Intro of Preclicate Logic. 1. Why we have Pl: 1. Why we have Pl: 1. Trisky to a cal. 1. Frisky to a cal. 1. Frisky to a cal. 1. Frisky to a cal. 2. Sentential Logic: 2. P. All cats are evil; Q. Frisky to a cal. 2. R. Frisky to evil. 2. Sub-sentential Logic. 2. Sub-contract actually loss. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 3. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. 4. O Singular Jerms. 4. O Reneral Jerms. 4. Universally quantified terms. 5. Reclicates: the relationship between subjects.		Ω	/		Λ	n	,		0						
1. Why we have PL: 1. is axample: All calls are evil Frisky to a cal. Frisky to evil. Dentertial Logic: P. All calls are evil; a. Frisky to a cal. R. Frisky to evil. St. cart actually loop. R. X (X) at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects are predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking osingular Jerms. e.g. proper names, definite descriptures, pronours. Dieneral Jerms. Universally quantified terms. Existentially quantified terms.	4	Ln	tri) G	+	Por	edi	cai	# L	Lo	gi	ر ر			
is Example: All cals are evil Frisky to a cal. Frisky to evil. Dentertial Logic: P: All cals are evil; Q: Frisky to a cal. R: Frisky to evil. Our sentential logic Proper at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects are predicates. In Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. Descriptors from e. Expressely quantified terms This proper names are proper as a cal. In Subjects the particular thing we are talking. Descriptors of the proper senses. The proper names are consistent to the secretary that the consistency of the proper senses. The proper names are the proper to the proper senses. The proper senses are the proper senses are talking. The proper senses are the proper senses are talking.															
1. Example: All cats are evil Frisky to a cal. Frisky to evil. Dentertial Logic: P: All cats are evil; Q: Frisky to a cal. R: Frisky to evil. Our sentential logic P system to incomplete Q SI canto actually loop R (X) at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects are predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. Descriptions of General Jerms. P conversally quantified terms Existentially quantified terms.	1. 1	The	f u	e 1	have	P	<u>/</u> :								
Frisky to a cal. Frisky to evil. Dentential Logic: P. All cats are evil; Q: Frisky to a cal. R. Frisky to evil. Property to evil. Our sentential logic Property to at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects are predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descriptins, pronounces. Diversally quantified terms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.		ĭ		_				re e	evil						
.: Firisky to evil. **OSentential Logic:** **P: All calls are evil; Q: Firisky to a cal. **R. Firisky to evil.** **Our sentential logic **P. **System to incompleto **Q. **Sil canto actually loop :: R. (x) at the logic within the sentence. **2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. **1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. **OSingular Jerms.** **eq. proper names, clefinite descriptions, pronouns. ***OGeneral Jerms.** - Universally quantified terms. - Existentially quantified terms.			/						_						
P. All cals are evil; Q. Frisky to a cal. R. Firisky to evil. Our sentential logic Prystem to incomplete St. canto actually loop R (x) at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descriptirs, pronouns. The General Jerms. Whiversally quantified terms. The particular terms. Oniversally quantified terms.						_									
P: All calls are evil; Q: Frisky is a cal. R: Firisky is evil. P system is incomplete Q SL cant actually loop R. (x) at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. Q Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, definite descriptions, pronouns. Dieneral Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Enristentially quantified terms.			- (,	4		α.						
R: Frisky ts evil. P system ts encomplets Q SL cante actually loop R: R (X) at the logic within the sentence 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronouns. Singular Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.							_			9-1					a
P system is incomplete Q SL canto actually loop R (X) at the logic within the sentence. 2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descriptions, pronouns. O General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.															
2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descriptors, pronows. The General Jerms. Whiversally quantified terms. Sixistentially quantified terms.			R:	fir	isky	広	evil			ow	se,	nteni	tial	log	ic
2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronows. The General Jerms. Whiversally quantified terms. Existentially quantified terms.							P			Eys	etem	太	inc	omple	to
2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, elefinite descriptions, pronouns. O General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.							Q			D' S!	_ ca	nt a	ctual	ly l	00
2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronouns. O General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.							: R		Cx)	at.	the	lori	(437)	Hin	A
2. Sub-sentential Login: a relationship between subjects and predicates. 1. Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronowns. D General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.											,				
predicates. 1) Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronouns. O General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.										sem	ence				
predicates. 1) Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronouns. O General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.					. 0										
predicates. 1) Subjects: the particular thing we are talking. O Singular Jerms. e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronouns. O General Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.	2.54	elo-s	sente	ential	Lo	gin:	an	elati	onship	b	etwe	en s	rubjei	Es	an
e.g. proper names, clefinite descriptirs, pronouns. © Gieneral Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.															
e.g. proper names, clefinite descriptirs, pronouns. © Gieneral Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.		ıs Sa	ubjed	ts:	the	s pa	rtice	ular	thi	ing c	ve a	re	talk	ing.	
e.g. proper names, clefinite descripturs, pronouns. Dieneral Jerms. — Universally quantified terms. — Existentially quantified terms.			\sim							U				0	
→ Universally quantified terms → Existentially quantified terms				•				0 0	leli.	to	olo car	. i. 2 t. r	,	^ 24 æ-	u uc
- Universally quantified terms - Existentially quantified terms.				•				S, C	egin	we i	RESU	ajaw	s, p	10/000	()(S.
- Existentially quantified terms.										1					
			→>	Un	ivers	ally a	quan	tifie	ed i	erm	3				
			→	Exi	sten	tially	g qu	ianti	fied	te	ems.				
2) Producates. The relationship between subjects						U									
		P_{2}	الأمصا	ntor	. 1	He.	rolat	ו ווא בין ווא בין	in I	Botins	ON	دران	rte		



