

Abstracted Merkle Signatures (AMS)

Author: Herman Schoenfeld <herman@sphere10.com>

Version: 1.0

Date: 2020-07-20

Copyright: (c) Sphere 10 Software Pty Ltd

License: MIT

Abstract

A post-quantum digital signature scheme that takes as a parameter a one-time signature scheme (OTS) and transforms it for "many-time" use. The approach follows that originally proposed by the Merkle-Signature Scheme but without a coupling to any specific OTS. This construction comprises a bit-level specification for such a scheme.

1. Introduction

The Abstracted Merkle-Signature (AMS) scheme is a quantum-resistant cryptographic scheme that provides hash-based digital signatures without any dependency on elliptic-curves or discrete logarithms. AMS is formalization of the scheme originally proposed by Ralph Merkle ¹ but in an OTS-agnostic manner.

AMS is a "high-level" scheme that takes as a parameter a one-time signature scheme (OTS) and transforms it into a "many-time" equivalent. To this end, AMS serves as an "algorithm wrapper". AMS achieves this goal by encapsulating the cryptography of the OTS in an ephemeral manner^[*] and by isolating the complexities of managing multiple OTS keys through the use of hash-trees (merkle-trees).

AMS is similar in goal and scope to XMSS ² but without a coupling to a specific OTS, with simplified tree structuring and with DoS-vulnerability hardening. An AMS algorithm generally retains the performance, memory and security characteristics of the underlying OTS scheme but adds additional computational complexity in it's key generation. This arises from the fact that an AMS key is essentially a commitment to many pre-generated OTS keys.

The AMS-layer of an AMS-algorithm requires the use of a cryptographic hash function (CHF) which is typically chosen to be the same as that employed by the OTS-layer of the algorithm, although this is not a strict requirement. The AMS-layer is itself quantum-resistant as it relies solely on the proper use of cryptographic hash function transformations. Thus, if the OTS-layer is also quantum-resistant then the full AMS-algorithm is quantum-resistant.

Whilst this document focuses on the AMS-layer and the integration points than an OTS-layer must comply with, it does not cover specific implementations of OTS-layers.

[*]: *When an OTS algorithm is encapsulated within an AMS algorithm, the OTS key and signature objects are always short-lived and only computed when needed. In this sense they are ephemeral. As such, only the AMS key and signature objects are ever persisted or transmitted.*

2. AMS Scheme

AMS is a general-purpose, quantum-resistant cryptographic scheme offering the following features:

- Multi-use keys: a single private/public key-pair can be used to securely sign and verify many messages.
- Compact keys: keys are small and contain (compressed) hash commitments to OTS keys.
- Efficient comparisons: testing if a public key derives from a private key is fast efficient.
- Parameterized: the underlying OTS and CHF can be changed without affecting the AMS-layer whatsoever.
- Quantum-resistant: AMS inherits all the PQC characteristics of the selected OTS algorithm.

Whilst AMS is strictly a "stateful" algorithm in that the signer must "remember information" about the most recent signature, it can be used in a "practically stateless" manner for blockchain/DLT use-cases. This is possible since changes to the key-store are not required in this scheme, only remembering the index of last used OTS key is necessary. In blockchain/DLT applications, rather than maintaining this index in a local key-store, a strictly increasing nonce field associated with the signer object and stored in the public consensus database can be used. So long as this nonce is atomically and strictly increased within the same transactions that contains the signatures, no risk key re-use arising from local data corruption exists.

The AMS-layer of the scheme relies on a single cryptographic hash function (CHF) for all processing without any dependency on elliptic-curves or discrete logarithms. This CHF is also parameterized and, by convention, chosen to match that of the selected OTS scheme (although not strictly required).

The construction can be basically summarized as follows:

1. A **"Private Key"** is a user secret that deterministically generates batches of OTS key-pairs.
2. A **"Public Key"** is a merkle-root of a batch of OTS key-pairs.
3. A **"Signature"** comprises of an OTS signature, an OTS public key to validate that signature, and a merkle-proof of that key within a "batch".
4. Signature verification entails both the underlying OTS verification algorithm and a merkle-proof verification of the key.

