

Question 3 of 12

JAVASCRIPT

Report an issue

ECMAScript 7

Copy to IDE

Solution History

An image gallery is a set of images with corresponding *remove* buttons. This is the HTML code for a gallery with two images:

```
<div class="image">
  
  <button class="remove">X</button>
</div>
<div class="image">
  
  <button class="remove">X</button>
</div>
```

Implement the *setup* function that registers a click event handler and implements the following logic: When the button of class *remove* is clicked, its parent *<div>* element should be removed from the gallery.

For example, after the first image has been removed from the gallery above, its HTML code should look like this:

```
<div class="image">
  
  <button class="remove">X</button>
</div>
```

```
1 function setup() {
2   var els = document.getElementsByClassName("remove");
3   for (var i = 0; i < els.length; i++) {
4     els[i].addEventListener('click', function (e) {
5       e.preventDefault();
6       e.target.closest('div.image').remove();
7     });
8   }
9 }
10
11 // Example case.
12 document.body.innerHTML = `
13 <div class="image">
14   
15   <button class="remove">X</button>
16 </div>
17 <div class="image">
18   
19   <button class="remove">X</button>
20 </div>;
21
22 setup();
23
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

Output

Tests: 3 pass / 0 fail

<div class="image">

<button class="remove">X</button>
</div>

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 26min

Question 5 of 12

JAVASCRIPT

Report an issue

ECMAScript 7

Copy to IDE

Solution History

Implement the *removeProperty* function which takes an object and property name, and does the following:

If the object *obj* has a property *prop*, the function removes the property from the object and returns *true*; in all other cases it returns *false*.

```
1 function removeProperty(obj, prop) {
2
3   // return (Object.keys(obj).indexOf(prop) > -1) ? delete obj[prop] : false;
4
5   if (obj[prop] != undefined) {
6     delete obj[prop];
7     return true;
8   } else {
9     return false;
10  }
11  return null;
12 }
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

Output

Tests: 2 pass / 0 fail

✔ Property removed: Correct answer

✔ Correct return value: Correct answer

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 12min

Question 6 of 12 JAVASCRIPT Report an issue ECMAScript 7 Copy to IDE Solution History

Function *appendChildren* should add a new child div to each existing div. New divs should be decorated by calling *decorateDiv*.

For example, after *appendChildren* is executed, the following divs:

```
<div id="a">
  <div id="b">
  </div>
</div>
```

should take the following form (assuming *decorateDiv* does nothing):

```
<div id="a">
  <div id="b">
    <div></div>
  </div>
  <div></div>
</div>
```

The code below should do the job, but for some reason it goes into an infinite loop. Fix the bugs.

```
1 function appendChildren(decorateDiv) {
2   // var allDivs = document.getElementsByTagName("div"); // 1st
3
4   var allDivs=document.querySelectorAll("div"); //2nd
5
6   // var len = allDivs.length; // with 1st use
7
8   for (var i = 0; i < allDivs.length; i++) {
9     var newDiv = document.createElement("div");
10    decorateDiv(newDiv);
11    allDivs[i].appendChild(newDiv);
12  }
13
14  // Example case.
15  document.body.innerHTML = `
16    <div id="a">
17      <div id="b">
18      </div>
19    </div>;
20
21  //appendChildren(function(div) {});
22  console.log(document.body.innerHTML);
23 }
```

Run Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great! Output Tests: 2 pass / 0 fail

```
<div id="a">
<div id="b">
</div>
</div>
```

Submit & next Question time remaining: 38min

Question 7 of 12 REACT Report an issue

Consider the following React components:

```
const SecurityContext = React.createContext({ username: "", permissions: [] });

const ControlsComponent = (props) => {
  return (
    <SecurityContext.Provider value={{ username: props.username }}>
      <LogoutWrapper></LogoutWrapper>
    </SecurityContext.Provider>
  );
};

const LogoutWrapper = (props) => {
  var context = React.useContext(SecurityContext);

  return (
    <div>
      <p>{context.username}</p>
      <button>Click here to logout</button>
    </div>
  );
};
```

Select all the correct statements if *ControlsComponent* is rendered with the *username* prop equal to "Isaac"

Submit & next Question time remaining: 4min

Question 8 of 12

Report an issue

HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM)

Copy to IDE

Solution History

You have a `GroceryApp` component, which receives a list of products, each one with `name` and `votes`. The app should render an unordered list, with a list item for each product. Products can be upvoted or downvoted.

