CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 7 - Capstone Project - OOP

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 01 October 2024

Date Reviewed: 01 October 2024

Review ID: LHHB011024-8968

Overall score: 92.5/100

Written Communication: 3.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 2.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your improvement feedback is good and highlights key areas in which the student can focus on to get their assignment heading in the right direction.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ While I understand the brevity of the positives section, we cannot leave it that short. We need to include some positives to balance the review so it's not only improvements. For example, you can highlight that their code is consistently styled making it easy to read, although it is overindented hence the wording. You can also commend their effort on the logic for finding a driver in the text file as it is off to a good start. You can then elaborate on improving it in the improvements section too. The only appropriate time it can be that short is for resubmissions where nothing has changed from the previous review at all. Even then, try to stretch for something.
□ For easier reading I suggest you create a new line for each new point instead of having a big text block which can make it harder to read as well as less likely for the student to read.

Please keep the feedback in mind for your future reviews, Henri.

Kind regards
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 17 - Event Handling

Course: HyperionDev: Programming and Web Development Basics

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 04 December 2024

Date Reviewed: 04 December 2024

Review ID: LHHB041224-3840

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was fair and accurate and maintained a professional tone throughout. The positives touched on what the student did well with regard to the goals of the task as well as what stood out to you such as them going the extra mile and styling out their HTML. The improvements sections highlighted and elaborated on the score loss as well as added other useful feedback the student can keep in mind going forward.

Solid review here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 7 - Capstone Project - OOP

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 04 December 2024

Date Reviewed: 04 December 2024

Review ID: LHHB041224-6452

Overall score: 91.25/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Nice work here you have done well in praising the student for their effort by highlighting aspects that stood out to you. The improvements section reiterated issues that were mentioned before letting the student know where improvement could be made.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Please make sure to highlight stylistic issues that are present within the student code. While their code is neat, there are cases where it deviates from Googles Java Style Guide. This is one of the four key points we review. In this case the code's indentation was off. Googles Style Guide highlights two spaces for indentation.
□ With regards to removing a point for completeness, please make sure to elaborate on exactly why this is the case in case the student is not sure what you are referring to.

Good job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 3 - Java - Methods

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 05 November 2024

Date Reviewed: 05 November 2024

Review ID: LHHB051124-0747

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The student has done well here to which you have done well to praise them for their efforts as well as highlight areas of importance. Your improvements section raises good points regarding optimisation by removing the nested for loop which would greatly reduce complexity. Touching on the styling will hopefully help the student in their future tasks, nice work bringing it up.

Good work here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 3.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Nice work with this one, you made sure to highlight what they have done well in the positives which does well to help balance out the review. The improvements section clearly presents the issues with the submission as well as possible solutions the student can make use of to address them.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Regarding the emojis, I am all for it, just try to be a little more consistent in terms of what represents what. For example, you use □ to represent items as well as points which can make it look a little messy. In my reviews, I use □ for important items and □ for suggestions. This way it is clear what is a point being made and what is part of an explanation.

Another good review, Henri.
Best of luck going forward!

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 6 - Advanced Object-Oriented Programming

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 05 December 2024

Date Reviewed: 05 December 2024

Review ID: LHHB051224-5752

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was fair and appropriate while maintaining a professional tone throughout. Nice work touching on aspects that they did well. The improvements raised some good points as well as stressing about the importance of styling.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ When it comes to highlighting the use of toString(), make sure to elaborate on it well as students may not fully understand that it is overriding the default implementation of the toString() method in the Object class, which every Java class inherits. By overriding toString(), a meaningful string representation of an object can be returned instead of using "printDescription()" like the student has. The benifit is instead of explicitly calling a print method, you can simply print the object itself, and Java will automatically invoke the toString() method. So for example:

Person person = new Person("Ben", 55, true);
System.out.println(person)

Good job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Nice work here, your feedback was fair letting the students know where they did well by touching on some aspects that stood out. The improvements section gave efficiency pointers as well as get them thinking about using functions too.

Good job here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 12 - Java - Collections Framework

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 06 February 2025

Date Reviewed: 06 February 2025

Review ID: LHHB060225-5627

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for the review. Given the resubmission, your review did well to touch and the improvements and corrections made by the student. Your improvements outlined areas in which made the code easier to read and improved efficiency that were actionable

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ The improvement section was quite short. While an essay is not needed, this is meant to be a section where your overall deduction is stated. You can mention their apparent understanding of the covered topic, or if you remember the student highlight the progress they are making etc.

