# ANALYTIC CONTINUATION OF DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS & THE MELLIN TRANSFORM

#### ACHINTYA POLAVARAPU, HENRY TWISS

ABSTRACT. We describe the analytic continuation of Dirichlet L-functions  $L(s,\chi)$  arising from primitive characters of modulus q>1 by taking the Mellin transform of a theta function. This is preluded by a recount of the analytic continuation of the Riemann zeta function in a similar manner. After proving the analytic continuation of these Dirichlet series, we give a short discussion on the underlying technique of taking the Mellin transform of a theta function and discuss the case of L-functions corresponding to integral weight modular forms.

## 1. The $\zeta$ -function & Historical Remarks

The **Riemann zeta function**  $\zeta(s)$  is the Dirichlet series defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{1}{n^s}.$$

The zeta function is intimately connected to the distribution of primes (see [1, 2]) and has been one of the cornerstones of analytic number theory since its birth. It arose from Euler's study of sums of the form

$$\sum_{n>1} \frac{1}{n^k} = 1 + \frac{1}{2^k} + \frac{1}{3^k} + \cdots,$$

where  $k \geq 2$  is an integer (see [3] for more). By standard series tests,  $\zeta(s)$  is absolutely uniformly convergent in the half-plane  $\Re(s) > 1$  and hence defines a holomorphic function there. While it has a singularity at s = 1 by Landau's theorem, in 1859 Riemann analytically continued  $\zeta(s)$  to all of  $\mathbb C$  with a simple pole at s = 1 of residue 1 (see [4]). This was achieved by deriving the integral representation for  $\Re(s) > 1$ :

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} \left[ -\frac{1}{s(1-s)} + \int_{1}^{\infty} \theta(x) x^{(1-s)/2} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1}^{\infty} \theta(x) x^{s/2} \frac{dx}{x} \right], \tag{1.1}$$

where  $\theta(x) = \sum_{n \geq 1} e^{-\pi n^2 x}$ . This is "essentially" **Jacobi's theta function**  $\vartheta(x)$  as

$$\vartheta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \ge 1} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = 1 + 2\theta(x).$$

One derives 1.1 from the preliminary integral representation

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{\pi^{s/2}}{\Gamma(s/2)} \int_0^\infty \theta(x) x^{s/2} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
 (1.2)

This preliminary integral representation is achieved by taking the Mellin transform of the theta function  $\theta(x)$ . Unfortunately, while  $\theta(x)$  admits exponential decay as  $x \to \infty$ , it does not converge

Date: 2023.

as  $x \to 1$  and so we cannot conclude that the integral in 1.2 is analytic on  $\mathbb{C}$ . To turn 1.2 into 1.1, Riemann used the following result known to Jacobi (see [4]), namely

$$\vartheta(s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}}\vartheta\left(\frac{1}{s}\right),\,$$

to obtain 1.1. This is necessary because in 1.1,  $\theta(x)$  converges at s=1 and so the integrals are analytic on  $\mathbb C$ . Therefore the right-hand side is naturally defined for all  $s\in\mathbb C-\{1\}$  and at s=1 the polynomial term has a simple pole of residue 1. So taking the right-hand side as the definition of  $\zeta(s)$ , we see that  $\zeta(s)$  is analytic on  $\mathbb C$  with a simple pole at s=1 of residue 1 as previously mentioned. Moreover, by the natural symmetry of the two integral terms under  $s\to 1-s$  and invariance of the polynomial term,  $\zeta(s)$  also possesses the symmetric functional equation

$$\frac{\Gamma(s/2)}{\pi^{s/2}}\zeta(s) = \frac{\Gamma((1-s)/2)}{\pi^{(1-s)/2}}\zeta(1-s).$$

This can be viewed as the Mellin transform lifting of the transformation law for  $\vartheta(s)$  to  $\zeta(s)$ , and it is with this functional equation that we can use the Dirichlet series representation of  $\zeta(s)$  for  $\Re(s) > 1$  to determine information about  $\zeta(s)$  in the region  $\Re(s) < 0$ .

