

~~30/30~~  
25+5/30

## Algebraic Combinatorics: HW5

Dallin, Evan, ~~Swager~~, ~~Kander~~

3/14/2024

**Problem 1 (Pairing  $2n$  people).** The Lemma to prove Burnside's Lemma states that when a group  $G$  acts on  $X$ , the number of permutations that map  $x$  to  $y$  is the same for all  $y$  in the orbit of  $x$ . Use this to count the ways to pair up  $2n$  people. (*Remark:* This can also be shown by a very easy combinatorial argument.)

*Proof.* Consider two rows of  $n$  people, and represent this ordering by an arrangement of the numbers from 1 to  $2n$ , where the  $2i-1$  and  $2i$ th people are in a pair. Construct the set  $X$  (size  $2n!$ ), and let our group  $G = S_n \oplus (S_2)^n$  (swapping all  $n$  pairs, and shuffling them amongst each other). Then, notice that there is only one permutation that maps one pairing arrangement to another, so there will be orbits of size  $|G|$ , for a total number of possible distinct pairings of

$$\frac{|X|}{|G|} = \frac{2n!}{n!2^n}.$$

**Problem 2.** Partitions of an integer  $n$  are obtained from compositions of  $n$  by ignoring the order of the parts. Use Burnside's Lemma and the symmetric group  $S_3$  to count the partitions of 9 into three parts. List all such partitions explicitly.

*Proof.*  $S_3$  fixes various partitions as follows:

- $(123)$  and  $(132)$  fix only the  $3+3+3$  partition. There is 1 such partition.
- $(12)$ ,  $(13)$ , and  $(23)$  fix one ordering of the  $1|1|7$ ,  $2|2|5$ ,  $4|4|1$ , and  $3|3|3$  partitions. For example,  $(23)$  fixes  $7|1|1$ ,  $5|2|2$ ,  $1|4|4$ ,  $3|3|3$ .
- The identity fixes all  $\binom{9-3+2}{2} = \binom{8}{2} = 28$  partitions.

Thus, by Burnside's Lemma, the total number of partitions is

$$\frac{1 + 1 + 4 + 4 + 4 + 28}{6} = \boxed{7}.$$

By simple enumeration, the partitions are as follows:

- $1|1|7$

- 1|2|6
- 1|3|5
- 1|4|4
- 2|2|5
- 2|3|4
- 3|3|3

**Problem 3** (Burnside's  $\Rightarrow$  Fermat's Theorem). Let  $p, n, l$  be positive integers with  $p$  prime. Use induction (on  $l$ ) and Burnside's Lemma to prove that

$$p^l \mid (n^{p^l} - n^{p^{l-1}})$$

*Proof.* We first show the base case  $p \mid n^p - n$ .

Consider the number of ways to color a  $p$ -necklace with  $n$  colors, where we are only concerned about rotational symmetries. From  $p$ 's primality, the fixed points of any non-identity rotation are the  $a$  constant-color necklaces. Thus, the number of distinct colorings is

*what is a?*

$$\frac{1}{p}(n^p + (p-1)n),$$

*you can also view them as  $|\text{Fix}(\pi)|$*

so  $p \mid n^p + (p-1)n$ . Therefore,  $p \mid n^p - n$ .

Now, assume that the statement holds for all integers up to  $l-1$ . Consider the number of ways to color a  $p^l$ -necklace with  $n$  colors, where we are concerned with rotational symmetries, that is, the cyclic group  $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^l}$ .  $G$  has a generator  $\pi$ , the unit rotation.

Consider the number of fixed points of  $\pi^i$ , where  $0 \leq i < p^l$ . If  $i \neq 0$  and  $p \mid i$ , then  $\pi^i$  fixes  $n^{p^{l-1}}$  necklaces, since our necklace has  $p^l/p = p^{l-1}$  free beads. There are  $p^{l-1} - 1$  such  $i$ .

Otherwise, we fix the  $n$  constant color necklaces, or when  $i = 0$ , fix all  $n^{p^l}$  necklaces.

Thus, by Burnside's Lemma, the number of colorings is

$$\frac{1}{p^l}((p^{l-1} - 1)n^{p^{l-1}} + (p^l - p^{l-1})(n) + (n^{p^l})) = \frac{1}{p^l}(n^{p^l} - n^{p^{l-1}} + p^{l-1}(n^{p^{l-1}} - n) + p^l n).$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} n^{p^{l-1}} - n &= (n^{p^{l-1}} - n^{p^{l-2}}) + (n^{p^{l-2}} - n^{p^{l-3}}) + \dots + (n^p - n) \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $p^l \mid p^{l-1}(n^{p^{l-1}} - n)$ , whence

$$\frac{1}{p^l}(n^{p^l} - n^{p^{l-1}})$$

*should depend on  $i$*   
*come see me in office hours, please.*

*...or see solutions, to be posted soon!*

is an integer, so  $p^l | n^{p^l} - n^{p^{l-1}}$ , as desired. Therefore, by strong induction, our proof is complete.

And that's the end of the proof. I hope you enjoyed it. It was a pleasure to do. Goodbye, goodbye, goodbye. Goodbye, goodbye, goodbye. Goodbye, goodbye, goodbye. Goodbye, goodbye, goodbye. I will continue this conclusion by induction. The base case is "goodbye." The inductive step is "goodbye." The conclusion is "goodbye." The proof is complete. Goodbye. ■

**Problem 4** (Crowns with missing jewels; Extra-Credit). A crown with  $n$  places for diamonds is missing  $k$  of them. How many distinguishable ways can this happen? In other words, how many convex  $k$ -gons can be formed from the vertices of a regular  $n$ -gon, where two  $k$ -gons are considered distinguishable when they do not arise from each other by rotations.

*Proof.* We apply Polyá's Enumeration Theorem. Let  $G$  be the cyclic group of order  $n$ . We want the coefficient of the  $z^k$  term in

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|n} \phi(d) z_d^{n/d},$$

and  $z_d = (1 + z^d)$  ( $z$  is like the 'generator'). There is a nonzero coefficient of  $z^k$  in  $(1 + z^d)^{n/d}$  when  $k|d$ , and this coefficient is  $\binom{n/d}{k/d}$ . Thus, our desired coefficient is the sum

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{d|\gcd(k,n)} \phi(d) \binom{n/d}{k/d}.$$

why  
do this  
... needs  
explanation.

why?