

Report 2 - Experience

17COD280 - Due on 15th May 2018, 4PM

Module Leader: Andrea Soltoggio

FirstName LastName

Student ID: XXXXXX

Team 3

Work Timeline

- Week 3
 - The group setup Google VM and chose Joomla due to its apparent flexibility and available plugins.
- Week 4
 - **Robert** started researching and experimenting with Joomla plugins.
 - **Anton** and **Adam** setup form editing plugins and learnt how to build custom forms. They also made flow diagrams of key user stories. They also attempted to install help-desk ticket plugins with limited success.
 - The group downloaded and setup WordPress on a second VM. They began researching available plugins and setup user accounts on the system.
 - **Robert, Joe, Adam** and **Anton** setup a ticket plugin. They altered it so that it resembled the specification. They also began editing the sites css.
- Week 5
 - The team began planning reports B and C. They also created user stories.
 - **Adam** made some alterations to the system
 - **Anton, Robert, Adam** did some work on the system, made significant progress on the testing report and created a task list for the team.
- Week 6
 - The group completed the testing report barring the results column.
 - **Danielle** continued working on report B
 - Everyone except **Catrina** worked on the system, focussing on general layout and user permissions
- Week 7
 - **Adam, Anton, Danielle**, and **Robert** completed all system related tasks on the task list and began filling in results in the testing report.
 - Everyone except **Danielle** finished all pending tasks, made changes to report C and began preparing for demonstration after easter.
- Week 8
 - The group prepared the system for demonstration and finalized reports A and C.

Overview

All team members can be seen to be contributing to the project both in group meetings and individually.

Name	Attendance (max 7)	Score (max 10)
Catrina Shutter	7	10
Adam Turner	7	10
Anton Ivanov Tsirov	6	10
Danielle Wilson	7	10
Joe William Crofts	6	10
Robert Lorkins	6	10

Team 20

This team produced a poor system which did not meet even the minimum requirements of the specification.

The team was obsessed with their own internal heirachy. This is apparent by their first two reports, both of which start off by talking about the assignment of a leader.

The team assigned a single person initially to the reports. Which, in hindsight, is greatly unbalanced for what the project demanded.

- Nischal was a very dominant personality often interrupting others in the weekly meetings.
- This team did not seem to work well

Attendance		Score
(max		(max
Name	Notes	7)
Gregor6		10
Mandak		
Nischal7	His controlling and dominant nature seemed to negatively impact the entire team. I believe without him they would have performed better. He did seem to understand the system relatively well.	3
Limbu		
Rachel 7	Over the course of the project she seemed to become less pleased with the team, ending with the final meeting being very depressed seeming and remained completely silent. She was assigned the entire work load of the reports.	10
Hill		
Robin 4		10
Riber		
Ruiling7		10
Qian		
Zak 7		10
Williamson		