Sawyer, Evan, Dallin, Alexander.

04/09/2024

Problem 1 (Lattice Paths avoiding a certain set of points). Let P be a fixed lattice (ballot) path from $(0, 0)$ to (m, n) . Let T be a set of interior points on P (that is, some subset of points on P other than $(0, 0)$ and (m, n)). Let $f_{m,n}(T)$ be the number of lattice paths from $(0, 0)$ to (m, n) that avoid all of T .

- (i) Use a Corollary of Gessel-Viennot Lemma to find an expression for $f_{m,n}(T)$.
- (ii) Use Inclusion-Exclusion to find an expression for $f_{m,n}(T)$.

Proof. (i) We begin with the formula for the total number of lattice paths from $(0, 0)$ to (m, n) : $\binom{m+n}{m}$. First, consider the case where T has only one point with coordinates (a_1, b_1) . The number of lattice paths from $(0, 0)$ to (a_1, b_1) is $\binom{a_1+b_1}{a_1}$, and the number of lattice paths from (a_1, b_1) to (m, n) is $\binom{(m-a_1)+(n-b_1)}{m-a_1}$. Multiplying these two together, we get $\binom{a_1+b_1}{a_1} * \binom{(m-a_1)+(n-b_1)}{m-a_1}$ as the number of lattice paths that are not available. Suppose T has a second point with coordinates (a_2, b_2) . We need to avoid all paths that pass through either (a_1, b_1) or (a_2, b_2) , but we cannot double-count the paths that go through both points, so our expression for the number of paths that are not available is $\binom{a_1+b_1}{a_1} * \binom{(m-a_1)+(n-b_1)}{m-a_1} + \binom{a_2+b_2}{a_2} * \binom{(m-a_2)+(n-b_2)}{m-a_2} -$


■

Problem 2 (Linear dependency and Gessel-Viennot). Let $A_{n \times n}$ be a matrix with linearly dependent rows. Show by using Gessel-Viennot Lemma that $|A| = 0$.

Proof. Let $A_{n \times n}$ represent the edge matrix of a weighted DAG from $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ and $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$. Let $e_{ij} = [A_{ij}]$. Then, since the rows are linearly independent, the first row can be written as a linear combination of the other rows, say

$$e_{1j} = \sum_{2 \leq i \leq n} c_i e_{ij}.$$

We can construct our graph with a_1 above all the other a_i , with the example for $n = 4$ shown below:



We can set the edge from a_1 to a_k to have weight c_k , whence we have the linear combination relationship in A . For example,

$$e_{11} = e_{21}c_2 + e_{31}c_3 + e_{41}c_4.$$

By the Gessel-Viennot Lemma, the determinant of A is the sum of the weights of all path systems from A to B . However, notice that the path system from a_1 to $b_{\sigma(a_1)}$ will have an odd number of vertices, while the path system from all other a_i to $b_{\sigma(a_i)}$ will have an even number of vertices, while $|A| + |B| = 2n$ is even. Therefore, there are no path systems, so

$$\det A = \sum_{\mathcal{P}} \text{sign}(\mathcal{P})\omega(\mathcal{P}) = 0.$$


■


Problem 3 (GCD matrix). Let $S = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subset \mathbb{N}$. let the **GCD matrix** M of S have entries $m_{ij} = \gcd(a_i, a_j)$. Prove that if S is closed under taking divisors, then

$$|M| = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(a_i).$$

(Hint: Form a certain digraph using three copies of S , and then put certain edges and weights on them.)

Proof. We form a digraph between S , T , and U , where T and U are two copies of S , placing edges in a way that allows us to make use of the Totient Function's divisor sum. For a given $1 \leq i \leq n$, let d be a divisor of i . Then, we place an edge from s_i to t_d of weight $\phi(d)$ and an edge from t_d to u_i of weight 1. We give the examples of $n = 4$ and $n = 6$, where the colorings are relevant for our path counting argument:





Consider the edge matrix A of this graph, where a_{ij} is the number of paths from s_i to u_j . By our setup,

$$a_{ij} = \sum_{d|i,j} \phi(d) = \sum_{d|(i,j)} \phi(d) = (i, j),$$

so $A = M$. By the Gessel-Viennot Lemma, $|M| = |A|$, which is the sum of the weights of all path systems from S to U . Notice that $\sigma(s_1)$ is necessarily u_1 , whence $\sigma(s_p) = u_p$ for all prime p . We can continue inductively on the number of divisors to conclude that $\sigma(s_k) = u_k$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, so we only have one path system \mathcal{P} , and $\omega(\mathcal{P}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \varphi(i)$, as desired. ■

Problem 4 (Determinant of a matrix of Stirling Numbers). For $m \geq 0$, $n \geq 1$, prove the following identity. Here $S_{n,k}$ is the Stirling number of the 2nd kind.

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} S_{m+1,1} & S_{m+1,2} & \cdots & S_{m+1,n} \\ S_{m+2,1} & S_{m+2,2} & \cdots & S_{m+2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ S_{m+n,1} & S_{m+n,2} & \cdots & S_{m+n,n} \end{pmatrix} = (n!)^m$$

Proof. The Stirling number of the second kind $S_{n,k}$ is the number of ways to partition a set of n elements into k partitions. We wish to construct a DAG from A to B (where $|A| = |B| = n$) such that the number of paths from a_i to b_j is $S_{m+i,j}$. ■