

Ass1

August 5, 2022

1 Assignment1

HengCheng Zhang

u7096187

1.1 Sets

- (a) $A \cap B = [0,1)$
- (b) $A \cup B = [-1,3]$
- (c) $A \cap C = [-1,0]$
- (d) $A \cup C = [-1,1)$
- (e) $B \cap C = \{0\}$
- (f) $B \cup C = [-1,3]$
- (g) $A \times B = \{ (x,y) \mid x \in [-1,1) , y \in [0,3] \}$
- (h) $\sup(A \cap B) = 0$
- (i) $\inf(A \cap \mathbb{R}) = -1$
- (j) $\sup(\mathbb{R} \cap B) = \infty$

1.2 Diagonal matrices

(a)

for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ $y_{\{1\}} = \{i=1\}^{\wedge n} D\{1,i\} \ x_{\{i\}} \ y_{\{2\}} = \{i=1\}^{\wedge n} D\{2,i\} \ x_{\{i\}} \ \ y_{\{n\}} = \{i=1\}^{\wedge n} D\{n,i\} \ x_{\{i\}} \$

Since D is a diagonal matrix, for all $i \neq j, D_{i,j} = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore y_1 &= D_{1,1} \cdot x_1 \\ y_2 &= D_{2,2} \cdot x_2 \\ &\vdots \\ y_n &= D_{n,n} \cdot x_n \end{aligned}$$

\therefore By changing n for $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, the cost of computing each element in y won't be affected.

Since $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the change of n will affect the size of y which will leads to a linear change in time complexity.

\therefore The cost of computing $y = Dx$ is $O(n)$

(b)

$$(D \cdot A)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n D_{i,k} \cdot A_{k,j} = D_{i,i} \cdot A_{i,j}$$

$$(A \cdot D)_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n A_{i,k} \cdot D_{k,j} = A_{i,j} \cdot D_{j,j}$$

\therefore As long as $D_{i,i} \neq D_{j,j}$, $DA \neq AD$

An counter example is for

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D \cdot A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 4 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \neq A \cdot D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

(c)

$$\det(D) = \sum_{\sigma} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \prod_{i=1}^n D_{i,\sigma(i)}$$

$$\prod_{i=1}^n D_{i,\sigma(i)} = \begin{cases} \prod_{i=1}^n D_{i,\sigma(i)} & \text{for } \sigma = (1, 2, 3, \dots, n) \\ 0 & \text{for the rest permutation of } \sigma \end{cases}$$

Because for all the subdiagonals of D , all the elements are 0. Therefore the product of them will also be 0

(d)

When the diagonal elements of D are all positive.

1.3 Triangular matrices

From 2(c) we know that for a diagonal matrix $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $\det(D) = \prod_{i=1}^n D_{i,i}$

Similarly, for a triangular matrix A , there always has zero element in each product of subdiagonals.

$$\text{Therefore } \det(A) = \prod_{i=1}^n D_{i,i}$$

$$\text{For eigenvalues } \lambda, \det(A - \lambda I) = 0 = \prod_{i=1}^n (A_{i,i} - \lambda)$$

$$\therefore \lambda = A_{i,i}$$

\therefore the eigenvalues of a triangular matrix equal to its diagonal elements

Since A needs to be non-singular if it is invertible.

Therefore $\det(A) \neq 0$.

Thus all eigenvalues needs to be positive for non-negative determinant.

\therefore A needs to be a positive definite triangular matrix when its invertible.

1.4 Determinant of a transpose

Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$

For $n = 1$

$$\det(A) = A_{1,1} = \det(A^T)$$

Assume $\det(A^T) = \det(A)$ when $n = k$

For $n = k+1$, let A_{11} denotes the matrix A without the row and column that contains $A_{1,1}$ $\det(A) = A_{1,1} \cdot \det(A_{11}) - A_{1,2} \cdot \det(A_{12}) + \dots + (-1)^{k+2} \cdot A_{1,k+1} \cdot \det(A_{1(k+1)})$

$$\begin{aligned}\det(A^T) &= A_{1,1}^T \cdot \det(A_{11}^T) - A_{2,1}^T \cdot \det(A_{21}^T) + \dots + (-1)^{k+2} \cdot A_{k+1,1}^T \cdot \det(A_{(k+1)1}^T) \\ &= A_{1,1} \cdot \det(A_{11}^T) - A_{1,2} \cdot \det(A_{21}^T) + \dots + (-1)^{k+2} \cdot A_{1,k+1} \cdot \det(A_{(k+1)1}^T)\end{aligned}$$

Since for $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ $\det(A) = \det(A^T)$

$$\therefore \det(A_{ij}^T) = \det(A_{ji}) \text{ for } i, j = 0, 1, 2, \dots, k$$

$$\therefore \text{for } n = k + 1, \det(A) = \det(A^T)$$

$$\therefore \text{for any square matrix } A, \det(A) = \det(A^T)$$

1.5 Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

Since $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

let $y \in \mathbb{R}^n = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1)$, where $\|y\|_2 = \sqrt{n}$

from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we can get $|x^T y| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2$

since $y_i = 1$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, n$

$$x^T y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \cdot y_i = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$$

$$\therefore 1 \leq \|x\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{n}$$

$$\therefore \|x\|_2 \geq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}$$

The lower bound doesn't change as the only assumption made is $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1$ and there's no restriction on whether x should be non-negative or not.

