

CSC165 Problem Set 2

Jonah Kisluk, Nick Pestov, Xuanqi Wei

September 12, 2023

Contents

1	Q1: Number Theory	1
2	Q2: Floors and Ceilings	2
3	Q3: Induction	4

1 Q1: Number Theory

(a) Fact from the worksheet:

$$\forall a, b, \in \mathbb{Z}, a \neq 0 \vee b \neq 0 \Rightarrow (\exists p_1, q_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, \gcd(a, b) = p_1 a + q_1 b) \wedge (\forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (\exists p_2, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, d = p_2 a + q_2 b) \Rightarrow d \geq \gcd(a, b))$$

Proof:

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$

Since $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $n \geq 0$, gives,

$$9n + 1 \geq 1 \text{ and } 10n + 1 \geq 1; 9n + 1 \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } 10n + 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Thus, $9n + 1 \neq 0$ and $10n + 1 \neq 0$.

By the fact from the worksheet(as listed above), we have,

$$\forall d \in \mathbb{Z}^+, (\exists p_2, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, d = p_2(9n + 1) + q_2(10n + 1)) \Rightarrow d \geq \gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1)$$

Let $d_1 = 1$, which $d \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, gives

$$d_1 = 10(9n + 1) + 9(10n + 1) \text{ and } \gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1) \leq d_1 = 1$$

According to fact 4 in worksheet 4, gives,

$$\forall n, m \in \mathbb{N}, n \neq 0 \vee m \neq 0 \Rightarrow \gcd(n, m) \geq 1$$

Since $9n + 1 \neq 0$ and $10n + 1 \neq 0$, $\gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1) \geq 1$.

Since $\gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1) \geq 1$ and $\gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1) \leq 1$, gives,

$$\gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1) = 1$$

Using the worksheet fact, and using $a = 9n + 1$, $b = 10n + 1$ and $d = 1$, we can conclude that $\gcd(9n + 1, 10n + 1) = 1$. ■

(b) Proof:

Let $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, assume $n \mid m \wedge \text{Prime}(n)$

Given $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $m = k_1 n$ according to $n \mid m$

Suppose $n \mid (m + 1)$, then $\exists k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. $m + 1 = k_2 n$

Subtract two equations, gives,

$$\begin{aligned} k_2 n - k_1 n &= (m + 1) - m \\ n(k_2 - k_1) &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since n is a prime, $n > 1$; Since $k_1, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(k_2 - k_1) \in \mathbb{Z}$

Therefore, $n \cdot (k_2 - k_1) \neq 1$ (for $n > 1$ but $0 < \frac{1}{k_2 - k_1} \leq 1$), gives,

It contradicts to $n(k_2 - k_1) = 1$

Thus, $n \nmid (m + 1)$ ■

2 Q2: Floors and Ceilings

(a) Proof

Let $x \in \mathbb{N}$. We'll separate the proof into two cases: Either x is even or x is odd.

Case 1: Let x be even

By definition: $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, x = 2k$ (Since floor functions have no effect on integers, and $\frac{x}{2}$ is an integer)

$$\left\lceil \frac{x-1}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil \frac{2k-1}{2} \right\rceil = \left\lceil k - \frac{1}{2} \right\rceil = k = \frac{x}{2} = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor$$

Case 2: Let x be odd

By definition: $\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, x = 2k - 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\lceil \frac{x-1}{2} \right\rceil &= \left\lceil \frac{2k-1-1}{2} \right\rceil = \lceil k-1 \rceil = k-1 \\ &= \left\lfloor k - \frac{1}{2} \right\rfloor \text{ works since } k \text{ is an integer} \\ &= \left\lfloor \frac{2k-1}{2} \right\rfloor = \left\lfloor \frac{x}{2} \right\rfloor \end{aligned}$$

■

(b) (i) We want to prove $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lceil x-1 \rceil = \lceil x \rceil - 1$

Proof

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$, gives,

$$\begin{aligned} x &\leq \lceil x \rceil < x+1 \\ x-1 &\leq \lceil x \rceil - 1 < x \end{aligned}$$

According to the fact that,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \lceil x \rceil - x < 1 \\ 0 &\leq \lceil x-1 \rceil - (x-1) < 1 \\ x-1 &\leq \lceil x-1 \rceil < x \end{aligned}$$

We want to prove that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, x-1 \leq n < x$.

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume there're two integers between $x-1$ and x , which,

$$\exists n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}, x-1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < x, \text{ which } n_1 \neq n_2$$

Since $n_1 \neq n_2$, $n_1 < n_2$ and $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, gives, $\min(n_2 - n_1) = 1$

Since $n_2 < x$, gives, $x - n_2 > 0$

Thus, $\min(x - n_1) > 1$, gives, $\min(x - (x-1)) > 1$

However, $x - (x-1) = 1$, which contradicts to $\min(x - (x-1)) > 1$.

