Rice's Theorem. Let \mathcal{L} be any set of languages that satisfies the following conditions:

- There is a Turing machine Y such that $Accept(Y) \in \mathcal{L}$.
- There is a Turing machine N such that $Accept(N) \notin \mathcal{L}$.

The language $AcceptIn(\mathcal{L}) := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid Accept(M) \in \mathcal{L} \}$ is undecidable.

Prove that the following languages are undecidable *using Rice's Theorem*:

- 1. AcceptRegular := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid Accept(M) \text{ is regular}\}$
- 2. AcceptIllini := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts the string } \mathbf{ILLINI} \}$
- 3. AcceptPalindrome := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts at least one palindrome}\}$
- 4. AcceptThree := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ accepts exactly three strings}\}$
- 5. ACCEPTUNDECIDABLE := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid ACCEPT(M) \text{ is undecidable } \}$

Solution: Undecidability proofs for all of these languages appear in the undecidability lecture notes.

Fall 2016

To think about later. Which of the following are undecidable? How would you prove that?

1. Accept $\{\{\varepsilon\}\}:=\{\langle M\rangle\mid M \text{ accepts only the string }\varepsilon; \text{ that is, Accept}(M)=\{\varepsilon\}\}$

CS/ECE 374

Solution: Undecidable by Rice's theorem. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\{\epsilon\}\}$ — the set containing one language, which contains one string, which is empty. Let $M_{\{\epsilon\}}$ be a Turing machine with the transitions

$$\delta(\mathsf{start}, \square) = (\mathsf{accept}, \cdot, \cdot)$$

 $\delta(\mathsf{start}, a) = (\mathsf{reject}, \cdot, \cdot)$ for all $a \in \Sigma$.

Clearly $Accept(M_{\{\varepsilon\}}) = \{\varepsilon\} \in \mathcal{L}$. On the other hand, let M_{Reject} be the Turing machine that always rejects its input; clearly $Accept(M_{Reject}) = \emptyset \notin \mathcal{L}$.

2. $ACCEPT\{\emptyset\} := \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ does not accept any strings; that is, } ACCEPT(M) = \emptyset\}$

Solution: Undecidable by Rice's theorem. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\emptyset\}$ — the set containing one language, which contains no strings. We immediately have $\mathsf{Accept}(M_{\mathsf{REJECT}}) = \emptyset \in \mathcal{L}$ but $\mathsf{Accept}(M_{\{\varepsilon\}}) = \{\varepsilon\} \notin \mathcal{L}$.

3. $Accept\emptyset := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid Accept(M) \text{ is not an acceptable language} \}$

Solution: Trivially decidable. For any Turing machine M the language Accept(M) is acceptable by definition. Thus, $Accept\emptyset = \emptyset$ is correctly decided by the machine M_{Reject} .

4. Accept=Reject := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid Accept(M) = Reject(M)\}$

Solution: Undecidable by definition-chasing. ACCEPT(M) = REJECT(M) if and only if M diverges on every input string. Thus, ACCEPT=REJECT=NEVERHALT, which is proved undecidable in the notes.

5. ACCEPT \neq REJECT := $\{\langle M \rangle \mid ACCEPT(M) \neq REJECT(M) \}$

Solution: Undecidable by closure properties. $ACCEPT(M) \neq REJECT(M)$ if and only if M halts on at least input string. Thus, $NEVEPT = TMENCODINGS \setminus ACCEPT \neq REJECT$, where TMENCODINGS is the language of all Turing machine encodings. TMENCODINGS is decidable, but NEVERHALT is not. Thus, Corollary 3(d) in the undecidability notes implies that $ACCEPT \neq REJECT$ is undecidable.

6. $ACCEPT \cup REJECT := \{ \langle M \rangle \mid ACCEPT(M) \cup REJECT(M) = \Sigma^* \}$

Solution: Undecidable by definition-chasing. $ACCEPT(M) \cup REJECT(M) = \Sigma^*$ if and only if M halts on every input string. Thus, $ACCEPT \cup REJECT = NEVERDIVERGE$, which is proved undecidable in the notes.