

Willmore Energy and Bifurcation

Peter McGrath*, Andy Sageman-Furnas[†] and Henrik Schumacher[‡]

November 6, 2021

Abstract

MSC-2020 classification: 49Q10; 53A05; 53A31

1 Introduction

2 Notation

Andy: Andy is speaking.

Peter: Peter is speaking.

Henrik: Henrik is speaking.

FiXme Note:
Would be a
good idea to
give a
preliminary
sketch of
what we
want to
achieve
here...

symbol	meaning
\mathbb{R}^3	$\bar{\mathbb{R}}^3 := \mathbb{R}^3 \cup \{\infty\}$
C	$C := \{f \in C^2(M; \mathbb{R}^3)\}$
\bar{C}	$\bar{C} := \{f \in C^2(M; \bar{\mathbb{R}}^3)\}$
Möb(3)	group of conformal transformations on $\bar{\mathbb{R}}^3$
vol_f	Riemannian volume density induced by $f \in C$ on M
$\mathcal{A}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	area functional $\mathcal{A}(f) := \int_M \text{vol}_f$.
ω	$\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $\omega _x := \frac{1}{3} \int_M \sum_{i=1}^3 \sum_{j=1}^3 \sum_{k=1}^3 \epsilon_{ijk} x_i dx_j \wedge dx_k$, where ϵ_{ijk} denotes the Levi-Civita symbol. Observe that $d\omega = \text{vol}_{\mathbb{R}^3} := dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3$.
$\mathcal{V}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$	enclosed volume functional $\mathcal{V}(f) := \frac{1}{3} \int_M f^\# \omega$

*—please insert your affiliation here—

[†]—please insert your affiliation here—

[‡]Chemnitz University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics, 09107 Chemnitz, Germany,
henrik.schumacher@math.tu-chemnitz.de

3 Group action

Let M be a closed, 2-dimensional, oriented manifold and denote the space of embeddings of M into \mathbb{R}^3 by C . Note that by restricting the regularity of surfaces to some Banach space, say $C \subset C^2(M; \mathbb{R}^3)$ for the moment, C can be interpreted as an open set in a Banach space. The tangent space of C at a given point $f \in C$ is the space of \mathbb{R}^3 -valued vector fields (not necessarily tangent to f):

$$T_f C = C = C^2(M; \mathbb{R}^3).$$

The Lie group $G = \text{Möb}(3)$ acts on C , i.e., each $g \in G$ induces a diffeomorphism

$$L_g: C \rightarrow C, \quad L_g(f) = g \circ f.$$

We can evaluate the fundamental vector fields of the group action of G on C at a given point $f \in C$: Each element $\xi \in \text{Lie}(G) = T_1 G$ has a fundamental vector field K_ξ defined by

$$(K_\xi|_f)(x) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} L_{\exp(t\xi)}(f) \right|_{t=0} \quad \text{for each } x \in M.$$

By the chain rule, we have

$$(K_\xi|_f)(x) = K_\xi^{\mathbb{R}^3}|_{f(x)}. \tag{1}$$

For example, if $\xi \in \text{Lie}(\text{SO}(3)) \subset \text{Lie}(G)$ (it's nothing else but an antisymmetric 3×3 matrix), then

$$(K_\xi|_f)(x) = \xi \cdot f(x),$$

where \cdot denotes the matrix-vector product. If $v \in \mathbb{R}^3 \cong \text{Lie}(\mathbb{R}^3) \subset \text{Lie}(G)$ is a generator of a translation, then

$$(K_v|_f)(x) = v.$$

For an infinitesimal dilation $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} = \text{Lie}(\mathbb{R}_{>0}) \subset \text{Lie}(G)$, the fundamental vector field looks like this:

$$(K_\lambda|_f)(x) = \lambda f(x).$$

4 Questions

4.1 Andy: I do not have any intuition about preservation ‘up to first order’.

Henrik: Through a somewhat intransparent process (in the sense that I did not present their derivation in the *Mathematica* notebook), I was able to determine the fundamental vector fields