A good job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for the review. Your feedback supplied was fair and accurate throughout from the written sections to the scoring. Your positives touched on some of the strengths of their submission as well as areas which stood out to you. Your improvements touched on the areas in which the student lost points and offered solutions which will be implementable in future tasks.

Nice work here Henri!

Kind regards,
Layne

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for the review. Good work here, the positives section touches on areas which stood out to you and where the student did well. You have done well in outlining areas in which the student needs to improve their task to make it align with the requirements even supplying a gist for them to reference.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ After taking a look at your Gist, `Spike Fenton` does have a load value in the newer content. Make sure to adjust for this, if you are not sure, double-check the `students.txt` file to see what they are working with.

Nice work as always, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 8 - Defensive Programming - Error Handling

Course: HyperionDev: Programming and Web Development Basics

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 08 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 08 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB080125-2374

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback is fair and accurate with a valid resubmission. Your review is nicely balanced with the positives outlining areas in which the student excelled and the improvements section that clearly outlined the issues as well as guided them towards an appropriate implementation.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
☐ There were two spelling errors within the student's code in "creatingErrors.js". While not code breaking, it is still worthwhile mentioning this in the review as it can help improve the professionalism of their code as well as extend the purpose of the course by improving their spelling. A simple suggestion to make use of the "Code Spell Checker" plugin would do great here.

A solid review nonetheless, Henri.
Best of luck going with your future reviews.

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 1 - Java - Basics

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 08 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 08 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB080125-4572

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The student has done well here in completing the tasks to which you have touched on areas which stood out to you letting them know where they did well. Your improvements section was spot on by touching on stylistic issues, and documentation improvements as well as raising a logical improvement regarding the best of three rule.

Good job with this professional and friendly review, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your fair and accurate review. The student has done a solid job of getting their code to where it is which you have praised appropriately as well as highlighted aspects which stood out to you and which the student has excelled in. The improvements section was spot on in highlighting the error as well as outlining the reasoning behind it which gives the student a chance to improve their code and achieve a higher score.

Nice work here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 11 - Capstone Project - Refactoring

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 09 October 2024

Date Reviewed: 09 October 2024

Review ID: LHHB091024-8918

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was fair and accurate throughout making for a solid review. In your positives, you touched on areas in which the student did well and in the improvements, you raised areas which need attention and refinement.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Since the line/column limit is mentioned in Googles Java Style Guide, you can remove a point for styling in scenarios like this.

Good here, Henri. Keep it up.

Kind regards,
Layne

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The student has done well in this capstone to which you have done well to praise them for their efforts. Your feedback was fair and accurate in the positive and improvements section contained implementable feedback making for a well-rounded review.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ When referencing something in the code, specifically when mentioning a method or elaborating on an error, make sure to mention the file and line number so the student knows exactly where to look to make the correction/improvement.

A good review nonetheless, Henri. Nice work.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was spot on throughout with a good positive section that highlighted areas which stood out to you. The improvements section raised good points of improvements that are implementable in the student's upcoming tasks. Your tone throughout is friendly and professional making for a nicely rounded review.

A solid review here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 8 - Defensive Programming - Error Handling

Course: HyperionDev: Programming and Web Development Basics

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 11 December 2024

Date Reviewed: 11 December 2024

Review ID: LHHB111224-3602

Overall score: 96.25/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 3.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Nice work here, the feedback as a whole was fair and accurate. It highlights the positives and touches on areas where the student can improve their work as well as diving a little deeper into how JavaScript works and corrects their understanding.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ The positives came across as impersonal as it just went straight into a list of positives. Normally your reviews start with a nice thank you. Please try to bring that back to make the review feel like a genuine, personalized interaction. This also makes the review feel more thoughtful, engaging and encouraging.

Another good review nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review?

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 11 - Promises, Async and Await

Course: HyperionDevFT: JavaScript Programming

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 13 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 13 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB130125-4259

Overall score: 93.75/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The student has done well here so far to which you have praised them accordingly by touching on their usage of asynchronous code as well as error handling. The reason for resubmission was valid and the elaboration should help the student understand what is needed.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
☐ The cat.js file had no comments, while short, it is still appropriate to request the student to add some form of documentation. For example, a simple comment for the "getCat()" function itself that outlines its purpose.

