

Tutorial 7 Distributed Systems and MapReduce

QUESTION 1

Amazon wants to estimate the Top-K best sold products from S purchase records of L products in the form of a list of (User id, Product id) pairs. Suppose there is a distributed system with 1 master machine and M slave machines. Assume that L is a multiple of M. Design a distributed computation procedure to finish the task. Please describe

- (1) how the data is distributed, computed and aggregated?
- (2) how much data is sent across machines?

SOLUTION - MAIN IDEA

Let us roughly think...

First, we let each machine handle L/M products. Distribute the records corresponding to Product 1, 2, ..., L/M to Machine 1, the records corresponding to Product L/M+1, ..., 2L/M to Machine 2, ...

Second, in each machine, we can simply compute the top-K sold products locally.

Third, when there are local Top-*K* best sold products computed from each machine, aggregate the results to finally form the global top-*K* results.

SOLUTION - DETAILS

Firstly, the master machine distributes the S purchase records to the slave machines, so that the records of Product 1, 2, ..., L/M are sent to Machine 1, the records of Product L/M+1, ..., 2L/M are sent to Machine 2, ...

Secondly, each machine computes the top-K sold products locally. In particular, for each product, we collect the frequencies of it being sold, and sort the frequencies and find the K products with top-K frequencies. Only send the top-K pairs of (Product id, Frequency) to master.

SOLUTION - DETAILS

Finally, the master machine aggregates all the *MK* pairs of (Product id, Frequency). Output the products with the Top-*K* frequencies.

SOLUTION - DATA SENT

Data Distribution Phase: S records have been sent out. Aggregation Phase: MK pairs of (Product id, Frequencies) have been sent out.

In total, the data sent out is in the scale of O(S+MK).

QUESTION 2

(1) In a MapReduce job, the output of Map phase is a list of key-value pairs:

(A, 1) (C, 2), (A, 5), (C, 6), (B, 3), (E, 3), (C, 8). Please list the possible input to the Reduce function.

The input to the *Reducer* aggregates the *Map* output keys. Hence, the possible input to the reducer is (A, {1, 5}); (B, {3}); (C, {2, 6, 8}); (E, {3}). (2) Based on the answer to Q2(1), write a Reduce function (in pseudocode) so that the MapReduce output is: (2, A), (3, C), (6, A), (7, C), (4, B), (4, E), (9, C).

```
Reduce(int key, iterator values){
   for(each v in values){
      Emit(v+1, key);
   }
}
```

- (3) Consider an employee table containing three columns (EmployeeID, age, monthly-salary) where age and monthly-salary are integers. Use MapReduce to collect the number of employees falling into each of the following two categories:
- Category 1: The age of the employee is between 30 and 40 (including 30 and 40). His/her monthly salary is at most 7000.
- Category 2: The age of the employee is between 40 and 50 (including 40 and 50). His/her monthly salary is more than 7000.

Please use **only one MapReduce Job** to achieve this task and write down the pseudocode of the Map function and Reduce function. The input key and value for Map function are an employee's age and monthly-salary respectively.

```
Map(int age, int salary){
   if(age\geq=30 and age\leq=40 and salary\leq=7000){
        Emit-Intermediate(1, 1);
   if(age \ge 40 \text{ and } age \le 50 \text{ and } salary \ge 7000){
        Emit-Intermediate(2, 1);
Reduce(int category, iterator values){
    int total frequency = 0;
    for(int frequency: values)
         total_frequency+=frequency;
    Emit(category, total_frequency);
```

QUESTION 3

Design MapReduce algorithms for the multiplication of two matrices *A*, *B* of *n* by *n*. Elements in the matrices are integers. The input key for *Map* function is *MatrixName*; the input value for *Map* function is in the form of *i;j;v*, indicating that the value of the *i*-th row and *j*-th column is *v*.

(Matrix Multiplication: Given matrix A[nxn] and matrix B[nxn], compute matrix C such that $C[i][z] = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} A[i][j]xB[j][z]$, for i,z in [0, n-1]).

- (1) Use at most two MapReduce jobs to finish the computation.
- (2) Furthermore, can the multiplication be finished using one MapReduce job?

SOLUTION - 1ST JOB

```
Map(String MatrixName, String value){
   int i = get i(value);// get row
   int j = get j(value);// get column
   int v = get v(value);// get value
                              Column as key
   if(MatrixName=="A")
       Emit-Intermediate(j, ToString(MatrixName, i, v));// combine
   else
       Emit-Intermediate(i, ToString(MatrixName, j, v));
                              Row as key
```

For each intermediate key j, the values can contain

- (1) A[u][j] for any u;
- (2) B[j][v] for any v;

What needs to be done in reduce()?

