# 2nd order LODE

Yip, Henry s2231321@ed.ac.uk

Richmond, Lorian s2188785@ed.ac.uk

# March 2022

### Abstract

This appendix is related to solving ordinary diffential equations with a mathematically rigorous style.

### Introduction 1

Consider the homogeneous equation:

$$\ddot{y} + \alpha \dot{y} + \beta y = 0 \tag{1}$$

By basic differentiation techniques, we have

$$\frac{d}{dx}(e^x) = e^x \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dx}(e^x) = e^x \tag{2}$$

$$\implies \frac{d}{dx}(ae^x) = ae^x \tag{3}$$

Suppose that f:  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable everywhere and f'(x) = af(x). There are no other functions in which the derivative is equal to itself.

### Proof 1 1.1

If we let  $g(x) = \frac{af(x)}{e^{ax}}$ 

f(x) and  $e^{ax}$  are differentiable  $\to g'(x)$  is defined.

$$g'(x) = \frac{f'(x)e^{ax} - af(x)e^{ax}}{(e^{ax})^2}$$

$$g'(x) = \frac{af(x)e^{ax} - af(x)e^{ax}}{(e^{ax})^2}$$
(5)

$$g'(x) = \frac{af(x)e^{ax} - af(x)e^{ax}}{(e^{ax})^2}$$
 (5)

$$g'(x) = 0 (6)$$

By identity theorem,  $g'(x) = 0 \rightarrow g(x) = c$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

 $\implies f(x) = Ae^{ax}$ , where c = Aa. We take a = 1 and hence A = c. The above statement can be proved by Picard-Lindelöf theorem, however we won't cover it here.

# 1.2 Proof 2

Suppose that f:  $\mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is differentiable everywhere and f(x) = f'(x)

$$1 = \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)} \tag{7}$$

$$x + c = \int \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}, c \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (8)

$$x + c = ln(f(x)) \tag{9}$$

$$f(x) = e^{x+c} (10)$$

$$f(x) = \gamma e^x, \gamma = e^c \tag{11}$$

# 1.3 Ansatz

Therefore, we take the Ansatz:  $y = e^{\lambda x}$  and obtain:

$$\ddot{y} + \alpha \dot{y} + \beta y = 0 \tag{12}$$

$$(\lambda^2 + \alpha\lambda + \beta)(e^{\lambda x}) = 0 \tag{13}$$

Obviously  $e^{\lambda x} = 0$  is undefined, so

$$\lambda^2 + \alpha\lambda + \beta = 0 \tag{14}$$

Note that the equation above is called the auxiliary (characteristic) equation.

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{a}{2} + \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - b} \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_2 = \frac{a}{2} - \sqrt{\frac{a^2}{4} - b} \tag{16}$$

We can imagine both  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  satisfies the equation, so y is a linear combination of  $e^{\lambda_1 x}$  and  $e^{\lambda_2 x}$ , we can rewrite this as:

$$y = Ae^{\lambda_1 x} + Be^{\lambda_1 x} \tag{17}$$

Where A & B  $\in \mathbb{R}$ 

A Rigorous Statement: Let S be the solution space of

$$\ddot{y} + \alpha \dot{y} + \beta y = 0$$

If  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ , then  $\{e^{\lambda_1 x}, e^{\lambda_2 x}\}$  is a basis for S.

Note that the above is only true for  $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ . For  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2, \{e^{\lambda_1 x}, te^{\lambda_1 x}\}$  is a basis for S. The proof will be written some other time.

### 2 Substitution

### 2.1 Motivation

If we want to solve a non-homogeneous 2nd order differential equation, say

$$y'' + ky + c = 0$$
 where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Getting rid of the constant would allow us to solve using the equation (17).

#### 2.2 Construction

Let

$$u = y + \frac{c}{k} \tag{18}$$

By basic diffentiation techniques, we can obtain the first and second derivative:

$$u' = y' \tag{19}$$

$$u" = y" \tag{20}$$

So the equation becomes

$$u" + k(u - \frac{c}{k}) + c = 0 (21)$$

$$u" + ku = 0 (22)$$

From equation (14), we can see that the auxiliary equation becomes:

$$\lambda^2 + k = 0 \tag{23}$$

$$(\lambda + \sqrt{k}i)(\lambda - \sqrt{k}i) = 0 \tag{24}$$

So:

$$\lambda_1 = \sqrt{k}i\tag{25}$$

$$\lambda_2 = -\sqrt{k}i\tag{26}$$

Therefore, the General Solution:<sup>1</sup>

$$u = Ae^{i\sqrt{k}x} + Be^{-i\sqrt{k}x} \tag{27}$$

However, this is not our final answer. For y:

$$y = u - \frac{c}{k}$$

$$y = Ae^{i\sqrt{k}x} + Be^{-i\sqrt{k}x} - \frac{c}{k}$$
(28)

$$y = Ae^{i\sqrt{k}x} + Be^{-i\sqrt{k}x} - \frac{c}{k} \tag{29}$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>This equation reminds me of quantum mechanics, in particular, the Schrödinger equation. I may write a paper on this later.