

# Computer Vision Hw2 – Problem3 & 4

1552746 Cui Hejie

May 14, 2018

## 1 Problem 3 (Math)

From the given conditions, we know that  $\alpha_2$  is an unit-vector, and among all the orientations orthogonal to  $\alpha_1$ , the variance of data projections to  $\alpha_2$  is the largest one:

$$\alpha_2 = \operatorname{argmax}(\alpha^T C \alpha) \quad s.t. \quad \alpha^T \alpha = 1, \alpha^T \alpha_1 = 0$$

From Lagrangian multiplier method, we can get that:

$$F = \alpha^T C \alpha - \lambda(\alpha^T \alpha - 1) - \mu \alpha^T \alpha_1$$

To maximum F, calculate the first derivative of F:

$$\frac{d(F)}{d(\alpha)} = 2C\alpha - 2\lambda\alpha - \mu\alpha_1 = 0(1)$$

Left multiply  $\alpha_1^T$  on the equation:

$$2\alpha_1^T C \alpha - 2\lambda \alpha_1^T \alpha - \mu \alpha_1^T \alpha_1 = 2\alpha_1^T C \alpha - \mu = 0(2)$$

Since  $\alpha_1$  is a eigen vector of  $C$ , the corresponding eigen value of  $\alpha_1$  is  $\lambda_1$ , therefore,

$$C\alpha_1 = \lambda_1 \alpha_1$$

So, equation (2) can be simplified as:

$$2\alpha_1^T C \alpha - \mu = 2(C\alpha_1)^T \alpha - \mu = 2(\lambda_1 \alpha_1)^T \alpha - \mu = 2\lambda_1 \alpha_1^T \alpha - \mu = 0$$

Since  $\alpha_1^T \alpha = 0$ , therefore,

$$\mu = 0$$

Together with equation (1), we know that,

$$C\alpha = \lambda\alpha$$

That is to say, the solution of the original equation is the eigenvector of the covariance matrix  $C$  Therefore( $\alpha$  is  $C$ 's eigen-vector),

$$\max(\operatorname{var}(\alpha^T X)) = \max(\alpha^T C \alpha) = \max(\alpha^T \lambda \alpha) = \max(\lambda)$$

We have known among all the orientations orthogonal to  $\alpha_1$ , the variance of data projections to  $\alpha_2$  is the largest one, that is to say,  $\alpha_2$  corresponds to the largest eigen-value of vectors orthogonal to  $\alpha_1$ . Besides, all eigen-vector is orthogonal to each other. So,  $\alpha_2$  actually is the eigen-vector of  $C$  associated to  $C$ 's second largest eigen-value.

## 2 Problem 4 (Math)

Since each training sample is independent, first we prove that each single sample satisfy the following equation:

$$\nabla_{\theta}(-(y_i \log(h_{\theta}(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x_i)))) = x_i(h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)(1)$$

Function  $\sigma(z) = \frac{1}{1+e^{-z}}$  is called sigmoid or logistic function, the probability that the testing sample  $x$  is positive is represented as:

$$h_{\theta}(x_i) = \sigma(\theta^T x_i) \quad (2)$$

Find the gradient, the left side of equation (1) can be written as:

$$-(y_i \frac{\frac{dh_{\theta}(x_i)}{d\theta^T x_i}}{h_{\theta}(x_i)} + (1 - y_i) \frac{\frac{-dh_{\theta}(x_i)}{d\theta^T x_i}}{1 - h_{\theta}(x_i)})$$

Multiply  $x_i$  on the numerator and denominator:

$$-x_i(y_i \frac{\frac{dh_{\theta}(x_i)}{d\theta^T x_i}}{h_{\theta}(x_i)} + (1 - y_i) \frac{\frac{-dh_{\theta}(x_i)}{d\theta^T x_i}}{1 - h_{\theta}(x_i)}) \quad (3)$$

Take equation (2) into equation (3):

$$-x_i(y_i \frac{\frac{d\sigma(\theta^T x_i)}{d\theta^T x_i}}{\sigma(\theta^T x_i)} + (1 - y_i) \frac{\frac{-d\sigma(\theta^T x_i)}{d\theta^T x_i}}{1 - \sigma(\theta^T x_i)}) \quad (4)$$

One property of the sigmoid function:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \sigma(z)(1 - \sigma(z)) \quad (5)$$

Take equation (5) into equation (4):

$$\begin{aligned} (4) &= -x_i(y_i \frac{\sigma(\theta^T x_i)(1 - \sigma(\theta^T x_i))}{\sigma(\theta^T x_i)} + (1 - y_i) \frac{-\sigma(\theta^T x_i)(1 - \sigma(\theta^T x_i))}{1 - \sigma(\theta^T x_i)}) \\ &= -x_i(y_i(1 - h_{\theta}(x_i)) - (1 - y_i)h_{\theta}(x_i)) \\ &= -x_i(y_i - h_{\theta}(x_i)) = x_i(h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i) \end{aligned}$$

Sum up all the independent samples, we can find that for the cost function:

$$J(\theta) = - \sum_{i=1}^m y_i \log(h_{\theta}(x_i)) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - h_{\theta}(x_i))$$

the gradient of this cost function is:

$$\nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i (h_{\theta}(x_i) - y_i)$$