By appropriately using React `state` and `props`, implement the upvote/downvote logic. Keep the state in the topmost component, while the `Product` component should accept props.

For example, passing the following array as `products` prop to `GroceryApp` [{ name: "Oranges", votes: 0 }, { name: "Bananas", votes: 0 }] and clicking the '+' button next to the Oranges should result in HTML like:

```
<div id="root">
  <ul>
    <li>
      <span>Oranges</span> - <span>votes: 1</span><button
    >+</button> <button>-</button>
    </li>
    <li>
      <span>Bananas</span> - <span>votes: 0</span><button
    >+</button> <button>-</button>
    </li>
  </ul>
</div>
```

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 41min

```
39 </ul>
40 };
41 );
42
43 document.body.innerHTML = "<div id='root'></div>";
44 const root = ReactDOM.createRoot(document.getElementById("root"));
45 root.render(
46   <GroceryApp
47     products=[
48       { name: "Oranges", votes: 0 },
49       { name: "Bananas", votes: 0 }
50     ]
51   />);
52
53 setTimeout(() => {
54   let plusButton = document.querySelector("ul > li > button");
55   if(plusButton) {
56     plusButton.click();
57   }
58   setTimeout(() => {
59     console.log(document.getElementById('root').outerHTML);
60   });
61 });
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

Output

Tests: 4 pass / 0 fail

Warning: Encountered two children with the same key, `s`. Keys should be unique so that components maintain their identity across updates. Non-unique keys may cause children to be duplicated and/or omitted - the behavior is unsupported and could change in a future version.%s[object Object]

at ul

at GroceryApp (eval at <anonymous> (file:///D:/Temp/idb1kfm...), <anonymous>:25:54)

Type here to search

36°C Sunny

4:30 PM 3/9/2023

Question 8 of 12

Report an issue

HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM)

Copy to IDE

Solution History

You have a `GroceryApp` component, which receives a list of products, each one with `name` and `votes`. The app should render an unordered list, with a list item for each product. Products can be upvoted or downvoted.

By appropriately using React `state` and `props`, implement the upvote/downvote logic. Keep the state in the topmost component, while the `Product` component should accept props.

For example, passing the following array as `products` prop to `GroceryApp` [{ name: "Oranges", votes: 0 }, { name: "Bananas", votes: 0 }] and clicking the '+' button next to the Oranges should result in HTML like:

```
<div id="root">
  <ul>
    <li>
      <span>Oranges</span> - <span>votes: 1</span><button
    >+</button> <button>-</button>
    </li>
    <li>
      <span>Bananas</span> - <span>votes: 0</span><button
    >+</button> <button>-</button>
    </li>
  </ul>
</div>
```

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 41min

```
1 // React is loaded and is available as React and ReactDOM
2 // Imports should NOT be used
3 const Product = props => {
4   const { onVote, idx, product } = props;
5
6   const plus = () => {
7     // Call props.onVote to increase the vote count for this product
8     props.onVote(1, idx);
9   };
10  const minus = () => {
11    // Call props.onVote to decrease the vote count for this product
12    props.onVote(-1, idx);
13  };
14
15  return (
16    <li>
17      <span>{product.name}</span> - <span>votes: {product.votes}</span>
18      <button onClick={plus}>+</button> <button onClick={minus}>-</button>
19    </li>
20  );
21 };
22
23 // ...
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

Output

Tests: 4 pass / 0 fail

Warning: Encountered two children with the same key, `s`. Keys should be unique so that components maintain their identity across updates. Non-unique keys may cause children to be duplicated and/or omitted - the behavior is unsupported and could change in a future version.%s[object Object]

at ul

at GroceryApp (eval at <anonymous> (file:///D:/Temp/idb1kfm...), <anonymous>:25:54)

Type here to search

36°C Sunny

4:31 PM 3/9/2023

Question 8 of 12

Report an issue

HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM)

Copy to IDE

Solution History

You have a *GroceryApp* component, which receives a list of products, each one with *name* and *votes*. The app should render an unordered list, with a list item for each product. Products can be upvoted or downvoted.

