Checkpoint V (Final Delivery)

1.1. Goals

Deliver the final version of your project, plus report and video.

The submission is done via Fenix.

You need to submit until the end of the day (23:59) of your lab. We will check the date/time. Projects delivered late will not be graded (you will fail the course).

1.2. Lab preparation

For this final submission you need to prepare three things:

- 1. A folder containing your project. It should be ready to run as is: just unzip to a local folder, open an HTML file and we're ready to go! It must include all scripts, datafiles, etc. Any special requirements/instructions should be given in a README.TXT file. This can not include the installation of servers, access to remotely hosted services, etc. Again: I turn off the Internet, unzip, open a local file and everything works. At most we'll accept a minimal python server to be run as shown in the first lab class.
- 2. **A .pdf file with your report** according to the instructions provided with this lab guide.
- 3. A video file (H264 codec), between 1 and 3 minutes, demonstrating your project. You have seen several throughout the lectures so you know what is expected but, in a nutshell: the first part should describe the visualization, its different components, and how it works. The second should highlight some use cases and demonstrate its usefulness vis-à-vis the tasks/questions you defined in the first checkpoint.

Create a zip file with the three things. The name should be:

"VI-<campus initial><group>.zip".

For instance, group 5 from Taguspark name the file "VI-T05.zip". Upload it to Fenix.

The project will be graded in due time and the grade posted in the page's course.

1.3. Grading Criteria

We will have a detailed grading rubric that will focus on the following aspects:

Prototype [60%]

Completeness

Coherence

Usefulness (follows tasks set in Checkpoint I, more?)

Layout & graphic design

Interactivity

Wow factor

Video [20%]

Duration

Explanation of the Visualizations

Demonstration of Usefulness

"Standaloneness" (i.e., if someone just watches the video, no report, no prototype, is the project understandable?)

Report [20%]

Readability / Understandability

Structure (adherence to the content sections)

Content (depth, thoroughness, discussion of alternatives, justification of choices, etc.)