# Titel

Project Report Group MTA 16440

> Aalborg University Media Technology Rendsburggade 14 DK-9000 Aalborg



Media Technology Rendsburggade 14 DK-9000 Aalborg

#### **AALBORG UNIVERSITY**

#### STUDENT REPORT

Title:	Abstract:
Hand Recognition Game Control using	
Image Processing	Abstract

#### Theme:

Sound Computing and Sensor Technology

#### **Project Period:**

Spring Semester 2016

#### **Project Group:**

 $MTA\ 16440$ 

#### Participant(s):

Alex Bo Mikkelsen Allan Schjørring Daniel Agerholm Johansen Liv Arleth Sebastian Laczek Nielsen

#### Supervisor(s):

Olivier Lartillot

Copies: ??

Number of Pages: 82

#### Date of Completion:

May 26, 2016

The content of this report is freely available, but publication (with reference) may only be pursued due to agreement with the author.

## Contents

Pr	reface	vii				
1	Introduction	1				
	1.1 Initial Problem Statement	1				
	1.1.1 Motivation	1				
	1.1.2 Statement	1				
	1.1.3 Research Questions	1				
	1.1.4 Target Group	1				
2	Problem Analysis	3				
	2.1 Research	3				
	2.1.1 Effects	3				
	2.1.2 State of the Art	4				
	2.2 Problem Statement	7				
	2.3 Minimum Implementation	7				
3	Design	9				
4	Implemented Theory	11				
5	Implementation					
6	Evaluation					
7	7 Discussion					
8	3 Conclusion					
Bi	Bibliography					
$\mathbf{A}$	Appendix	23				

## Preface

Aalborg University, May 26, 2016

Sebastian Laczek Nielsen <????@student.aau.dk>

### 1. Introduction

#### 1.1 Initial Problem Statement

#### 1.1.1 Motivation

It is possible to use voice effects while performing. Many effects exist, and it is possible to change the parameters of an effect to one's liking in real time. A problem can be changing an effect and/or effect parameters while performing. This could be because the effect pedal is on the floor, or somewhere else out of reach. Another problem could be the lack of knobs to turn, or buttons to press.

#### 1.1.2 Statement

How does one create an artefact that applies voice effects to a voice in real-time?

#### 1.1.3 Research Questions

- What are the most common voice effects?
  - What are the limits of the effects
- Does any existing technology use body gestures or sensors to apply effects?

#### 1.1.4 Target Group

The criteria for the target group in this project are:

- Solo singers
- Choir
- Band singers
- Has to know effects, and effect parameters

The target group consists of singers that know about voice effects. They should not play an instrument while singing because they must be able to use their arms or hands for controlling the effects. There is no specific genre or type of singer as the only criteria is that they know the technicalities behind singing.

### 2. Problem Analysis

This chapter will strive to answer the research questions posed in 1.1.3 and any other relevant information that arrises.

#### 2.1 Research

This section focuses on the research necessary for the basic understanding of the subject.

write some more

#### 2.1.1 Effects

Many voice effects exist today. Some effects are used in most music, and some are not. The effects can be really subtle, or really noticeable. In this section, common effects will be explained.

#### Delay

A delay effect creates a repetition of the original sound after a period of time[1]. By using the delay effect, it is possible to simulate the sound of the echo created when yelling into a cave or over a canyon, among a lot of other things.

#### Reverberation

When sound reflects off surfaces in a confined space, its called natural reverberation [2]. Reverberation like this works best when the sound hits hard surfaces. For example, the sound effect that comes when you sing or yell in a church, is reverberation. The sound bounces all around the church hard walls. Digitally, the way to simulate reverberation is to use a multitude of delays and feedback. This then creates a series of echoes that then slowly decays.

#### Pitch Shift

The frequency of a harmonic sound is called its pitch[3]. By shifting the pitch, the sound will effectively deeper or higher. An example of this is the voice that anonymous people get when they want to hide their voice, this is a lowered pitch. Another example is the "chipmunk voice", which is achieved through a raised pitch. Pitch

shifting is done by using time-stretching and up- or down-sampling. For example, a time-stretched and down-sampled sound results in a raised pitch.

Pitch shifting is also used to create the harmonizer effect. It takes the input voice and shifts its pitch a bit, and then adds it as an additional voice. This can effectively simulate a choir.

#### Auto-Tune

The Auto-tune effect corrects a singer's voice to the correct tone[4]. This can be really subtle or plainly obvious. Firstly, the user chooses a reference of scales or tones, and secondly the amount correction to be made.