$K^{\mathbb{R}^3}$ for the three “infinitesimal boosts” $u, v, w \in \text{Lie}(G)$, i.e. for three further generators that complement $\text{Lie}(\text{SO}(3)) \oplus \text{Lie}(\mathbb{R}^3) \oplus \text{Lie}(\mathbb{R}_{>0})$ in $\text{Lie}(G)$, so that we obtain a full basis of $\text{Lie}(G)$. In the notebook, I denoted these three fundamental vector fields by U, V, W , i.e.,

$$U := K_u^{\mathbb{R}^3}, \quad V := K_v^{\mathbb{R}^3}, \quad \text{and} \quad W := K_w^{\mathbb{R}^3}.$$

Thus by (1), we have

$$K_u|_f = U \circ f, \quad K_v|_f = V \circ f, \quad \text{and} \quad K_w|_f = W \circ f.$$

We can now study area $\mathcal{A}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and enclosed volume $\mathcal{V}: C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ along an orbit $O := (Gf)$ of G . By the chain rule we have for each differentiable curve $g:]-\epsilon, \epsilon[\rightarrow G$ with $g(0) = 1$ and $g'(0) \in \text{Lie}(G)$ that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{A}(L_{g(t)}(f)) \Big|_{t=0} = D\mathcal{A}(f)(K_\xi|_f)$$

and analogously

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{V}(L_{g(t)}(f)) \Big|_{t=0} = D\mathcal{V}(f)(K_\xi|_f).$$

And what I did in the notebook is just checking for each torus f of revolution with circular contour (TRCC for short) that

$$\begin{aligned} D\mathcal{A}(f)(K_u|_f) &= 0, \\ D\mathcal{A}(f)(K_v|_f) &= 0, \\ D\mathcal{A}(f)(K_w|_f) &= 0, \\ D\mathcal{V}(f)(K_u|_f) &= 0, \\ D\mathcal{V}(f)(K_v|_f) &= 0, \\ D\mathcal{V}(f)(K_w|_f) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Of course, this does not necessarily imply that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{V} are constant on the whole orbit $O = (Gf)$, not even on an arbitrarily small neighborhood of f . While the boost $\exp(tw)$ “in z -direction” (along the axis of revolution) sends a TRCC to a TRCC (the contour has to be circular again and boosting along the axis of revolution preserves rotation symmetry; the parameterization might change considerably, but we are not interested in the parameterization, right?), the boosts $\exp(tu)$ and $\exp(tv)$ along the x and y direction should deform TRCC into Dupin cyclides. And so I do not expect that $\exp(tu)$, $\exp(tv)$ preserve both area and volume. But I could be wrong.

4.2 Andy: With your symbolic setup in *Mathematica* Henrik, is it easy to look at the 2nd order change? Maybe we will even see what makes the Clifford torus isoperimetric ratio so special?

Henrik: Could be worth a try. Computing the Hessian at a critical point is typically not that difficult because it actually does not depend on the employed Riemannian metric and its connection:

Denote the orbit of f under G by $\mathcal{O} = L_G(f)$. The fundamental vector fields K span the tangent space $T_f\mathcal{O}$. If $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is twice differentiable, then for any Riemannian metric h on \mathcal{O} we have

$$\text{Hess}^h(\mathcal{F})(K_\xi, K_\eta) = (K_\xi K_\eta \mathcal{F})|_f - d\mathcal{F}(f) \nabla_{K_\xi}^h K_\eta, \quad \text{for all } \xi, \eta \in \text{Lie}(G),$$

where ∇^h is the Levi-Civita connection of h . So in general, the result depends on the choice of a metric! But if $d\mathcal{F}|_f = 0$ (like in the cases $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{A}|_{\mathcal{O}}$ and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{V}|_{\mathcal{O}}$ for example), then the metric-dependent term just vanishes and we get

$$\text{Hess}^h(\mathcal{F})(f)(K_\xi, K_\eta) = (K_\xi K_\eta \mathcal{F})|_f.$$

And we (or rather *Mathematica*) should be able to compute the latter, once we have figured out how the exponentials for the infinitesimal boost u, v, w actually look like ...

Caution: If I am not mistaken, this does *not* imply

$$(K_\xi K_\eta \mathcal{A})|_f = D^2 \mathcal{A}(f)(K_\xi, K_\eta),$$

where D^2 denotes the second derivative on the surrounding Banach space! This has to do with the fact that the orbit is a curved submanifold of C and that $D\mathcal{A}(f) \neq 0$. (We only know that $D\mathcal{A}(f)$ vanishes when multiplied against fundamental vector fields $K_u|_f, K_v|_f, K_w|_f$ (and against any fundamental vector fields of the group of Euclidean motions, of course).