## 2. THE FUNCTIONAL EQUATION FOR DIRICHLET L-FUNCTIONS

The Dirichlet L-function attached to the character  $\chi$  is the series

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n>1} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

Throughout let q be the conductor of  $\chi$ . If q=1 then we recover  $\zeta(s)$ . Since  $\chi(n)\ll 1$ ,  $L(s,\chi)$  converges absolutely uniformly for  $\Re(s)>1$ . The series does not necessarily converge absolutely uniformly for  $\Re(s)\leq 1$ , but it does admit analytic continuation to this region analogous to the case for  $\zeta(s)$ . Precisely, we will show the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** For a primitive Dirichlet character  $\chi$  with conductor q > 1,  $L(s, \chi)$  admits analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ .

We will derive the analytic continuation of  $L(s,\chi)$  by expressing the L-function as an integral which will converge on all of  $\mathbb{C}$ . Details in the argument depend on if  $\chi$  is even or odd, so to treat both cases simultaneously we define  $\delta_{\chi} \in \{0,1\}$  by  $\chi(-1) = (-1)^{\delta_{\chi}}$ .

*Proof sketch.* Upon substituting  $s \to s + \delta_\chi$  into the definition of the gamma function, we obtain

$$\chi(n)\Gamma\left((s+\delta_{\chi})/2\right) = \pi^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2}n^{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} \chi(n)n^{\delta_{\chi}}e^{-\pi n^{2}x}x^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2}\frac{dx}{x}.$$

Proceeding exactly as for the zeta function (sum over  $n \ge 1$  and apply some minor algebra), we arrive at the preliminary integral representation:

$$L(s,\chi) = \frac{\pi^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2}}{\Gamma((s+\delta_{\chi})/2)} \int_0^\infty \theta_{\chi}(x) x^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
 (2.1)

where

$$\theta_{\chi}(x) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \chi(n) n^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(n) n^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi n^2 x}.$$

This is essentially a twisted version of Jacobi's theta function. The key insight is that it is a sum of Schwarz functions over a lattice and so we can expect that an application of Poisson summation will give a functional equation of shape  $s \to \frac{1}{s}$  just as for Jacobi's theta function in the case of  $\zeta(s)$ . Since our theta function has a character attached, we first sieve out the character:

$$\theta_{\chi}(x) = \sum_{a \pmod{q}} \chi(a) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (mq + a)^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi (mq + a)^2 x}$$

Now we apply Poisson summation to the inner sum. Set  $f(y) = (yq + a)^{\delta_X} e^{-\pi(yq+a)^2x}$ . Making a change of variable and completing the square of  $(yq + a)^2x + 2\pi ity$  in the exponent, the Fourier transform of f becomes

$$\hat{f}(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (yq + a)^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi(yq + a)^2 x} e^{-2\pi i t y} dx = \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i a t}{q}} e^{-\frac{\pi t^2}{q^2 s}}}{qs^{\frac{1 + \delta_{\chi}}{2}}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi \left(x + \frac{i t}{q\sqrt{s}}\right)^2} dx.$$

One now complexifies the integral and shifts the line of integration to to  $\Im(z) = \frac{t}{q\sqrt{s}}$ , with no addition of residues since the integrand is holomorphic, obtaining

$$\frac{e^{\frac{2\pi iat}{q}}}{q} \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi t^2}{q^2s}}}{\sqrt{s}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(x - \frac{it}{qs}\right)^{\delta\chi} dx = \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi iat}{q}}}{q} \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi t^2}{q^2s}}}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\frac{it}{qs}\right)^{\delta\chi},$$

where the equality follows by realising the integral as essentially a Gaussian integral. Poisson summation then yields

$$\begin{split} \theta_{\chi}(x) &= \sum_{a \, (\text{mod } q)} \chi(a) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} (mq + a)^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi (mq + a)^2 x} \\ &= \sum_{a \, (\text{mod } q)} \chi(a) \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i a t}{q}}}{q} \frac{e^{-\frac{\pi t^2}{q^2 s}}}{\sqrt{s}} \left(\frac{i t}{q s}\right)^{\delta_{\chi}} \\ &= \frac{1}{i^{\delta_{\chi}} q^{1 + \delta_{\chi}} s^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta_{\chi}}} \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} t^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\frac{\pi t^2}{q^2 s}} \tau(t, \chi) \qquad \qquad \text{evaluation of } \tau(t, \chi) \\ &= \frac{\varepsilon_{\chi}}{i^{\delta_{\chi}} q^{1 + \delta_{\chi}} s^{\frac{1}{2} + \delta_{\chi}}} \theta_{\overline{\chi}} \left(\frac{1}{q^2 x}\right). \end{split}$$

