1. (Math) Gaussian function is

$$G(x,y;\sigma)=rac{1}{2\pi\sigma^2}exp(-rac{x^2+y^2}{2\sigma^2})$$

The scale-normalized Laplacian of Gaussian (LOG) is

$$LoG = \sigma^2 \Delta^2 G$$

Please verify that Difference of Gaussian (DOG)

$$DoG = G(x, y; k\sigma) - G(x, y; \sigma)$$

can be a good approximation of Log.

Prove:

Laplacian Operator is

$$\Delta^2 f = rac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x^2} + rac{\partial^2 f}{\partial y^2}$$

Apply Laplacian Operator to the two dimensional gaussian:

$$\Delta^2 G = rac{\partial^2 G}{\partial x^2} + rac{\partial^2 G}{\partial y^2} = rac{-2\sigma^2 + x^2 + y^2}{2\pi\sigma^6} e^{-(x^2 + y^2)/2\sigma^2}$$

Take the first partial derivative about σ of a two-dimensional gaussian.

$$rac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma}=rac{-2\sigma^2+x^2+y^2}{2\pi\sigma^5}e^{-(x^2+y^2)/2\sigma^2}$$

It is easy to find that

$$\frac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} = \sigma \Delta^2 G$$

Since
$$DoG = G(x,y;k\sigma) - G(x,y;\sigma)$$

We can get that
$$rac{\partial G}{\partial \sigma} = \lim_{\Delta \sigma o 0} rac{G(x,y,\sigma+\Delta\sigma) - G(x,y,\sigma)}{(\sigma+\Delta\sigma) - \sigma} pprox rac{G(x,y,k\sigma) - G(x,y,\sigma)}{k\sigma - \sigma}$$

Therefore,

$$\sigma\Delta^2G=rac{\partial G}{\partial\sigma}pproxrac{G(x,y,k\sigma)-G(x,y,\sigma)}{k\sigma-\sigma}$$

That is
$$G(x,y,k\sigma)-G(x,y,\sigma)pprox (k-1)\sigma^2\Delta^2G$$

Thus we can use DoG as an approximation of Log.

- 2.(Math) In the lecture, we talked about the least square method to solve an over-determined linear system $Ax=b, A\in R^{m*n}, m>n, rank(A)=n$. The closed form solution is $x=(A^TA)^{-1}A^Tb$. Try to prove that A^TA is non-singular (or in other words, it is invertible).
 - (1) First, we need to prove that $rank(A^TA) = rank(A)$:

We assume that lpha is the solution of Ax=0, then $A^TAlpha=A^T(Alpha)=0$

That is to say, the solution of Ax=0 is also the solution of $A^TAx=0$; On the contrary, if α is the solution of $A^TAx=0$, that is $A^TA\alpha=0$ So, $\alpha^TA^TA\alpha=(A\alpha)^T(A\alpha)=0$, Therefore, $A\alpha=0$ That is to say, the solution of $A^TAx=0$ is also the solution of Ax=0. In conclusion, the solutions of $A^TAx=0$ and Ax=0 are the same.

Therefore, $rank(A) = rank(A^TA) = n$.

(2) From the given information we know that rank(A) = n.

Based on what proved avove, $rank(A^TA) = rank(A) = n$

Plus, A^TA is a matrix of $n \ast n$

Therefore, A^TA is a non-singular matrix. In other words, it is invertible.