d) Prove the following fact about subtraction of natural numbers (whenever applies): Suppose m < n. For any natural number w, prove that n-m < w implies n < w + m. (Can you now explain to an elementary school student why we need to change the sign we drag the number across the equal or inequality sign?)

proof: Yet mawnell men.

Get wEN.

dissume n-m<w.

Let k=Smin. which Smin & , and Smin & the smallest element in S. (S= Sa&W; N < m+a)? From (c). we have n=m+k. when k is the last element in S, which n-m=k.

From assumption. We have n-m=k<w, which k<w.

Therefore n = m+k < m+w. since kcw.gnes. n<m+w.

live proved that n-mcw implies ncw+m.

e) prove the following distributivity for subtraction (using the distributivity for addition): For natural numbers m < n and w, prove that w(n - m) = wn - wm.

proof: Let mell. ne M. man.

Let WEIN.

Since meM wGM, gover wmt/N which.

W(n-m)

=> WN - WM

=> w(n-m)+wm. (adding wm since wm&W).

=> w(n-m+m). (from the distributivity for endelition).
=> wn (substracting um since in added at (substracting wm since we've adoled at step 2).

Therefore we've proved that w(n-m) = wn-wm.