Overall score: 91.25/100

**Written Communication:** 3.0/4

**Communication Style:** 4.0/4

**Technical Quality:** 4.0/4

**Technical Comprehensiveness:** 3.0/4

**Length of Feedback:** 4.0/4

## Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Nice work with this review, you used your discretion and graded the version which made the most sense based on the last modified date. Mentioning this in the review is good as it brings it to the students attention. Your review highlighted areas in which they excelled as well as any parts that stood out to you. Your improvements sections raised valid points and elaborated on them well giving them direction as to how they can address them.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Make sure to keep styling in mind when checking their work. In this student's work, their code was over-indented. Google's Java Style Guide outlines the usage of 2 spaces for indentation.

https://google.github.io/styleguide/javaguide.html#s4.2-block-indentation

□ I assume "□Cass Definitions:" was meant to be "Class". These types of spelling errors can tend to slip through. If you do not already, try and make it a habit to take 30 sec after the review and read it once over to try to catch things like this. I have noticed that some spelling tools will flat-out ignore errors like that for some reason too. From the student's perspective, they may perceive Cass as being an actual word and try to research what it means.

A good review nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!