This is the appropriate transformation law for the twisted theta function. We can now derive the symmetric integral representation for  $L(s,\chi)$ . Ignoring the gamma factor in 2.1 and splitting the integral at x=1/q, the fixed point of the transformation law, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \theta_{\chi}(x) x^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2} \frac{dx}{x} = \int_0^{1/q} \theta_{\chi}(x) x^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1/q}^\infty \theta_{\chi}(x) x^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2} \frac{dx}{x}.$$
 (2.2)

Now change variables  $x \to \frac{1}{q^2x}$  in the first integral and apply the transformation law:

$$\int_0^{1/q} \theta_\chi(x) x^{(s+\delta_\chi)/2} \, \frac{dx}{x} = \int_{1/q}^\infty \theta_\chi\left(\frac{1}{q^2}\right) x^{-(s+\delta_\chi)/2} \, \frac{dx}{x} = \frac{\varepsilon_\chi}{i^{\delta_\chi}} \int_{1/q}^\infty \theta_{\overline{\chi}}(x) x^{((1-s)+\delta_\chi)/2} \, \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Substituting back into 2.2 and applying 2.1 yields

$$L(s,\chi) = \frac{\pi^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2}}{\Gamma\left((s+\delta_{\chi})/2\right)} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_{\chi}}{i^{\delta_{\chi}}} \int_{1/q}^{\infty} \theta_{\overline{\chi}}(x) x^{((1-s)+\delta_{\chi})/2} \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1/q}^{\infty} \theta_{\chi}(x) x^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2} \frac{dx}{x} \right]$$
(2.3)

By virtue of the decay of  $\theta_{\chi}(x)$  both integrals in 2.3 are holomorphic on  $\mathbb{C}$  and thus the right-hand side gives analytic continuation to  $\mathbb{C}$ . Also, the symmetry of the right-hand side as  $s \to 1-s$  immediately results in the following corollary which is better known as the functional equation for  $L(s,\chi)$ :

## Corollary 2.2.

$$q^{s/2} \frac{\Gamma((s+\delta_{\chi})/2)}{\pi^{(s+\delta_{\chi})/2}} L(s,\chi) = \frac{\varepsilon_{\chi}}{i^{\delta_{\chi}}} q^{(1-s)/2} \frac{\Gamma(((1-s)+\delta_{\chi})/2)}{\pi^{((1-s)+\delta_{\chi})/2}} L(1-s,\chi).$$

### 3. The Mellin Transform & Theta Functions

The unifying idea underpinning functional equations of L-functions is to find an integral representation that is symmetric under  $s \to 1-s$ . The integral representation is obtained by taking the Mellin transform of a theta function, and the symmetry of the integral is lifted from a transformation law for the theta function. Let us being with the Mellin transform. If f is some continuous function, then the **Mellin transform**  $\{\mathcal{M}f\}(s)$  of f is given by

$$\{\mathcal{M}f\}(s) = \int_0^\infty f(x)x^s \, \frac{dx}{x}.$$

If f is a sufficiently nice function, say bounded near 0 and of exponential decay near  $\infty$ , this integral converge in a half-plane. The classical example is when  $f = e^{-x}$  so that  $\{\mathcal{M}e^{-x}\}(s) = \Gamma(s)$ . In our case, we want f to be a theta function. A **theta function** is a absolutely convergent series that is a sum of exponentials over  $\mathbb{Z}$  that is symmetric in the sign of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . Both the zeta function and Dirichlet L-functions are associated to a theta function:

$$\zeta(s) \longleftrightarrow \theta(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = 1 + 2 \sum_{n \ge 1} e^{-\pi n^2 x},$$
$$L(s, \chi) \longleftrightarrow \theta_{\chi}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi(n) n^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi n^2 x} = 2 \sum_{n \ge 1} \chi(n) n^{\delta_{\chi}} e^{-\pi n^2 x}.$$

