Regular Expression Equivalence via Derivatives

Fabian Hellauer

Languages

Words are lists.

Languages are sets of words.

• Interesting languages are the infinite ones.

• Regular expressions (REs) are finite representations of languages

Regular Expressions

$$L(\mathbf{\emptyset}) = \emptyset$$

$$L(\varepsilon) = \{[]\}$$

$$L(a) = \{[a]\}$$

$$L(r+s) = L(r) \cup L(s)$$

$$L(r \cdot s) = L(r)L(s)$$

$$L(r^*) = (L(r))^*$$

Equivalence problem:

Is
$$L(r_1) = L(r_2)$$
?

Goal

Equivalence checker for REs

Sample goal:

$$L\left((\epsilon+a\cdot b)^*\cdot (b+a)\right)=L\left((a\cdot b+\epsilon)^*\cdot (a+b)\right)$$

→ have the machine prove that for us

The textbook method

A naive algorithm to decide RE equivalence:

- 1. construct NFAs from the REs
- 2. convert the NFAs to DFAs
- 3. minimize the DFAs

For each of these steps, we would have to

- express an algorithm
- prove that this algorithm preserves the represented language

Bisimulation

Derivative-Language w.r.t. an atom:

$$D_x(A) := \{xs. \ x \# xs \in A\}$$

A relation " \sim " with the following properties is called bisimulation:

for all A and B, if $A \sim B$, then $[] \in A \leftrightarrow [] \in B$ and $\forall x. D_x(A) \sim D_x(B)$.

Lemma:

If " \sim " is a bisimulation, then $A \sim B$ implies A = B. proof by list induction.

Derivatives of REs

 ${f \cdot}$ D is not useful, it works on the extensional representation use operation on REs instead: d

goal:
$$L(d_a(r)) = D_a(L(r))$$

with $d:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a \ rexp \Rightarrow 'a \ rexp$ computable

• These are the rules (Brzozowski 1964):

$$d_a(\emptyset) = \emptyset$$
 $d_a(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$
 $d_a(\varepsilon) = \emptyset$
 $d_a(\varepsilon) = (if a = b then \varepsilon else \emptyset)$
 $d_a(r+s) = d_a r + d_a s$

Derivatives of REs (cont.)

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(r \cdot s) &= \\ (let \, drs = d_a \, (r) \cdot s \\ & in \, if \, [\,] \in L(r) \, then \, drs + d_a \, (s) \, else \, drs) \end{aligned}$$

$$d_a(r^*) = d_a(r) \cdot r^*$$

 $L(d_a(r)) = D_a(L(r))$ follows by structural induction.

Bisimulations (cont.)

We transpose the definition and lemma to the world of REs:

```
is-bisimulation as ps \leftrightarrow (\forall (r, s) \in ps.
([] \in L(r) \leftrightarrow [] \in L(s)) \land (\forall a \in set \ as. \ (d_a(r), d_a(s)) \in ps) \land atoms \ r \cup atoms \ s \subseteq set \ as
)
```

 $is_bisimulation \ as \ ps \Longrightarrow (r,s) \in ps \Longrightarrow L(r) = L(s)$

Algorithm

Assume we have a function that iterates a step S until a test t fails:

```
fun while where while t s state =
   (if t s then while t s (s state) else state)
```

In our case, *state* has the type

 $(\alpha \ rexp \times \alpha \ rexp) \ list \times (\alpha \ rexp \times \alpha \ rexp) \ list$

step

- A pair (r, s) from the work set is processed
- All pairs that are missing for the property

$$\forall a \in set \ as. \ (d_a(r), d_a(s)) \in R)$$

are added to the work set.

as will be the set of atoms in the original expressions (this does not change during execution).

step

```
fun step where step as (ws, ps) = (let new_p = hd ws; ps' = new_p \# ps; new_w = [p \leftarrow succs \ as \ new_p \ . \ p \not\in set \ ps' \cup set \ ws] in (new_w @ tl ws, ps'))
...where succs as (r, s) = map (\lambda a. (d_a(r), d_a(s))) as
```

We will iterate this step using the while function.

test

```
test (ws,_) \leftrightarrow (case ws of 

[] \Rightarrow False |

(r, s)#_ \Rightarrow [] \in L(r) \leftrightarrow [] \in L(s)
```

The loop terminates if either

- the work set is empty (bisimulation constructed)
- a *definitely* nonequivalent pair of REs is to be processed (counterexample found)

Invariant

```
pre-bisim as r s (ws, ps) \leftrightarrow
(r, s) \in ws \cup ps \land
(\forall (p, q) \in ps.
([] \in L(p) \leftrightarrow [] \in L(q)) \land
(\forall a \in as. (d_a(p), d_a(q)) \in ps \cup ws)) \land
(\forall (p, q) \in ws \cup ps. atoms p \cup atoms q \subseteq set as)
```

Towards termination

We now have soundness, but the execution often accumulates large REs of the form

$$\emptyset \cdot (...) + \emptyset \cdot (...) + \emptyset \cdot (...) + ...$$
 or $\varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon \cdot (...)$

Enhancing d_a to also use simplifications like

$$\emptyset \cdot (...) \equiv \emptyset$$
 $\emptyset + r \equiv r$ $\varepsilon \cdot r \equiv r$

or their symmetric variants is no problem as long as

$$L(d_a(r)) = D_a(L(r))$$

Example goal

$$L((a \cdot b)^* \cdot a) = L(a \cdot (b \cdot a)^*)$$

Brzozowki's result about termination

ACI-equivalence

equality modulo associativity, commutativity and idempotence of +

In each step, we add the following to the work set:

 $\{(r,s) \leftarrow \text{succs as (hd ws)} \cdot (r,s) \notin \text{set ps'} \cup \text{set ws} \}$

If the ∉-filter also considers ACI-equivalent REs to be equal, then the computation terminates.

Decidability of ACI-equivalence (not verified)

equality modulo associativity, commutativity and idempotence of +

- ACI-equality of two REs can be reduced to equality by recursively sorting subterms of nested + terms, and eliminating duplicates
 - \rightarrow Use some arbitrary order on the constructors: $\emptyset < \varepsilon < \alpha < (_)^* < (_\cdot_)$
 - The calls also make lists out of nested +'s.
 - →Afterwards, check for equality.
- alternative: keep the REs in this normal form, as an invariant

Extensions

• " \subseteq " goals: Use the rule $A \subseteq B \longleftrightarrow A \cup B = B$

• extended regular expressions:

$$\overline{r}$$
 (complement) $r\&s$

→ We need derivative rules for these

$$d_a(\overline{r}) = \overline{d_a(r)}$$

$$d_a(r \& s) = d_a(r) \& d_a(s)$$

Questions