Great job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

Overall score: 90.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 3.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The student has done a solid job here which you have done really well to praise them for their efforts. The positives section highlights aspects which stood out to you as well as pointing out areas in which the student did well. The improvements section did a great job of elaborating on the appropriate folder structure for projects like this and should make it clear to the student.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ While I fully understand the reasoning behind the scoring, your scoring should have reflected the mistake made by the student. If they did not fully meet the requirements, then they should only get a 3 for completeness. Alternatively, you could resubmit them.
Regardless of which, this gives you the opportunity to elaborate on sticking to instructions or requirements as they apply directly to the real world. It's one of those "Just because you don't like a client's choice doesn't mean you don't have to do it."

Solid work here nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 10 - Reflexive assessment (pg 50 of Learner's POE)

Course: HyperionDev: HyperionDev Database Skills Programme

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 13 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 13 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB130125-7557

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was great here as it was well-balanced and informative. The positives outlined areas in which the student did well in answering the posed questions as well as pointed out specific parts that stood out to you. The improvements gave a clear step-by-step with good examples as to what was expected.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Make sure to point out the spelling of the file name, this is mainly for DB Skills to make sure the student doesn't lose marks for something silly like that when it gets sent away.

Solid work here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 5 - Object-Oriented Programming

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 13 November 2024

Date Reviewed: 13 November 2024

Review ID: LHHB131124-0181

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. You have done well in praising them for their efforts in the positives by highlighting aspects in which they excelled. Your improvements raise areas in which the student can improve their code with good explanations and resources to help guide them toward implementing them.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ In addition to the indentation being raised in your improvements section, make sure to include mention of their braces. Java uses K & R style braces so there is no line break before the opening brace.

https://google.github.io/styleguide/javaguide.html#s4.1-braces

Great work here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was fair and accurate throughout. Your positives highlighted what they did well and balanced out the review between the positives, the score and the improvements section. The improvement suggestions and corrections were spot on in terms of required alterations and how they can be addressed.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
▢ Be careful of giving too much away. I am specifically talking about using classList. Instead of giving the exact code, you could link them to either the docs or a W3 page that elaborates on it and allows them to attempt to use it on their own.

Solid review here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 4 - Control Structures - If, Else-if and Else Statements

Course: HyperionDevFT: Programming and Web Development Basics

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 19 November 2024

Date Reviewed: 19 November 2024

Review ID: LHHB191124-0284

Overall score: 93.75/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was fair and accurate throughout. The positives highlighted areas which stood out to you and praised the student for those parts that stood out to you. Your improvement feedback contained a correction with actionable feedback.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
▢ Please keep an eye on the student's code styling and mention it as well. While it looks neat, the JS is over-indented by two spaces. As per Google's JS style guide, it should be indented by two spaces, not four, as it is currently.

Good job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 10 - Reflexive assessment (pg 50 of Learner's POE)

Course: HyperionDev: HyperionDev Database Skills Programme

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 19 November 2024

Date Reviewed: 19 November 2024

Review ID: LHHB191124-7540

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was spot on and praised the student for their insight and overall takeaway from the level. The resources in the improvements section were a good addition to the review.

Solid review, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Henri Branken

Task 7 - Capstone Project - OOP

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 24 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 24 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB240125-7844

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback is fair and accurate. Your positives do well to praise areas where the student did well as well as highlight what stood out. Your improvements section did well to touch on the areas which need attention as well as supply actionable feedback which should help the student debug and move forward.

Great job here Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 1 - Java - Basics

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 24 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 24 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB240125-7910

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Really nice work here. The students did a great job on their first Java task, and you praised their efforts by highlighting areas that stood out to you. Your improvements section touched on stylistic improvements and supplied links and resources the students can use to help make their code more professional and consistent in both styling and project structure.

Great work here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 2 - Java - Data Structures

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 24 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 24 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB240125-9536

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The feedback supplied was accurate and the scoring was fair. The students work is spot on which was reflected upon in the positive section where you touched on aspects which stood out to you. The improvements section highlighted project structure improvements as well as covered the styling shortcomings in the task which included a link to Googles style guide for the student to look at and use going forward. The plugin recommendation will hopefully make their life easier regarding styling.

