

Recap

First-Order Logic:

- Allows descriptions of relations and properties.
- Allows the descriptions of relations and properties in a compounded manner.
- Permits the use of constant and variables.
- Allows general statements to be made.
- Separation of inference from the representation of knowledge.

Recap

- Normal Forms
 - − P=>Q can be rewritten as ¬ P v Q
- Auto documentation with predicate naming
 - Reading from left to right
 - e.g., grandfather(Philip, William)
- For all quantifier (universal for all models) is used with implication connective
 - ∀ with =>
- Existential quantifier (satisfiable for at least one model) is used with and connective
 - ∃ with ^

Recap

Generation of complex sentences

Composition via

Connectives – expressiveness

i.e. complex relations

Generalization – universal statement

existential statement

Nesting and Mixing Quantifiers

Combining ∀ and ∃

- Express more complex sentences
 - e.g., "if x is the parent of y, then y is the child of x":
 - \forall x, \forall y Parent(x, y) \Rightarrow Child(y, x)
 - "everyone has a parent": ∀ x, ∃ y Parent(y, x)
- Semantics depends on quantifiers ordering
 - e.g., $\exists y, \forall x Parent(y, x)$
 - "there is someone who is everybody's parent"?

Well-formed formula (WFF)

Sentences with all variables properly quantified

Connections between Quantifiers

Equivalences

Using the negation (hence only one quantifier is needed)

$$\forall x P(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg P(x)$$

• e.g. "everyone is mortal":

$$\forall x \; Mortal(x) \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \neg Mortal(x)$$

De Morgan's Laws

•
$$\forall x \ P \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \ \neg P$$
 $P \land Q \Leftrightarrow \neg (\neg P \lor \neg Q)$
 $\forall x \ \neg P \Leftrightarrow \neg \exists x \ P$ $\neg P \land \neg Q \Leftrightarrow \neg (P \lor Q)$
 $\neg \forall x \ P \Leftrightarrow \exists x \ \neg P$ $\neg (P \land Q) \Leftrightarrow \neg P \lor \neg Q$
 $\neg \forall x \ \neg P \Leftrightarrow \exists x \ P$ $\neg (\neg P \land \neg Q) \Leftrightarrow P \lor Q$

Equality Predicate Symbol

Need for equality

- State that two terms refer to the <u>same object</u>
 - e.g., Father(John) = Henry, or
 - =(Father(John), Henry)
- Useful to define properties
 - e.g. "King John has two brothers":
 - \exists x, y Brother(x, KingJohn) Λ Brother(y, KingJohn) $\Lambda \neg (x=y)$

Grammar of First-Order Logic

(Backus-Naur Form)

Sentence	\rightarrow	AtomicSentence (Sentence) Sentence Connective Sentence ¬Sentence Quantifier Variable, Sentence
AtomicSentence	\rightarrow	<u>Predicate(Term,</u> .) <u>Term</u> = Term
Term	\rightarrow	Function(Term,) Constant Variable
Connective Quantifier	$\begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \\ \rightarrow \end{array}$	$\Lambda \mid \vee \mid \Leftrightarrow \mid \Rightarrow \\ \forall \mid \exists$
Constant	\rightarrow	A X₁ John
Variable	\rightarrow	a x person
Predicate	\rightarrow	P() Colour() Before()
Function	\rightarrow	F() MotherOf() SquareRootOf()

Using First-Order Logic

Knowledge domain

A part of the world we want to express knowledge about

Example of the kinship domain

- Objects: people e.g., Elizabeth, Charles, William, etc.
- Properties: gender i.e., male, female
 Unary predicates: Male() and Female()
- Relations: kinship e.g., motherhood, brotherhood, etc.
 Binary predicates: Parent(), Sibling(), Brother(), Child(), etc.
 Functions: MotherOf(), FatherOf()
- > Express <u>facts</u> e.g., Charles is a male
 and <u>rules</u> e.g., the mother of a parent is a grandmother

Sample Functions and Predicates

Functions

```
\forall x,y FatherOf(x)=y \Leftrightarrow Parent(y,x) \Lambda Male(y)
\forall x,y MotherOf(x)=y \Leftrightarrow Parent(y,x) \Lambda Female(y)
```