By "associated" we mean that if one takes the Mellin transform over the subsum  $n \geq 1$  on the left-hand side, then the corresponding L-functions on the right-hand side is obtained up to gamma factors. For example, this is 2.1. More generally, given some theta function  $\theta(x)$  we can obtain an L-functions  $L(s,\theta)$  by taking the Mellin transform. In order to obtain a functional equation for the L-functions, the theta function must admit a transformation law:

$$\theta(x) \sim \theta(1/cx)$$
,

for some c > 0. In this case, we can decompose the Mellin transform as

$$\{\mathcal{M}f\}(s) = \int_0^{1/\sqrt{c}} f(x)x^s \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1/\sqrt{c}}^{\infty} f(x)x^s \frac{dx}{x}.$$

Making the change of variables  $x \to 1/cx$  to the first integral, we can apply the transformation law and symmetrize the Mellin transformation to respect  $s \to 1 - s$  as much as possible. Roughly,

$$L(s,\theta) = \text{polar factor} + \int_{1/\sqrt{c}}^{\infty} \theta(x) x^{1-s} \, \frac{dx}{x} + \int_{1/\sqrt{c}}^{\infty} \theta(x) x^{s} \, \frac{dx}{x}$$

The resulting integrals will be analytic by virtue of the rapid decay of  $\theta(x)$ , and therefore give analytic continuation of the L-functions to  $\mathbb{C}$ . The functional equation then follows immediately from the symmetry of the integral representation.

Let's give an example. If f is a weight k cuspidal modular form on the full modular group  $PSL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then f admits a Fourier expansion at the  $\infty$  cusp:

$$f(z) = \sum_{n>1} a(n)e^{2\pi i nz}.$$

We can package the Fourier coefficient of f into an L-functions L(s,f) called the L-functions associated to f:

$$L(s,f) = \sum_{n \ge 1} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s}.$$

where  $a_f(n) = a(n)n^{-(k-1)/2}$ . By the Ramanujan conjecture (see [5]),  $a_f(n) \ll 1$  so that L(s,f) converges absolutely uniformly on compact sets for  $\Re(s) > 1$ . We would like to analytically continue L(s,f) in the same way as for the zeta function an Dirichlet L-functions. What's the underlying theta function? Well, it comes naturally equip to f as the Fourier series of f along the positive imaginary axis:

$$f(iy) = \sum_{n>1} a_{\infty}(n)e^{-2\pi ny}.$$

Due to the negative sign in the exponent, it exhibits the required exponential decay and by modularity

$$f\left(\begin{pmatrix}0&1\\-1&0\end{pmatrix}iy\right) = (-iy)^k f\left(1/iy\right).$$

This transformation law is more geometric in nature since the modularity of f describes how f changes under a Möbius transformation.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Hadamard, Jacques. "Sur la distribution des zéros de la fonction  $\zeta(s)$  et ses conséquences arithmétiques." Bulletin de la Societé mathematique de France 24 (1896): 199-220.
- [2] Poussin, Charles Jean de La Vallée. Sur la fonction [zeta](s) de Riemann et le nombre des nombres premiers inferieurs à une limite donnée. Vol. 51. Hayez, 1899.
- [3] Leonhard Euler. Variae observationes circa series infinitas. Commentarii academiae scientiarum imperialis Petropolitanae, 9(1737):160–188, 1737.
- [4] Riemann, Bernhard. "Ueber die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Grosse." Ges. Math. Werke und Wissenschaftlicher Nachlaß 2.145-155 (1859): 2.
- [5] Deligne P. 1971Formes modulaires et représentations l-adiques. Séminaire Bourbaki vol. 1968/69, Lecture Notes in Math.179. New York, NY: Springer.