Another solid review, Henri!
Best of luck for your upcoming reviews.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 6 - Java Database Programming, Testing & Documentation

Course: HyperionDevFT: HyperionDev Database Skills Programme

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 25 October 2024

Date Reviewed: 25 October 2024

Review ID: LHHB251024-3221

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. You have done well to praise the student's efforts for completing this task and implementing previous corrections as well as highlighting aspects that stood out to you. Your improvements contained good information and suggestions like the use of prepared statements to protect their database against SQL injection.

Solid review here, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your review was fair and accurate regarding the conclusion of the review. Your improvements section was concise and actionable as well as including general improvements and observations.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ With these refactoring tasks, try to touch on a little more in the positives section and mention what was done well with regards to refactoring even if it was done before. Not only will this extend the length of the review but might bring something to the student's attention that they may not have been aware of or really thought about.

A solid review nonetheless, Henri

Kind regards
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 17 - Event Handling

Course: HyperionDev: Programming and Web Development Basics

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 25 October 2024

Date Reviewed: 25 October 2024

Review ID: LHHB251024-9122

Overall score: 91.25/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. The positives section touched on what was altered from the previous submission. The improvements section raised some good points where the student can further optimise their code

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
▢ Since the student has passed, it is worthwhile extending your explanations a little further to really make sure what you have mentioned is understood. This point is specific to the first improvement mentioned in the review.
▢ In the passing review, make sure to re-mention aspects they have done well to beef it up a little bit. You can say things like "Your code remains neat after making the alterations . . ." to make it not sound repetitive.
▢ Please make sure to keep an eye on and mention stylistic issues. In this submission, the code is overindeted. Using Googles JS styleguide we know that 2 spaces need to be used for indentation instead of 4 or a tab space.

https://google.github.io/styleguide/jsguide.html#formatting-block-indentation

Good review nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 5 - Object-Oriented Programming

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 27 September 2024

Date Reviewed: 27 September 2024

Review ID: LHHB270924-3630

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 3.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. As before, your reviews contain a good mix of friendly and professional feedback. You have highlighted areas that have stood out to you and praised them for their efforts making the review feel more personal. Your improvements feedback clearly states what the student needs for their resubmission with a reference to the requirement in the PDF.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Please keep language barriers in mind when writing reviews. Be careful of complex language or in this case, abbreviations, as quite often our students are not native speakers.

Another good effort here, Henri.

Kind regards
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 6 - Java Database Programming, Testing & Documentation

Course: HyperionDev: HyperionDev Database Skills Programme

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 27 September 2024

Date Reviewed: 27 September 2024

Review ID: LHHB270924-4153

Overall score: 93.75/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. I like the energy in your feedback, it is friendly and professional. Your positives do well to touch on what the student has done well letting them know where they are excelling. Your UX suggestion for the menu system is a good one and will greatly improve the usability.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
▢ While it is most definitely a good idea to use a primary key, following the PDF, it does not request it meaning it cannot be a reason to fail.
▢ Regarding searching by "id" and wrapping the integer in quotation marks, MySQL will implicitly convert the string to an integer for comparison. With that being said, it is best practice to use the appropriate type as implicit conversion should be a fallback and not a solution.
▢ While the suggestion to loop the program will massively improve the usability of the program, it can't be a point of failure as it was not required.
▢ In your feedback section, try and make it clear which point is the one that is causing the resubmission to avoid any possible confusion.

▢ The DBSkills reviews can be tough, try and make your reasoning and explanations as clear as possible for the student. In addition, carefully read the instructions to make sure they are following naming conventions (realistically) and are hitting the requirements.

Good job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

Overall score: 90.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 3.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. You have praised the students efforts so far by touching on aspects that stood out to you as well as parts in which they excelled. Your improvements touched on areas that needed attention to align the submission with the requirements as well as general improvements/suggestions. Good work touching on the styling here as well.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
☐ Please make sure to add a message in the overall section that highlights the finalisation of the students' scoring after the passing review to avoid potential tickets being logged in the future. Please add this to all resubmitted reviews.

