



# Assignment grading

Course: Advanced Computing Concepts

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Failure to meet the requirements	Criter Score >
AssisignmenCoompleetees (40pts)	31–40 points  All assigned tasks are completed with proficiency, meeting the assignment's fundamental requirements.	21–30 points  Most assigned tasks are completed well, demonstrating a good understanding of the assignment's requirements.	11–20 points  Several tasks are accomplished, but there are noticeable gaps or functional issues.	1–10 points  Few tasks are completed, and significant issues hinder the assignment's functionality.	0 points  <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The student didn't submit the assigned variant.</li> <li>The plagiarism report for their source code in the *.txt file exceeds 50%.</li> <li>The student didn't submit all the required files.</li> <li>Other reasons.</li> </ul>	/ 40

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Failure to meet the requirements	Criterion Score
Report (30 pts)	21–30 points Comments <b>and</b> explanations ( <b>both</b> ) in the report are clear, detailed, and comprehensive, enhancing the understanding of the program.	11–20 points Comments <b>or</b> explanations ( <b>but not both</b> ) in the report and are clear, detailed, and comprehensive, enhancing the understanding of the program.	6–10 points Comments <b>or</b> explanations are present and mostly clear, but some areas could benefit from more	1–5 points Documentation is minimal and lacks clarity, making it somewhat challenging to understand the program.	0 points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The report is missing</li> <li>The student didn't submit the assigned variant.</li> <li>The plagiarism report for their source code in the *.txt file exceeds 50%.</li> <li>The student didn't submit all the required files.</li> <li>No comments/explanations</li> <li>Other reasons.</li> </ul>	/ 30
Final (10 pts)	5.1–10.0 points The Java program functions perfectly, handling all inputs and situations without errors.	3.1–5.0 points The program works well overall but might encounter minor issues or limitations in specific scenarios.	1.1–3.0 points The program has noticeable functionality issues, with some major features not working as intended.	0.1–1.0 points The program's functionality is severely flawed, and it struggles to perform basic tasks.	0.0 points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The student didn't submit the assigned variant.</li> <li>The plagiarism report for their source code in the *.txt file exceeds 50%.</li> <li>The student didn't submit all the required files.</li> <li>Other reasons.</li> </ul>	/ 10

Criteria	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Needs Improvement	Failure to meet the requirements	Criterion Score
Source Code Quality (20 pts)	16–20 points The code follows Java coding conventions meticulously, is highly readable, and well-organized.	11–15 points The code adheres to most Java conventions, is fairly readable, and reasonably organized.	6–10 points Coding conventions are partially followed, and the code's readability and organization need improvement.	1–5 points The code deviates significantly from Java conventions, is challenging to read, and lacks proper organization.	0 points <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The student didn't submit their source code.</li> <li>The student didn't submit the assigned variant.</li> <li>The plagiarism report for their source code in the *.txt file exceeds 50%.</li> <li>The student didn't submit all the required files.</li> <li>Other reasons.</li> </ul>	/ 20

Total

/ 100

## Overall Score

**Excellent**

90 points minimum

**Very Good**

80 points minimum

**Good**

70 points minimum

**Satisfactory**

50 points minimum

**Poor**

0 points minimum