For any (u, v), send out A[u][j]*B[j][v] for aggregation of C[u][v]

SOLUTION - 1ST JOB

```
Reduce(String key, Iterator<String> values){
  int A_start[n]; int B_end[n];
  for(String value : values){
     String MatrixName=get_first_element(value);
     if(MatrixName=="A"){
        int i = get_second_element(value);// get row index in matrix A
        A start[i]=get third element(value);// get the matrix element
     else{
        int j = get_second_element(value);// get column index in matrix B
                                                                                   A[u][j]
                                                                                                B[j][v]
        B_end[j] = get_third_element(value);// get the matrix element
                                                      for (int u=0;u<n;u++)
                                                        for(int v=0;v<n;v++)
                                                          Emit(ToString(u,v), A_start[u]*B_end[v]);
```

THE 2ND JOB

Need to aggregate all the values for each pair (u,v).

So,

Map() does nothing;

Reduce() conducts the aggregation.

SOLUTION - 2ND JOB

```
Map(String key, String value){
    Emit-Intermediate(key, value);
}
```

SOLUTION - 2ND JOB

```
Reduce(String key, Iterator<String> values) {
   int sum=0;
   for (String value in values) {
     sum+=ToInteger(value);
   Emit(key, sum);
```

Can we use a single job only?

Think...

For any A[i][j], which elements of C would it contribute to?

Can we use a single job only?

Think...

For any A[i][j], which elements of C would it contribute to?

C[i][k] for any k

For any B[i][j], which elements of C would it contribute to? C[k][j] for any k

CAN WE FINISH THESE TASKS WITH ONE JOB ONLY?

```
Map(String MatrixName, String value){
   int i = get i(value);
   int j = get j(value);
   int v = get \ v(value);
   if(MatrixName=="A")
       for(int k=0;k< n;k++)
           Emit-Intermediate(ToString(i, k), ToString(MatrixName, j, v));// each A[i][j] may contribute
                                                                                   //to C[i][k] for any k
   else
       for(int k=0;k<n;k++)
                     Emit-Intermediate(ToString(k, j), ToString(MatrixName, i, v)); // each B[i][j] may
                                                                        //contribute to C[k][j] for any k
```

For each intermediate key (pair (i,j)), it aggregates

- (1) The values of A[i][u], for any u
- (2) The values of B[u][j], for any u

CAN WE FINISH THESE TASKS WITH ONE JOB ONLY?

```
Reduce(String index_pair_for_C, Iterator < String > values){
  int A middle[n]; int B middle[n];
  for(String value in values){
     String MatrixName=get first element(value);
     if(MatrixName=="A"){
                                                      int sum=0;
        int j = get_second_element(value);
                                                      for (int u=0;u<n;u++)
                                                        sum+=A_middle[u]*B_middle[u];
        A_middle[j]=get_third_element(value); \( \square$
                                                      Emit(index_pair_for_C, sum);
     else{
        int i = get_second_element(value);
        B_middle[i] = get_third_element(value);
```

EXAMPLE

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \times \begin{bmatrix} 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 \end{bmatrix}$$

EXAMPLE-MAP

```
A[0][0] \rightarrow ((0;0), (A; 0; A[0][0]))
                                                     B[0][0] \rightarrow ((0,0), (B, 0, B[0][0]))
          \rightarrow ((0;1), (A; 0; A[0][0]))
                                                                \rightarrow ((1;0), (B; 0; B[0][0]))
A[0][1] \rightarrow ((0;0), (A; 1; A[0][1]))
                                                     B[0][1] \rightarrow ((0;1), (B; 0; B[0][1]))
          \rightarrow ((0;1), (A; 1; A[0][1]))
                                                                \rightarrow ((1;1), (B; 0; B[0][1]))
A[1][0] \rightarrow ((1;0), (A; 0; A[1][0]))
                                                     B[1][0] \rightarrow ((0,0), (B; 1; B[1][0]))
          \rightarrow ((1;1), (A; 0; A[1][0]))
                                                                \rightarrow ((1;0), (B; 1; B[1][0]))
A[1][1] \rightarrow ((1;0), (A; 1; A[1][1]))
                                                     B[1][1] \rightarrow ((0;1), (B; 1; B[1][1]))
          \rightarrow ((1;1), (A; 1; A[1][1]))
                                                                \rightarrow ((1;1), (B; 1; B[1][1]))
```

EXAMPLE-REDUCE

Take (1,0) for example intermediate key

It aggregates {(A; 0; A[1][0]), (B; 0; B[0][0]), (A; 1; A[1][1]), (B; 1; B[1][0])}

Hence, we can compute C[1][0] by A[1][0]*B[0][0]+A[1][1]*B[1][0]