

## Solutions to Relations – Week 11 Tutorials

---

MATH1064: Discrete Mathematics for Computing

---

1. Consider the following relations on the set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ .

- $R_1 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 4), (4, 1), (4, 4)\}$
- $R_2 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4)\}$
- $R_3 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 4), (2, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 1), (4, 4)\}$
- $R_4 = \{(2, 1), (3, 1), (3, 2), (4, 1), (4, 2), (4, 3)\}$
- $R_5 = \{(1, 1), (1, 2), (1, 3), (1, 4), (2, 2), (2, 3), (2, 4), (3, 3), (3, 4), (4, 4)\}$
- $R_6 = \{(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), (4, 4)\}$ .

For each of the relations  $R_1, R_2, R_3, R_4, R_5$  and  $R_6$ , determine whether it is reflexive, symmetric, or transitive. If it is an equivalence relation, determine the equivalence classes.

You may find it helpful to draw *arrow diagrams*: draw four points labelled 1, 2, 3, 4, and draw an arrow from  $x$  to  $y$  whenever  $xRy$ .

**Solution:** The relations  $R_2$  and  $R_6$  are equivalence relations:

- $R_2$  has equivalence classes  $\{1, 2\}$ ,  $\{3\}$  and  $\{4\}$ ;
- $R_6$  is the relation  $=$  and has equivalence classes  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{2\}$ ,  $\{3\}$  and  $\{4\}$ .

The relations  $R_4$  and  $R_5$  are order relations:

- $R_4$  is  $>$  (not reflexive, not symmetric, but transitive);
- $R_5$  is  $\leq$  (reflexive and transitive, not symmetric).

The relation  $R_1$  is not reflexive, not symmetric, not transitive.

The relation  $R_3$  is reflexive and symmetric, but not transitive.

2. Define the relation  $R$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  as follows: for all  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  and all  $(c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$(a, b) R (c, d) \text{ if and only if } a + d = c + b.$$

(a) Give three distinct elements of  $R$ .

**Solution:** Examples:  $((1, 2), (3, 4))$ ,  $((6, 2), (8, 4))$ ,  $((3, 3), (4, 4))$ , etc.

(b) Is  $R$  reflexive?

**Solution:** Let  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $a + b = a + b$ , and so  $(a, b) R (a, b)$ . Hence  $R$  is reflexive.

(c) Is  $R$  symmetric?

**Solution:** Suppose  $(a, b) R (c, d)$ . Then  $a + d = c + b$ . Hence  $c + b = a + d$  and so  $(c, d) R (a, b)$ . Therefore  $R$  is symmetric.

(d) Is  $R$  transitive?

**Solution:** Suppose  $(a, b) R (c, d)$  and  $(c, d) R (e, f)$ . Hence  $a + d = c + b$  and  $c + f = e + d$ . Solving the second equation for  $c$  gives  $c = e + d - f$ . Substituting this into the first gives  $a + d = (e + d - f) + b$ . Hence  $a + f = e + b$ . Hence  $(a, b) R (e, f)$  and the relation is transitive.

(e) If  $R$  is an equivalence relation, determine a representative for each equivalence class.

**Solution:** What are the equivalence classes? Suppose  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with  $a > b$ . Then  $(a, b) R (a, b)$  gives  $a + b = a + b$ , and hence  $(a - b) + b = a + 0$ , where  $a - b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence letting  $n = a - b$  we have  $(n, 0) R (a, b)$ . We choose  $(n, 0)$  as a representative for the equivalence class of  $(a, b)$  and now determine the whole equivalence class. Note that

$$(a, b) R (c, d) \text{ if and only if } a + d = c + b \text{ if and only if } a - b = c - d$$

and so the equivalence class is precisely  $[(n, 0)] = \{(c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid c - d = n\}$ .

Similarly, if  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with  $a < b$ , the equivalence class has representative  $(0, n)$  and is given by  $[(0, n)] = \{(c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid c - d = -n\}$ .

Last, if  $(a, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  with  $a = b$ , then the equivalence class has representative  $(0, 0)$  and is given by  $[(0, 0)] = \{(c, d) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \mid c - d = 0\}$ .

From the description of the equivalence classes, one can see that this equivalence relation gives a way of defining the integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  using the natural numbers  $\mathbb{N}$  by mapping  $[(a, b)] \mapsto a - b$ . In particular, using the representatives, we have  $[(0, 0)] \mapsto 0$ ,  $[(n, 0)] \mapsto n$  and  $[(0, n)] \mapsto -n$ .

3. Let  $R$  be the relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by  $x R y \iff x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ .

Show that  $R$  is an equivalence relation.

**Solution:** We need to show that the relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive.

Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $x^2 - x^2 = 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , and so  $x R x$ . Hence  $R$  is reflexive.

Suppose  $x R y$ . Then  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Multiplying by  $-1$  gives  $y^2 - x^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ , and so  $y R x$ . Hence  $R$  is symmetric.

Suppose  $x R y$  and  $y R z$ . Then  $x^2 - y^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$  and  $y^2 - z^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Using modular arithmetic, this gives  $x^2 - z^2 \equiv (x^2 - y^2) - (y^2 - z^2) \equiv 0 - 0 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ . Hence  $x R z$  and the relation is transitive.

In summary, since the relation is reflexive, symmetric and transitive, it is an equivalence relation.

4. Let  $R$  be the relation on  $\mathbb{Z}$  defined by

$$x R y \iff x^3 - 1 < y^3.$$

- (a) Is  $R$  reflexive?

**Solution:** Yes, since  $x^3 - 1 < x^3$  is equivalent to  $-1 < 0$ .

- (b) Is  $R$  symmetric or antisymmetric?

**Solution:** Note that  $(0, 1) \in R$ , but  $(1, 0) \notin R$ , so the relation is not symmetric.

Now suppose  $xRy$  and  $yRx$ . Then  $x^3 - 1 < y^3$  and  $y^3 - 1 < x^3$ . This gives  $x^3 - y^3 < 1$  and  $-1 < x^3 - y^3$ . Hence  $-1 < x^3 - y^3 < 1$ . But  $x^3 - y^3$  is an integer, and so  $x^3 - y^3 = 0$ . This implies  $x^3 = y^3$  and hence  $x = y$ . So  $R$  is antisymmetric.

- (c) Is  $R$  transitive?

**Solution:** Suppose  $xRy$  and  $yRz$ . Then  $x^3 - 1 < y^3$  and  $y^3 - 1 < z^3$ . Hence  $z^3 > y^3 - 1 > x^3 - 2$ . Now we again remember that we are working with integers. Since  $y^3 - 1$  is an integer lying strictly between the two integers  $z^3$  and  $x^3 - 2$ , we must have  $z^3 > x^3 - 1$ . (If you don't believe this, prove it by contradiction!) Hence  $x^3 - 1 < z^3$  and so  $xRz$ . It follows that the relation is transitive.

- (d) For any two integers  $x$  and  $y$ , show that we either have  $xRy$  or  $yRx$ .

**Solution:** Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two arbitrary integers. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $y \geq x$ . This implies  $y^3 \geq x^3 > x^3 - 1$ . Hence  $xRy$ .

5. Let  $X$  be a set with exactly seven elements. How many equivalence relations with precisely four equivalence classes are there on  $X$ ?

**Solution:** There are four equivalence classes and each contains at least one element. The partition sizes for the equivalence classes are 1, 1, 1, 4 with 35 options; 1, 1, 2, 3 with 210 options and 1, 2, 2, 2 with 105 options. Hence a total of 350 such equivalence relations!