2.1 Notation & Definitions

1. `||` is operator that denotes byte array concatenation. If the operand is a BYTE, DWORD, QWORD it is implicitly converted to byte array using `ToBytes` function.
2. `H(x)` is a one-way cryptographic hash function (CHF) of `u`-bits.
3. `H^n` is cryptographic hash function that iterates the `H` function `n` times such that `H^0(x) = x`, `H^1(x) = H(x)`, `H^2 = H(H(x))`, etc.
4. `LastDword(arr)` is a function that extracts the last 4 bytes of byte array `arr` and re-interprets it as a little-endian 32-bit unsigned integer.
5. `LastQword(arr)` is a function that extracts the last 8 bytes of byte array `arr` and re-interprets it as a little-endian 64-bit unsigned integer.
6. `RandomBytes(N)` is a function that returns an array of `N` cryptographically random bytes.
7. `ToBytes(N)` is a function that takes an unsigned 64-bit (or 32-bit) integer argument `N` returns it as an array of 8 (or 4) bytes in little-endian layout.
8. Unless otherwise specified, all byte layouts are in little-endian format by default.

2.2 Private Key

A Private Key `P` is generated as follows:

```
P = v || OTS || h || RESERVED || Entropy
```

Field	Description	Bits	Value Range
v	AMS version	8	1..256
OTS	OTS algorithm	16	1..65536
h	Height parameter	8	0..255
RESERVED	30 bytes reserved for OTS parameters	224	0
Entropy	Cryptographically random bytes used to seed OTS key generation	256	CRNG

A private key is 64 bytes in length and can be used to sign up to $2^{(64 + h)}$ messages. A Private Key can derive up to 2^{64} unique Public Keys.

2.2.1 Field: Version

Version is an 8-bit value mapping to integers 1..256. As of this revision, the version is always 1 (mapping to all zeros). Values 2..256 are reserved for future revisions of the AMS scheme which can evolve independently from underlying OTS schemes.

2.2.2 Field: OTS

The OTS field is a 16-bit field mapping to the integers 1..65536 which determines the AMS algorithm being used. These values are globally allocated by the author. Currently, they are:

AMS Algorithm	Description	OTS Algorithm	Value
LAMS	Lamport Abstracted Merkle Signatures	Lamport	1
WAMS	Winternitz Abstracted Merkle Signatures	W-OTS	2
WAMS+	Winternitz Abstracted Merkle Signatures Plus	W-OTS+	3
WAMS#	Winternitz Abstracted Merkle Signature Sharp	W-OTS#	4

2.2.3 Field: Height

The height parameter h is a 1-byte value that determines how many OTS keys are coupled to a single public key. From the AMS perspective, the security of a public key degrades after being used more than 2^h signature generations.

Specifically, h refers to the height of a merkle-tree whose leaves are a set of OTS public key hashes called the "batch". The merkle-root of the batch is called the "batch-root". A public key commits to a single batch. Signatures are signed using one of the OTS keys from the batch and never used again. The cardinality of a batch is 2^h .

Whilst a public key can only be used for up to 2^h signature verifications before necessitating replacement, a private key can be used for $2^{(64+h)}$ signature generations. This follows from the property that one private key can generate 2^{64} unique public keys (and $2^h * 2^{64} = 2^{(64+h)}$). Although a private key must be discarded beyond that number, it is for all practical

purposes a reusable private key.

Choosing parameter h is left to the user as it's selection impacts the computational performance of the private key (but negligibly for the public key). Specifically, signing and verifying are negligibly impacted by h but generating keys and matching public keys to private keys is impacted as $O(h^2)$. If the user is able to replace their public key regularly, a low value of $0 \leq h \leq 8$ is desirable. If a user plans to infrequently use their keys, a value $h=16$ may be more appropriate in that expensive computations are done infrequently. The range $16 \leq x \leq 255$ should be carefully considered, if at all.

NOTE Choosing $h=0$ will result in an private key that signs a single message, a redundant OTS but permitted for elegancy of the scheme.

2.3 Public Key

The public key K is a many-time public key and defined as follows:

$$K = v || h || C || B || Z || R$$

Term	Description	Bits	Value Range
C	Key Code	64	UInt64
B	Batch Number	64	UInt64
Z	Spam Code	32	UInt32
R	Batch Root	U	hash digest

The public key is $(U/8 + 16)$ bytes in length and can be used for 2^h signature verifications before security begins to degrade. A single private key can derive up to 2^{64} public keys by varying the B parameter.

2.3.1 Field: Key Code

The key code C is a 64-bit unsigned integer derived as:

$$C = \text{LastQword}(H^2(P))$$

The key code is used to efficiently test if a public key derives from a private key P . Since the key code is a cryptographically random checksum with 2^{64} possible values, it is unlikely to collide with other (genuine) keys and thus can be used to efficiently filter matching keys.

2.3.2 Field: Batch Number

A private key can generate up to 2^{64} public keys each of which commits to 2^h OTS public keys. The batch number identifies which batch a public key commits to. All batches are derived from the private key using the batch number as a generating nonce.