Best of luck with your future reviews, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 7 - Capstone Project - OOP

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 27 November 2024

Date Reviewed: 27 November 2024

Review ID: LHHB271124-5159

Overall score: 91.25/100

Written Communication: 3.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Nice work with this review, you used your discretion and graded the version which made the most sense based on the last modified date. Mentioning this in the review is good as it brings it to the students attention. Your review highlighted areas in which they excelled as well as any parts that stood out to you. Your improvements sections raised valid points and elaborated on them well giving them direction as to how they can address them.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
□ Make sure to keep styling in mind when checking their work. In this student's work, their code was over-indented. Google's Java Style Guide outlines the usage of 2 spaces for indentation.

https://google.github.io/styleguide/javaguide.html#s4.2-block-indentation

□ I assume "□Cass Definitions:" was meant to be "Class". These types of spelling errors can tend to slip through. If you do not already, try and make it a habit to take 30 sec after the review and read it once over to try to catch things like this. I have noticed that some spelling tools will flat-out ignore errors like that for some reason too. From the student's perspective, they may perceive Cass as being an actual word and try to research what it means.

A good review nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Henri Branken

Task 11 - Capstone Project - Arrays, Functions, and String Handling

Course: HyperionDev: Programming and Web Development Basics

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 29 October 2024

Date Reviewed: 29 October 2024

Review ID: LHHB291024-3508

Overall score: 91.25/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 3.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback was fair and accurate. The positives do well to praise their efforts and highlight areas which stood out to you letting them know where they excelled. Your improvements section contains some good improvements that the student can look into or implement in their future tasks.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
☐ Please don't forget to highlight the styling issues present in their JS file. While it is neat, each line is over-indented. Following Googles JS styleguide we know it should be indented by 2 spaces instead of 4 as it is now. This is an important one as it is one of the grading points when conducting a review. Since it is still early, we can grade them 4/4 for styling, but later we need to be strict with it and will mark them down for not following conventions.

A great review nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards
Layne

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 4 - Designing and Building a Relational Database

Course: HyperionDevFT: HyperionDev Database Skills Programme

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 29 October 2024

Date Reviewed: 29 October 2024

Review ID: LHHB291024-7506

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for your review. Your feedback supplied was fair and accurate letting the student know that their alterations were good, what they did well as well as letting them know to submit a PDF version of their work in the improvements section. Your overall tone was friendly and professional making for a well-rounded review.

Solid review here, Henri.

Kind regards
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 7 - Capstone Project I: OOP

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 31 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 31 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB310125-3258

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for the review. Your feedback for this review was fair and accurate and held a friendly but professional tone. Your positives praised their efforts for implementing alterations from the previous review as well as touched on some aspects which stood out to you. The improvements were spot on given the requirements of the task as well as the stylistic issues with the submitted work. The feedback should enable them to align their code with the task as well as address the stylistic issues.

Nice work here Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 11 - Capstone Project II: Putting it all together

Course: HyperionDev: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 31 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 31 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB310125-5417

Overall score: 100.0/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 4.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for the review. Your feedback is far regarding the first capstone needing to be completed in order for this task to be started. Regardless of this, you gave constructive feedback regarding the main issue which was outlined in the first Capstones review as well as touching on stylistic issues which were carried over from the initial submission. With all this, your feedback was well-toned making for an informative and professional review.

Solid work Henri, keep it up.

Kind regards,
Layne

Do you have feedback about this review? Let us know!

CoGrammar

Henri Branken

Task 5 - Object-Oriented Programming

Course: HyperionDevFT: Advanced Programming Concepts

Reviewed By: Layne Hutchings

Date Submitted: 31 January 2025

Date Reviewed: 31 January 2025

Review ID: LHHB310125-9313

Overall score: 97.5/100

Written Communication: 4.0/4

Communication Style: 4.0/4

Technical Quality: 4.0/4

Technical Comprehensiveness: 4.0/4

Length of Feedback: 3.0/4

Overall feedback

Hi Henri,

Thank you for the review. The feedback was fair and accurate including scoring. Your positives touch on areas in which the student has excelled or what stood out to you which helps balance out the review. The improvements section touches on the importance of following requirements by being critical in that area and will hopefully allow the student to learn from it for their future reviews.

Please consider these improvements/suggestions:
☐ The improvement `The commenting in Pets.java is slightly lacking.` is valid, but not backed up. Since the file is quite small, an exmaple would help the student understand where documentation could be added. Maybe give a line number and an example or describe how or where a comment could added. This is purely for incase the student genuinely believes the documentation is fine.

Good job nonetheless, Henri.

Kind regards,
Layne