By appropriately using React *state* and *props*, implement the upvote/downvote logic. Keep the state in the topmost component, while the *Product* component should accept props.

For example, passing the following array as *products* prop to *GroceryApp* [{ name: "Oranges", votes: 0 }, { name: "Bananas", votes: 0 }] and clicking the '+' button next to the Oranges should result in HTML like:

```
<div id="root">
  <ul>
    <li>
      <span>Oranges</span> - <span>votes: 1</span><button
      >+</button> <button>-</button>
    </li>
    <li>
      <span>Bananas</span> - <span>votes: 0</span><button
      >+</button> <button>-</button>
    </li>
  </ul>
</div>
```

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 41min

```
21  });
22  };
23
24  const GroceryApp = (props) => {
25    let [products, setProducts] = React.useState(props.products);
26    const onVote = (dir, index) => {
27      // Update the products array accordingly ...
28      let newProduct = [...products];
29      newProduct[index].votes += dir;
30      setProducts(newProduct)
31    };
32
33    return (
34      <ul>
35        /* Render an array of products, which should call onVote when + or - is clicked */
36        (products.map((product, index) => (
37          <Product product={product} key={product} idx={index} onVote={onVote} />
38        )))
39      </ul>
40    );
41  }
42
43  document.body.innerHTML = "<div id='root'></div>";
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

Output

Tests: 4 pass / 0 fail

Warning: Encountered two children with the same key, `%. Keys should be unique so that components maintain their identity across updates. Non-unique keys may cause children to be duplicated and/or omitted - the behavior is unsupported and could change in a future version.%(object Object)`
at ul
at GroceryApp (eval at <anonymous> (file:///D:/Temp/idxblkfmb.j55/154b2793-4e27-4244-a0a2-34e4a5d63286.html:132:9), <anonymous>:25:54)

Question 9 of 12

Report an issue

HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM)

Copy to IDE

Solution History

Write a *ToDoList* component that expects an *items* prop which is a list of objects, each with *text* and *done* properties.

ToDoList also accepts an *onItemClick* function prop, which should be called when a user clicks an item in the list, if the item is not marked as *done*. Otherwise, the *onItemClick* should not be called and the click event itself should not be propagated further. The function should be called with the *item* object from the *items* list as the first parameter and the event as the second parameter.

```
1  // React is loaded and is available as React and ReactDOM
2  // Imports should NOT be used
3  const TodoItem = (props) => <li onClick={props.onClick}>{props.item.text}</li>
4
5  class ToDoList extends React.Component {
6    render() {
7      // with onListClick parent click trigger
8      // , onListClick remove
9      const { items } = this.props;
10     return <ul> {/*onClick={onListClick} remove*/}
11     {items.map((item, index) =>
12       <TodoItem item={item} key={index} onClick={this.handleClick.bind(this, item)} />)}
13     </ul>;
14   }
15
16   handleClick(item, event) {
17     // Write your code here
18     if(!item.done){
19       event.preventDefault();
20       this.props.onItemClick(item, event)
21     }
22   }
23 }
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

Output

Tests: 2 pass / 0 fail

Clicking on a not done item should trigger onItemClick: Correct answer

Clicking on a done item should not trigger onItemClick or a parent click: Correct answer

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 28min

Question 9 of 12 REACT [Report an issue](#) HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM) [Copy to IDE](#) [Solution History](#)

Write a *ToDoList* component that expects an *items* prop which is a list of objects, each with *text* and *done* properties.

ToDoList also accepts an *onItemClick* function prop, which should be called when a user clicks an item in the list, if the item is not marked as *done*. Otherwise, the *onItemClick* should not be called and the click event itself should not be propagated further. The function should be called with the *item* object from the *items* list as the first parameter and the event as the second parameter.

```

17 // Write your code here
18 if(!item.done){
19   event.preventDefault();
20   this.props.onItemClick(item,event)
21 }
22 }
23
24
25 const items = [ { text: 'Buy grocery', done: true },
26                 { text: 'Play guitar', done: false },
27                 { text: 'Romantic dinner', done: false }
28 ];
29
30 const App = (props) => <ToDoList
31   items={props.items}
32   // onListClick={event} => console.log("List clicked!")
33   onItemClick={item, event} => { console.log(item, event) }
34 />;
35
36 document.body.innerHTML = "<div id='root'></div>";
37 const root = ReactDOM.createRoot(document.getElementById("root"));
38
39 root.render(<App items={items}/>);

```

Run Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great! Output Tests: 2 pass / 0 fail

- Clicking on a not done item should trigger onItemClick: Correct answer
- Clicking on a done item should not trigger onItemClick or a parent click: Correct answer

Submit & next Question time remaining: 28min

Question 10 of 12 REACT [Report an issue](#) HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM) [Copy to IDE](#) [Solution History](#)

This application should allow the user to update their username by inputting a custom value and clicking the button.

