# Machine learning exam 2017

#### Henrik Lund Mortensen

December 28, 2017

#### 1 Linear Models

## 2 Learning Theory

- Supervised learning
- In-sample error vs out-of-sample error

### Learning feasibility

In general, we have an unknown function f(x) and only a finte set of samples  $\mathcal{D} = \{x_i, f(x_i)\}$ . Our job is to use  $\mathcal{D}$  to estimate f outisde of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Consider a set of hypotheses,  $\mathcal{H}$ . The goal is to choose the hypothesis,  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  that approximates f(x) best. There are two thing we must consider:

- 1. Can we find a g such that the training samples are well explained, i.e. low  $E_{\rm in}(g)$ ?
- 2. Can we make sure that the  $E_{\text{out}}(g)$  is close to  $E_{\text{in}}(g)$ ?

The answer to the first question depends on the complexity of f and the  $\mathcal{H}$ . It is clear that we can always find a set  $\mathcal{H}$  which contains a hypothesis that matches the training data perfectly, i.e.  $E_{\rm in}=0$ . However, we get in trouble in the part 2 above. We cannot guarantee that  $E_{\rm out}(g)$  is close to  $E_{\rm in}(g)$ , but we can estimate the probability that is it. This is given by the Hoeffding bound

$$\mathcal{P}\left[|E_{\text{out}}(g) - E_{\text{in}}(g)| > \epsilon\right] \le 2Me^{-2\epsilon^2 N},\tag{1}$$

where N is the number of samples in  $\mathcal{D}$  and M is the size of  $\mathcal{H}$  (number of hypotheses). There is a trade-off between choosing  $\mathcal{H}$  complex enough to describe f well, while low enough to have a reasonable bound in Eq. (1). The Hoeffding bound only applies to finte  $\mathcal{H}$ , as it becomes meaningless when  $M \to \infty$ .

- 3 Support Vector Machines
- 4 Neural Nets
- 5 Decision Trees and Ensemble Methods
- 6 Hidden Markov Models Decoding
- 7 Hidden Markov Models Training
- 8 Unsupervised Learning Clustering
- 9 Unsupervised Learning Outlier Detection and Dimensionality Reduction