#### Vocoder

The Vocoder effect combines a singer's voice with another sound - that could be the sound from an instrument or a synthesizer[5]. The effect can make the voice sound like a robot. The vocoder needs two inputs, the voice and the instrument. The fundamental frequencies of the voice are converted to levels of amplitude on a series of band pass filters, which then are passed through the instrument sound.

finish this section with a short summary/conclusion.

#### 2.1.2 State of the Art

To understand and avoid issues a study of the state of the art on this area was conducted. There is a focus on commercial artifacts based on real-time alterations.

any comments on this intro?

#### TC Helicon Perform V

The TC Helicon - Perform V is a simple pedal that attaches to a microphone stand, as seen in figure 2.1[6]. It has three effect buttons, three preset buttons, a big knob, and other buttons. The pedal effects are reverb, echo, "double" (harmonizer), EQ, compressor, and many more. It is possible to download an app that can connect with the Perform V. The application has many pre-made sounds, and it has a wireless connection.

#### 2.1. Research



Figure 2.1: TC Helicon[6]

The Perform V is good for live performing if the singer has the pedal in front of them, on the microphone stand. Preset buttons make it easy to change effect quickly. If the singer plays an instrument, it is probably difficult to change effects without interrupting the instrument playing. Another downside is that singer limited to only three presets, and only one knob to turn.

#### Electro Harmonix Voice Box

The Electro Harmonix Voice Box is a more advanced pedal than the TC Helicon[7]. It has six knobs: blend, two reverb knobs, "gender bender", voice mix, and "Mode", as seen in figure 2.2. It has nine different modes, which includes different kinds of harmonies, unison-whistle, and a vocoder, which the TC Helicon does not have.



Figure 2.2: Electro Harmonix Voice Box[7]

The Voice Box has to be on a flat surface, like the floor or a table. It is possible to insert an instrument to the pedal, so it can be used for the vocoder. The Voice Box has many effects and knobs - this can make changing effects and effect parameters difficult, even more if the pedal is on the floor.

#### Mi.Mu Gloves

The Mi.Mu Gloves are gloves made for making music, and controlling sound[8]. They are made by scientists, musicians, and artists, and have been in development since 2010. They are wearable, and can be used by one or both hands(see figure mimu). The gloves have been through many iterations, and they are open source. The gloves use gestures, hand and finger movement, finger placement, and other features to control sounds and effects. The hardware includes an ArduImu, flex/bend sensors, accelerometer, gyroscope, haptic motors, LED's, WiFi compatibility, and provides other capabilities.

#### 2.2. Problem Statement



Figure 2.3: Mi.Mu Gloves[8]

The gloves are bluetooth or Wi-Fi connected, so the person using the gloves are free to move around, and does not have to worry about wires. They are also battery powered. It is possible to pre-order a pair of Mi.Mu gloves for £5,000, or one glove for £2,500. Since the gloves are open source, you can make your own - many different gloves exist - some are simple, and some are complex.

conclusion/summary

#### 2.2 Problem Statement

### 2.3 Minimum Implementation

- The design must implement the use of an Arduino
- The design must implement the use of sensors applicable to the Arduino
- The design must implement audio processing
- The design must get audio from a microphone

3. Design

4. Implemented Theory

5. Implementation

# 6. Evaluation

## 7. Discussion

# 8. Conclusion

### Bibliography

- [1] C. Loeffler, "A brief history of time-based effects (part 1)." http://www.harmonycentral.com/articles/exploring-time-based-effects, September 2014.
- [2] N. Redmon, "A bit about reverb." http://www.earlevel.com/main/1997/01/19/a-bit-about-reverb/, January 1997.
- [3] Katjaas.nl, "Pitch shifting." http://www.katjaas.nl/pitchshift/pitchshift.html.
- [4] A. Hadhazy, "What's auto-tune and how does it work?." http://www.livescience.com/11046-auto-tune-work.html, September 2010.
- [5] Innovativesynthesis.com, "Introduction to vocoders." http://www.innovativesynthesis.com/introduction-to-vocoders/.
- [6] TC-Helicon.com, "Perform v." http://www.tc-helicon.com/en/products/perform-v/.
- [7] EHX.com, "Vocal harmony machine/vocoder." http://www.ehx.com/products/voice-box.
- [8] I. Heap, T. Mitchell, K. Snook, S. Madgwick, H. Perner-Wilson, A. Stark, R. Freire, and C. V. D. Berg, "Mi.mu gloves." http://mimugloves.com/, 2015.

# A. Appendix