The *Username* component is finished and should not be changed, but the *App* component is missing parts. Finish the *App* component so that the *Username* component displays the inputted text when the button is clicked.

The *App* component should use the *React.useRef* Hook to pass the input to the *Username* component for the *input* element and for the *Username* component.

For example, if the user inputs a new username of "John Doe" and clicks the button, *App*'s div element should look like this:

```

<div><button>Change Username</button><input type="text"><h1>John Doe</h1></div>

```

There might be multiple *App* elements on the page.

```

1 // React is loaded and is available as React and ReactDOM
2 // imports should NOT be used
3 class Username extends React.Component {
4   state = { value: "" };
5
6   changeValue(value) {
7     this.setState({ value });
8   }
9
10  render() {
11    const { value } = this.state;
12    return <h1>{value}</h1>;
13  }
14 }
15
16 function App() {
17
18   const inputRef = React.useRef();
19   const usernameRef = React.useRef();
20
21   function clickHandler() {
22     usernameRef.current.changeValue(inputRef.current.value);
23   }
24
25   return (
26     <div>
27       <button onClick={clickHandler}>Change Username</button>
28       <input type="text" ref={inputRef} />
29       <Username ref={usernameRef} />
30     </div>
31   );
32 }
33

```

Run Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great! Output Tests: 3 pass / 0 fail

- Example case: Correct answer
- Clicking on the button updates the username from the input: Correct answer
- Reference Hook was used to update username: Correct answer

Submit & next Question time remaining: 38min

Question 10 of 12

REACT

[Report an issue](#)

HTML5, CSS3, React v18 (available as React and ReactDOM)

[Copy to IDE](#)[Solution History](#)

This application should allow the user to update their username by inputting a custom value and clicking the button.

The *Username* component is finished and should not be changed, but the *App* component is missing parts. Finish the *App* component so that the *Username* component displays the inputted text when the button is clicked.

The *App* component should use the *React.useRef* Hook to pass the input to the *Username* component for the *input* element and for the *Username* component.

For example, if the user inputs a new username of "John Doe" and clicks the button, *App*'s div element should look like this:

```
<div><button>Change Username</button><input type="text"><h1>John Doe</h1></div>
```

There might be multiple *App* elements on the page.

```
13 }
14 }
15
16 function App() {
17   const inputRef = React.useRef();
18   const usernameRef = React.useRef();
19
20   function clickHandler() {
21     usernameRef.current.handleChange(inputRef.current.value);
22   }
23
24   return (
25     <div>
26       <button onClick={clickHandler}>Change Username</button>
27       <input type="text" ref={inputRef} />
28       <Username ref={usernameRef} />
29     </div>
30   );
31 }
32
33
34 document.body.innerHTML = "<div id='root'></div>";
35 const root = ReactDOM.createRoot(document.getElementById("root"));
36 root.render(<App />);
37
38 setTimeout(() => {
39   document.querySelector("input").value = "John Doe";
40   document.querySelector("button").click();
41
42   setTimeout(() => {
43     console.log(document.getElementById("root").innerHTML);
44   }, 300);
45 }, 300);
```

Run

Compilation OK and all test cases pass, great!

[Output](#)

Tests: 3 pass / 0 fail

- ✓ Example case: Correct answer
- ✓ Clicking on the button updates the username from the input: Correct answer
- ✓ Reference Hook was used to update username: Correct answer

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 38min

Browser tabs: Online Course, Shopping App, MERN STACK, mernProject, Galaxy - "One", Realtime Chat, Question | Te...