2.3.3 Spam Code

The Spam Code Z is a 32-bit unsigned integer checksum value derived as:

$$Z = \text{LastDword}(H(K'_0))$$

Term	Description
K'_i	The i 'th OTS public key in the batch B ($i=0$ in above)

The spam code works similarly to the key code except it is intended to thwart DoS attacks arising from deliberate key code collisions sent by a spammer. Without a spam code, a DoS attack could arise if a verifier is overwhelmed by a large list of public keys with key codes that (deliberately) collide with a known verifiers key code.

This can occur since calculating the batch-root is a computationally expensive process and a verifier can never determine if a public key is "cryptographically invalid". It can only determine if a public key derives from a private key (or not). This entails a batch-root calculation. The spam code is a mechanism to allow rapid filtering of such maliciously colliding invalid keys.

In the case where an attacker floods a verifier known good B and Z values, a verifier need only perform the expensive batch-root calculation once and cache the computed Public Key for B for future comparisons.

In the case where an attacker floods with varying B and C values, in an attempt to force the verifier to always evaluate the batch-root for B , precomputing/caching will not work since the range of values of B is too vast. In this scenario, the computation of the spam code is sufficient to discard this key, since the spammer cannot guess this code as it requires knowledge of the Private Key.

NOTE Knowledge of C or Z does not provide an attacker any computational advantage in a brute-force attack on P .

2.3.4 Field: Batch Root

The batch root is a merkle-root of the set of OTS public keys in the batch. It is defined as follows:

$$R = \text{MerkleRoot}(H(K'_0), \dots, H(K'_n))$$

Term	Description
MerkleRoot	The root of the hash-tree of the input leaf nodes
K'_i	The i 'th OTS public key in the batch B
h	Tree Height parameter which determines batch size 2^h
n	Index of last item in batch (which is always $2^h - 1$)

Here the batch-root commits to a set ephemeral OTS keys which will be used in signature generation and verification. Selection of the OTS key-pair is performed by the signer during the signing process and care should be taken to **never re-use** an OTS key.

REMARK In VelocityNET³, this is achieved by using a strictly increasing Nonce field associated to the signer identity and stored in a public consensus database. The nonce is strictly incremented after every signature and part of transaction itself. Since the transaction update is ACID, it can be reliably used to for OTS key selection.

Merkle-tree roots and proofs follow this Merkle-Math⁴ construction developed by the author and used within VelocityNET project.

NOTE In the definition above, the leaf-set of the merkle-tree comprise of public key hashes. If the OTS-layer of the AMS-algorithm passes up a public key hash, and matching CHF's are used, it need not be hashed again. Re-using the public key value is sufficient (as it is already a hash digest).

2.4 Signature Generation

For any message M , private key P and public key K a signature S is derived as follows:

```
1: algorithm AMS_Sign
2:   Input:
3:     M: a message to sign (arbitrarily long byte array)
4:     P: an AMS private key to used to sign
5:     B: the batch of OTS keys to use
6:     i: the index of the OTS key in the batch
7:   Output:
8:     S: an AMS signature
9:   Pseudo-Code:
10:    let v = P.Version
11:    let (P'_i, K'_i) = GenOTSKeys(P, B, i)
12:    let S' = GenOTSSig( H(M), P'_i )
13:    S = v || h || i || K'_i || S' || GenMerkleProof(H(K'_i), i, R)
14: end algorithm
```

Term	Description	Bits
v	Version (from P)	8
h	Height (from P)	8
i	The index of the selected OTS key from the batch	32
S'	The OTS signature for the message	$OTS_SigBits$
P'_i	The OTS private key which derives K'_i	$OTS_PrivKeyBits$
K'_i	The i 'th OTS public key from the batch	$OTS_PubKeyBits$
R	The batch-root of the batch which contains K'_i	U
$GenOTSKeys(x, y, z)$	OTS-layer function that generates the z 'th OTS key-pair in batch y for private key x	
$GenOTSSig(x, y)$	OTS-layer function that generates an OTS signature of message-digest x using OTS private key y	
$GenMerkleProof(x, y, z)$	Merkle-Math ⁴ function that generates a merkle-proof that x is y 'th leaf of a merkle-tree with root z	
$OTS_SigBits$	Length of the OTS signature as returned by the OTS layer	
$OTS_PrivKeyBits$	Length of an OTS signature as returned by the OTS layer	
$OTS_PubKeyBits$	Length of an OTS signature as returned by the OTS layer. See Note below.	