1.6 Least-squares

```
[30]: import numpy as np
```

```
[31]: A = np.array([[8, 4], [6, 6], [5, 8], [0, 0]])
      B = np.array([2, 0, 2, 2]).T
      sol = np.linalg.inv(A.T@A)@A.T@B
      print(sol)
```

```
[0.14950635 0.06770099]
```

1.7 Gradients

(a) $f(x) = \alpha^T x + b$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x f(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha^T(x+h) + b - (\alpha^T x + b)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\alpha^T h}{h} \\ &= \alpha^T \\ &= \alpha\end{aligned}$$

(b) $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T P x + q^T x + r$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x f(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x+h)^T P(x+h) + q^T(x+h) + r - (\frac{1}{2}x^T P x + q^T x + r)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x^T P x + x^T P h + h^T P x + h^T P h) + q^T h - (\frac{1}{2}x^T P x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}x^T P h + \frac{1}{2}h^T P x + \frac{1}{2}h^T P h + q^T h}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}x^T P + \frac{1}{2}h^T P + q^T + \frac{\frac{1}{2}(P x)^T h}{h} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}P^T x + q^T + \frac{1}{2}P x \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(P + P^T)x + q\end{aligned}$$

(c) $f(x) = \frac{1}{2}x^T P x$ with $P = P^T$

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_x f(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x+h)^T P(x+h) - (\frac{1}{2}x^T P x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(x^T P x + x^T P h + h^T P x + h^T P h - x^T P x)}{h} \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{1}{2}x^T P + \frac{1}{2}h^T P + \frac{(P x)^T h}{2h} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}P^T x + \frac{1}{2}P x \\ &= P x\end{aligned}$$

(d) $f(x) = (a^T x - b)(c^T x - d)$

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x f(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a^T(x+h) - b)(c^T(x+h) - d) - (a^T x - b)(c^T x - d)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a^T x - b + a^T h)(c^T x - d + c^T h) - (a^T x - b)(c^T x - d)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{(a^T x - b)(c^T x - d) + (a^T x - b)c^T h + a^T h(c^T x - d + c^T h) - (a^T x - b)(c^T x - d)}{h} \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left((a^T x - b)c^T + \frac{a^T h c^T x - a^T h d + a^T h c^T h}{h} \right)
\end{aligned}$$

Since both d and $c^T x \in \mathbb{R}$, we can move them to the front

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_x f(x) &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left((a^T x - b)c^T + \frac{(c^T x) \cdot a^T h - d \cdot a^T h + a^T h c^T h}{h} \right) \\
&= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} ((a^T x - b)c^T + (c^T x) \cdot a^T - d \cdot a^T + a^T h c^T) \\
&= 2a^T x c^T - b c^T - d a^T
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(e) } f(x) &= \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-g(x))} \\
\nabla_x f(x) &= -\frac{\frac{\partial(1 + e^{-g(x)})}{\partial x}}{(1 + e^{-g(x)})^2} \\
&= -\frac{e^{-g(x)} \cdot \frac{\partial(-g(x))}{\partial x}}{(1 + e^{-g(x)})^2} \\
&= \frac{e^{-g(x)} \cdot \nabla_x g(x)}{(1 + e^{-g(x)})^2} \\
&= f(x) \cdot (1 - f(x)) \cdot \nabla_x g(x)
\end{aligned}$$

1.8 Trace

$$(X^T Y)_{i,j} = \sum_{k=1}^m X_{ki} Y_{kj}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{tr}(X^T Y) &= \sum_{i=1}^n (X^T Y)_{i,i} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ji} Y_{ji}
\end{aligned}$$

\therefore The trace of $X^T Y$ is the sum of the dot products of each column of X and Y , which is equivalent to considering X and Y as a large matrix $\in \mathbb{R}^{(m \times n) \times 1}$ that connects all of their columns together respectively.

$$\text{Like } X' = [X_{11} \quad X_{21} \quad \cdots \quad X_{m1} \quad X_{12} \quad X_{22} \quad \cdots \quad X_{m2} \quad \cdots \quad X_{mn}]$$

Since $\text{tr}(X^T Y)$ can be considered as a large dot product, it is also an inner product itself.

1.9 Anti-symmetric matrix

$$\begin{aligned}
x^T A x &= (x^T A x)^T \\
&= x^T A^T x \\
&= -x^T A x
\end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore 2x^T Ax = 0$$

$$\therefore x^T Ax = 0$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x^T(B + B^T)x = x^T Bx$$

$$x^T Bx - \frac{1}{2}x^T(B + B^T)x = 0$$

$$x^T(B - B^T)x = 0$$

since for any matrix $B \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ $B - B^T$ is anti-symmetric
and for an anti-symmetric matrix A we have $x^T Ax = 0$

$$\therefore x^T(B + B^T)x = 0$$

$$\therefore \frac{1}{2}x^T(B + B^T)x = x^T Bx$$

1.10 Maximum entropy

(a)

Since $1^T x = 1$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1 \therefore x_n = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i$$

(b)

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i \log x_i + (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i) \log (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i)$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{x_i} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i \log x_i \right) &= 1 + \log x_i + \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i} - \left(\log (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i \times \frac{-1}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i} \right) \\ &= 1 + \log x_i - \log (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i) - 1 \\ &= \log \frac{x_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \frac{x_i}{1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i} = 1$$

\therefore for any $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$,

$$x_i = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} x_i = x_n$$

$$\therefore \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = n \times x_n = 1$$

$$\therefore x_i = \frac{1}{n}$$