Address bar: app.testdome.com/t/8168971d6f33430cb2593f0f414a158d

Search bar: Type here to search

Taskbar: Gmail, Sample-task, Maps, YouTube, Todos-list, ECMAScript 6: New..., React App, Shopping App

System tray: 36°C Sunny, 5:11 PM, 3/9/2023

Question 11 of 12

REDUX TOOLKIT

[Report an issue](#)

Consider the following Redux reducer defined to manage a wish list:

```
const wishListReducer = createReducer(initialState, (builder) => {
  builder
    .addCase(removeItem, (state, action) => {
      state.wishList = state.wishList.filter((item) => item !== action.payload)
    })
    .addCase(addItem, (state, action) => {
      if(state.wishList.length < 10) {
        state.wishList.push(action.payload)
      }
    })
    .addDefaultCase((state, action) => {});
});

const store = configureStore({
  reducer: {
    cart: wishListReducer,
  },
});
```

The initial wish list:

```
const initialState = /
```

[SCROLL FOR MORE](#)

Submit & next

Question time remaining: 5min

```
reducer: {
  cart: wishListReducer,
},
});
```

The initial wish list:

```
const initialState = {
  wishList: [
    "Toy Car",
    "Football"
  ],
};
```

Considering the *initialState* and *wishListReducer* defined above, what is true about *wishListReducer*?

(Select all acceptable answers.)

- ☒ The *addItem* action will have no effect on the state if there are more than 11 items in *wishList* in the *initialState*.
- ☒ The *addItem* action will add an item to the *state* when the *payload* has a value that already exists in the *state*.
- ☐ The *addItem* action will be applied multiple times to the *state* when the *payload* is an array.
- ☒ *removeItem* reducer will be invoked when the *removeItem* action is dispatched and the *payload* is *undefined*.
- ☒ The default case will be invoked when the *state* is empty and *removeItem* action is dispatched.
- ☐ The default case will be invoked after every invocation of *addItem* or *removeItem* reducer, regardless of any changes to the state.

SCROLL FOR MORE

Submit & next

Question time remaining: **3min**

A web application for a meteorologist's dashboard uses a Redux store to manage temperature data. The Redux store is configured with the Redux toolkit where the latest temperature data is fetched using the Redux *thunk* function.

Below is the code for the store's configuration:

```
const weatherURL = "http://example.com/temperature.json";

const fetchTemperature = createAsyncThunk(
  "weather/fetchTemperature",
  async (arg, thunkAPI) => {
    const response = await fetch(weatherURL);
    return response.json();
  }
);

const temperatureSlice = createSlice({
  name: "temperature",
  initialState: { temperature: 0 },
  reducers: {},
  extraReducers: (builder) => {
    builder.addCase(fetchTemperature.fulfilled, (state, action) => {
      state.temperature = action.payload.temperature;
    });
    builder.addCase(fetchTemperature.pending, (state, action) => {
      state.temperature = "loading";
    });
    builder.addCase(fetchTemperature.rejected, (state, action) => {
      console.log(action);
      state.temperature = "unavailable";
    });
  },
});
```

SCROLL FOR MORE

Submit & next

Question time remaining: **10min**

```
initialState: { temperature: 0 },
reducers: {},
extraReducers: (builder) => {
  builder.addCase(fetchTemperature.fulfilled, (state, action) => {
    state.temperature = action.payload.temperature;
  });
  builder.addCase(fetchTemperature.pending, (state, action) => {
    state.temperature = "loading";
  });
  builder.addCase(fetchTemperature.rejected, (state, action) => {
    console.log(action);
    state.temperature = "unavailable";
  });
},
});

const weatherStore = configureStore({ reducer: temperatureSlice.reducer });
```

What is true about the behavior of *weatherStore*?

(Select all acceptable answers.)

- ☒ A request will be made to *weatherURL* every time *dispatch(fetchTemperature())* is called.
- ☒ *state.temperature* will be set as "unavailable" when *dispatch(fetchTemperature())* is called and *fetch(weatherURL)* throws an error.
- ☐ The *fetchTemperature.fulfilled* case will be invoked only when the response from *weatherURL* has a different temperature than the current state.
- ☐ The JSON response from *weatherURL* must have the same schema as the state object for the *fetchTemperature.fulfilled* case to be invoked.

SCROLL FOR MORE

Submit & next

Question time remaining: **10min**