Signatures are $(48 + OTS_PubKeyLenLength(S') + (h+1)*(U/8))$ bytes in length, the bulk of which comprises of the OTS signature.

NOTE Whilst an AMS signature embeds an OTS public key, it's possible to compress the OTS public key in the OTS layer before passing it up to the AMS layer. This is done by using the hash of the public key (i.e. the "public key hash") rather than the public key itself. This works for many OTS algorithms since the signature verification process rebuilds the public key from the signature and thus it can verify against the public key hash instead. This optimization is employed in WAMS where the W-OTS public key is actually the W-OTS public key hash. Thus for any Winternitz parameter w , the "W-OTS public key" in the WAMS signature only consumes U bits (a significant optimization).

2.4.1 OTS Index

When signing, the selection of i is performed by the signer and care should be taken to not re-use a previously used one-time key. In VelocityNET, this is achieved by using the strictly increasing Nonce field from signing identity object stored in a public consensus database. Since this does not require local database updates, and the Nonce is always updated across a consensus database after a signed transaction is confirmed, there is no risk of accidental key reuse arising from local state corruption. Signature re-use could still exist but this would arise from a bug or attack to the client code (no different to traditional cryptographic schemes). When used in this manner, the AMS scheme can be considered "practically stateless", however it is not strictly so.

In non-blockchain/DLT applications, care should be taken to remember the index of the last OTS signature so as not to re-use. Note, if an attacker is able to trick the code into re-using an OTS key, it's security could be totally compromised after a few re-uses.

2.5 Signature Verification

```
1: algorithm AMS_Verify:
2:   Input:
3:     M: the message being verified (arbitrary byte array)
4:     S: the AMS signature of M
5:     K: the AMS public key used to verify S
6:   Output: Boolean
7:   Pseudo-Code:
8:     let size0 = U/8                ; byte size of a CHF digest
9:     let size1 = OTS_SigLen/8      ; byte size of an OTS
signature
10:    let size2 = OTS_PubKeyLen/8    ; byte size of an OTS public
key (hash)
11:
12:    let reader = byte stream reader for S      ; a little-endian byte
reader
13:    let v = reader.ReadByte                  ; AMS version
14:    let h = reader.ReadWord                  ; height
15:    let i = reader.ReadUInt                  ; OTS key index
16:    let PKH = reader.ReadBytes(size2)        ; OTS public key (hash)
17:    let S' = reader.ReadBytes(size1)         ; OTS signature
18:    let MP = reader.ReadBytes(h * size0)     ; merkle-proof
19:    let R = K.R                             ; batch root
20:    Result = (v == K.Version) AND VerMerkleProof(MP, PKH, i, 2^h, R) AND
VerOTSSig(S', H(M), PKH)
21: end algorithm
```

Term	Description
<code>VerOTSSig(x, y, z)</code>	An OTS-layer function that returns true iff x is an OTS signature of message-digest y which verifies with OTS public key (hash) z , otherwise returns false.
<code>VerMerkleProof(u, v, w, x, y)</code>	An OTS-layer function function that returns true iff u is the merkle-proof that v is the w 'th leaf in a merkle-tree whose leaves are a set of cardinality x and whose merkle-root is y .

The `verMerkleProof` term ensures that the OTS key used by the signature was committed to by the public key and the `verOTSSig` term verifies the OTS signature verifies to that OTS key. With these two steps, the verifier has determined the AMS signature contains a valid OTS signature and that the OTS public key in the signature was committed to by the AMS public key. Since only the bearer of the AMS private key can know the OTS private key, it follows the AMS signature was signed by the bearer of the AMS private key.

NOTE that the Merkle tree scheme⁴ employed in VelocityNET does not require that proofs contain left/right flags for evaluation. Instead they are implicit and inferred from index of the leaf-node and the cardinality of the set of leaf nodes. Merkle "existence proof" only ever contain the minimal set of parent-node hashes required to evaluate the proof.

References

1. Ralph Merkle. "Secrecy, authentication and public key systems / A certified digital signature". Ph.D. dissertation, Dept. of Electrical Engineering, Stanford University, 1979. Url: <http://www.merkle.com/papers/Certified1979.pdf> ↗
2. IRTF. "XMSS: eXtended Merkle Signature Scheme". Accessed: 2020-07-01, URL: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc8391> ↗
3. VelocityNET Project. "Velocity Network: P2P Liberty Platform". Accessed: 2020-07-01, URL: <https://velocitynet.io> ↗
4. Schoenfeld, H. "Enhanced Merkle-Trees" . URL: TODO ↗ ↗ ↗