



## Learning Objectives

In this tutorial, you will practice doing proofs related to inner product spaces.

Before attending the tutorial, you should be able recall the definitions of the following terms:

- An inner product on a vector space  $V$ .
- An inner product space.
- An orthogonal set of vectors in  $V$ , and an orthogonal basis of  $V$ .
- An orthonormal set of vectors in  $V$ , and an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .

These definitions can be reviewed in the textbook, Damiano and Little Section 4.3.

## Problems

- Consider the following examples of vector spaces. Which of the following are inner product spaces under the given operation? Explain why or why not.
  - Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , the space of all  $n \times n$  matrices. Define  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A + B)$ .<sup>1</sup>
  - Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ . Define  $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^T B)$ .
  - Let  $V$  be the space of continuous functions from  $[0, 1]$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . Define  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt$ .
  - Let  $V$  be the space of polynomials in the variable  $t$  of degree less than or equal to 3. Define  $\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 f(t)g(t) dt$ .
- Let  $\vec{v}, \vec{w} \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and define  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = \vec{v}^T \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \vec{w}$ .
  - Compute a formula for  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$  in terms of the components of  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$ .
  - Show that  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$  defines an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , that is different from the dot product.
  - Can you come up with another matrix  $A$  such that  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle = \vec{v}^T A \vec{w}$  is also an inner product on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ?
- Let  $V$  be an inner product space and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .

**Theorem 1.** For every  $v \in V$ ,  $v = \underbrace{\langle v, u_1 \rangle}_{c_1} u_1 + \langle v, u_2 \rangle u_2 + \dots + \langle v, u_n \rangle u_n$ .

Prove the theorem by using the following steps.

- Let  $v \in V$  and suppose  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i$ . What is  $\langle v, u_1 \rangle$ ?  $c_1$ .
- Use your observation in the previous part to prove the theorem.
- What would change about the theorem if  $\mathcal{U}$  was only an **orthogonal** basis and not an orthonormal one?

<sup>1</sup>Recall that the trace is the sum of the diagonal entries of the matrix

(Q3.cb). Let  $V$  be an inner product space.

Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V$ .

WTS:  $\forall v \in V, v = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i$ .

Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ , according to theorem 1.6.3., (Let  $V$  be a vector space, and let  $S$  be a non-empty subset of  $V$ . Then  $S$  is a basis of  $V$  iff every vector  $v \in V$  may be written uniquely as a lin. comb. of the vectors in  $S$ ), we can assume  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i$

Thus, if we can prove  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_i = \langle v, u_i \rangle$  we can prove the theorem.

Let  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , by linearity of the inner product,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle v, u_i \rangle &= \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^n c_j u_j, u_i \right\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \langle u_j, u_i \rangle \\ &= c_1 \langle u_1, u_i \rangle + c_2 \langle u_2, u_i \rangle + \dots + c_i \langle u_i, u_i \rangle + \dots + c_n \langle u_n, u_i \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathcal{U}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $V$ , when  $i' \neq i$ ,  $\langle u_{i'}, u_i \rangle = 0$ , and when  $i' = i$ ,  $\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 1$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } \langle v, u_i \rangle &= c_1 \cdot 0 + c_2 \cdot 0 + \dots + c_i \cdot 1 + \dots + c_n \cdot 0 \\ &= c_i \end{aligned}$$

We've proved that  $\forall 1 \leq i \leq n$ , where  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $c_i = \langle v, u_i \rangle$ .

Since  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i u_i$ , we've obtain  $v = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle v, u_i \rangle u_i$ .

Hence, we've proved the theorem. ■

(Jzy Huangqi Wei & Zilong Zhao).