Predicates

```
\forall x,y Parent(x,y) \Leftrightarrow Child(y,x)

\forall x,y Grandparent(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \exists z, Parent(x,z) \Lambda Parent(z,y)

\forall x,y Sibling(x,y) \Leftrightarrow \negx=y \Lambda \exists z, Parent(z,x) \Lambda Parent(z,y)

\forall x Male(x) \Leftrightarrow \negFemale(x)
```

Potential problems

- Self-definition (causes infinite recursion)
 - e.g., \forall x,y Child(x,y) \Leftrightarrow Parent(y,x) following the above

TELLing and ASKing

TELLing the KB

Assertion: add a sentence to the knowledge base

ASKing the KB

- Query: retrieve/infer a sentence from the knowledge base
- Yes/No answer
 - e.g. ASK(KB, Grandparent(Elizabeth, William))
- Binding list, or substitution
 - e.g. ASK(KB, ∃x Child(William, x)) yields {x / Charles}

Inferences Rules for FOL

Inference rules from Propositional Logic

- Modus Ponens
 - $\alpha \Rightarrow \beta, \alpha$ β
- And-Elimination
 - $\frac{\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 \wedge \dots \wedge \alpha_n}{\alpha_i}$
- Or-Introduction
 - $\begin{array}{c} \bullet & \alpha_i \\ \hline \alpha_1 \vee \alpha_2 \vee \ldots \vee \alpha_n \end{array}$

- Double-Negation-Elimination
 - $\frac{}{\alpha}$
- And-Introduction
 - $\begin{array}{c} \bullet & \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \\ \hline \alpha_1 \Lambda \alpha_2 \Lambda \dots \Lambda \alpha_n \end{array}$
- Resolution
 - $\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \ \neg \beta \vee \gamma}{\alpha \vee \gamma}$

Universal Elimination

- \forall x, Likes(x, flower)
- Substituting <u>x</u> by <u>Shirin</u> gives
- Likes(Shirin, flower)
- The substitution should be done by a <u>constant</u> term.
- In this way, the ∀ quantifier can be eliminated.

Existential Elimination/Introduction

Existential Elimination

- $-\exists x$, likes(x, flower)
- Can be changed to:
- likes(Person, flower)
- As long as the person is not in the knowledge base.

Existential Introduction

- Likes(Marry, flower)
- Can be written as:
- $-\exists x$, likes(x, flower)

Inferences Rules with Quantifiers

Substitutions

- SUBST(θ , α):
- binding list θ applied to a sentence α
 - e.g., SUBST({x / John, y / Richard}, Brother(x, y)) =
 Brother(John, Richard)

Working Example with Prolog

- SWI-Prolog offers a comprehensive free Prolog environment.
- Since its start in 1987, SWI-Prolog development has been driven by the needs of real world applications.
- SWI-Prolog is widely used in research and education as well as commercial applications.
- Download it here: https://www.swi-prolog.org/
- Let's see the "royal family" example together



Working Example with Prolog

```
×
SWI-Prolog -- d:/Documents/PhD/Courses/CZ3005/Lab Week 10/family.pl
                                                                                     File Edit Settings Run Debug Help
             stack_guard(Guard)
            current_prolog_flag(backtrace_depth, Depth)
        -> Depth>0
             Depth=20
        get_prolog_backtrace(Depth,
                               \operatorname{Stack} 0 .
                               [frame(Fr), guard(Guard)]),
        debug(backtrace, 'Stack = ~p', [Stack0]),
        clean stack(Stack0, Stack1),
        join_stacks(Ctx0, Stack1, Stack)
:- dynamic goal expansion/2.
:- multifile qoal expansion/2.
:- thread local thread message hook/3.
:- dynamic thread message hook/3.
:- volatile thread message hook/3.
parent of (warren, jerry).
parent of (maryalice, jerry).
parent of (warren, kather).
parent of (A, B) :-
    brother(B, C),
    parent of (A, C).
male(jerry).
male(stuart).
male(warren).
male(peter).
true.
?-
```

Thank you!

