

## CSC236 Problem Set 2

### Question 3.

(a) For each  $e \in E$ , define  $T$ :

①  $T(\text{two}) = 1$

② If  $e_1, e_2 \in E$ , then  $T(e_1 \oplus e_2) = T(e_1) + T(e_2)$  and  $T(e_1 \otimes e_2) = T(e_1) \cdot T(e_2)$ .

(b). Let  $e \in E$ .

WTP:  $V(e) \geq 2 \cdot T(e)$

I'll prove a property first.

Property I:  $\forall e \in E, T(e) \geq 1$

Let  $e \in E$ .

WTP:  $T(e) \geq 1$

Base Case:  $e = \text{two}$ .

$$T(\text{two}) = 1 \geq 1 \text{ by def}^n \text{ of } T.$$

Induction Step: Let  $e_1, e_2 \in E$ .

Induction Hypothesis: Assume  $T(e_1) \geq 1, T(e_2) \geq 1$

WTP:  $T(e_1 \oplus e_2) \geq 1$  and  $T(e_1 \otimes e_2) \geq 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Since, by def}^n \text{ of } T, T(e_1 \oplus e_2) &= T(e_1 \otimes e_2) = T(e_1) + T(e_2) \\ &\text{(by I.H.)} \geq 1 + 1 > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, I've proved  $\forall e \in E, T(e) \geq 1$ .

I'll start the prove then.

Base Case:  $e = \text{two}$ .

when  $e = \text{two}$ , according to the definition of  $V$ ,  $V(e) = 2$ .

when  $e = \text{two}$ , according to the definition of  $T$ ,  $T(e) = 1$ .

which  $V(\text{two}) = 2 \times 1 = 2 \cdot T(\text{two})$ , gives  $V(e) \geq 2 \cdot T(e)$  when  $e = \text{two}$ .

I've proved the base case is true.

Induction Step. Let  $e_1, e_2 \in E$ , s.t.  $V(e_1) \geq 2 \cdot J(e_1)$ .  $V(e_2) \geq 2 \cdot J(e_2)$ .

WTP:  $V(e) \geq 2 \cdot J(e)$ , where  $e = e_1 \oplus e_2$  or  $e = e_1 \otimes e_2$

Case 1:  $e = e_1 \oplus e_2$ .

$$V(e) = V(e_1) + V(e_2)$$

$$\geq 2 \cdot J(e_1) + 2 \cdot J(e_2) \quad \text{According to l.H.}$$

$$= 2 \cdot (J(e_1) + J(e_2))$$

$$= 2 \cdot J(e). \quad \text{According to def<sup>n</sup> of } J.$$

which in case 1.  $V(e) \geq 2 \cdot J(e)$ .

Before Case 2, I'll prove another property, which,  $2J(e_1) \cdot J(e_2) \geq J(e_1) + J(e_2)$

Since  $e_1, e_2 \in E$ , by property I,  $J(e_1) \geq 1$ ,  $J(e_2) \geq 1$ , which,

$$2J(e_2) = J(e_2) + J(e_2) \geq J(e_2) + 1.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2J(e_2) - 1 \geq J(e_2), \text{ gives.}$$

$$J(e_1) \cdot (2J(e_2) - 1) \geq 2J(e_2) - 1 \quad (\text{since } J(e_1) \geq 1).$$

$$\Rightarrow \geq J(e_2), \text{ gives.}$$

$$J(e_1) \cdot (2J(e_2) - 1) = 2 \cdot J(e_1) \cdot J(e_2) - J(e_1) \geq J(e_2).$$

$$\Rightarrow 2J(e_1) \cdot J(e_2) \geq J(e_1) + J(e_2).$$

I'll call this property II.

Case 2:  $e = e_1 \otimes e_2$ .

$$V(e) = V(e_1) \cdot V(e_2)$$

$$\geq 2 \cdot J(e_1) \cdot 2 \cdot J(e_2)$$

$$\geq 2 \cdot (2 \cdot J(e_1) \cdot J(e_2)). \quad (\text{According to Property II}).$$

$$\geq 2 \cdot (J(e_1) + J(e_2))$$

$$= 2 \cdot J(e_1 \otimes e_2).$$

$$= 2 \cdot J(e). \quad \text{According to def<sup>n</sup> of } J.$$

which in case 2.  $V(e) \geq 2 \cdot J(e)$ .

Therefore, I've proved.  $\forall e \in E. V(e) \geq 2 \cdot J(e)$ . ■