Therefore, we've proved that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \exists n \in \mathbb{Z}, x-1 \leq n < x$

Since $\lceil x \rceil - 1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x - 1 \leq \lceil x \rceil - 1 < x$, gives, $\lceil x \rceil - 1$ is the only integer between x and $x - 1$.

Since $\lceil x - 1 \rceil \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $x - 1 \leq \lceil x - 1 \rceil < x$, gives, $\lceil x - 1 \rceil$ is the only integer between x and $x - 1$.

Therefore, we have $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \lceil x - 1 \rceil = \lceil x \rceil - 1$ as needed. ■

- (ii) We want to disprove the statement and prove: $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \lceil xy \rceil \neq \lceil x \rceil \lfloor y \rfloor$

Proof

Let $x = 0.5, y = 2$, gives:

$$\lceil xy \rceil = \lceil 0.5 \cdot 2 \rceil = \lceil 1 \rceil = 1 \neq 2 = 1 \cdot 2 = \lceil 0.5 \rceil \lfloor 2 \rfloor = \lceil x \rceil \lfloor y \rfloor$$

Therefore, we have $\exists x, y \in \mathbb{R}, \lceil xy \rceil \neq \lceil x \rceil \lfloor y \rfloor$ as needed. ■

3 Q3: Induction

a) Proof: We want to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, 9 \mid 11^n - 2^n$.

Base Case: Let $n = 0$. We want to prove $9 \mid 11^0 - 2^0$, meaning $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, 11^0 - 2^0 = 9k_0$:

$$11^0 - 2^0 = 1 - 1 = 0 = 9 \cdot 0$$

when $k_0 = 0$, $11^0 - 2^0 = 9k_0$, saying that:

$$\text{Therefore, } \exists k_0 \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ when } n = 0, 9 \mid 11^0 - 2^0$$

Hence, we've proven the base case.

Induction Hypothesis: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $9 \mid 11^n - 2^n$ which, gives,

$$\exists k \in \mathbb{Z}, 11^n - 2^n = 9k$$

Induction Step: Want to prove $9 \mid 11^{n+1} - 2^{n+1}$, meaning $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 11^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} = 9k_1$

Let $w = 11^n + 2k$

$$\begin{aligned} & 11^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} \\ &= 11(11^n) - 2(2^n) \\ &= 9(11^n) + 2(11^n) - 2(2^n) \\ &= 9(11^n) + 2(11^n - 2^n) \\ &= 9(11^n) + 2(9k) \text{ By Induction Hypothesis} \\ &= 9 \cdot (11^n + 2k) \\ &= 9 \cdot w \text{ (} 9 \mid 9 \cdot w \text{ is True)} \end{aligned}$$

when $k_1 = w$, $11^{n+1} - 2^{n+1} = 9k_1$.

Thus, $\exists k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}, 9 \mid 11^{n+1} - 2^{n+1}$ ■

b) Proof: We want to prove $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} P_i + 2$

Base Case: Let $n = 0$. We want to prove $P_0 = \prod_{i=0}^{0-1} P_i + 2$ where P_n is a Pierre Number.

By Pierre Number Definition,

$$P_0 = 2^{2^0} + 1 = 2 + 1 = 3$$

Since, when $n < j$, then $\prod_{i=j}^n f(i) = 1$, gives,

$$\prod_{i=0}^{0-1} P_i + 2 = \prod_{i=0}^{-1} P_i + 2 = 1 + 2 = 3$$

Therefore, when $n = 0$, $P_0 = \prod_{i=0}^{0-1} P_i + 2$.

Hence, we've proven the base case.

Induction Hypothesis: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $P_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} P_i + 2$

Induction Step: Want to prove $P_{n+1} = \prod_{i=0}^{(n+1)-1} P_i + 2$

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=0}^{(n+1)-1} P_i + 2 \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^n P_i + 2 \\ &= \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} P_i \cdot P_n + 2 \\ &= (P_n - 2) \cdot P_n + 2 \\ &= P_n^2 - 2P_n + 2 \text{ (By the I.H.)} \\ &= (P_n - 1)^2 + 1 \\ &= (2^{2^n} + 1 - 1)(2^{2^n} + 1 - 1) + 1 \text{ (By definition of } P_n = 2^{2^n} + 1) \\ &= 2^{2(2^n)} + 1 \\ &= 2^{2^{n+1}} + 1 \end{aligned}$$

Since, by Pierre Number Definition, $P_{n+1} = 2^{2^{n+1}} + 1$, gives,

$$P_{n+1} = \prod_{i=0}^{(n+1)-1} P_i + 2$$

Hence, we've proven the induction successfully.

$$\text{Thus, } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, P_n = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} P_i + 2$$

■